The impact of cobalt-60 source age on biologically effective dose in high-dose functional Gamma Knife radiosurgery
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OBJECTIVE Functional Gamma Knife radiosurgery (GKRS) procedures have been increasingly used for treating patients with tremor, trigeminal neuralgia (TN), and refractory obsessive-compulsive disorder. Although its rates of toxicity are low, GKRS has been associated with some, if low, risks for serious sequelae, including hemiparesis and even death. Anecdotal reports have suggested that even with a standardized prescription dose, rates of functional GKRS toxicity increase after replacement of an old cobalt-60 source with a new source. Dose rate changes over the course of the useful lifespan of cobalt-60 are not routinely considered in the study of patients treated with functional GKRS, but these changes may be associated with significant variation in the biologically effective dose (BED) delivered to neural tissue.

METHODS The authors constructed a linear-quadratic model of BED in functional GKRS with a dose-protraction factor to correct for intrafraction DNA-damage repair and used standard single-fraction doses for trigeminal nerve ablation for TN (85 Gy), thalamotomy for tremor (130 Gy), and capsulotomy for obsessive-compulsive disorder (180 Gy). Dose rate and treatment time for functional GKRS involving 4-mm collimators were derived from calibrations in the authors’ department and from the cobalt-60 decay rate. Biologically plausible values for the ratio for radiosensitivity to fraction size ($\alpha/\beta$) and double-strand break (DSB) DNA repair halftimes ($t$) were estimated from published experimental data. The biphasic characteristics of DSB repair in normal tissue were accounted for in deriving an effective $t$ halftime (fast repair) and $t$ halftime (slow repair). A sensitivity analysis was performed with a range of plausible parameter values.

RESULTS After replacement of the cobalt-60 source, the functional GKRS dose rate rose from 1.48 to 2.99 Gy/min, treatment time fell, and estimated BED increased. Assuming the most biologically plausible parameters, source replacement resulted in an immediate relative BED increase of 11.7% for GKRS-based TN management with 85 Gy, 15.6% for thalamotomy with 130 Gy, and 18.6% for capsulotomy with 180 Gy. Over the course of the 63-month lifespan of the cobalt-60 source, BED decreased annually by 2.2% for TN management, 3.0% for thalamotomy, and 3.5% for capsulotomy.

CONCLUSIONS Use of a new cobalt-60 source after replacement of an old source substantially increases the predicted BED for functional GKRS treatments for the same physical dose prescription. Source age, dose rate, and treatment time should be considered in the study of outcomes after high-dose functional GKRS treatments. Animal and clinical studies are needed to determine how this potential change in BED contributes to GKRS toxicity and whether technical adjustments should be made to reduce dose rates or prescription doses with newer cobalt-60 sources.
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O

VER the past several decades, use of Gamma Knife radiosurgery (GKRS) for managing functional neurological disorders has become more common. First applied in the management of trigeminal neuralgia (TN), functional GKRS has since been used for treating patients with essential, Parkinsonian, and multiple sclerosis–related tremors; movement disorders; obsessive-compulsive disorder; and medically refractory aggressiveness.8 In these interventions, functional radiosurgery typically consists of a 1-time, high-dose treatment to a predefined, specific neuroanatomical location, involving a single GKRS shot with a 4-mm collimator.12,14,22 The doses used in functional GKRS typically range from 80 to 180 Gy and therefore are much higher than those used in the treatment of patients with malignant or benign tumors. Although functional GKRS has been reported to have low rates of toxicity in most series, it has, in rare instances, been associated with severe neurological sequelae and even death from radionecrosis.6,19,22,27 Given that functional GKRS is used to improve the quality of life of patients with functional disorders, it is of utmost importance to investigate factors that may contribute to treatment-related adverse effects in GKRS.

Although there have been studies supporting an association of functional GKRS parameters such as prescription dose, target location, and treatment volume with toxicity,20,24 the impact of the age of the cobalt-60 source has been seldom studied. Cobalt-60 source age directly correlates with dose rate and treatment time, factors that may independently influence the biologically effective dose (BED) of treatment depending on the propensity for the target tissue to undergo intrafraction sublethal, double-strand break (DSB) DNA-damage repair.11,18 The target tissues for functional GKRS, normal CNS tissues, have a greater innate potential for DNA repair than tumor tissues do, a fact that may be more important to consider in functional GKRS than in GKRS-based tumor management.11 Furthermore, a cobalt-60 source may age significantly over the course of its use before replacement, resulting in large variations in dose rate and treatment times in functional GKRS procedures.

