The influence of depth of anesthesia on motor evoked potential response during awake craniotomy
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OBJECTIVE Motor evoked potentials (MEPs) are a critical indicator for monitoring motor function during neurological surgery. In this study, the influence of depth of anesthesia on MEP response was assessed.

METHODS Twenty-eight patients with brain tumors who underwent awake craniotomy were included in this study. From a state of deep anesthesia until the awake state, MEP amplitude and latency were measured using 5-train electrical bipolar stimulations on the same site of the precentral gyrus each minute during the surgery. The depth of anesthesia was evaluated using the bispectral index (BIS). BIS levels were classified into 7 stages: < 40, and from 40 to 100 in groups of 10 each. MEP amplitude and latency of each stage were compared. The deviation of the MEP measurements, which was defined as a fluctuation from the average in every BIS stage, was also considered.

RESULTS A total of 865 MEP waves in 28 cases were evaluated in this study. MEP amplitude was increased and latency was decreased in accordance with the increases in BIS level. The average MEP amplitudes in the > 90 BIS level was approximately 10 times higher than those in the < 40 BIS level. Furthermore, the average MEP latencies in the > 90 BIS level were 1.5–3.1 msec shorter than those in the < 60 BIS level. The deviation of measured MEP amplitudes in the > 90 BIS level was significantly stabilized in comparison with that in the < 60 BIS level.

CONCLUSIONS MEP amplitude and latency were closely correlated with depth of anesthesia. In addition, the deviation in MEP amplitude was also correlated with depth of anesthesia, which was smaller during awake surgery (high BIS level) than during deep anesthesia. Therefore, MEP measurement would be more reliable in the awake state than under deep anesthesia.
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Intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring of motor evoked potentials (MEPs) has been widely used to evaluate motor function during neurological surgeries.1,11 There are 2 different methods for MEP detection: a muscle MEP to be recorded from the muscles and a spinal MEP to be recorded over the dura of the spinal cord. Although muscle MEP recording is more commonly used than spinal MEP recording because it is less invasive and superior at the point of identifying the functional localization on the cerebral cortex, it is affected by multiple factors such as body temperature, stimulation conditions, anesthetic agents, and depth. It has been reported that muscle MEPs are suppressed by midazolam, volatile anesthetics, and even propofol in a dose-dependent manner.3,9,10,12,14,16,20,21,25,28,29 Since muscle MEPs are known to be sensitive to volatile anesthetics, intravenous anesthetics are preferentially used during muscle MEP monitoring.12,25 The bispectral index (BIS) is one of several methods used to monitor the depth of anesthesia. Since the BIS was introduced by Aspect Medical Systems, Inc. in 1994, it has been studied widely and has routinely been used to monitor the depth of anesthesia.3,7,17,26,27

To clarify the influence of depth of anesthesia on muscle MEPs, we have studied the relationship between BIS and MEPs.
and muscle MEP responses, such as amplitude, latency, and deviation, by using continuous cortical electric stimulation during awake craniotomy.

Methods

Patients

This study was approved by the Sapporo Medical University Institutional Review Board. Informed consent was obtained preoperatively from all patients. Included in this study were 28 patients ranging in age from 24 to 80 years (median 57 years) who had a brain tumor located close to the pyramidal tract and underwent awake surgery (Table 1).

BIS Monitoring

The BIS was recorded before the induction of anesthesia and throughout the operative procedure (BIS, Philips). The BIS was automatically calculated and displayed every 5 seconds, which represented the electroencephalography (EEG) activity during the previous 60 seconds. The BIS was reported as a unitless whole number between 0 and 100. The 1-piece design of the patch electrode was positioned from the contra- and ipsilateral nasal bones to the ipsilateral zygomatic bone to avoid any interference due to craniotomy.

