Meta-analysis and systematic review of risk factors for shunt dependency after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage
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OBJECTIVE Aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage (aSAH) may be complicated by hydrocephalus in 6.5%–67% of cases. Some patients with aSAH develop shunt dependency, which is often managed by ventriculoperitoneal shunt placement. The objectives of this study were to review published risk factors for shunt dependency in patients with aSAH, determine the level of evidence for each factor, and calculate the magnitude of each risk factor to better guide patient management.

METHODS The authors searched PubMed and MEDLINE databases for Level A and Level B articles published through December 31, 2014, that describe factors affecting shunt dependency after aSAH and performed a systematic review and meta-analysis, stratifying the existing data according to level of evidence.

RESULTS On the basis of the results of the meta-analysis, risk factors for shunt dependency included high Fisher grade (OR 7.74, 95% CI 4.47–13.41), acute hydrocephalus (OR 5.67, 95% CI 3.96–8.12), in-hospital complications (OR 4.91, 95% CI 2.79–8.64), presence of intraventricular blood (OR 3.93, 95% CI 2.80–5.52), high Hunt and Hess Scale score (OR 3.25, 95% CI 2.51–4.21), rehemorrhage (OR 2.21, 95% CI 1.24–3.95), posterior circulation location of the aneurysm (OR 1.85, 95% CI 1.35–2.53), and age ≥ 60 years (OR 1.81, 95% CI 1.50–2.19). The only risk factor included in the meta-analysis that did not reach statistical significance was female sex (OR 1.13, 95% CI 0.77–1.65).

CONCLUSIONS The authors identified several risk factors for shunt dependency in aSAH patients that help predict which patients are likely to require a permanent shunt. Although some of these risk factors are not independent of each other, this information assists clinicians in identifying at-risk patients and managing their treatment.
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HYDROCEPHALUS generally complicates 20%–35% of aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhages (aSAHs).27 However, reported frequencies range from 6.5% to 67%.14,16,18,19,22 Hydrocephalus increases morbidity14,16,18,19,22 and confers longer hospital and intensive care unit stays.18 External ventricular drain (EVD) placement is usually required for acute management of hydrocephalus, but because of infection risk, EVDs are temporary and must eventually be removed; however, 8%–63% of these patients require permanent CSF diversion, usually with ventriculoperitoneal shunting.17

Since the association between aSAH and hydrocephalus was described in 1928, the occurrence of hydrocephalus after aSAH has been well studied, but reports of risk factors for its development are inconsistent.13 Therefore, factors that predict shunt dependency are not clear, and

ABBREVIATIONS aSAH = aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage; BCI = bicaudate index; EVD = external ventricular drain.
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Methods

Search Strategy and Selection Criteria

We reviewed PubMed and MEDLINE for articles pertaining to aSAH, hydrocephalus, and shunt dependency, published by December 31, 2014. We searched titles and abstracts for the terms “aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage” and “shunt dependency” combined with the following: ventriculoperitoneal shunt, bicaudate index, shunt-dependency risk factors, neurological grade, Glasgow Coma Scale score, Hunt and Hess Scale score, Fisher grade, World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies grade, sex, and age. We used no other search or language restrictions. Identified studies were screened independently by title and abstract by 2 reviewers (C.D.W. and S.S.A.). If articles could not initially be included or excluded, their full text was evaluated. The reference lists of relevant articles were manually searched to ensure that no published data were missed. The lists of included studies generated by each author were compared, and any discrepancies were resolved by consensus.

Study Selection and Data Extraction

The quality of the body of evidence evaluating each risk factor was assessed using the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation) system; evidence was stratified as Level A, B, or C, according to American Heart Association Stroke Counsel methods.16 No studies were excluded due to bias, but the possible sources of bias are explained below. Level A or B studies that discussed the effect of at least 1 risk factor on shunt dependency were included. Data extraction from each study was performed independently by C.D.W. and was then evaluated for accuracy by S.S.A. Results for each risk factor are described by level of evidence in the following text, tables, and figures, with the most recent publications listed first. Any patient-related risk factor that was evaluated by at least 2 studies that provided extractable data for OR calculation were evaluated by meta-analysis.

