Recovery of functional connectivity of the sensorimotor network after surgery for diffuse low-grade gliomas involving the supplementary motor area
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OBJECTIVE The supplementary motor area (SMA) syndrome is a well-studied lesional model of brain plasticity involving the sensorimotor network. Patients with diffuse low-grade gliomas in the SMA may exhibit this syndrome after resective surgery. They experience a temporary loss of motor function, which completely resolves within 3 months. The authors used functional MRI (fMRI) resting state analysis of the sensorimotor network to investigate large-scale brain plasticity between the immediate postoperative period and 3 months’ follow-up.

METHODS Resting state fMRI was performed preoperatively, during the immediate postoperative period, and 3 months postoperatively in 6 patients with diffuse low-grade gliomas who underwent partial surgical excision of the SMA. Correlation analysis within the sensorimotor network was carried out on those 3 time points to study modifications of its functional connectivity.

RESULTS The results showed a large-scale reorganization of the sensorimotor network. Interhemispheric connectivity was decreased in the postoperative period, and increased again during the recovery process. Connectivity between the lesion side motor area and the contralateral SMA rose to higher values than in the preoperative period. Intrahemispheric connectivity was decreased during the immediate postoperative period and had returned to preoperative values at 3 months after surgery.

CONCLUSIONS These results confirm the findings reported in the existing literature on the plasticity of the SMA, showing large-scale modifications of the sensorimotor network, at both inter- and intrahemispheric levels. They suggest that interhemispheric connectivity might be a correlate of SMA syndrome recovery.
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Diffuse low-grade gliomas (DLGGs) are slow-growing primitive brain tumors that occur predominantly in so-called functional regions. Because of their slow growth, they induce modifications of the local activity and connectivity, representing a well-studied model of brain plasticity. Indeed, this plasticity is observed at different scales. 1) Some infiltrated regions continue to keep their functionality. 2) Functional regions migrate to the periphery of the tumor. 3) Finally, there might be a contralateral functional compensation. These plasticity phenomena are observable before, during, and after surgery, and are the topic of this study in the spe-
cific context of supplementary motor area (SMA) syndrome.5,36

Supplementary Motor Area Syndrome

Described for the first time in 1977,18,19 the SMA syndrome is characterized by a transitory akinesia of the hemibody contralateral to the damaged side. The SMA is organized somatotopically; thus, planning of a motor task would be managed by the pre-SMA, while the execution of the movement would be managed by the SMA, anteriorly for the upper limb, and further backward for the lower limb.14,16 SMA syndrome may also be associated with mutism when the lesion involves the dominant hemisphere.15 It occurs postoperatively and resolves spontaneously and completely within weeks or months.4,19,30,38 There is a graduation in the intensity of SMA syndrome directly related to the somatotopic distribution of the lesion. For example, for a lesion occurring in the anterior part of the SMA, the lower limb motor function would be preserved. Brain plasticity associated with this syndrome remains poorly understood.

Functional MRI and Brain Plasticity Studies

Brain plasticity can be evaluated using different imaging techniques,15 in terms of either activation or connectivity.

Task functional MRI (fMRI) allows visualization of areas within the cortex that are activated by various stimuli or tasks. Using appropriate tasks and blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) signal,23 this imaging reveals cortical activations (resulting from metabolic and hemodynamic changes) associated with the task.

The BOLD signal reflects local neurovascular coupling and indirectly, local brain cortical activity. Thus, different regions of the brain are considered as working together when the temporal courses of their BOLD signals are correlated. Hence, using this technique, functional connectivity studies can focus on the concept of networks integrating different regions of the brain.

