Single-unit analysis of the human posterior hypothalamus and red nucleus during deep brain stimulation for aggressivity
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OBJECTIVE Deep brain stimulation (DBS) of the posterior hypothalamus (PH) has been reported to be effective for aggressive behavior in a number of isolated cases. Few of these case studies have analyzed single-unit recordings in the human PH and none have quantitatively analyzed single units in the red nucleus (RN). The authors report on the properties of ongoing neuronal discharges in bilateral trajectories targeting the PH and the effectiveness of DBS of the PH as a treatment for aggressive behavior.

METHODS DBS electrodes were surgically implanted in the PH of 1 awake patient with Sotos syndrome and 3 other anesthetized patients with treatment-resistant aggressivity. Intraoperative extracellular recordings were obtained from the ventral thalamus, PH, and RN and analyzed offline to discriminate single units and measure firing rates and firing patterns. Target location was based on the stereotactic coordinates used by Sano et al. in their 1970 study and the location of the dorsal border of the RN.

RESULTS A total of 138 units were analyzed from the 4 patients. Most of the PH units had a slow, irregular discharge (mean [± SD] 4.5 ± 2.7 Hz, n = 68) but some units also had a higher discharge rate (16.7 ± 4.7 Hz, n = 15). Two populations of neurons were observed in the ventral thalamic region as well, one with a high firing rate (mean 16.5 ± 6.5 Hz, n = 5) and one with a low firing rate (mean 4.6 ± 2.8 Hz, n = 6). RN units had a regular firing rate with a mean of 20.4 ± 9.9 Hz and displayed periods of oscillatory activity in the beta range. PH units displayed a prolonged period of inhibition following microstimulation compared with RN units that were not inhibited. Patients under anesthesia showed a trend for lower firing rates in the PH but not in the RN. All 4 patients displayed a reduction in their aggressive behavior after surgery.

CONCLUSIONS During PH DBS, microelectrode recordings can provide an additional mechanism to help identify the PH target and surrounding structures to be avoided such as the RN. PH units can be distinguished from ventral thalamic units based on their response to focal microstimulation. The RN has a characteristic higher firing rate and a pattern of beta oscillations in the spike trains. The effect of the anesthetic administered should be considered when using microelectrode recordings. The results of this study, along with previous reports, suggest that PH DBS may be an effective treatment for aggression.
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SANO et al. were the first to report on the effectiveness of stereotactic radiofrequency lesions as a treatment for pathologically aggressive behavior.21 Significant reductions in aggressive behavior were observed in 95% of the cases in their series, with a fraction of their patients showing a complete absence of aggressive or violent behavior after surgery. Good clinical outcome was also obtained by Frazini et al., who performed deep brain stimulation (DBS) of the posterior hypothalamus (PH) for treatment-resistant aggressive behavior.7 Fur-
ther reports have been made supporting the efficacy of the PH DBS procedure for treatment-resistant aggressivity, and based on these results, we offered to treat the aggressive and disruptive behavior associated with Sotos syndrome and other nongenetic causes using PH DBS. Alternate surgical options such as anterior capsulotomy and anterior cingulotomy have also been reported to improve aggression in patients, but the irreversible nature of placing thermolesions at these targets led us to opt for neuromodulation with DBS therapy.

Sotos syndrome is a rare genetic disorder caused by a mutation in the Nuclear SET Domain 1 (NSD1) gene that is found on the long arm of chromosome 5. It affects children at a young age and is characterized by macrocrania in the absence of megalencephaly, often with dolichocephaly. There are characteristic MRI changes such as extra CSF and midline changes (Fig. 1A and B). Characteristic facial features include a prominent forehead giving the impression of a receding hairline, deep-set eyes, and a triangular-shaped face, often with a pointed chin (Fig. 1C). Other features are increased birth weight and length, rapid growth in the early years, and developmental delay with advanced bone age. These physical features are accompanied by behavioral disturbances including anxiety, depression, sleep disturbance, tantrums, and aggression.