Statistical Analysis

For each risk factor, we combined data into an overriding OR and 95% CI using meta-analysis. All articles with extractable data for OR calculation were used. Data were extracted from tables and from the associated text describing the incidence of each risk factor and shunt dependency. The homogeneity of ORs was tested using Cochran’s Q statistics.1 If homogeneity was rejected at the 0.1 level, then ORs were treated as random effects, and the overall OR was computed using the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel method; otherwise, ORs were treated as fixed effects, and overall ORs were computed using the DerSimonian-Laird method.10 We assessed for publication bias by calculating the standard errors of the ORs from each study and constructing funnel plots for each risk factor. If data were not presented in a way that allowed OR calculation, the article was included for evidence stratification.

Role of the Funding Source

There was no funding source for this study. All authors had full access to all data used in the study, and C.D.W., S.S.A., and R.F.S. were responsible for the decision to submit the study for publication.

Results

We identified 75 unduplicated articles electronically and by manual search. Of these, we eliminated 32 with Level C evidence, 10 that reported on brain pathology other than aSAH, and 11 that were deemed irrelevant. Twenty-two articles were ultimately included, and 21 of these provided data extractable for meta-analysis (21 Level B; Fig. 1). Evidence for and against each risk factor is stratified in Table 1.

Fisher Grade

High Fisher grade (3 or 4) was evaluated in 10 articles. All provided Level B evidence.7–9,11,13,15,19,22,27,28 High Fisher grade was the strongest risk factor for shunt dependency in our meta-analysis. Fisher grades 3 or 4 conferred a nearly 8-fold increase in shunt dependency compared with Fisher grades 1 or 2 (Fig. 2; OR 7.74, 95% CI 4.47–13.41). Considered a whole, the body of evidence that supports this conclusion is high grade according to the GRADE system.1 All but 127 of the 10 studies showed significant increases in shunt dependency in patients with high Fisher grades.8,9,11,13,15,19,22,28 The 1 study that did not show significant increases involved a small cohort, only 120 patients, which may explain its nonsignificance; however, other studies involving fewer patients demonstrated a significant association between this risk factor and shunt dependency. Included data regarding high Fisher grade may be subject to publication bias (Supplemental Fig. 1).

Acute Hydrocephalus

Acute hydrocephalus was evaluated in 11 studies. All evidence in these articles was considered Level B.7–9,11,12,15,18–20,23,27,29 In our meta-analysis, acute hydrocephalus conferred a nearly 6-fold increase in odds of shunt dependency (Fig. 3; OR 5.67, 95% CI 3.96–8.12). The body of evidence for this risk factor was considered high grade.1 All studies demonstrated significant increases in shunt dependency in patients with this risk.7,11,12,15,16,19–21 Funnel plot analysis for acute hydrocephalus data indicated no concern for publication bias (Supplemental Fig. 2).

In-Hospital Complications

In-hospital complications associated with shunt dependency were evaluated in 11 Level B studies.2,3,7,11,12,15,16,19–21,24 The in-hospital complications included were nosocomial meningitis, pneumonia, vasospasm, and ischemic stroke.7,11,12,15,16,19–21 All were significant risk factors in at
According to our meta-analysis, aSAH patients with any of these complications during hospitalization carried a nearly 5-fold higher shunt-dependency risk than patients without these complications (Fig. 4; OR 4.91, 95% CI 2.79–8.64). The body of evidence included for this analysis was considered high grade. Despite our collective examination of different complications, variation among studies was small, and all studies revealed a significant increase in shunt dependency in patients with these complications. When the factors were analyzed independently, nosocomial meningitis conferred the highest risk, followed by ischemic stroke, clinical vasospasm, and, finally, pneumonia, according to Level B evidence. Mixed evidence supported vasospasm and ischemic stroke. There was no concern for publication bias within the in-hospital complication data (Supplemental Fig. 3).