These regions can be either predetermined based on the literature (hypothesis-driven studies) or selected on the basis of a data-driven independent component analysis.22 The correlations in time between the associated time series are the basis of functional studies and allow investigation of coherent functional networks, as for example the sensorimotor network, in the brain considered as a dynamic system. The study of functional connectivity through intrinsic brain activity at rest seeks to identify the active brain areas during minimal brain activity, in the absence of any external stimulation. Several resting state networks have been identified in association with well-known functional structures (motor or language network). For other such networks, the precise role is still unknown.40

The Sensorimotor Network

As mentioned, SMA syndrome involves the motor network. This network is responsible for the initiation and execution of voluntary movements and comprises, from front to back: 1) a prefrontal area involved in movement planning, including the pre-SMA; 2) a frontal premotor area involved in movement coordination, corresponding to the premotor cortex (this area includes the SMA, which thus belongs to the frontomesial cortex, anterior to the primary motor cortex); 3) the primary motor cortex (i.e., precentral gyrus), from which the final movement command issues; and 4) the primary sensory cortex (i.e., postcentral gyrus), which allows adaptation through sensory feedback.

The motor network can be studied through fMRI motor tasks. However, this might not be possible in cases of acute deficit. In such cases, resting state MRI appears as a promising approach to identify the network and its reorganization.14,39 The resting state sensorimotor network includes the SMA, the primary motor cortex, primary sensory cortex, insula, and cerebellum. This somatotopic distribution is spatially distributed identically in the resting state and the task-related motor network.27,38,34

Analyzing functional connectivity of the sensorimotor network at rest seems to be the most promising means of studying its postoperative plasticity after DLGG resection.

Postoperative Plasticity in the Context of Resection

Plasticity of functional connectivity was observed with the use of resting state techniques in neurodegenerative, neurovascular, or neuropsychiatric diseases. Little is known about the reorganization of this connectivity in the aftermath of DLGG surgery.

Several magnetoencephalography studies found changes in functional connectivity of motor and language networks after resection of brain tumors. The networks exhibited changes in their preoperative functional connectivity that correlated with the observed neurocognitive deficits.5,32

The originality of this study is to identify the influence of DLGG resection on sensorimotor network’s functional connectivity using resting state fMRI.

Objectives and SMA Syndrome Biomarker Identification

The objective of our study is to identify the reorganization functional connectivity of the sensorimotor network, using resting state fMRI, in patients with DLGG who exhibited SMA syndrome after surgery.

To investigate this plasticity, the network’s functional connectivity is assessed 1) preoperatively, 2) in the immediate postoperative period while the patient is exhibiting SMA syndrome, and 3) at 3 months of follow-up, at a time when complete recovery is achieved.

In the more general context of biomarkers, our fMRI plasticity assessment aims at identifying specific noninvasive markers of the SMA syndrome, as tools to monitor its evolution and the recovery process. Due to the whole-brain spatial distribution of the network, such markers are expected to emerge only through a large-scale description of the plastic reorganization such as proposed here.

Methods

Population

Patients referred to our institution from 2012 to 2015
were included in this study if they underwent awake resection of a histologically confirmed DLGG involving the SMA region.

Ethical committee of Montpellier gave its approval for this work. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants for whom identifying information is included in this article.

Clinical Evaluation

A complete neurological examination was carried out preoperatively, in the immediate postoperative period, and at 3 months’ follow-up, including manual muscle testing (as described in Daniels and Worthingham’s Muscle Testing11) of both upper and lower limbs. Motor testing was performed on the same day as each of the MRI examinations. Handedness was assessed using the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory.24

Surgical Procedure

Intraoperative mapping by direct cortical and subcortical electrical stimulation is the gold standard for detection and preservation of eloquent pathways during glioma surgery, because it allows for the performance of real-time anatomofunctional correlations.32,33 Awake electrical sensorimotor mapping at the cortical level was performed before tumor removal to determine the limits of resection. The posterior part of the SMA was systematically preserved to minimize the risk of deficits.38 In addition, direct subcortical stimulation was performed during glioma removal to facilitate preservation of eloquent sensorimotor pathways.