Among the studies that have reported on PH DBS, very few have analyzed single-unit recordings in the human PH and none have quantitatively analyzed recordings from the human red nucleus (RN). In addition to imaging techniques, microelectrode recordings can provide detailed information to help identify the PH target and surrounding structures to be avoided such as the RN during PH DBS surgery. This information can help ensure precise targeting of the PH and the avoidance of adverse effects.

In this case series, we describe and compare the properties of single-unit recordings in the human PH, ventral thalamus, and RN in 4 patients. We also report on the effectiveness of PH DBS as a treatment for aggressive behavior in 3 young adults and for the aggression associated with Sotos syndrome.

**Methods**

**Stereotactic Surgery and Microelectrode Recordings**

All 4 patients underwent bilateral PH DBS surgery and all surgical and recording procedures were reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of the Hospital Universitario San Vicente de Paul Medellin/Rionegro. All patients exhibited chronic refractory auto- and hetero-aggressive behavior, and further details of each case are presented in Table 1. Patients and/or their legal guardians provided free and informed consent to participate in the study. The tentative target of the trajectories was 1 mm behind the midcommissural point and 5 mm below the anterior commissural-posterior commissural line and 2 mm lateral to the lateral wall of the third ventricle (Fig. 1B). Microelectrode trajectories started 15 mm above the target at a 50°–60° anterior angle so that the recordings began in the ventral thalamus. Before microelectrode recordings were performed, the amount of anesthetic delivered was decreased to lighten the plane of anesthesia. The types and dosages of anesthetics administered are shown in Table 2. We recorded single units with 2 tungsten microelectrodes (about 25-μm tip length, approximately 0.2–0.4 MΩ impedance at 1 kHz) separated by 2 mm in the mediolateral direction (center and lateral) using the Frederick Haer Guideline 4000 LP+ system. There were no distinct landmarks identified at the target site, and we halted recording when RN neurons were identified (see Results). Microstimulation was performed through 1 or both of the microelectrodes at 2-mm intervals (100 μA, 150-μsec pulse width, 1-sec train at 200 Hz) to observe any sensory, motor, or autonomic side effects (tingling, pulling, or flushing, respectively). In some cases cells were tested for their response to focal microstimulation through the recording electrode itself (3–10 μA, 150-μsec pulse width, 0.5-sec train at 200 Hz). The patients’ limbs...
were occasionally passively manipulated through brisk movements to identify any potential kinesthetic cells in the ventral thalamus. Examples of single-unit recordings for the ventral thalamus, PH, and RN region are shown in Fig. 2.

Neuronal firing and local field potentials were obtained simultaneously from the microelectrode and the macroelectrode contact 10 mm away from the electrode tip. Recordings were amplified 5000–10,000 times and filtered at the source from 5 to 5000 Hz. During the recordings, signals were monitored on loudspeakers and displayed on a computer screen. Recorded signals were digitized at 48 kHz and directly stored on a computer hard drive on the LP+ system. Files were exported to Plexon format, and then imported into Spike2 (version 8, Cambridge Electronic Design).

Single-Unit Analysis

Raw extracellular recordings were digitally filtered offline from 200 to 3000 Hz and single units were then discriminated using a template-matching algorithm. Firing rate, pattern, and burst index were determined using an in-house burst detection algorithm—as described by Kaneoke and Vitek\(^1\)\(^2\)—in the MatLab software suite (version 7, Mathworks). Single units with firing rates below 1 Hz were not included in the analysis. The data were not normally distributed and were compared using non-parametric statistical tests. When 3 or more means were compared the Kruskal-Wallis test was used. All statistical tests were performed using the SAS software suite (version 9.4, SAS Institute). Statistical significance was accepted at p < 0.05 and a trend was identified for p values between 0.05 and 0.1.

