Carotid artery atherosclerosis is one of the important causes of ischemic stroke. The efficacy of carotid endarterectomy (CEA) for severe symptomatic stenosis of the carotid artery has been demonstrated in several multicenter, randomized, clinical trials.\(^7,8,18\) Currently, the therapeutic approach for preventing future ischemic events, including CEA, carotid artery stenting (CAS), and medical treatment, is decided primarily based on the percentage of luminal narrowing of the vessel. However, it has been shown that thromboembolic mechanisms correlate strongly with ischemic events in carotid artery stenosis.\(^16,36\) Thus, a method for evaluating the risk of thromboembolism might improve the ability to identify the actual high-risk patients who would benefit most from intervention. In recent studies, some investigators have reported that plaque with a lipid-rich necrotic core
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**OBJECTIVE** Plaque characteristics and morphology are important indicators of plaque vulnerability. MRI-detected intraplaque hemorrhage has a great effect on plaque vulnerability. Expansive remodeling, which has been considered compensatory enlargement of the arterial wall in the progression of atherosclerosis, is one of the criteria of vulnerable plaque in the coronary circulation. The purpose of this study was risk stratification of carotid artery plaque through the evaluation of quantitative expansive remodeling and MRI plaque signal intensity.

**METHODS** Both preoperative carotid artery T1-weighted axial and long-axis MR images of 70 patients who underwent carotid endarterectomy (CEA) or carotid artery stenting (CAS) were studied. The expansive remodeling ratio (ERR) was calculated from the ratio of the linear diameter of the artery at the thickest segment of the plaque to the diameter of the artery on the long-axis image. Relative plaque signal intensity (rSI) was also calculated from the axial image, and the patients were grouped as follows: Group A = rSI ≥ 1.40 and ERR ≥ 1.66; Group B = rSI < 1.40 and ERR ≥ 1.66; Group C = rSI ≥ 1.40 and ERR < 1.66; and Group D = rSI < 1.40 and ERR < 1.66. Ischemic events within 6 months were retrospectively evaluated in each group.

**RESULTS** Of the 70 patients, 17 (74%) in Group A, 6 (43%) in Group B, 7 (44%) in Group C, and 6 (35%) in Group D had ischemic events. Ischemic events were significantly more common in Group A than in Group D (p = 0.01).

**CONCLUSIONS** In the present series of patients with carotid artery stenosis scheduled for CEA or CAS, patients with plaque with a high degree of expansion of the vessel and T1 high signal intensity were at higher risk of ischemic events. The combined assessment of plaque characterization with MRI and morphological evaluation using ERR might be useful in risk stratification for carotid lesions, which should be validated by a prospective, randomized study of asymptomatic patients.
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and intraplaque hemorrhage (IPH), one of the features of vulnerable plaque, can be detected as high signal intensity on carotid T1-weighted MRI and is strongly associated with ipsilateral ischemic stroke. Other investigators have shown that geometrical change of the artery correlates with the risk of ischemic events, and that expansive remodeling may be particularly associated with plaque instability and a high risk for ischemic events. This study aimed to provide more accurate risk stratification of carotid plaque through the evaluation of quantitative expansive remodeling and MRI plaque signal intensity.

**Methods**

**Patient Population**

A total of 89 consecutive carotid stenoses in 86 patients who were scheduled to undergo CEA or CAS due to atherosclerotic carotid stenosis were included in this study. Patients who did not undergo either carotid T1-weighted axial or long-axis MR images, had poor imaging quality, had near occlusion, or had a history of CEA on the ipsilateral side were excluded. Inclusion criteria for revascularization were ≥70% carotid stenosis or <70% symptomatic stenosis with recurrent infarcts in the ipsilateral hemisphere refractory to maximal medical therapy.