Very few studies have analyzed the relationship between the age of the cobalt-60 source and clinical endpoints, and to our knowledge, no studies on functional GKRS techniques have modeled BED over the course of the cobalt-60 effective lifespan. Anecdotally, we had observed an increase in adverse events after GKRS-based thalamotomy following source replacement, an observation that motivated the present investigation. Given the uniquely high doses and long treatment times required in functional GKRS, we sought to investigate the potential radiobiological impact of cobalt-60 source age on BED in functional GKRS procedures.

Methods

Model Construction

We constructed a radiobiological model based on the linear-quadratic (LQ) model,3,16 with corrections for intrafraction DNA repair.23 The model included the dose-protraction factor to estimate the BED to the tissue at the target isocenter with prescription doses representative of 3 common functional GKRS treatments: 180 Gy (in patients undergoing ventral capsulotomy for obsessive-compulsive disorder), 130 Gy (in patients undergoing thalamotomy for tremor), and 85 Gy (in patients undergoing trigeminal nerve root ablation for TN). The BED for prolonged exposures at low dose rates can be calculated with the following equation:

\[
\text{BED} = n \cdot d \left[ 1 + \frac{G \cdot t}{\alpha/\beta} \right]^{\tau}
\]

where \( n \) is the number fractions delivered to the tissue, \( \alpha/\beta \) the ratio for radiosensitivity to fraction size, and \( d \) the prescribed dose (in Gy) per fraction; the “dose-protraction factor \( G \)” is defined as

\[
G = \frac{2(e^{-\lambda T} + \lambda T - 1)}{(\lambda T)^2}
\]

where \( T \) is the radiation treatment time, and \( \lambda \) the DSB DNA repair halftime intrinsic to the irradiated tissue.23 The protraction factor, \( G \), quantifies intrafraction DSB DNA repair of radiation-induced damage during continuous irradiation.

Model Parameters and Assumptions

Calculations were performed for 3 single-fraction prescription doses of 180, 130, and 85 Gy (\( n = 1 \) in Eq. 1 for single-fraction functional GKRS). The radiation treatment time \( T \) was derived from the cobalt-60 source age, initial source dose rate, and the exponential decay function for cobalt-60 with a source half-life of approximately 5.27 years (i.e., 63 months). The initial source dose rate for a single GKRS shot with a 4-mm collimator at 2.99 Gy/min was derived from institutional source calibration.

Extensive study of DNA repair of radiation-induced damage in rat spinal cord has shown that the rate of DNA repair is not monoexponential but probably at least biexponential in nature. Multiple studies have elucidated a biexponential repair halftime intrinsic to the irradiated tissue.23 These studies are summarized in Table 1. The protraction factor \( G \) was derived by calculating protraction factors for each \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \) and calculating a weighted average of the 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>Fast Halftime (hrs)</th>
<th>Slow Halftime (hrs)</th>
<th>Proportional Fast Halftime Repair (hrs)</th>
<th>( \alpha/\beta ) (Gy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ang et al., 1992</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landuyt et al., 1997</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pop et al., 2000</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
calculated protraction factors. A weighting of 50:50 was used as an approximation of the literature values.1,15,21

We conducted several sensitivity analyses for the model with the \( \tau_1 \) (0.19–0.70 hours) and \( \tau_2 \) (2.16–6.40 hours) DNA repair halftime values reported in the literature. An \( \alpha/\beta \) value of 2 Gy was used to reflect the value commonly used for normal CNS tissue. We then calculated biologically most plausible estimates by using an average of the literature values (i.e., \( \tau_1 \) 0.38 hours, \( \tau_2 \) 4.1 hours, and \( \alpha/\beta \) value 2 Gy). We estimated the impact of cobalt-60 source replacement on dose rate, treatment time, and BED by assuming a cobalt-60 lifespan of 1 half-life (63 months) before replacement. Sensitivity analyses with \( \alpha/\beta \) values ranging from 2 to 10 Gy were also performed. For these sensitivity analyses, we again used the aforementioned biologically most plausible estimates of DNA repair halftimes.