**Results**

**Group Recordings**

A total of 138 units from the 4 patients were analyzed, of which 11 were from the ventral thalamic region, 84 from the PH, and 43 from the RN. Among the 4 patients, most of the PH units had a slow, irregular discharge (mean [± SD] 4.5 ± 2.7 Hz, n = 68) but some units also had a higher discharge rate (16.7 ± 4.7 Hz, n = 15). Two populations of neurons were observed in the ventral thalamic region as well, one with a high firing rate (16.5 ± 6.5 Hz, n = 5) and one with a low firing rate (4.6 ± 2.8 Hz, n = 6). No ventral thalamic units were detected in Patients 2, 3, and 4. In all patients, RN units had a regular firing rate (mean 20.4 ± 9.9 Hz) with periods of oscillatory activity in the beta range (13–20 Hz) in the spike trains. No oscillatory spiking activity was evident in the PH or ventral thalamus. In several instances we noted larger and slower spikes firing with a regular pattern that were recorded before the faster and irregular RN units that often had beta activity. In general terms, we found the base of the ventral thalamus to be clearly found in the 1 awake case only and marked by the presence of units with low firing rates. Movement into the relatively silent PH was also inferred by the microelectrode tip position in the track relative to the tentative ana-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Age (yrs), Sex</th>
<th>Months on Stimulation</th>
<th>Associated Diagnosis + Refractory and Severe Aggression</th>
<th>Age at Onset of Aggressivity (yrs)</th>
<th>No. of Aggressive Episodes per Day Before Surgery</th>
<th>Medications Taken Over 2 Yrs and Maximal Doses (Aggressiveness)</th>
<th>Institutionalization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17, F</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sotos syndrome, moderate cognitive compromise, hypothyroidism</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>19, M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Epilepsy, temporal mesial sclerosis (post-temporal lobectomy 3 years ago), moderate cognitive compromise</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Innumerable (every day)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10, M</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Tuberous sclerosis, epilepsy, West syndrome, severe cognitive compromise</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Part-time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15, M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tuberous sclerosis, epilepsy, moderate cognitive compromise</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Innumerable (every day)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24 hrs/day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(*\) All patients had both hetero- and auto-aggression.

**TABLE 2. Anesthetic use during procedure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Type of Anesthetic Used During Surgery</th>
<th>Sevoflurane (MAC)</th>
<th>Remifentanil (μg/kg/min)</th>
<th>Dexmedetomidine (μg/kg/hr)</th>
<th>Bispectral Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Awake</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>60–80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAC = minimum alveolar concentration.
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atomical target. The entrance into the RN was quite clear and was assumed to be the end of the trajectory to avoid vessels in this area. In addition, in most cases a postoperative CT scan was obtained immediately after implantation to confirm the DBS electrodes were correctly positioned and the tip had not entered the third ventricle.

Following focal microstimulation, PH units displayed a period of prolonged inhibition of about 10 seconds before returning back to the baseline firing rate (Fig. 2C upper). No inhibition or characteristic response was observed in RN units following microstimulation (Fig. 2C lower). A trend was identified between the dosage of anesthetic and the mean firing rate of the PH (Fig. 3). Patient 1, who was awake during surgery, showed a trend for a higher PH mean firing rate (9.1 ± 6.5 Hz) than Patient 2 (6.4 ± 3.4 Hz), Patient 3 (4.0 ± 2.4 Hz), and Patient 4 (8.3 ± 6.1 Hz), who were lightly (Patient 2) or more heavily (Patients 3 and 4) anesthetized. The plane of anesthesia for Patient 4, however, was lightened considerably before microelectrode recordings were performed. No significant difference was observed between the dosage of anesthetic and the mean firing rate of the RN (p > 0.1).