MRI Data Acquisition

MRI examinations were performed at 3 different time points: 1) preoperatively, 2) 24 hours after surgery, and 3) 3 months after surgery. Images were acquired with a 1.5-T Avanto magnet or a 3-T Skyra magnet (Siemens); the same magnet was used for all 3 examinations for any given patient. All MRI examinations included resting state fMRI data within the group, to set all tumors in the right hemisphere, consequently labeled as the lesional hemisphere. The contralateral hemisphere is thus labeled as the healthy hemisphere.

Resting State fMRI Data Preprocessing

We used statistical parametric mapping (SPM8, Wellcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience; http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm8/) as implemented in MATLAB (The MathWorks Inc.) for resting state image preprocessing.

It included corrections of magnetic field distortion, scan acquisition time difference, and head motion. Then a coregistration to the individual anatomical image was performed.

Resting state fMRI images were spatially normalized to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space using a standard SPM8 template and then spatially smoothed using a Gaussian filter with a full width at half maximum of 6 mm to accommodate for interindividual anatomical variability.

Results are all expressed in the MNI space.

Functional Connectivity Analysis

Functional connectivity analysis was then performed in a 3-step process including 1) a spatial independent component analysis using the NetBrainWork software26,27 (https://sites.google.com/site/netbrainwork/, Laboratoire d’Imagerie Fonctionnelle), 2) a node selection for the sensorimotor network, and 3) a correlation analysis using the NetBrainWork software.

For each participant, the first 5 volumes were discarded to allow for equilibration of the magnetic field and the participants’ adaptation to the scanning noise.35,37

Visual Network as a Control Network

To assess the specific plasticity of the motor network, we needed either a control group or an internal reference taken from another independent, clinically unimpaired functional network.

We selected the second option and assessed the plasticity of the visual network in each participant as a control, to differentiate incidental from specific effects of the surgical procedure on the motor network.

Spatial Independent Component Analysis

A spatial independent component analysis was performed for each participant independently, to visually identify among 30 components the one with a spatial distribution similar to that of the motor27 (Table 1). This component was determined based on a thresholding for a
The same methodology was used to select the components of the visual network.

Correlation Analysis

Within the sensorimotor network and the visual network, a functional connectivity analysis was performed using the NetBrainWork software.

We applied the CORrection of Structured noise using spatial Independent Component Analysis (CORSICA) to remove the irrelevant fluctuations (head movements, physiological activity, and technical artifacts).26

Functional connectivity indices were based on correlations between 2 nodes using a Bayesian numerical sampling scheme.2,7,21 Finally, correlations within each node of the network were reported for each time point.

Statistical Analysis

To compare correlation coefficients between each time point, correlation coefficients were Fisher z–transformed.

Significant differences in correlations between pairs of nodes were assessed using a Kruskal-Wallis test for nonparametric continuous variables, on the Fisher z–transformed values from the entire group. All p values are 2-sided, and a threshold of 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using R software, version 3.0.2.

Results

Population

Six patients (1 male and 5 females), with a mean age of 38 years (SD 4.5 years), were included in this study (Table 2). Five patients were right-handed and 1 was left-handed according to the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory.24

ACC = anterior cingular cortex; SII = secondary somatosensory cortex (parietal operculum).

* The selected regions are based on Perlbarg & Marrelec, 2008. Coordinates are reported in Talairach and MNI spaces.