Anesthesia

Routine physiological monitoring including electrocardiography, PO2, and PCO2, monitoring, and temperature was started and then recorded while the patient breathed 100% oxygen. General anesthesia was induced with a single dose of propofol (2 mg/kg) and fentanyl (1–2 μg/kg). To facilitate laryngeal mask airway insertion, vecuronium bromide (0.1 mg/kg) was injected immediately after the loss of consciousness. Muscle relaxants were administered only for intubation and were not continued during surgical procedures. A peripheral nerve stimulator was used to confirm a train-of-four muscle contraction by complete reversal of muscle relaxant effect using TOF-Watch (Mammendorfer Institut für Physik und Medizin GmbH). During the first stage of the operation, general anesthesia was maintained with oxygen (33%) and propofol infusion using a BIS within the range of 40 ± 5. Ventilation was controlled to maintain end-tidal PaCO2 at approximately 35–40 mm Hg. Body temperature was maintained within 36°–37°C using a warming blanket. Extubation was performed at 65–90 (median 72) BIS levels during operative procedures in all patients.

MEP Measurement

The bilateral abductor pollicis brevis and tibialis anterior muscles were examined using the electromyography (EMG) recording.22 MEPs were recorded using a Digitimer MultiPulse Stimulator (Neuromaster MEE-1232, Nihon Kohden) with electrodes placed on the surface of the precentral gyrus, which was determined using an intraoperative navigation system and confirmed by the somatosensory evoked potential reversal method.22 Cortical stimulation consisted of a train-of-five 200-μsec-duration pulses with a 2-msec interval. The amplitude of the MEPs was evaluated by measuring peak-to-peak differences, whereas latency was defined as the span between the start of the stimulation in a given sequence and the first assessable amplitude. The deviations in the MEP measurements were also evaluated. MEPs were recorded every minute after general anesthesia until the awake state during awake craniotomy.

Data Acquisition, Analyses, and Statistics

The MEPs recorded during the operative procedure near the pyramidal tract were excluded to eliminate the effects of surgical maneuver for MEP recordings. The BIS levels were classified into 7 stages, with < 40 as BIS level stage 1, and from 40 to 100 (in groups of 10) divided into 6 more stages. The MEP amplitudes and latencies were compared among these 7 BIS stages. In addition, the deviation in the MEP measurements, which was defined as a fluctuation from the average in every BIS stage, was also evaluated. Data are expressed as mean ± SD or SE. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS 22.0 (IBM Inc.). Statistical difference was assessed using Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient and 1-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey test, and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Monitoring of MEP responses was recorded from deep anesthesia through the awake state in all patients. Of a total 865 MEP responses, the number of MEP responses were 56, 160, 145, 119, 94, 155, and 136 waves at 0–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, 70–79, 80–89, and 90–100 BIS levels, respectively. Representative MEP responses at each BIS level, which were obtained from a 67-year-old woman, are shown in Fig. 1.

The mean peak-to-peak amplitudes (± SE) of all the MEPs were 194.9 ± 30.2 μV at the 0–39 BIS level, 339.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Type &amp; Location</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Histological type</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse astrocytoma</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligoastrocytoma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligodendroglioma</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic oligodendroglioma</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gliosarcoma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anatomical location (right/left)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal lobe</td>
<td>7/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal lobe</td>
<td>5/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insular cortex</td>
<td>2/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal lobe</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Summary of the clinical characteristics of 28 patients with brain tumors.
± 28.5 μV at the 40–49 BIS level, 231.2 ± 21.3 μV at the 50–59 BIS level, 342.8 ± 43.0 μV at the 60–69 BIS level, 850.0 ± 76.4 μV at the 70–79 BIS level, 1025.4 ± 81.6 μV at the 80–89 BIS level, and 1314.0 ± 67.8 μV at the 90–100 BIS level (Fig. 2 right). MEP responses at higher BIS levels exhibited comparatively larger amplitudes (p < 0.001).

The onset latencies of all MEPs (± SE) at each BIS stage were as follows: 26.1 ± 0.23, 24.5 ± 0.13, 25.2 ± 0.16, 24.6 ± 0.16, 23.0 ± 0.16, 23.4 ± 0.19, and 22.9 ± 0.14 msec, as shown in Fig. 3 right. MEP latencies in the > 90 BIS levels were shorter than those in the < 70 BIS levels (p < 0.001).