Case 1

The patient in Case 1 was female, 17 years of age at the time of the operation, and had Sotos syndrome, hypothyroidism, and moderate cognitive compromise with aggressivity for 15 years, averaging 3 episodes a day (Table 1). The mean firing rate of PH units (9.1 ± 5.2 Hz, n = 19) was not significantly different from the mean firing rate of ventral thalamic units (10.0 ± 4.5 Hz, n = 11; p > 0.1). RN units (25.9 ± 9.7 Hz, n = 4) showed a trend for a higher firing rate than PH units (p = 0.06) and ventral thalamic units (p = 0.07). RN units also had a significantly lower median burst index (1.1 ± 0.09, n = 4) than ventral thalamic (2.8 ± 3.1, p < 0.05) and PH units (1.7 ± 0.04, n = 19, p = 0.05). No significant difference was found between the median burst index of the PH and ventral thalamic units (p > 0.1).

Case 2

Case 2 involved a 19-year-old man with epilepsy, temporal mesial sclerosis with a lobectomy 3 years prior, and moderate cognitive compromise. This patient had an
8-year history of aggressive episodes many times a day. The mean firing rate of PH units (6.4 ± 3.4 Hz, n = 31) was lower than the firing rate of RN units (18.3 ± 6.8 Hz, n = 12; p < 0.001). PH units (7.5 ± 19) also had a significantly higher median burst index compared with RN units (1.4 ± 0.3; p < 0.05).

Case 3
A 10-year-old boy with a 5-year history of aggressive behavior, tuberous sclerosis, West syndrome (infantile epileptic spasms), and severe cognitive compromise had about 10 episodes per day. RN units had a higher mean firing rate (20.8 ± 8.5 Hz, n = 4) than PH units (4.0 ± 2.4 Hz, n = 19; p < 0.05). No significant difference was found between the median burst index of PH units (8.5 ± 19) and RN units (1.3 ± 0.2; p > 0.1).

Case 4
A 15-year-old boy with 10 years of many aggressive episodes per day suffered from tuberous sclerosis epilepsy and moderate cognitive compromise. The mean firing rate of the PH units (8.3 ± 6.1 Hz, n = 15) was significantly lower than the mean firing rate of RN units (23.0 ± 7.0 Hz, n = 8; p < 0.001). PH units (8.4 ± 10.6) also had a significantly higher median burst index than RN units (1.2 ± 0.2; p < 0.05).

Clinical Outcome
The acute intraoperative autonomic effects and immediate postoperative anecdotal findings are reported in Table 3. In quantitative postoperative follow-up, aggressivity improved in these patients as assessed by the Modified Overt Aggression Scale (MOAS), and the Quality Of Life Scale (QOLS) scores improved, with follow-up periods at 3 and 27 months for each scale. MOAS improvement ranged from 78% to 97% and QOLS before surgery was 7–14 and the postoperative scores were 10–22. The QOLS improved from a range of 20–40 to 60–90 at follow-up.18

Discussion
With the exception of a few isolated case studies,1,3,4,20 very few reports have described single units in the human PH. As an increasing number of studies report on the effectiveness of PH DBS for treatment-resistant aggression5,7,9,13,24 and trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias such as cluster headache,1,6,22 microelectrode recordings can help in the identification of the PH target and surrounding structures to be avoided, such as the RN. To our knowledge, no reports have quantitatively analyzed single units of the human RN.

Single units of the ventral thalamus can be distinguished from units of the PH based on their response to low-amplitude, high-frequency stimulation. Following stimulation, thalamic neurons display a brief period of burst activity followed by a period of prolonged silence before returning to their baseline firing pattern.2 In contrast, PH units display a period of prolonged inhibition before returning to the baseline firing rate. A similar but shorter inhibitory response is noted in single units of the internal segment of the globus pallidus internus (GPi) and substantia nigra (SNr).2,15 This may be the result of stimulation-induced release of γ-aminobutric acid (GABA) from the axon terminals of GABAergic afferents originating in the putamen and striatum for the GPi, or the striatum and the external segment of the globus pallidus for the SNr. The PH receives GABAergic input from the basal forebrain.
and the preoptic-anterior hypothalamic neurons, and the stimulation-induced GABA release from the terminals of these axons may provide a similar explanation for the inhibitory response observed following stimulation. Outside of their response to microstimulation, recordings of the ventral thalamus are not distinguished by characteristic differences from recordings of the PH. Microstimulation, therefore, provides an effective way of delineating single units from these 2 brain regions.

