As the population of Japan ages, less invasive operations are becoming mainstream. For patients with acute and subacute subdural hematomas (SDHs), a large craniotomy or craniectomy used to be performed, and morbidity and mortality rates were high because of invasive surgery, long operating time, much blood loss, and the risks of infection and general anesthesia.15 Endoscopic hematoma evacuation of a spontaneous cerebral hemorrhage is a minimally invasive and safe technique.8 Therefore, we hypothesized that endoscopic surgery was less invasive and more effective for elderly patients with acute and subacute SDHs. The efficacy of the endoscopic hematoma evacuation technique for these patients and their outcomes are reported.

Methods

Between September 2007 and November 2013, 11 patients with acute (8 patients) and subacute (3 patients) SDHs who underwent endoscopic hematoma evacuation surgery were analyzed. An SDH was classified as follows: acute (time from onset up to 3 days) and subacute (time of onset from 4 to 20 days).10 The demographic and clinical characteristics of these patients are shown in Table 1. There were 6 male and 5 female patients, ranging in age from 73 to 91 (average 82.6) years. Initial head CT demonstrated an SDH in all cases. The patients' preoperative Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) scores ranged from 6 to 15 (average 12). The causes of SDH included 5 falls, 1 act of violence, 1 that occurred after bur hole surgery, and 4 unknown.

In our department, a large craniotomy or craniectomy is frequently the first-choice treatment for acute and subacute SDHs. Endoscopic hematoma evacuation was performed for acute and subacute SDH in carefully selected patients. The indications for endoscopic surgery were as follows: 1) the presence of symptoms; 2) age older than 70 years; 3) no brain injury (intracerebral hematoma, brain contusion); 4) absence of an enlarging SDH; and 5) no high risk of bleeding. Hematoma evacuation was performed with a 0° lens and a malleable irrigation suction cannula.
hematoma; 4) absence of an enlarging SDH; and 5) no high risk of bleeding (high risk of bleeding was defined as thrombocytopenia [<5 × 10^4 µl] or disseminated intravascular coagulation).

In 3 patients surgery was performed on the 1st day, whereas the remaining 8 patients underwent surgery 1–14 days after onset.

### Endoscopic Procedure

All surgery was performed under local anesthesia. A bur hole was made just above the center of the hematoma; the hole was enlarged to approximately 2–3 cm and the bone edge was resected diagonally, so that surgical instruments could be inserted through it and moved widely (Fig. 1A). The dura mater was opened, and a 4-mm rigid endoscope with a 0° lens and malleable irrigation suction cannula were inserted (Fig. 1B and C). The malleable irrigation suction cannula that we developed is a very useful instrument; this suction cannula is able to twist to various angles (Fig. 1C). When this suction is connected to an electrocauterizer, it also functions as a monopolar electrocautery probe. Additionally, it is coated with polytetrafluoroethylene to prevent the insulating coating near the edge of the bur hole from peeling off.

The rigid endoscope was held in the right hand, while a suction cannula was held in the left hand. If the hematoma was hard, it was removed by forceps. When bleeding from a vessel on the brain surface was seen, a suction cannula was placed at the bleeding point, and the bleeding vessel was coagulated. The subdural space was copiously irrigated with artificial CSF (ARTCEREB; Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.), after which bleeding from the brain surface was confirmed to have stopped. The dura mater was closed and covered with appropriately sized pieces of Surgicel (Johnson & Johnson) to fill the dead space of the bur hole. A drainage tube was not inserted in the subdural space. Head skin was closed with 3-0 Vicryl Rapide sutures (Ethicon, Johnson & Johnson).

Informed consent for the procedure was obtained from all patients’ families. This method was approved by the ethics screening committee of our institution.

### Results

Endoscopic surgery was performed under local anesthesia in all patients. The mean age of the patients was 82.6 years (range 73–91 years). There were 5 female and 6 male patients. The locations of SDHs were as follows: 4 right side, 6 left side, and 1 bilateral. The mean preoperative GCS score was 12. No surgery-related mortality occurred, and rebleeding was noted in only 1 patient (9.1%). This patient (Case 4) underwent reoperation by endoscopic surgery, and the bleeding point was seen and subsequently coagulated by our coagulation system. The modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score in this case at discharge was 3. Five patients were receiving antithrombotic therapy at admission, but no rebleeding after endoscopic surgery was observed in these patients. The mean operation time was 85.3 ± 14.9 minutes. The mean hematoma evacuation rate was 87% ± 23.2%. One patient (Case 8) had a low evacuation rate because the hematoma was very hard. Neverthe-
less, after surgery this patient’s symptoms improved, and the mRS score was 1 at discharge. The mean hospitalization period was 26 ± 20.2 days. At discharge, 7 patients (63.6%) had a good recovery (mRS Score 0–2).