---

**TABLE 1. Nodes constituting the sensorimotor network**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>Talairach Space</th>
<th>MNI Space</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x  y  z</td>
<td>x  y  z</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal lobe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rt SMA</td>
<td>0  −4  52</td>
<td>2  3  53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt SMA</td>
<td>0  −4  52</td>
<td>2  3  53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rt primary motor cortex</td>
<td>45  −14  56</td>
<td>40  −20  54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt primary motor cortex</td>
<td>−45  −12  56</td>
<td>−43  −18  52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rt rolandic operculum</td>
<td>46  −19  15</td>
<td>41  −21  17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt rolandic operculum</td>
<td>−46  −15  15</td>
<td>−44  −17  15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cingulum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rt ACC</td>
<td>0  14  39</td>
<td>−1  8  40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt ACC</td>
<td>0  14  39</td>
<td>−1  8  40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal lobe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rt postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>53  −11  38</td>
<td>48  −16  38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>−51  −15  38</td>
<td>−49  −19  36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rt SII</td>
<td>55  −30  22</td>
<td>50  −32  22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt SII</td>
<td>−55  −30  22</td>
<td>−52  −31  20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insula</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rt posterior insula</td>
<td>43  −2  6</td>
<td>39  −4  10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt posterior insula</td>
<td>−41  4  6</td>
<td>−39  2  9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rt vermis VIII</td>
<td>0  −73  −26</td>
<td>−1  −68  −26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt vermis VIII</td>
<td>0  −73  −26</td>
<td>−1  −68  −26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TABLE 2. Key patient characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Patient 1</th>
<th>Patient 2</th>
<th>Patient 3</th>
<th>Patient 4</th>
<th>Patient 5</th>
<th>Patient 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handedness</td>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>Rt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemispheric side</td>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>Rt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor location</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>Fronto-insular &amp; callosal</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>Fronto-parieto-circular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st symptom</td>
<td>Seizures</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Headaches</td>
<td>Seizures</td>
<td>Seizures</td>
<td>Seizures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive deficit</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aphasia</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor facial deficit</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor upper-limb deficit</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor lower-limb deficit</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up (3 mos–recovery)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop vol (cm³)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop vol (cm³)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resection percentage (%)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Clinical characteristics of the 6 patients included in this study. All underwent awake resection of the DLGG, involving the SMA.
Of the 6 tumors, 4 were located within the right hemisphere and 2 were located within the left hemisphere. They involved frontal regions and the SMA region (Fig. 1). The mean tumor volume was 72.7 cm$^3$ (SD 65.0 cm$^3$) preoperatively and 28.7 cm$^3$ (SD 28.8 cm$^3$) postoperatively. All tumors were histologically confirmed as WHO Grade II oligoastrocytomas.

Clinical Evaluation
Postoperatively, all patients experienced severe motor deficits of the upper limb, and 2 patients with left-hemisphere DLGGs also experienced mutism. No motor deficit of the lower limb was observed, as the posterior part of the SMA was systematically preserved. All patients had completely recovered by the end of the 3rd month after surgery, consistent with incomplete SMA syndrome.

Considering the time course of the clinical symptoms of SMA syndrome, the first MRI examination was performed before surgery, in the absence of symptoms; the second MRI examination was performed for all patients during the period of incomplete SMA syndrome; and the third MRI examination was performed after complete neurological recovery.

Functional Connectivity
Classes of interest representative of the sensorimotor network were obtained from the independent component analysis done on the whole group (Table 3).

Functional connectivity analysis of the sensorimotor network was based on 6 nodes preoperatively (left SMA, right postcentral gyrus, right precentral gyrus, left postcentral gyrus, left precentral gyrus, and right SMA), reduced to 5 nodes postoperatively due to right SMA resection.

Correlation coefficients, indicating strength of connection between the different nodes, were calculated for each time point (preoperative, postoperative, and 3 months after surgery), between the different nodes (left SMA, right postcentral gyrus, right precentral gyrus, left postcentral gyrus, left precentral gyrus, and right SMA for the preoperative condition) (Figs. 2 and 3, Table 4).

