Compression of the posterior interosseous nerve (PIN) is a relatively rare but well-known disorder. Entrapment may occur spontaneously at points of potential vulnerability related to the proximal, middle, or distal supinator muscle. Mass lesions, particularly lipomas, are well-described causes of secondary compression.

We present 2 cases in which a lipoma in the elbow region resulted in PIN compression and nerve discontinuity. A Grade V nerve injury according to the Sunderland classification was found in both cases. We believe that this occurs due to a chronic compression mechanism: a combination of forces act on the nerve, including the mass itself and a point of potential entrapment (“sandwich effect”). In these 2 cases compression occurred from below by the lipoma and from above by a fibrous band within the supinator muscle (the leading edge of the proximal supinator muscle [arcade of Fröhse] in one patient and the distal edge of the supinator muscle in the other). A Grade V Sunderland nerve lesion resulted from the advanced, chronic compression. The authors are unaware of a similar case with such an advanced pathoanatomical finding.

Case Reports

Two patients (a 78-year-old man [Case 1] and a 65-year-old woman [Case 2]) presented with an 8-month and a 2.5-year history, respectively, of an enlarging mass in the right proximal forearm. The first patient noted difficulty extending the right little and ring fingers initially, and then all fingers and the wrist. The second patient became aware of weakness of her right index finger, which then progressed to the others. Neither had pain, although each described a subtle ache in the forearm.

Both patients were evaluated multiple times at different medical centers, but without a clear description of the muscle grading of the finger extensors or a definitive diagnosis. Physical examination at our institution revealed evidence of a complete PIN paralysis manifested by wrist dorsiflexion in a radial deviation and finger drop. Sensation was normal. Electrophysiology studies confirmed a complete right PIN neuropathy. Imaging (ultrasound in 1 case [Fig. 1A] and MRI in both cases [Figs. 1B, 1C, and 2A–F]) demonstrated a 4.5 × 5.2–cm (Case 1) and 4 × 6.5–cm (Case 2) lipoma adjacent to the neck of the proximal radius displacing the PIN.

An anterior (Henry) approach to the proximal forearm was performed in both patients. Proximal and distal control of the superficial and deep branches of the radial nerve was obtained. In each case, during the mobilization of the lipoma (Figs. 1D, 1E, 2G, and 2H), severe compression of the PIN was evident directly beneath the supinator muscle (the leading edge of the proximal supinator muscle [arcade of Fröhse] in one patient and the distal edge of the supinator muscle in the other). We are unaware of a previous description of this pathology.
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The patients’ tumors were resected. Postoperatively, because of the poor prognosis for spontaneous recovery, both were recommended to undergo standard tendon transfers: the first patient (Case 1) received them at 3 months with good results, whereas the second patient (Case 2) wished to undergo the same procedure at 6 months.

In both instances, after the surgery we reinterpreted the MR images. Previously, only PIN displacement and hyperintensity had been detected on formal review. Now, compression of the PIN at the arcade of Fröhse in the first patient (Fig. 1C) and at the proximal and distal margin of the supinator in the second patient (Fig. 2B and 2D) could be observed and correlated. In this second patient, a large neuroma and then more distally a diminished-caliber nerve was seen in the PIN at the distal margin of the supinator (Fig. 2E).

**Discussion**

Paralysis of the PIN by a lipoma is rare but well described. A review in 2004 by Avram and Hynes revealed only 29 cases in the English literature. Several additional cases have been reported in the last 10 years. Typically, after resection of lipomas, PIN recovery is anticipated. We present 2 patients in whom the compression led to near discontinuity of the nerve; given the severity of the lesion, spontaneous recovery would not be anticipated and tendon transfers were offered early. Nerve grafting or nerve transfers were not considered due to their anticipated poor results from the chronicity and severity of the PIN injury; instead tendon transfers were offered due to their predictably favorable outcomes.

We believe that the pathophysiology in these 2 cases was due to the presence of the lipoma at a potential source of nerve compression. Compression of the PIN was produced by the forces of the lipoma from below and the supinator muscle from above. As in a sandwich, 2 pieces of bread (supinator and lipoma) compress the cheese (PIN) in between (Figs. 1D and 2G). Interestingly, in Case 2, in which the nerve had a dumbbell configuration, there was compression at both the proximal and distal edges of the supinator muscle. With time and/or growth of the mass, the blood supply to the nerve could be compromised, producing the advanced pathology (axonal and neural discontinuity).

Partial nerve section is an extreme finding in cases of nerve compression. Le Hanneur et al. reported partial...
section of the suprascapular nerve by the superior transverse scapular ligament. A second paper by Thoma et al. described an hourglass deformity with a “near autoamputation” of the PIN at the level of the supinator. It is unknown whether the operative findings in these cases are due to entrapment or another mechanism such as inflammation. Fascicular torsion leading to hourglass deformity of different nerves including the PIN has been described.
The association of these deformities and inflammatory neuropathy, such as Parsonage-Turner syndrome, has been recently established. Nerve injury after chronic compression has been studied in animal models. Macroskopically the nerve had an hourglass type shape but without nerve discontinuity. It is possible that nerve compression in these animal models was not long or severe enough to section the nerve as we found in our patients.

Our cases highlight 2 important points. First, the delay between the diagnosis of a PIN neuropathy and the lipoma resection was prolonged. We recommend that patients with PIN syndrome undergo imaging (either ultrasound or MRI). Second, these cases point out the susceptibility of the PIN while it traverses the supinator muscle, even by “soft” soft-tissue tumors (such as lipomas), creating a sandwich effect. We believe this mechanism may be applicable to other nerve lesions at other sites.

Our report has some limitations. First, we did not perform a histopathological investigation of the PIN injury. Only a small biopsy was taken from a portion of the neuroma in the second patient, and it showed standard chronic nerve injury. Second, the patients were not asked to give their consent for alternative reconstructive options because we did not expect to find such an advanced lesion involving the PIN. Tendon transfers, nerve grafts, and nerve transfers should be discussed with future patients prior to the tumor resection.

Conclusions

We describe partial PIN section by a benign lipoma. We hypothesize that the compression between the supinator muscle and the tumor compromised the blood supply of the PIN (sandwich effect), causing a Grade V Sunderland lesion. These cases highlight the importance of imaging, early diagnosis, and surgical management of PIN neuropathy, and the susceptibility of this nerve while it traverses the supinator muscle.
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