Does release of antimicrobial agents from impregnated external ventricular drainage catheters affect the diagnosis of ventriculitis?
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OBJECTIVE Recently concern has arisen over the effect of released antimicrobial agents from antibiotic-impregnated external ventricular drainage (EVD) catheters on the reliability of CSF culture for diagnosis of ventriculitis. The authors designed a laboratory study to investigate this possibility, and to determine whether there was also a risk of loss of bacterial viability when CSF samples were delayed in transport to the laboratory.

METHODS Three types of commercially available antibiotic-impregnated EVD catheters were perfused with a suspension of bacteria (Staphylococcus epidermidis) over 21 days. Samples were analyzed for bacterial viability and for concentrations of antibiotics released from the catheters. The authors also investigated the effect on bacterial viability in samples stored at 18°C and 4°C to simulate delay in CSF samples reaching the laboratory for analysis.

RESULTS Bacterial viability was significantly reduced in all 3 catheter types when sampled on Day 1, but this effect was not observed in later samples. The results were reflected in stored samples, with significant loss of viability in Day 1 samples but with little further loss of viable bacteria in samples obtained after this time point. All samples stored for 18 hours showed significant loss of viable bacteria.

CONCLUSIONS While there were differences between the catheters, only samples taken on Day 1 showed a significant reduction in the numbers of viable bacteria after passing through the catheters. This reduction coincided with higher concentrations of antimicrobial agents in the first few hours after perfusion began. Similarly, bacterial viability declined significantly after storage of Day 1 samples, but only slightly in samples obtained thereafter. The results indicate that drugs released from these antimicrobial catheters are unlikely to affect the diagnosis of ventriculitis, as sampling for this purpose is not usually conducted in the first 24 hours of EVD.
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and other neurological symptoms that make diagnosis of ventriculitis difficult. This is made even more problematic as laboratory parameters such as CSF inflammatory indicators (white blood cell count, lactate levels, etc.) are often already abnormal, and blood in the CSF, as from a hemorrhage, may also give rise to fever. The usual criteria for infection are therefore confounded by the initial pathology, and the only reliable criterion is isolation of a microorganism from the ventricular CSF drawn from the EVD catheter. In some units CSF is routinely drawn after 3 or 5 days to check for the presence of bacteria, while in other units samples are drawn only when there is a clinical concern.

In recent years, antibiotic-impregnated EVD catheters have been introduced in an attempt to reduce the risk of ventriculitis arising from catheter colonization. Although these new catheters have been reported to be successful in this respect, a recent study raised concern that antimicrobial agents leaching from the catheters might inhibit or kill bacteria in CSF samples, thus producing false-negative laboratory cultures and masking cases of ventriculitis, thereby interfering with or delaying treatment.

Although this is obviously a very important question, we consider that the methods used in the previous study could be improved and the question extended to cover important ancillary questions. We consider it important to extend the study to beyond the first 24 hours of perfusion, and we were also interested in determining the longer-term effect of any antibiotic wash-off on survival of bacteria in samples that were not analyzed promptly. We also wished to include 2 alternative impregnated catheters in addition to the one used in the previous study. We therefore set out to measure drug release in antibiotic-impregnated catheters to determine its effect on viability of bacteria passing through the catheters, and to determine whether it might affect bacterial viability in samples that are delayed in transit to the laboratory.

**Methods**

**EVD Catheters**

Ventriclear II G44130 catheters impregnated with rifampicin and minocycline were purchased from Medtronic. Bactiseal barium-filled (82-1749) and Bactiseal clear (82-1750) catheters, both impregnated with rifampicin and clindamycin, were donated by Codman and Shurtleff, Inc. The catheters will be referred to as Venticlear, Bactiseal NC (for barium-filled), and Bactiseal C (for clear). Plain medical-grade silicone tubing (Dow Corning Europe) was used as a control.

**Test Bacterium**

The bacterium used was a strain of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (F1228) isolated from a CSF shunt infection. Its identification profile by the API Staph System (bioMérieux) was 6606013, and it was susceptible to rifampicin (0.008 mg/L), clindamycin (0.125 mg/L), and minocycline (0.125 mg/L). The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values were determined by Etest (bioMérieux).