With regard to interhemispheric connectivity, the Kruskal-Wallis test, performed on z-transformed correlation coefficients, found statistically significant differences (p = 0.020) between the 3 time points (preoperative, $z = 0.043$; postoperative, $z = -0.094$; and follow-up, $z = 0.032$), immediate postoperative correlations being lower than preoperative and follow-up values. As mentioned above, we used the visual network as a control to assess the significance of this postoperative decrease in interhemispheric connectivity. We found a paradoxical increase in interhemispheric connectivity postoperatively in the visual network (p = 0.02; preoperative, $z = 0.31$; postoperative, $z = 0.55$; and follow-up, $z = 0.29$), in the absence of any visual symptoms. This result, which may merit further investigation, strongly suggests in any case that the decrease observed in the motor network is not an incidental consequence of the surgical procedure.

Exploring intrahemispheric connectivity, using the same procedure, the Kruskal-Wallis test, performed on z-transformed correlation coefficients, failed to find any statistically significant difference (p = 0.19) between the 3 time points (preoperative, $z = 0.025$; postoperative, $z = -0.12$; and follow-up, $z = 0.030$).

Discussion
The principal aim of this study was to identify, using resting state fMRI, large-scale reorganizations in the sensorimotor network specifically associated with SMA syndrome. We observed that reorganization occurs at 2 different scales. 1) The postoperative period is characterized by a significant decrease in global interhemispheric correlations, followed at 3 months after surgery by a return

![FIG. 1. Anatomical and diffusion-weighted imaging. Each row includes images obtained in an individual patient. The left column of images displays preoperative FLAIR acquisitions; the center column, diffusion-weighted images acquired in the postoperative period to rule out any ischemic lesion; the right column, FLAIR images obtained in the follow-up period.](image-url)
to the preoperative values. Indeed the correlation between the healthy-side SMA and the lesion-side sensorimotor network is increased compared with the preoperative values. 2) With respect to intrahemispheric correlations, we observed postoperative decreases in correlations on the lesion side, which is a logical consequence of the resection of the SMA. Three months after surgery, the intrahemispheric correlations returned to preoperative values.

**Plasticity of the Functional Connectivity**

Previous studies using task fMRI as well as resting state data have suggested plasticity phenomena both around the tumor and in terms of large-scale reorganization.

Using a task fMRI protocol, we found increased activations within the healthy-side SMA after DLGG resection. In patients with clinical motor impairment after brain tumor resection, decreased postoperative activations in the primary motor areas ipsilateral to the tumor have been observed simultaneously with increased activations of the ipsilateral secondary motor areas (SMA and superior parietal lobule).

Task fMRI cannot be performed in severely impaired patients, requiring the use of an alternative approach such as resting state fMRI with observation of the sensorimotor network through correlation analysis. Although it is well known that the results of resting state analysis can be modulated by attention or emotional state, the robustness of such analyses is nevertheless remarkable, particularly when comparing several MRI examinations in a longitudinal study.

Various studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of this approach in investigating brain plasticity. With respect to skill learning, studies have shown that functional connectivity of the sensorimotor network increases with coordination learning. With regard to neurovascular diseases, large-scale plasticity phenomena have been described in

---

**TABLE 3. Coordinates of sensorimotor network nodes as derived from the independent component analysis of resting state fMRI data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Node</th>
<th>Preop</th>
<th>Postop</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Talairach Space</td>
<td>MNI Space</td>
<td>Talairach Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right SMA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left SMA</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right precentral gyrus</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left precentral gyrus</td>
<td>-55</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA = not applicable.

* Coordinates of the sensorimotor network nodes derived from the independent component analysis of the resting state, for each time point of the study. Coordinates are reported in Talairach and MNI spaces. For the lesional hemisphere SMA, coordinates are not reported in the postoperative period because of its resection.
stroke, involving the sensorimotor network. In particular, correlations between motor rehabilitation and interhemispheric connectivity have been observed, with decreased interhemispheric connectivity followed by a return to normal values concomitant with motor recovery.11,12

Finally, in the specific pathological context of DLGG, it is also noteworthy that preoperative motor deficits have been associated with decreased interhemispheric correlations in the sensorimotor network.25

Plasticity of Interhemispheric Functional Connectivity

Using a similar resting state fMRI approach, we...
identified large-scale reorganizations of the sensorimotor network after surgery, which can be associated with SMA syndrome. In the postoperative period we identified significant decreases in interhemispheric correlations, followed by a return to the preoperative values at 3 months after surgery, when SMA syndrome had resolved. This result regarding interhemispheric connectivity is in agreement with findings of previously mentioned stroke studies. 2

In relation to previous studies, 25 it is very interesting to note also that interhemispheric connectivity is now demonstrated to be both inversely correlated to preoperative deficit and positively correlated with postoperative recovery in SMA syndrome.