Intraventricular Blood

The association of intraventricular blood with shunt dependency was evaluated in 13 Level B studies. Our meta-analysis indicated that the presence of intraventricular blood in aSAH patients conferred a nearly 4-fold increased shunt-dependency risk compared with that in patients without intraventricular blood (Fig. 5; OR 3.93, 95% CI 2.80–5.52). The body of evidence regarding intraventricular blood was considered high grade. Yamada et al. found the highest odds of shunt dependency in patients with intraventricular blood (OR 13.60, 95% CI 5.13–36.06). However, this study was characterized by extremely long hospital stays, which may have exposed patients to in-hospital complications, which are risk factors themselves. Two studies demonstrated wide CIs secondary to small sample sizes. However, all studies included in our meta-analysis demonstrated statistical significance. Fourth ventricle blood conferred the highest shunt-dependency risk, followed by lateral ventricle hemorrhage, and then third ventricle hemorrhage. Shunt dependency was also directly proportional to the thickness of blood, similar to high Fisher grade. Intraventricular blood data may be subject to publication bias (Supplemental Fig. 4).
The association of high Hunt and Hess Scale scores (3–5) with shunt dependency was evaluated in 13 Level B studies.2,3,7,9,11–13,15,18,19,22,28,29 Eleven studies 2,7,9,11–13,15,19,22,28,29 were included in our meta-analysis, which demonstrated more than 3-fold increased shunt-dependency risk with high Hunt and Hess Scale scores compared with lower scores (1 or 2) (Fig. 6; OR 3.25, 95% CI 2.51–4.21). The body of evidence supporting this risk factor was considered high grade.1 Only 1 small study, which had a wide CI, showed no significant increase in shunt dependency with high scores (OR 2.00, 95% CI 0.86–4.68).9 Larger studies with similar ORs showed statistical significance.12,15,19 There is no indication of publication bias regarding high Hunt and Hess Scale data (Supplemental Fig. 5).

There is no indication of publication bias regarding high Hunt and Hess Scale data (Supplemental Fig. 5).

### Hunt and Hess Scale

The association of high Hunt and Hess Scale scores (3–5) with shunt dependency was evaluated in 13 Level B studies.2,3,7,9,11–13,15,18,19,22,28,29 Eleven studies were included in our meta-analysis, which demonstrated more than 3-fold increased shunt-dependency risk with high Hunt and Hess Scale scores compared with lower scores (1 or 2) (Fig. 6; OR 3.25, 95% CI 2.51–4.21). The body of evidence supporting this risk factor was considered high grade.1 Only 1 small study, which had a wide CI, showed no significant increase in shunt dependency with high scores (OR 2.00, 95% CI 0.86–4.68).9 Larger studies with similar ORs showed statistical significance.12,15,19 There is no indication of publication bias regarding high Hunt and Hess Scale data (Supplemental Fig. 5).

### Rehemorrhage

The association between rehemorrhage and shunt dependency was evaluated in 2 Level B studies.13,19 Both were included in our meta-analysis, which demonstrated more than a 2-fold increased odds of shunt dependency in patients with rehemorrhage after initial aSAH compared with patients without rehemorrhage (Fig. 7; OR 2.21, 95% CI 1.24–3.95). The body of evidence supporting this risk factor was considered very low grade.1 Although our analysis showed a significant OR, 1 of the 2 studies did not show a significant increase in shunt dependency after rehemorrhage,19 whereas the other study did note a significant increase.13 Publication bias is difficult to assess because only 2 studies were included; however, the associated funnel plot was symmetric (Supplemental Fig. 6).