**Catheter Perfusion System**

Catheters were mounted in hydrated conditions at 37°C in an established perfusion system. Briefly, this system consisted of a modular array of water jackets through which the catheters were inserted aseptically before the addition of sterile water. The whole modular array was encased in an outer water jacket fed by a heat circulator kept at 37°C. The catheters were perfused with sterile physiological saline solution from a reservoir using a Watson-Marlow peristaltic pump at a rate of 20 ml/hr, and perfusate drained into a second reservoir. The system was a single-pass system and did not recirculate the perfusate. All 3 types of catheters were tested at one time, each in triplicate. Samples of perfusate were aseptically collected from the catheters at intervals.

**Inoculation of the System**

On Days 1, 4, 10, and 21, *S. epidermidis* was added (to give a final concentration of 1 x 10⁵ cfu/ml) to the pre-pump perfusate reservoir so that the bacterial suspension passed through all catheters.

**Sampling Regimen**

Perfusate (3.5 ml) was sampled from the catheters immediately after introduction of *S. epidermidis* to the system on each of the 4 inoculation days. Each sample was assayed quantitatively for bacterial viability by spreading 200 μl immediately onto each of 3 blood agar culture plates. The samples were then divided and 1 held at 4°C and the other at 18°C to simulate delay in delivery to the laboratory. Each of these samples was plated as described after 30 minutes and after 1, 2, 4, and 18 hours of storage for bacterial survival. All bacteria surviving after passage through the catheters were tested for emergence of resistance to the 3 drugs.

**Drug Concentration Assays**

The concentration of rifampicin and minocycline for each daily sample was assayed by reverse phase–high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, with an Eclipse XDB-C8 column: Agilent Technologies) and liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC-MS). Column temperature was 40°C, the volume of injection was 100 μl, and the flow rate was 1.0 ml/min. Chromatograms were recorded at 333 nm (rifampicin) and 350 nm (minocycline). A fast (5-minute cycle), sensitive LC-MS method for clindamycin was developed (4000 Qtrap, AB Sciex, with a Shimadzu VP HPLC). A single channel was used for the multiple-reaction monitoring assay, with parent and product ion pair (m/z) 426.00 > 126.10 in positive ion electrospray mode. The declustering potential was 35 V and the collision energy was 23 V. The desolvation temperature was 500°C, and the curtain, desolvation, and nebulizer gases were set to 20, 30, and 0 units, respectively. An Agilent ZORBAX SB-C18 column was used (column dimensions 2.1 x 50 mm, 1.8 μm) at 50°C with a ballistic gradient; the mobile phase consisted of 80% water to 100% methanol (both modified with 0.01% trifluoroacetic acid).

**Results**

**Bacterial Viability on Passage Through the Catheters**

Perfusates obtained on Day 1 and assayed immediately
(0 minute, Tables 1 and 2) all showed a reduction in the number of viable bacteria passing through the catheters compared with controls. This reduction was greatest for the two Bactiseal catheters, with the clear silicone version (Bactiseal C) providing the largest reduction. Perfusates obtained on subsequent days (4, 10, and 21) and assayed immediately showed insignificant reductions (approximately 2%–3%) for the 2 Bactiseal catheters but a 13% reduction for the Ventriclear catheter.

Storage of Perfusates at 18°C

When perfusates were stored at 18°C (Table 1), those from the control catheters showed little reduction in bacterial viability for 4 hours, but at 18 hours there was an approximately 90% reduction. For Bactiseal C, on Day 1 of sampling there was a considerable decrease in viable bacteria to 12.2% of the control value, which was sustained when samples were stored for up to 4 hours. There was a less dramatic decrease in viability in Day 1 samples for Bactiseal NC (to 45% of control value), and this had decreased to 20.4% after storage for 4 hours. The decrease in bacterial viability for Day 1 samples from Ventriclear was far smaller (to 73.5% of control value), and this fell to 37.8% after storage for 4 hours. In later samples, loss of viability was not significant in either type of Bactiseal catheter (to 98%–99%) and the loss on storage for 4 hours was not significant, but for later samples from the Ventriclear catheters there was a decrease in bacterial viability to 87.1% of controls and a slightly further decrease to 85% after storage for 4 hours.

Bacterial viability after storage at this temperature for 18 hours was low in all catheters and similar to control values for that storage period. The difference in viable bacteria count for the two Bactiseal catheters from the controls for samples after Day 1 was not statistically significant, but it was significant for controls compared with the Ventriclear catheter ($p = 0.046$).

