Gamma Knife radiosurgery in the treatment of abducens nerve schwannomas: a retrospective study
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OBJECTIVE Of the intracranial schwannomas, those arising from the vestibular nerves are the most common. Abducens nerve (AN) schwannomas are very rare, and there is limited literature on their optimal management. Therapeutic options include surgery and/or stereotactic radiosurgery. The aim of this study was to evaluate the role of Gamma Knife radiosurgery (GKRS) in these sixth cranial nerve (CN) schwannomas.

METHODS The authors performed a retrospective analysis of patients who had undergone GKRS for intracranial tumors at their institute in the period from 2003 to 2010. Inclusion criteria were as follows: isolated AN paresis on presentation, a lesion along the course of the sixth CN, and imaging features characteristic of a schwannoma. Patients with other CN deficits and neurofibromatosis Type 2 were excluded. Symptomatic improvement was defined as the resolution of or an improvement in diplopia noted on a subjective basis or as an improvement in lateral eyeball excursion noted objectively on follow-up. A reduction in tumor volume by at least 20%, as noted by comparing the pre- and post-GKRS images, was deemed significant.

RESULTS Six patients with a mean age of 37.1 years (range 17–55 years) underwent primary GKRS. There were 2 prepontine cistern, 3 cavernous sinus, and 1 cisterno-cavernous tumor. The mean duration of symptoms was 6.1 months (range 3–12 months). The mean tumor volume was 3.3 cm$^3$ (range 1.5–4.8 cm$^3$). The mean tumor margin radiation dose was 12.5 Gy (range 12–14 Gy), while the median margin dose was 12 Gy (50% isodose line). The median number of isocenters used was 5 (range 4–8). The brainstem received an average 8.35-Gy radiation dosage (range 5.5–11 Gy). The mean follow-up duration was 44.3 months (range 24–78 months). Symptoms remained stable in 1 patient, improved in 3, and resolved in 2 (total improvement 83%). Magnetic resonance imaging at the last follow-up showed a stable tumor size in 3 patients (50%) and a reduction in the other 3. Thus, the tumor control rate achieved was 100%. No new CN deficits were noted.

CONCLUSIONS Abducens nerve schwannomas are rare intracranial tumors. They can be cavernous, cisternal, or cisterno-cavernous in location. Excellent tumor control rates and symptomatic improvement can be achieved with GKRS, which appears to be a safe and effective, minimally invasive modality for the treatment of such lesions. Therefore, it is reasonable to consider GKRS as the initial treatment of choice for this rare pathology. Long-term follow-up will be essential for further recommendations.
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INTRACRANIAL schwannomas compose around 8% of all intracranial neoplasms. Of these, vestibular schwannomas are the most common, followed by schwannomas arising from the trigeminal and lower cranial nerves (CNs). Abducens nerve (AN) schwannomas are very rare, and to the best of our knowledge, only 34 cases have been reported to date. Consequently, very limited literature exists for the optimal management of these tumors. Resection has potential life-threatening complications, can worsen preexisting neurological deficits, and does not guarantee total tumor removal. Stereotactic radiosurgery, particularly Gamma Knife radiosurgery (GKRS), is a proven therapeutic modality, with long-term efficacy and safety demonstrated in treating the
more common vestibular schwannoma. Nevertheless, the role of radiosurgery is less well documented for AN schwannomas because of their rarity. Only 11 radiosurgically treated cases of sixth CN schwannomas have been reported in the literature, 10 of which were treated with GKRS, yet outcomes remain variable and poorly established for this treatment modality. In the present study we aimed to assess the safety and effectiveness of GKRS for the primary treatment of this infrequently encountered pathology.

Methods
This retrospective study involved a detailed analysis of the records of patients treated with GKRS for intracranial tumors in the period from 2003 to 2010 at the Gamma Knife center of our national university hospital. Study inclusion criteria were as follows: isolated sixth CN palsy on presentation, mass lesion along the course of the involved sixth CN, and MRI findings compatible with a schwannoma (hypo- to isointense on T1-weighted images and hyperintense on T2-weighted images, with intense contrast enhancement and the absence of a dural tail). The MR images were independently assessed and approved by a neuroradiologist. Exclusion criteria were neurofibromatosis Type 2 and multiple cranial neuropathies.

Radiosurgery Technique
All patients underwent GKRS with a Leksell Model B unit. At our center, GKRS is performed on an outpatient basis, with the Leksell stereotactic frame placed after a local anesthetic is applied. After MRI studies are acquired under stereotactic conditions (1.5 T, Magnetom Sonata, Siemens), the required MR images (plain T1, T2, and contrast images) are transferred to the planning software. Leksell GammaPlan (Elekta AB) is then used to devise a plan, taking into consideration the prescription isodose, tumor margin doses, and maximal dosages, which are decided and confirmed after consulting with a radiation oncologist. Tumor volume was calculated using the following methods: in the initial years, with the equation \( r^3 \times \frac{4}{3} \pi \), where \( r \) is the largest measured radius of the lesion; in the later years, with dedicated GK software. A tumor margin dose of 12 Gy is conventionally used at a prescription isodose of 50% to ascertain at least 90% tumor coverage using a multi-isocenter technique. Typically, optic pathways receive no more than 8–10 Gy, and the brainstem receives no more than 12 Gy of radiation dosage. All patients were discharged the same day with a prescription for oral analgesics to be taken if needed.