### Table 1. Literature summary of major risk factors for shunt dependency*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Supporting Evidence</th>
<th>Refuting Evidence</th>
<th>Meta-Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Fisher grade</td>
<td>Yang et al., 2013; Rincon et al., 2010; Kwon et al., 2008; de Oliveira et al., 2007; Dehdashti et al., 2004; Dorai et al., 2003; Demirgil et al., 2003; Gruber et al., 1999; Vale et al., 1997</td>
<td>Yamada et al., 2012</td>
<td>7.74 (4.47–13.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH at admission† &amp; EVD challenge</td>
<td>Yu et al., 2014; Yamada et al., 2012; Rincon et al., 2010; de Oliveira et al., 2007; O’Kelly et al., 2009; Chan et al., 2009; Kwon et al., 2008; Dorai et al., 2003; Sheehan et al., 1999; Graff-Radford et al., 1989; van Gijn et al., 1985</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>5.67 (3.96–8.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHC‡</td>
<td>Lai &amp; Morgan, 2013; Brandner et al., 2012; Rincon et al., 2010; Chan et al., 2009; Kwon et al., 2008; de Oliveira et al., 2007; Dorai et al., 2003; Sheehan et al., 1999; Tapaninaho et al., 1993; Graff-Radford et al., 1989</td>
<td>Rincon et al., 2010; Kwon et al., 2008§; Vermeij et al., 1994</td>
<td>4.91 (2.79–8.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVB</td>
<td>Lai &amp; Morgan, 2013; Wang et al., 2012; Yamada et al., 2012; Rincon et al., 2010; Kwon et al., 2008; Dorai et al., 2003; Gruber et al., 1999; Vale et al., 1997; Vermeij et al., 1994; Tapaninaho et al., 1993; Sheehan et al., 1999; Graff-Radford et al., 1989</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3.93 (2.80–5.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High HHS score at admission</td>
<td>Yu et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2013; Brandner et al., 2012; Rincon et al., 2010; O’Kelly et al., 2009; Chan et al., 2009; Kwon et al., 2008; de Oliveira et al., 2007; Dorai et al., 2003; Gruber et al., 1999; Vale et al., 1997; Graff-Radford et al., 1989</td>
<td>Demirgil et al., 2003</td>
<td>3.25 (2.51–4.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH</td>
<td>Gruber et al., 1999</td>
<td>Rincon et al., 2010</td>
<td>2.21 (1.24–3.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>Yu et al., 2014; O’Kelly et al., 2009; Chan et al., 2009; Dorai et al., 2003; Sheehan et al., 1999; Tapaninaho et al., 1993; Graff-Radford et al., 1989</td>
<td>Lai &amp; Morgan, 2013; Woernle et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2013; Yamada et al., 2012; Kwon et al., 2008; Gruber et al., 1999</td>
<td>1.85 (1.35–2.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥60 yrs</td>
<td>Yu et al., 2014; Yamada et al., 2012; O’Kelly et al., 2009; Kwon et al., 2008; Dorai et al., 2003; Tapaninaho et al., 1993; Graff-Radford et al., 1989</td>
<td>Sheehan et al., 1999; Vale et al., 1997</td>
<td>1.81 (1.50–2.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>Chan et al., 2009; Dorai et al., 2003</td>
<td>Yu et al., 2014; Woernle et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2013; Brandner et al., 2012; Rincon et al., 2010; Kwon et al., 2008; de Oliveira et al., 2007; Sheehan et al., 1999; Vale et al., 1997; Vermeij et al., 1994; Tapaninaho et al., 1993</td>
<td>1.13 (0.77–1.65)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AH = acute hydrocephalus; HHS = Hunt and Hess Scale; IHC = in-hospital complications; IVB = intraventricular blood; PC = posterior circulation aneurysm location; RH = rehemorrhage.

* All evidence is Level B.
† Increased BCI and large third ventricular diameter.
‡ Nosocomial meningitis, pneumonia, vasospasm, and ischemic stroke.
§ Kwon et al. reported a significant increase in shunt dependency if nosocomial meningitis developed but not if vasospasm or ischemic stroke developed.
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Posterior Circulation Aneurysm Location

The association of posterior circulation aneurysm location (defined as aneurysms arising from the vertebral, basilar, or posterior communicating arteries or their branches) with shunt dependency was evaluated in 13 Level B studies. Our meta-analysis included 11 of these studies, and we found that having a posterior circulation aneurysm increased the risk of shunt dependency nearly 2-fold compared with patients who had aneurysms elsewhere (Fig. 8; OR 1.85, 95% CI 1.35–2.53). Patients with multiple aneurysms were excluded from the analysis. The body of evidence included in the evaluation of this risk factor was considered moderate grade. Posterior circulation aneurysm location was one of the strongest predictors of shunt dependency in the early cohort of Tapaninaho et al. However, several newer studies demonstrate no association of posterior aneurysms with shunt dependency; some results have wide CIs, which may explain their lack of statistical significance. There was no indication of publication bias regarding the posterior circulation aneurysm data (Supplemental Fig. 7).