The MEP amplitudes were not stabilized at lower BIS levels as shown in Figs. 1D and 4 left. The averages of deviations (± SD) in each BIS stage were 38.7% ± 33.6%, 37.7% ± 30.4%, 30.3% ± 27.2%, 26.8% ± 21.4%, and 23.1% ± 17.5% at the 0–59, 60–69, 70–79, 80–89, and 90–100 BIS levels, respectively. In deep anesthesia, MEP amplitudes fluctuated between approximately 40% on the average and up to 80%, but for the awake state, the deviation rates were halved from that of controls. The deviation in the MEP amplitudes was more stabilized at the > 90 BIS level than at the < 60 BIS levels (p < 0.01) (Fig. 4 right). On the other hand, deviation in the measured MEP latencies was not significantly different among each BIS level (data not shown).

Discussion

There has been no report so far showing a correlation between the anesthesia effects and MEP responses during deep anesthesia through the awake state. In the present study, it was demonstrated that MEP amplitude and latency were correlated closely with depth of anesthesia. MEP amplitude was increased and latency was decreased in accordance with increases in BIS levels. The average of the MEP amplitudes in the > 90 BIS level was approximately 10 times higher than those in the < 40 BIS levels. The average of MEP latencies were from 1.5 to 3.1 msec shorter in the > 90 BIS level than those in the < 60 BIS levels. It is well known that MEPS are suppressed by most anesthesia agents, including propofol, which has a rapid onset of action and is quickly removed from the bloodstream by redistribution and metabolism in a dose-dependent manner.6,8
There are 3 kinds of γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptors: GABA<sub>A</sub>, GABA<sub>B</sub>, and GABA<sub>C</sub>. GABA<sub>A</sub> is mostly distributed in the central nervous system and is affected by anesthetics including propofol, which prolong the duration of GABAergic inhibitory postsynaptic currents. Propofol increases the threshold of α-motor neurons in the spinal cord, which induce a reduction of the amplitude and a delay in the MEP latency. Although some authors have reported that an increasing propofol concentration reduced MEP amplitude in a dose-dependent manner with no effect on latency, our data demonstrated that deeper anesthesia not only induced a reduction of the MEP amplitude but also induced a delay in the MEP latency.

In our study, it was shown that the deviation in the measured MEP amplitudes was also correlated with BIS levels. While BIS levels were < 60, which represents the state of deep anesthesia, the deviation in the measured MEP amplitudes was 40% to 80% from the averaged control. Therefore, it would be difficult to distinguish whether the reduction in the MEP amplitude was due to a surgically injured pyramidal tract or a measurement deviation in deep anesthesia. It was reported that > 15% extension of the latency or 80% reduction of the MEP amplitude should be considered as a warning criterion for brain tumor resections. Krieg et al. reported that MEP monitoring was successful in 53 of 56 cases (93%) and reduction of the MEP amplitude better correlated with postoperative outcomes when the threshold for the significant amplitude reduction was set at 80% during resection of metastases in motor-eloquent brain regions. However, it is difficult to distinguish whether the reduction in MEP amplitudes was due to surgical manipulation of the pyramidal tract or anesthesia effects in deep anesthesia. On the other hand, while the BIS was > 90, the deviation rate was 25% to 40%, which is why awake monitoring could be more precise and easier to determine the mechanism of MEP reduction.
There are several limitations to be considered in our study. The first is the reliability of BIS for anesthesia level. BIS analysis is based on 3 elements of the EEG: the burst suppression ratio, relative alpha/beta ratio, and bicoherence of the EEG. Aspect Medical Systems explained that EMG, which is recordable from the muscles of the head, is also included in the calculation when the BIS value is increased (Aspect Medical Systems, Inc.: Technology Overview: BIS 1997). There cannot be a one-to-one correspondence between EEG and BIS values since BIS values do not reflect real-time depth of anesthesia. The second limitation is that there is large individual variability. Individual differences in the MEP amplitudes and latencies vary widely. The third limitation is that it is difficult to evaluate the absolute MEP value, and hence, the study is limited to assessing the relative evaluation for MEP responses. In addition, it has to be taken into consideration that MEP recordings in the awake state could lead to intraoperative seizure and patient discomfort due to electrical stimulation.

**Conclusions**

We demonstrated in this study that the MEP amplitude changes with the depth of anesthesia monitored by the BIS. The average of the MEP amplitude in high BIS levels was stabilized, which was associated with increasing the signal-to-noise ratio. Further studies to evaluate the clinical usefulness of monitoring MEP responses associated with anesthetic level must be taken into consideration.
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