Patient characteristics were recorded retrospectively by reviewing medical records. Ischemic events ipsilateral to the carotid artery within the previous 6 months were recorded, including cerebral infarction, transient ischemic attack, and retinal ischemia (amaurosis fugax and retinal artery occlusion). The severity of carotid stenosis was evaluated by digital subtraction angiography using the North American Symptomatic Trial (NASCET) collaborators’ criteria. The hospital ethics committee approved the study, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

**Imaging Techniques**

Carotid artery MRI was performed using a 1.5-T MRI machine (Gyroscan Intera; Philips Medical Systems) equipped with an 8-cm-diameter surface coil. A previously published standardized protocol was used to obtain black-blood (BB) T1-weighted axial and long-axis images of the carotid arteries, including the area with the highest stenosis on the index side. The parameters for the imaging sequences were as follows: 1) long-axis T1-weighted images (3D inversion recovery [IR] turbo field echo): TR 10 msec; TE 2.7 msec; inversion time (TI) 500 msec; flip angle (FA) 35°; 320 × 512 matrix; 1.6-mm section thickness; 150-mm FOV; 2) axial T1-weighted images (2D double IR-turbo spin-echo images): TR 700–1000 msec; TE 12 msec; FA 110°; 256 × 256 matrix; 3-mm section thickness; 150-mm FOV; and TR, 1 cardiac cycle. Fat suppression was used to reduce signals from subcutaneous fat. All patients underwent BB-MRI within 2 weeks before surgery.

**MRI Signal Intensity**

Signal intensities of plaque and the proximal sternocleidomastoid muscle on T1-weighted axial MR images were measured at a workstation by a colleague (K.Y. or R.F.) who was blinded to patient information. Relative MRI signal intensity was calculated with the following formula (Fig. 1): rSI = SI whole plaque/SI SCM, in which rSI represents relative plaque signal intensity, SI represents signal intensity, and SCM represents sternocleidomastoid muscle. With reference to the previous study, an rSI ≥1.40, which corresponds to the lipid core with IPH, was defined as hyperintense plaque.

**Expansive Remodeling Ratio**

We have previously reported about the expansive remodeling ratio (ERR). The measurement technique for the ERR was developed by applying the method used in NASCET to evaluate stenosis. The ERR was calculated using long-axis BB-MRI and the following formula (Fig. 2): ERR = the maximum distance between the lumen and the outer borders of the plaque perpendicular to the axis of the internal carotid artery (ICA) (stenotic ICA vessel diameter [VD]/the maximal luminal diameter of the distal ICA at a region unaffected by atherosclerosis [distal ICA VD]).

In our previous study about the ERR, the control ERR measured in the contralateral nonatherosclerotic ICA in patients with unilateral carotid artery stenosis was reported. Two SDs of the mean control ERR, 1.66 or more, was defined as high ERR.

**Relationships Between MRI Signal Intensity, ERR, and Symptoms**

Based on whether patients had hyperintense plaque and high ERR, the patients were grouped as follows: Group A = rSI ≥1.40 and ERR ≥1.66; Group B = rSI < 1.40 and...
ERR in this symptomatic patient is 2.79. The maximal outside diameter of the ICA well beyond the plaque (b). The outside diameter of the atherosclerotic ICA near the carotid bulb (a) and high-resolution MRI. The ERR is calculated as the ratio of the maximal outside diameter of the plaque signal intensity (rSI) and the presence of symptoms. The relationship between group and patients with an ipsilateral ischemic event within 6 months was examined. The number of patients with ipsilateral ischemic events within 6 months was 17 (73%) in Group A, 6 (43%) in Group B, 7 (44%) in Group C, and 6 (35%) in Group D. There were significantly more ipsilateral ischemic events in Group A than in Group D (p = 0.01), and the number of patients with ipsilateral ischemic events was relatively higher in Group A than in Groups B (p = 0.058) and C (p = 0.056; Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

The results of the present study demonstrated a relationship between the extent of expansive remodeling and plaque signal intensity (rSI) and the presence of symptoms. In the present study, patients with a high degree of expansion of the vessel, a T1 high-signal-intensity plaque, and IPH were more likely to have ischemic events within 6 months. These results indicate the potential contribution of the combined assessment of morphological evaluation using ERR and plaque characterization with rSI for the prediction of a patient’s risk for a future stroke. Patients with higher ERR and IPH may be at high risk for cerebral infarction. The combined assessment of ERR and plaque signal intensity (rSI) could be useful in risk stratification for carotid lesions.