Older Age

The association of increased age with shunt dependency was evaluated in 9 Level B articles. Only 2 studies presented extractable data for inclusion in our meta-analysis, which indicated that aSAH patients aged 60 years and older had a nearly 2-fold increase in the rate of shunt dependency compared with younger patients (Fig. 9; OR 1.81, 95% CI 1.50–2.19). Other studies report similar results. The body of evidence supporting older age as a risk factor for shunt dependency was considered moderate grade. We chose 60 years of age as our cutoff between young and old cohorts because shunt dependency increases significantly at age 60 years, on the basis of the sum of the incidences in both studies included in our meta-analysis for ages above and below 60 years. We found the overall incidence of shunt dependency in patients aged 60–69 years and in patients aged 70 years and older to be 19.5% and 30.5%, respectively. In comparison, aSAH patients younger than 60 years had an 11.3% incidence of shunt dependency (p < 0.0001 relative to patients ≥ 60 years). No indication of publication bias was observed.

![FIG. 2. Forest plot for high Fisher grade (HFG, defined as Fisher grades 3–4) as a risk factor for shunt dependency compared with low Fisher grade (No HFG, defined as Fisher grades 1–2).](image)

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Events (No./Total)</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Weight (Random)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al. 2013</td>
<td>18/49</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>(1.55-16.64) 9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamada et al. 2012</td>
<td>34/104</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.15</td>
<td>(0.94-277.18) 3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rincon et al. 2010</td>
<td>48/307</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>(2.17-8.88) 12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwon et al. 2008</td>
<td>58/430</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>(2.65-11.98) 12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Oliveira et al.2007</td>
<td>67/293</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>(2.31-18.42) 10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehdashti et al. 2004</td>
<td>33/161</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>(1.53-10.87) 10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorai et al. 2003</td>
<td>140/560</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>(2.18-7.53) 13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demirgil et al. 2003</td>
<td>26/63</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>(1.96-14.16) 10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gruber et al. 1999</td>
<td>83/118</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.35</td>
<td>(11.25-81.87) 10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vale et al. 1997</td>
<td>62/69</td>
<td></td>
<td>77.50</td>
<td>(21.20-283.37) 8.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

![FIG. 3. Forest plot for acute hydrocephalus (AH) as a risk factor for shunt dependency compared with no hydrocephalus at presentation. Acute hydrocephalus was defined as ventriculomegaly on initial brain CT imaging.](image)

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Events (No./Total)</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Weight (Random)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yu et al. 2014</td>
<td>25/48</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>(2.27-8.55) 12.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamada et al. 2012</td>
<td>19/34</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>(2.50-14.41) 9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Kelly et al. 2009</td>
<td>103/227</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>(3.14-5.49) 18.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwon et al. 2008</td>
<td>43/156</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.18</td>
<td>(5.32-15.84) 14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Oliveira et al.2007</td>
<td>61/205</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>(3.56-14.57) 11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorai et al. 2003</td>
<td>105/338</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>(2.18-4.68) 17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheehan et al. 1999</td>
<td>116/292</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>(6.23-15.12) 16.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Random effects model

Heterogeneity: I-squared=72.3%, tau-squared=0.157, p=0.0014

Heterogeneity: I-squared=68.4%, tau-squared=0.4961, p=0.0008
Female Sex

The association between female sex and shunt dependency was evaluated in 13 Level B studies. Published results were mixed, and our meta-analysis showed no significant increase in shunt dependency in female aSAH patients (Fig. 10; OR 1.13, 95% CI 0.77–1.65). The body of evidence used to evaluate female sex regarding shunt dependency was considered moderate grade. Two studies showed a nonsignificant increase in shunt dependency in male patients. One Level B study demonstrated significantly increased shunt dependency in males. Only 2 studies showed a significant increase in shunt dependency in female patients. All others showed nonsignificantly increased shunt dependency in females. We found no indication of publication bias for data regarding this risk factor (Supplemental Fig. 9).