Follow-Up
All patients underwent serial clinical examinations and contrast MRI at the first follow-up at 6 months postprocedure and at yearly intervals thereafter. Symptomatic improvement was defined as resolution or improvement in diplopia noted subjectively or as improvement in lateral eyeball excursion (abduction) noted objectively. A reduction in tumor volume by at least 20%, as noted by comparing the pre- and post-GKRS images, was deemed significant.

Results
Seven patients met the study inclusion criteria; however, 1 was lost to follow-up and thus the analysis was restricted to 6 cases (3 males and 3 females; Table 1). The mean age at presentation was 37.1 years (range 17–55 years). There were 4 right- and 2 left-sided tumors. All patients had isolated sixth CN palsy with diplopia on horizontal gaze. There were no features of other CN involvement. The mean duration of symptoms was 6.1 months (range 3–12 months). Three tumors were located in the cavernous sinus, 2 were in the prepontine cistern, and 1 was a cisterno-cavernous variety. Representative images are featured in Fig. 1.

All lesions were treated primarily with GKRS. The mean tumor volume was 3.3 cm³ (range 1.5–4.8 cm³). The mean and median margin dose delivered to the tumor was 12.5 Gy (range 12–14 Gy) and 12 Gy, respectively, at a prescription isodose of 50%. The median number of isocenters used was 5 (range 4–8). The brainstem received an average 8.35-Gy radiation dose (range 5.5–11 Gy). The mean follow-up was 44.3 months (range 24–78 months). Symptoms remained stable in 1 patient (17%), improved in 3 (50%), and resolved in 2 (33%). Thus, the total rate of improvement was 83%. The mean time to improvement in diplopia was 12 months (range 6–24 months), and it was not related to changes in tumor volume except in a single case (Case 1). Magnetic resonance imaging at the last follow-up showed a stable tumor size in 3 patients (50%) and a reduction in the other 3 (50%). Hence, the tumor control rate achieved was 100% (Table 1). The mean time to tumor volume reduction after GKRS was 16 months (6–24 months). The relation between changes in tumor volume and duration of GKRS is graphically represented in Fig. 2. No new CN deficits were noted, and none of the patients had any transient increase in tumor size after radiosurgery. Small cystic changes were noted in a single case 2 years after GKRS.

Discussion
Intracranial schwannomas constitute around 8%–10% of all intracranial neoplasms, with vestibular schwannomas being the most common among them. Nonvestibular schwannomas constitute only 10% of all schwannomas and include, in descending order of frequency, those arising from the trigeminal nerve, facial nerve, and lower CNs. Schwannomas arising from the ocular motor nerves are uncommon, and AN schwannomas, in particular, are very rare. To the best of our knowledge, only 34 cases have been reported in the literature to date. The role of radiosurgery has been documented in nonvestibular schwannomas with good results; however, its role in the treatment of AN schwannomas has not been well documented, with only 10 cases reported in literature (Table 2). Sixth CN schwannomas are typically seen in the pre-pontine cistern, cavernous sinus, and orbit. They have an equal predilection for the preoptic and cavernous sinus locations but occur more frequently in females in the 4th to 5th decade of life. The most common presentation is horizontal diplopia. Isolated sixth CN palsy is the...
general presenting feature, though coexistent trigeminal, facial, and vestibulocochlear nerve dysfunction can also occur. Other presenting features that have been reported include headache, visual blurring, proptosis, emotional lability, and no symptoms.\textsuperscript{5,13,24,37} Tung et al. classified sixth CN schwannomas into Type I and II tumors.\textsuperscript{37} Type I tumors are associated with pure sixth CN palsy and are located in the cavernous sinus. Type II tumors are native to the prepontine and/or cerebellopontine cisterns and are also associated with elevated intracranial pressure due to hydrocephalus, along with adjacent CN dysfunction. Celli et al. added the term “cisterno-cavernous” to this classification.\textsuperscript{3}

Schwannomas are extraaxial tumors that appear iso- dense to gray matter on CT with intense contrast enhancement. On MRI, these tumors are typically hypo- to isointense on T1-weighted images, hyperintense on T2-weighted images, and enhance intensely after contrast administration. Secondary cystic and hemorrhagic transformation is rare.\textsuperscript{8,9,15} Though the presence of isolated AN palsy helps determine the nerve of origin, associated trigeminal or trochlear nerve involvement, especially with large tumors in the cavernous sinus, can create confusion. Sixth CN schwannomas can sometimes resemble acoustic neuromas, brainstem tumors, and meningiomas.\textsuperscript{1,19,38}

In our study of 6 cases, we included only those patients with isolated sixth CN palsy and tumors located along the course of this CN. None of our patients had other CN involvement. We had 3 Type I, 2 Type II, and 1 cisterno-cavernous tumor (Fig. 1). On T1-weighted imaging, the lesions appeared hypo- or isointense in 3 patients each. All tumors were hyperintense on T2-weighted images and enhanced intensely after contrast administration. Secondary changes (hemorrhage, cyst formation, and necrosis) were absent.