### Results

With increasing cobalt-60 age, dose rate decreased and treatment time increased for each high-dose functional GKRS procedure. Source replacement after 63 months resulted in a doubling of the dose rate from 1.48 to 2.99 Gy/min and in an associated halving of treatment times for each procedure (Table 2). Treatment time decreased from 57 to 28 minutes for TN management with 85 Gy, 88 to 43 minutes for thalamotomy with 130 Gy, and 121 to 60 minutes for capsulotomy with 180 Gy.

The estimated BED decreased for each GKRS procedure with increasing cobalt-60 source age, and the magnitude of this decrease depended on the assumption of the length of the DNA repair halftime (Fig. 1). Using the biologically most plausible assumptions for DNA repair halftimes (i.e., \( \tau_1 \) 0.38 hours and \( \tau_2 \) 4.1 hours), we noted that replacement of cobalt-60 with a new source resulted in absolute and relative BED increases for each procedure (Table 2). In our model, source replacement resulted in immediate relative increases of BED by 11.7% for GKRS-based TN management with 85 Gy, 15.6% for thalamotomy with 130 Gy, and 18.6% for capsulotomy with 180 Gy. Varying the assumptions for \( \tau_1 \) over plausible DNA repair halftimes resulted in ranges of BED increase of 7.4%–17.5% for TN management, 10.6%–21.2% for thalamotomy, and 13.5%–23.7% for capsulotomy. Averaged over the 63-month source lifespan of the cobalt-60 source, the BED decreased annually by 2.2% for TN management, 3.0% for thalamotomy, and 3.5% for capsulotomy. Testing our model with \( \alpha/\beta \) values ranging from 2 to 10 Gy resulted in only negligible changes to BED (Fig. 2).

### Discussion

Using an LQ-based radiobiological model, we have shown that despite the use of standardized prescription doses, cobalt-60 source age potentially causes substantial variation in BED during several high-dose functional GKRS procedures. Furthermore, our model also predicted that source replacement substantially and immediately increases BED by approximately 10%–20%, followed by a decline annually by 2%–3% per year. The predicted BED increase associated with cobalt-60 source replacement may increase the risk for treatment-related toxicity and highlights that cobalt-60 source age should be taken into consideration in future studies of functional GKRS treatments.

It has been well established that the radiation dose rate affects BED, but this effect is generally neglected in the planning of GKRS treatments. The magnitude of the BED change estimated by the model was highly dependent on the time it takes for the target tissue to undergo intrafraction DNA repair. Assuming that intrafraction DNA repair is negligible (i.e., that DNA repair halftimes are much longer than irradiation time), one would expect that cobalt-60 source age has little effect on the BED. In most cases, GKRS is used in practice for tumor management, in which relatively lower radiation doses of generally less than 30 Gy are used. Given these lower doses and shorter treatment times, it is plausible that variation in cobalt-60 source age would be less important in tumor management, because in GKRS for tumors, irradiation time is generally shorter than the time required for significant DNA repair in the target tissue. In contrast, functional GKRS treatments can take hours, and the length of irradiation time can vary significantly, depending on the age of the radiation source. The findings in the current study suggest that, given the existing literature on DNA repair times, cobalt-60 source age variation may increase the risk for treatment-related toxicity and highlights that cobalt-60 source age should be taken into consideration in future studies of functional GKRS treatments.

By comparison, BED was much less sensitive to changes in the \( \alpha/\beta \) value. Increasing \( \alpha/\beta \) in the model from 2 to 10 Gy resulted in only very small decreases in the magnitude of BED change with source age. Assuming high \( \alpha/\beta \) values, and thus less sensitivity to fraction size, we noted that source age had a weaker correlation with BED. There are many other factors that contribute to functional GKRS toxicity, including the procedure type, patient-specific fac-

---

**Table 2. Treatment times, absolute BEDs, and changes in BED of functional GKRS after cobalt-60 source replacement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Treatment Time (mins)</th>
<th>BED* in Gy (range)</th>
<th>% BED Change w/ New Source (range)*†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old Source†</td>
<td>New Source†</td>
<td>Old Source†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN ablation w/ 85 Gy</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2888 (2466–3179)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamotomy w/ 130 Gy</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6087 (5050–6891)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capsulotomy w/ 180 Gy</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10,652 (8668–12,306)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Represents BED at isocenter; BED values are for most plausible \( \tau \) values (\( \tau_1 = 0.38 \) hours and \( \tau_2 = 4.1 \) hours), with the ranges indicating shortest to longest plausible \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \) values.
† Old source represents cobalt-60 source with an initial dose rate of 2.99 Gy after 63 months of decay.
tors, prescription dose, target volume and location, and radiosurgical technique. Therefore, depending on these additional factors, the impact of our model’s predicted BED change on toxicity will likely vary. For example, given the proximity of the thalamus to the internal capsule and brainstem, the predicted BED increase of 15.6% with source replacement for GKRS-based thalamotomy may be of greater consequence than the 11.7% increase for TN management.