Table 2. Percentage viability of bacteria after passing through the catheters when samples were then stored at 4°C for up to 18 hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catheter</th>
<th>Day of Sampling</th>
<th>Time of Storage (hrs)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bactiseal C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bactiseal NC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventriclear</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For all antimicrobial EVDs there was a significant reduction in bacterial viability in the perfusate obtained immediately after beginning perfusion on Day 1. The difference in bacterial viability between Bactiseal C and Bactiseal NC was not significantly different from control catheters ($p > 0.05$), but the difference was significant for Ventriclear catheters ($p < 0.05$).
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The mean concentration of minocycline at this time point for Ventriclear was 2.57 mg/L. However, the concentrations of all drugs in all 3 types of catheters fell sharply in the following few hours, and by Day 1 or 2 they had reached low plateau levels (Figs. 1–3). All assays were performed in triplicate with samples from triplicate catheters of each type.

**Discussion**

Because of the reliance on positive CSF cultures for the diagnosis of EVD ventriculitis, the possibility that antimicrobial catheters might interfere with this by inhibiting bacterial growth is a serious question. Stevens et al. set out to address this issue, but their paper can be criticized on several methodological points. They used a static method to fill the EVD catheters with saline and left this in the catheters for various lengths of time without any flow. This would give different results from those used in our paper, which we consider to be more relevant to EVD catheter conditions in use. Their study covered only the first 24 hours, but samples of CSF for diagnosis are unlikely to be obtained so early after placement of the catheter, and practice varies: some obtain samples at 3 or 5 days, some 3 times weekly, and some only if clinically indicated, and clinical concern regarding infection usually does not arise so soon as within 24 hours after placement. The detection method of Stevens et al. for drug release (capillary electrophoresis) did not allow quantitative determination of actual drug levels in the saline, whereas our methods (HPLC and LC-MS) were able to accurately determine the concentrations of drugs in the perfusates at each time point. It could also be said that their assay for antimicrobial activity, performed by dropping 30 μL of sample onto the surfaces of seeded agar plates and measuring the zones after incubation, would lead to variation in zone sizes due to inconsistent spread of the droplets. Finally, they tested only 1 of the antimicrobial catheter types that is commercially available, whereas we tested all 3, with the exception of silver-processed catheters that would not be expected to elute antimicrobial activity. In the clinic, CSF samples should be transmitted to the laboratory immediately for prompt examination, but in reality they are sometimes delayed for some hours and occasionally until the following day (especially on weekends), and they might be placed in a refrigerator until sent. This is why we examined the effect of antimicrobials on bacterial viability after storage.

Our results showed that for each of the 3 catheters, enough antimicrobial agent was released to affect the viability of bacteria passing through the catheter on the first day and especially in the first few hours. In this respect, our results confirm those of Stevens et al. However, after this time there was a significant decline in drug concentrations to low levels in all catheters. There were important differences in results between the catheters tested. The amount of rifampicin released from all 3 catheter types over the test period of 21 days was broadly similar, but the Bactiseal C catheters released approximately 10 times as much as the Bactiseal NC and Ventriclear catheters.

**Fig. 1.** Antimicrobial activity of samples taken daily from Bactiseal C (Bact clear) catheters for 21 days. On Day 1, the concentration of rifampicin (Rif) released immediately after beginning perfusion was 3.25 mg/L, and the concentration then dropped significantly (0.25 mg/L at 30 minutes, 0.14 mg/L at 1 hour, 0.06 mg/L at 2 hours, and 0.08 mg/L at 4 hours). Thereafter (Days 2–21) the rifampicin concentration plateaued and was 0.01 mg/L on Day 21. On Day 1, the concentration of clindamycin (Clind) released immediately was 24.58 mg/L, and the concentration then dropped significantly (1.39 mg/L at 30 minutes, 1.30 mg/L at 1 hour, 1.08 mg/L at 2 hours, and 1.21 mg/L at 4 hours). The concentration of clindamycin for samples taken on Days 2–21 plateaued and was 0.20 mg/L on Day 21.
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**Fig. 2.** Antimicrobial activity of samples taken daily from Bactiseal NC (Bact non clear) catheters for 21 days. On Day 1, the concentration of rifampicin (Rif) released immediately after beginning perfusion was 0.33 mg/L, and the concentration then dropped significantly (0.24 mg/L at 30 minutes, 0.25 mg/L at 1 hour, 0.07 mg/L at 2 hours, and 0.04 mg/L at 4 hours). Thereafter (Days 2–21) the rifampicin concentration plateaued and was 0.05 mg/L on Day 21. On Day 1, the concentration of clindamycin (Clind) released immediately was 6.05 mg/L, and the concentration then dropped significantly (1.55 mg/L at 30 minutes, 1.16 mg/L at 1 hour, 0.89 mg/L at 2 hours, and 0.20 mg/L at 4 hours). The concentration of clindamycin for samples taken on Days 2–21 plateaued and was 0.16 mg/L on Day 21.