Finally, with regard to interhemispheric connectivity between the primary sensorimotor cortex ipsilateral to the tumor and the contralateral SMA, our results showed an increase in this specific connectivity simultaneous with motor recovery. This suggests that the healthy-side SMA could assume a new role in the sensorimotor network, as a substitute for the resected SMA. This postoperative reorganization could help motor deficit recovery. It corroborates previous observations, emphasizing the role of preoperative contralateral reorganization of the network, sustaining rapid motor recovery. 15,17,34

### Plasticity of Intrahemispheric Functional Connectivity

Regarding intrahemispheric connectivity, we observed a postoperative decrease of functional connectivity within the operated hemisphere, which might be due to the resection itself. In the contralateral hemisphere, we noted a slight (nonsignificant) increase in connectivity, between the primary motor area and the ipsilateral SMA. After 3 months of follow-up, the intrahemispheric correlations returned to their preoperative values.

### Perspectives

The identification through fMRI of plastic changes in the brain specific to a given syndrome (e.g., SMA syndrome) must be put in the perspective of a more global strategy: identification of noninvasive markers of brain plasticity. These results support a potential role for interhemispheric functional connectivity as a marker of motor recovery in the specific context of SMA syndrome.

### Conclusions

To our knowledge, this is the first resting state fMRI study to identify perioperative changes in functional connectivity in patients with DLGG. Previous studies have demonstrated large-scale postoperative reorganization of motor activations, concomitant with neurological recovery. Our results are consistent with those previous observations and contribute to emphasize the large-scale plasticity of functional connectivity. The usual description, according to which the healthy-side SMA assumes the role of the resected one during recovery, remains correct but fails to capture the large-scale plastic reorganization of the network and particularly its interhemispheric component.

### References
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**TABLE 4. Within-system correlations between nodes of the sensorimotor network for the 3 time points**

| Node 1 | Node 2 | Time Point | r | SD | r | SD | r | SD | p
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Side</td>
<td>Topography</td>
<td></td>
<td>Side</td>
<td>Topography</td>
<td>Preop</td>
<td>Postop</td>
<td>Follow-Up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>SMA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>-0.102</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>Precentral gyrus</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>-0.075</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>Precentral gyrus</td>
<td>-0.116</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>-0.099</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>-0.072</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>-0.106</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>-0.052</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>-0.076</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>-0.095</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>-0.038</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>-0.087</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>SMA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>Precentral gyrus</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>Precentral gyrus</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>Precentral gyrus</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$r =$ correlation coefficient; $SD =$ standard deviation.

* With respect to interhemispheric connectivity, the Kruskal-Wallis test, done on z-transformed correlation coefficients, found statistically significant differences ($p = 0.01953$) between the 3 time points (preoperative, $z = 0.04343$; postoperative, $z = -0.09429$; and follow-up, $z = 0.03216$), immediate postoperative correlations being lower than preoperative and follow-up values. Exploring intrahemispheric connectivity using the same procedure, the Kruskal-Wallis test, done on z-transformed correlation coefficients, failed to find any statistically significant difference ($p = 0.1931$) between the 3 time points (preoperative, $z = 0.02452$; postoperative, $z = -0.11880$; and follow-up, $z = 0.02969$). Note that the right hemisphere is the lesional hemisphere, the left hemisphere being the healthy hemisphere.

† Kruskal-Wallis test.
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