RN units can be distinguished from PH units by regular and faster discharge rates around 20 Hz and oscillatory activity in the beta range (13–20 Hz). Beta oscillations were not observed in ventral thalamic or PH units and may be related to the RN motor function. Under light or no sedation, RN units fired in a less bursty fashion than PH units. These results are consistent with the qualitative description of RN units made by Lefranc et al., who explored DBS of the RN for cerebellar tremor. They observed almost no bursting pattern and high background activity in RN units. However, Lefranc et al. made only qualitative and no quantitative observations to support their findings. The slower and more regular cells recorded dorsal to the faster neurons may be magnocellularis cells of the RN or possibly adjacent nucleus basalis neurons.

Following microstimulation, RN units do not display an inhibitory response. A possible explanation for this is that the RN, which receives input from the trigeminal nucleus and the interpositus nucleus of the cerebellum, and also relays input from the cortex to the cerebellum via the rubro-olivary tract (climbing fiber input), does not receive input from GABAergic afferents. This response to microstimulation can therefore provide an additional mechanism in identifying RN from PH units.

Without the influence of anesthetic, the mean PH firing rate in Patient 1 was 9.1 Hz, compared with the 10–24 Hz range previously obtained for the human PH. Variations in the firing rate may be due to the difficulty in discriminating the PH from ventral thalamic units using only microelectrode recordings and not response to microstimulation. Variations may also be explained by differences in single-unit isolation during offline analysis.

We also identified a trend between the PH firing rate and the dosage of the anesthetic administered. The firing rate of the RN, however, was not affected by the dosage of the anesthetic. This difference may be explained by the fact that the plane of anesthesia was decreased before microelectrode recordings began. Because the RN was located at the end of the trajectory, the dosage of anesthetic administered when RN units were encountered could have decreased to an amount that had little or no effect on their firing rate. PH units were encountered earlier on and therefore at a higher dosage of anesthetic that may have been capable of influencing the PH firing rate.

High-frequency stimulation of the PH was effective in attenuating the aggressive behavior associated with Sotos syndrome and other nongenetic causes. All 4 patients benefitted from PH DBS with a reduction in aggressive episodes after surgery, allowing them to return home from institutionalized care or interact more normally in public spaces. Longer-term follow-up on a larger series will be reported elsewhere. Good clinical outcome was obtained by Sano et al. in their 1970 study in which stereotactic lesioning of the posteromedial hypothalamus was effective in patients with pathologically aggressive behavior. The exact mechanism of the therapeutic action of PH DBS, however, is still unknown. One of the most common interpretations is the functional inhibition of target areas with high-frequency stimulation. The hypothalamus acts as a relay for the motor output of the limbic system and interrupting its function with DBS could block the expression of unwanted limbic output.

Along with the findings of previous studies, our results suggest PH DBS can be a treatment option for patients with treatment-resistant aggressivity. The reversibility of the DBS procedure makes it an attractive alternative to the hypothalamotomies performed by Sano et al. in their 1970 experiment. Further studies should be performed to confirm the efficacy of this procedure and the results obtained in this limited case series.

Conclusions

During PH DBS, microelectrode recordings can provide an additional mechanism to help identify the PH target and surrounding structures to be avoided such as the RN. PH units can be distinguished from ventral thalamic units based on their response to focal microstimulation. Compared with the PH, RN units have a regular and higher discharge rate, oscillations in the beta range, and no inhibitory response following microstimulation. The effect of the anesthetic administered should be considered when using microelectrode recordings. The results of this study, along with previous reports, suggest that PH DBS may be an effective treatment for aggression.
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