**Fig. 3.** Antimicrobial activity of samples taken daily from Ventriclear catheters for 21 days. On Day 1, the concentration of rifampicin (Rif) released immediately was 0.31 mg/L, and the concentration then dropped (0.11 mg/L at 30 minutes, 0.10 mg/L at 1 hour, 0.08 mg/L at 2 hours, and 0.17 mg/L at 4 hours). Thereafter (Days 2–21), the rifampicin concentration plateaued and was 0.08 mg/L on Day 21. On Day 1, the concentration of minocycline (Min) released immediately was 2.57 mg/L, and the concentration then dropped (1.54 mg/L at 30 minutes, 1.21 mg/L at 1 hour, 1.27 mg/L at 2 hours, and 0.38 mg/L at 4 hours). The concentration of minocycline for samples taken daily thereafter (Days 2–21) plateaued and was 0.26 mg/L on Day 21.
within the first hour. Again, the amount of clindamycin released in this period was significantly higher from the Bactiseal C catheters than from the Bactiseal NC catheters. The drug concentrations in the catheters were identical (rifampicin 0.25–0.7 mg/g and clindamycin 0.85–1.54 mg/g; manufacturer’s data sheet), and the dimensions of the catheters were also identical. We know of no evidence that the inclusion of barium sulfate as a filler in the Bactiseal NC catheters would affect drug release to this extent. The difference in drug release is reflected in the bacterial viability results for perfusate sampled during this early period, in which the reduction in viable count was significantly greater for Bactiseal C than for Bactiseal NC (and both reduced the viable count more than the Venticlear catheter in this period). However, after this period and by Day 4, the effect on viable count of both Bactiseal catheters was negligible, whereas there was a persistent reduction in viable count for the Venticlear catheter for the entire 21-day period. Referring to the MICs of the 3 drugs, the test bacterium was inhibited by 0.008 mg/L of rifampicin, 0.125 mg/L of clindamycin, and 0.125 mg/L of minocycline, and the measured drug levels exceeded these throughout. However, after the initial burst period the MICs were not greatly exceeded, and the conditions in which they were determined (solid medium, Etest) were very different from their exposure in fluid medium. Also, the Etest determines inhibitory activity, not lethal activity, which is likely to account for the survival of susceptible bacteria in the perfusates. The effects of storage at different temperatures showed no difference between temperatures, but there were differences between catheters at both storage temperatures. For the first 4 hours there was negligible reduction in bacterial viability for both Bactiseal catheters for Day 4 samples onward, whereas there was a persistent 13%–15% reduction in the case of Venticlear. For all samples stored for 18 hours at both temperatures, including those from plain control catheters, there was a significant reduction in viable count. This could have been influenced by the perfusion medium, physiological saline, which lacks the glucose and protein content of CSF.

While our findings confirm those of Stevens et al. for the first few hours of drainage, we have shown that for the subsequent 21 days there is a negligible effect on bacterial viability, both passing through the catheters and in storage, for the Bactiseal catheters. While the effect appears greater for Venticlear catheters, it is doubtful whether this is clinically important.

Conclusions

Assays of drugs released from antimicrobial catheters showed inhibitory levels only on Day 1 of perfusion; thereafter they declined to subinhibitory levels. The effect on viability of S. epidermidis passing through the catheters was different for each type of catheter, but overall, loss of viability was not significant after Day 1. These results were reflected in storage of samples to simulate delay in transit to the laboratory. It is very unlikely that the high drug levels found in the first few hours by us and reported by Stevens et al. are clinically relevant, as CSF samples are not usually obtained for diagnosis or monitoring for infection during this period. It would therefore appear that use of none of the 3 catheters is likely to interfere with diagnostic CSF culture.
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