Discussion

High Fisher grade was the strongest predictor of shunt dependency among aSAH patients in our meta-analysis. All but 1 small study reported a statistically significant difference for this factor. The outlier (OR 16.15, 95% CI 0.94–277.18) had a wide CI and a small sample, with only 16 of 120 patients having low Fisher grades. None of those with low Fisher grades became shunt dependent. One Level B study indicated a particularly large effect (OR 30.35, 95% CI 11.25–81.87). In this study, a preponderance of patients underwent aneurysm clipping, which has been associated with shunt dependency. Vale et al. demonstrated the highest odds of shunt dependency in patients with high Fisher grades (OR 77.50, 95% CI 21.20–283.37). They suggest that this extraordinarily high OR is a consequence of their study occurring at a major referral center that receives complicated patients from smaller centers. However, other studies that had smaller ORs were also derived from large referral centers. The relationship between high Fisher grade and shunt dependency can likely be explained because high Fisher grade can be caused by increased arachnoid villi scarring and occlusion when there is more blood.

Acute hydrocephalus was the second largest risk factor in our meta-analysis. Any ventriculomegally on initial imaging may be indicative of acute hydrocephalus. How-
ever, calculation of the bicaudate index (BCI) on neuroimag- 
ing is used for objective diagnosis. 3,12,15,18,20,27 The BCI is 
well described by van Gijn et al. 23 Briefly, the distance 
between the lateral edges of the frontal horns at the level of 
the caudate nuclei is divided by the diameter of the brain 
at the same level.23 There is considerable variation regard- 
ing the upper limits of a normal BCI, which increases with 
aging due to brain atrophy.3,12,15,18,20,27 However, many stud- 
ies3,12,15,16,18,20,27 have indicated shunt dependency was more 
common in patients with BCI ≥0.20.

Four in-hospital complications were associated with 
shunt dependency: meningitis, pneumonia, vasospasm, and 
ischemic stroke. Although these complications are distinct 
entities, there was not enough evidence to analyze each 
individually. Therefore, we considered these 4 most-cited 
complications together. Pathophysiologically, blood from 
aSAH causes occlusion of the arachnoid granulations that 
is further exacerbated by subsequent meningitis, leading 
to arachnoid granulation fibrosis and CSF outflow reduc-
tion.19 Additionally, many forms of meningitis elevate CSF 
protein levels, which reduces CSF outflow.20 The patho-
physiology by which pneumonia is associated with shunt 
dependency is unknown.3,20 This correlation may be con-
founded by increased incidence of chest infections in pa-
tients with poorer neurological grade, which predisposes 
them to shunt dependency.20 Evidence supporting ische-
mic stroke and cerebral vasospasm as shunt-dependency 
risk factors after aSAH is mixed because of differing defi-
nitions of vasospasm and may also be confounded by high 
Fisher grades. In all but 1 of the included studies, a clinical 
definition was used in which patients demonstrated symp-
toms.6,11,12,15,24 One study diagnosed vasospasm on the basis 
of angiography.19 Vasospasm significantly increased shunt 
dependency only after univariate analysis in 2 studies11,21 and 
was not significant in 3 others.15,19,24 Vasospasm was 
significant after multivariate analysis of 385 patients in 
1 study.7 If ischemic stroke or vasospasm is a risk factor 
for shunt dependency, then it may result from ischemia-
induced downregulation of CSF clearance mechanisms.11

Intraventricular blood is a strongly supported risk fac-
tor for shunt dependency that was significant in all in-
cluded studies. This risk is not independent of high Fisher 
grade, because Fisher grade 4 is assigned to patients with 
intraventricular blood. Intraventricular blood may con-
tribute to shunt dependency in several ways. First, blood 
increases CSF viscosity.22 Second, blood in the ventricles 
may cause EVD obstruction, often requiring conversion to 
a ventriculoperitoneal shunt. Third, blood in the ventricles 
flows distally and obstructs the arachnoid granulations.24

A high Hunt and Hess Scale score was a risk factor with 
substantial supporting data in our meta-analysis. Because 
only 1 of 11 studies2,7,9,12–15,19,22,28,29 included in the meta-
analysis indicated no significance to this risk factor. Hunt and Hess Scale scores are likely useful for predicting shunt dependency. This grading scale is simple to use and widespread.