Recent vascular biology studies have indicated that plaque vulnerability is an important risk factor for ischemic events. Various evaluation methods for plaque vulnerability have been reported;11,23,28 evaluation using signal intensity on carotid artery MRI is also used in clinical practice24,25 and is useful for identification of high-risk lesions.13,19 Recent technological developments in MRI equipment and imaging sequencing make it possible to assess the carotid plaque components. In particular, IPH, which is a characteristic component of vulnerable plaque, was detected as high signal intensity on T1-weighted imaging, and it confers higher risk for ischemic events than its absence in both symptomatic and asymptomatic patients. However, plaque vulnerability depends on not only the plaque components, but also other factors, such as plaque volume and plaque morphology. Therefore, more accurate risk assessment would be possible by adding evaluation of these factors.

Expansive vascular remodeling is a morphological change in the artery9 and has been considered one of the criteria for vulnerable plaque in the coronary arteries.20 Plaque with expansive remodeling has been known to have a significantly larger lipid core and higher macrophage count than negatively or less positively remodeled plaque. Recent studies have also shown that expansive carotid remodeling was associated with low endothelial stress and plaque rupture5 and was significantly greater in patients...
with cerebral ischemic symptoms than in asymptomatic patients. Therefore, we hypothesize that evaluation with plaque signal intensity and expansive remodeling is useful for identifying higher-risk lesions. In fact, in the present series of patients scheduled for CEA and CAS, ischemic events within 6 months were significantly higher in patients with IPH and expansive remodeling than in patients without IPH and expansive remodeling.

Concerning factors related to vulnerability of carotid plaque, other important markers are plaque cap thickness and inflammation. The fibrous cap is a layer of connective tissue separating the lipid-rich necrotic core (which includes IPH) of the atherosclerotic plaque from the carotid artery lumen. With rupture of fibrous caps, plaque components are exposed to flowing blood, which may result in arterial thrombus formation, thus leading to ischemic events. A thin or ruptured fibrous cap is the strongest risk factor for ipsilateral ischemic events. Thus, an assessment method that includes evaluation of fibrous cap status may enable more accurate risk stratification.

Noninvasive options including contrast-enhanced (CE) MRI, ultrasonography, and CT have been investigated to assess fibrous cap integrity, but inadequate spatial resolution remains a problem. A combination of minimum cap thickness < 200 μm and a representative cap thickness < 500 μm identified ruptured plaques most reliably, but spatial resolution of CE MRI is 390 × 390 μm and in-plane resolutions of ultrasonography and CT are 300 μm.

**TABLE 1. Patient characteristics by group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Group C</th>
<th>Group D</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (yrs)</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
<td>3 (21)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>22 (95)</td>
<td>10 (71)</td>
<td>13 (81)</td>
<td>17 (100)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>14 (60)</td>
<td>2 (14)</td>
<td>6 (37)</td>
<td>4 (23)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>5 (27)</td>
<td>3 (21)</td>
<td>7 (44)</td>
<td>7 (41)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>6 (26)</td>
<td>6 (42)</td>
<td>9 (56)</td>
<td>8 (47)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic heart disease</td>
<td>7 (30)</td>
<td>4 (28)</td>
<td>3 (18)</td>
<td>7 (41)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are shown as number (%) of patients unless otherwise indicated. Group A = rSI ≥ 1.40 and ERR ≥ 1.66; Group B = rSI < 1.40 and ERR ≥ 1.66; Group C = rSI ≥ 1.40 and ERR < 1.66; and Group D = rSI < 1.40 and ERR < 1.66.
× 400 μm and 500 × 500 μm, respectively. Improved diagnostic technology offers the hope of more accurate prediction of carotid artery disease risk.