In several studies, results from variations in the LQ model have indicated that irradiation time is important in predicting the biological responses.7,11,18,23 Fowler et al. assumed DNA repair times similar to those used in the present study and predicted a significant correlation of BED with dose rate and irradiation time for fraction sizes commonly applied in clinical practice.11 Furthermore, some authors have proposed to give particular attention to BED variation in radiation treatments lasting more than 30 minutes per fraction.11 Millar et al., investigating the BED of GKRS in the treatment of vestibular schwannoma, reported that irradiation time was important to consider in determining the effect of a particular prescription dose.18 Our study built on these previous studies by applying a similar model to functional GKRS procedures, and its results highlight the particular risk of neglecting source age, dose rate, and treatment time for these uniquely high-dose procedures.

Only a few studies have assessed clinical end points relating to cobalt-60 source age and source replacement, which can cost between $150,000 and $1,000,000.8,10 One study of GKRS for TN analyzed cobalt-60 source age and its relationship with clinical efficacy and reported that a newer source resulted in better efficacy,17 but the authors of another study observed no such correlation.2 One study of GKRS-based thalamotomy for tremor found that use of a new cobalt-60 source decreases the time to tremor improvement.20 To our knowledge, no studies have specifically addressed the relationship between cobalt-60 source age and toxicity, and our analysis emphasizes that more study is needed to fully elucidate this relationship.

Although the LQ model remains the most widely accepted and adopted mathematical algorithm to predict BED in radiotherapy, its accuracy in predicting the BED of large fraction sizes is controversial.13,25 Furthermore, the application of LQ-derived BEDs in extremely high-dose treatments, such as functional GKRS, has not been validated. However, the LQ approach remains the most practical and accepted model for predicting radiation-induced tissue damage, and several studies have reported that this model provides a better fit to clinical data even at high radiosurgery doses of up to 50 Gy.4,5,11,26 Of note, our model calculated BED at the target isocenter. Radiation doses at the periphery of the target and surrounding tissue will be substantially lower. Doses in these lower isodose
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**FIG. 1.** Changes in BED with cobalt-60 source age in functional GKRS for different DNA repair halftime estimates for trigeminal nerve ablation for TN (A), thalamotomy (B), and capsulotomy (C). \( \tau \) represents the biphasic DNA repair halftimes based on literature estimates, with shortest \( \tau \) of \( \tau_1 = 0.19 \) hours and \( \tau_2 = 2.16 \) hours, most plausible \( \tau \) of \( \tau_1 = 0.38 \) hours and \( \tau_2 = 4.1 \) hours, and longest \( \tau \) of \( \tau_1 = 0.70 \) hours and \( \tau_2 = 6.4 \) hours.
spheres are more likely to fall within a range in which the LQ model has been validated, and BED changes in these tissues may have a clinical impact. Another possible limitation of our model is that its assumption for repair half-times was based on rat spinal cord data. Although we propose that these available data based on animal experiments can be extrapolated to human CNS tissues, the repair potentials in these animal specimens likely differ from those in human tissues, and these differences may affect the broader applicability of our results.

Last, this study was theoretical in design, highlighting the need for animal and clinical studies using toxicity, efficacy, radiographic, and histopathological end points to characterize the effect of cobalt-60 source age on BED and clinical outcomes in patients. Validation of these results in clinical settings might encourage adjustment of the prescription dose according to cobalt-60 source age and motivate consideration of other techniques, such as selective collimation, to alter dose rate and irradiation time.

Conclusions

The results of the present study indicate that after replacement of an old cobalt-60 source, use of a new cobalt-60 source substantially increases the predicted BED for functional GKRS treatments, despite identical prescription doses. Source age, dose rate, and treatment time should all be considered in the study of outcomes of high-dose functional GKRS treatments. Additional studies are needed to determine how this increase in BED contributes to toxicity to functional tissues and to evaluate whether technical adjustments should be made to reduce the radiation dose rate or prescription doses in functional GKRS with newer cobalt-60 sources.
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