Our results demonstrated a significant increase in shunt dependency in patients experiencing rehemorrhage after the initial episode of aSAH. The inflammation, clotting, and fibrosis of the arachnoid granulations after the initial ictus leave a smaller reserve for normal CSF drainage, which may be overwhelmed by rehemorrhage. However, data are sparse and mixed. Our analysis was based on only 2 Level B studies, which included a total of 767 patients. The larger study (580 patients) did not show a significant increase in shunt dependency in rehemorrhage patients, whereas the smaller study (187 patients) did. Further evidence is required to increase confidence in the effects of rehemorrhage on shunt dependency.

Although our analysis showed a statistically significant increase in shunt dependency in patients with posterior circulation aneurysms, this risk factor is likely not currently clinically relevant. First, most early (publication year 1993 or earlier) studies showed highly significant increases in shunt dependency, whereas, with 1 exception, recent studies found a weak association or no significant risk at all. Second, the division between anterior and posterior circulation may not be specific enough to predict shunt dependency. It may be more useful to evaluate aneurysm location according to individual arteries. Level B evidence shows that basilar artery, vertebral artery, and posterior communicating artery aneurysms increase shunt dependency, especially if the aneurysm is located distally. Third, the posterior communicating artery is not traditionally considered part of the posterior circulation. However, many studies in this meta-analysis classified it as part of the posterior circulation. Nevertheless, there may be a pathophysiological link between posterior circulation aneurysms and shunt dependency. Posterior vessels bleed into the high-volume basal cisterns relative to other areas such as the sylvian fissure. More subarachnoid blood is associated with higher shunt-dependency rates. Additionally, posterior aneurysms are more likely than anterior aneurysms to cause intraventricular hemorrhage, which is associated with shunt dependency.

Older age was associated with shunt dependency. Although only 2 studies were included in our meta-analysis, this risk factor was seen in several other studies, and both studies in the meta-analysis involved large sample sizes. Only 2 older studies failed to demonstrate increased shunt dependency in the elderly. One proposed reason for the higher prevalence of shunt dependency in
older adults is that older individuals often have more co-morbidities than younger adults, and pulmonary disease, pneumonia, and hypertension increase shunt dependency in aSAH patients.20,21 Also, older adults are more susceptible to brain ischemia and ventricular system damage,29 both of which increase shunt dependency.

We have no reason to suspect that female patients are at higher risk of shunt dependency than male patients, as previously suggested.3,11 Even studies that reported this risk factor provide no explanation for it.3 One study even found that females were significantly protected from shunt dependency (OR 0.39, 95% CI 0.28–0.54).20 The variance in the literature likely represents subtle population differences.

Our study has several limitations. First, several risk factors were evaluated by few studies: hyperglycemia, moderate Glasgow Coma Scale scores, less common neurological grading systems, mechanical ventilation requirement, and hypertension. Consequently, these factors could not be assessed by meta-analysis. Second, evidence for some risk factors, such as female sex, is contradictory, with our meta-analysis indicating no significant risk after combining data. Third, many risk factors (such as intraventricular hemorrhage and high Fisher grade) are not mutually exclusive and may cause overestimation of shunt-dependency risk. This study was not designed to provide independent risk factors for shunt dependency after aSAH. Fourth, our analysis is based on observational studies from a variety of institutions and time periods. Consequently, there is marked heterogeneity among risk factors. We attempted to account for heterogeneity using a random effects model for meta-analysis when the Cochran Q statistic was significant. However, even this may not account for all heterogeneity. Finally, some of our results may be subject to publication bias, although our publication bias analysis (Supplemental Online Data only) indicated no bias in risk factors, except intraventricular blood and high Fisher grade. However, these 2 factors were strongly supported by available evidence.

The benefits of our study are 3-fold. First, we conducted a thorough literature review, allowing physicians to confidently predict shunt-dependency risk in aSAH patients. Second, all but 3 of the risk factors included are immediately evaluable on presentation by history, physical examination, and imaging. Using these factors to predict risk will not add cost to current standards of care. Third, by organizing published data regarding shunt-dependency risk factors, we have highlighted gaps in our knowledge, which can be used to guide future investigations.

Conclusions

The most significant risk factor for shunt-dependent hydrocephalus after aSAH was high Fisher grade, followed, in order, by acute hydrocephalus, in-hospital complications, intraventricular blood, high Hunt and Hess Scale score, rehemorrhage, posterior circulation aneurysm location, and age ≥ 60 years; patient sex was not a significant risk factor.
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