Current treatment for intracranial schwannomas includes surgery and/or radiosurgery. Given the rarity of AN schwannomas and the consequent lack of literature, guidelines do not currently exist for the preferred manage-
Gamma Knife radiosurgery in sixth CN schwannomas

Stereotactic radiosurgery is a noninvasive treatment option that involves the conformal focusing of radiation beams onto a given target and thus minimizing spill-over onto adjacent normal brain parenchyma. The modalities include GKRS, Cyber Knife, and linear accelerator (LINAC)-based platforms. Of these, GKRS is probably the most commonly used. Vestibular schwannomas are among the most common tumors to be treated using GKRS, with numerous reports documenting its safety and efficacy.10,16 Far fewer reports have documented the utility of stereotactic radiosurgery in nonvestibular schwannomas.6,14,20,27,34,39 A search of the literature revealed only 11 cases (1 LINAC and 10 GKRS) that have received stereotactic radiation as the treatment modality for AN schwannomas.4,6,11,14,35 Table 2 summarizes the available reports on GKRS for treating sixth CN schwannomas.

Schowalter et al. reported 3 cases of AN schwannomas within their 39 cases of cranial nonvestibular schwannomas treated with GKRS.35 Although an overall tumor control rate of 95% was reported at a mean follow-up of 24 months, further details regarding the outcome of patients with AN schwannomas, in particular, were not available. Similarly, Elsharkawy et al. described 2 cases of AN schwannomas among their 36 cases of nonvestibular schwannomas treated with GKRS. An overall tumor control rate of 80% was described, but again the specific outcome within the AN schwannoma cohort was not separately analyzed.6

In a small series of oculomotor schwannomas treated with GKRS, Kim et al. described a single patient with a Type I AN schwannoma treated with a margin dose of 12.5 Gy, with a good outcome at the 42-month follow-up.14 Hayashi et al. recently published the single largest series of AN schwannomas (4 cases) treated with GKRS.11 The tumors in all 4 of their cases were situated in the cavernous sinus, with extension into either the preoptic cistern or the orbit through the superior orbital fissure. Interestingly, only 1 of these cases had an AN palsy, whereas the others had nonspecific headache and visual impairment as the presenting symptoms. A margin dose of 12 Gy was delivered to the 50% isodose line in all patients. A transient increase in tumor size was observed in all cases during the 1st year with an eventual reduction in tumor volume achieved in only 2 patients at a mean follow-up of 27 months. The only patient who presented with isolated AN palsy in that series showed improvement after GKRS. The other 3 cases without preexisting AN palsy actually developed such a palsy after GKRS, which resolved in 1 case but persisted in the other 2 at the last follow-up. One patient (with the orbito-cavernous tumor type) suffered visual deterioration after the procedure. With reference to this symptom, the authors concluded that dumbbell-shaped AN schwannomas should probably be treated with multistage GKRS or combined treatment modalities (microsurgery with secondary radiosurgery). In our study, the mean tumor volume was 3.3 cm³, and we found that both symptomatic stability (stable in 1, improved in 3, and resolved in 2 patients) and the tumor control rate was 100%.

FIG. 2. Graphic presentation of initial tumor volume at the time of GKRS and the follow-up volume of each tumor as a function of time after GKRS. Separate colors have been assigned to each tumor. Figure is available in color online only.
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(same size in 3 and reduced in 3 patients) at a mean follow-up of 44.3 months (range 24–78 months), which is the longest follow-up duration reported to date. Moreover, no new deficits or worsening of preexisting deficits was seen in our study.

Study Merits and Drawbacks

The main drawback of this study, as in others pertaining to primary GKRS for intracranial schwannomas, is the fact that diagnosis was based purely on MRI and not on histopathological confirmation. To determine whether these schwannomas primarily originated from the sixth CN, as opposed to a secondary involvement due to compression, remains impossible to clarify purely on an imaging basis, and this holds true for all schwannomas located elsewhere in the brain.

Nonetheless, we have tried to minimize these problems by including only those patients with isolated sixth CN involvement and a mass lesion located along the course of the sixth CN. Patients with neurofibromatosis Type 2 and associated CN deficits were excluded. This is in sharp contrast to previous studies, wherein patients with nonspecific headache and visual impairment were included and analyzed.6,11,14,35 Thus, we feel that the inclusion of only those with pure sixth CN palsy, the above-mentioned confounding issues will be significantly reduced.

Conclusions

Abducens nerve schwannomas are rare intracranial tumors. They can be cavernous, cisternal, or cisterno-cavernous in location. Excellent tumor control rates and symptomatic improvement can be achieved with GKRS, which appears to be a safe and effective, minimally invasive modality for the treatment of such lesions. Therefore, it is reasonable to consider GKRS as the initial treatment of choice for this rare pathology; however, given the available literature, caution is to be exercised when treating dumbbell-shaped tumors, especially large ones and those extending into the superior orbital fissure. Long-term follow-up is essential for further recommendations.
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