Inflammation of plaque may also play an important role in plaque vulnerability. Inflamed plaques may readily cause artery-to-artery thromboembolism through plaque rupture. Noninvasive options, including CE MRI and 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET, have been investigated to assess the degree of inflammation. Tawakol et al. demonstrated that FDG-PET can determine the macrophage content of carotid plaques in vivo. Furthermore, others demonstrated that a combination of FDG-PET and MRI is complementary in identifying high-risk carotid plaques with inflamed or hemorrhagic components. FDG-PET may be useful for noninvasively identifying macrophage–rich carotid plaques. Furthermore, its combination with carotid artery MRI may provide more useful information on plaque composition. However, it would be difficult to routinely perform a dual PET/MRI examination because of cost. In addition, dual PET/MRI needs longer imaging time, because it involves different kinds of modalities. On the other hand, the present assessment of ERR and rSI can be obtained simply and rapidly from the same modality, carotid BB-MRI. Therefore, this combination method is a good candidate for routine imaging evaluation of carotid disease, especially for asymptomatic patients, and it may make it possible to select truly nonstenotic high-risk lesions such as mild carotid stenosis with expansive remodeling and high-volume plaque, which would not be identified for intervention by the current stenosis-based method.

**Limitations of the Study**

The limitations of this study include its small sample size and its retrospective nature. Some selection bias may have been introduced by having study patients who were scheduled to have CEA or CAS. Therefore, it appears that patients with a higher ERR and greater hyperintensity were more likely to have reported symptoms prior to revascularization, but this does not mean that expansive remodeling or rSI can be used to predict future events in an entirely asymptomatic and unselected population. In addition, because most studies about the relationship between expansive remodeling and ischemic events were retrospective, it is not clear that expansive remodeling truly causes ischemic events. A plaque with a high ERR in a symptomatic patient could merely represent fresh IPH. It is possible that expansive remodeling could be the result of rapid growth of IPH at the ischemic event. However, in the present study, even with IPH, the number of symptomatic plaques in Group A, accompanied by high ERR, was higher than that in Group C, which was not accompanied by high ERR. This result might demonstrate the potential predictive value of the ERR for ischemic events.

Next, one possible limitation is the threshold of ERR. A high value was defined as 2 standard deviations (1.66) or more of the mean control ERR (1.36), which was calculated from normal carotid arteries without stenosis in our previous study. In the same previous study, the mean ERR of patients with carotid stenosis was 1.68 ± 0.40, and the receiver-operating characteristic analysis found that when the cutoff value of ERR was set at 1.88, the sensitivity and specificity for the detection of ischemic symptom were 0.6 and 0.78, respectively. If the cutoff value of ERR was set at 1.88 in the present study, the number of patients with ischemic events within 6 months was also significantly higher in Group A (rSI ≥ 1.4 and ERR ≥ 1.88) than in Group D (rSI < 1.4 and ERR < 1.88; p = 0.01). Thus, assessment of dual plaque signal intensity and quantitative remodeling evaluation may be reasonable, but the cutoff value of ERR remains to be elucidated. To investigate the usefulness of the combined assessment of ERR
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**Table 2. rSI and ERR by group***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Group C</th>
<th>Group D</th>
<th>p Value†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenosis rate (%)</td>
<td>65.1 ± 25.1</td>
<td>81.7 ± 9.4</td>
<td>66.8 ± 17.6</td>
<td>74.5 ± 11.5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rSI</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.24</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.19</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERR</td>
<td>2.14 ± 0.31</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.19</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal ICA VD (mm)</td>
<td>4.07 ± 0.66</td>
<td>4.02 ± 0.80</td>
<td>4.64 ± 0.56</td>
<td>4.87 ± 0.98</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenotic ICA VD (mm)</td>
<td>8.64 ± 1.27</td>
<td>7.95 ± 1.99</td>
<td>6.63 ± 1.08</td>
<td>6.83 ± 1.19</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values are presented as the mean ± SD.
† Boldface values are statistically significant (p ≤ 0.05).
and plaque signal intensity for accurate stroke risk stratification, a long-term prospective study, including asymptomatic patients with early-stage carotid atherosclerosis, should be performed.

Conclusions

In the present series of carotid artery plaque scheduled for CEA or CAS, plaque with a high degree of expansion of the vessel and T1 high signal intensity was at higher risk of causing ischemic events. These findings suggest that the combination of quantitative expansive remodeling evaluation and rSI with MRI might noninvasively contribute to more accurate risk stratification, but this does not mean that expansive remodeling or plaque signal intensity can be used to predict future events in an entirely asymptomatic and unselected population. To investigate the predictive value of ERR and plaque signal intensity for future ischemic events, a prospective study, including asymptomatic patients with early-stage carotid atherosclerosis, should be performed.
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