Illustrative Cases

Case 3

Acute SDH

A 76-year-old man presented to our hospital with headache and vomiting after a fall. On admission, his level of consciousness was E4V4M6 on the GCS. Initial head CT revealed a left acute SDH without contusion or other intracranial hematoma. The acute SDH was approximately 1 cm thick. No abnormalities were found on laboratory analysis. The patient’s medical history was unremarkable. He was initially treated conservatively because his clinical symptoms were not severe. After 12 hours of observation, no enlargement of the hematoma was seen on CT (Fig. 2A), but aphasia and right hemiparesis appeared. Therefore, endoscopic evacuation of the hematoma was performed. The hematoma was removed easily using the suction cannula (Fig. 3 upper), and there was no injured blood vessel on the brain surface (Fig. 3 lower). The postoperative CT demonstrated complete hematoma removal (Fig. 2B). After surgery, the patient became alert, and the aphasia and right hemiparesis were improved. At discharge on postoperative Day 20, his mRS score was 0. Recurrence was not observed at 3 months after surgery, and the patient returned to normal activities of daily living (Fig. 2C).

Case 9

Subacute SDH

A 77-year-old man was referred to our hospital by a doctor at a local hospital for treatment of subacute SDH. On admission he was alert, and the subacute SDH was approximately 1 cm thick on the initial CT (Fig. 4A). No abnormalities were found on laboratory analyses, and his medical history was unremarkable. Conservative treatment was continued because his only symptom was mild headache. The patient was discharged from our hospital 13 days after admission with no clinical symptoms. However, on the next day the patient was readmitted because of sudden-onset aphasia. A CT scan showed the left subacute SDH (Fig. 4B), and evacuation of the lesion by endoscope was performed. The postoperative CT scan demonstrated...
subtotal hematoma removal (Fig. 4C). After surgery, the patient’s aphasia was improved. At the time of discharge on postoperative Day 10, his mRS score was 0. Recurrence was not observed at 3 months after surgery, and the patient returned to normal activities of daily living (Fig. 4D).

Discussion

Along with the aging of the Japanese population, the number of elderly patients with acute SDH caused by mild head trauma has been increasing. Furthermore, most elderly patients with acute SDH initially received conservative treatment, and the SDH remained through the subacute phase. Subacute SDH has been reported to occur in approximately 10% of acute SDH cases treated conservatively. Recently, minimally invasive surgery with a rigid or flexible endoscope for chronic SDH has been successfully demonstrated. In a few previous reports bur hole surgery was performed for subacute SDH, with good outcomes. Yamada et al. reported on 20 patients in whom subacute SDH was treated with bur hole surgery. Eighteen patients underwent surgery once, with no recurrence, and good recovery was achieved in 77.8% of cases. However, endoscopic methods were not used.

Karakhan and Khodnevich previously reported bur hole surgery performed using a flexible endoscope for traumatic intracranial hemorrhages. The mortality rate in 122 patients with SDH who underwent endoscopic surgery was 16.4%. There were 26 patients with acute SDH, 47 with subacute SDH, and 49 with chronic SDH. However, these authors examined only the mortality and rebleeding rates after their surgeries, not functional outcomes.

Recently, Codd et al. reported that they performed endoscopic surgery using a rigid endoscope for a patient with acute SDH, and that this patient had a good outcome. We performed endoscopic surgery using a rigid endoscope for 11 patients with acute and subacute SDHs, and they also had good outcomes. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of endoscopic surgery performed using a rigid endoscope in more than 10 patients with acute and subacute SDHs. These surgeries showed good outcomes due to the careful selection of the patients.

It is necessary to choose surgical indications carefully because, compared with craniotomy or craniectomy for patients with acute and subacute SDHs, endoscopic sur-
surgery is unable to decompress brain swelling. Karakhan and Khodnevich reported the contraindications of endoscopic surgery as follows: 1) widespread brain laceration; 2) large bleeding vessel; 3) brain prolapse; and 4) calcification of a hematoma. They also excluded patients needing decompressive craniotomy or craniectomy. The most important point of these indications for endoscopic surgery is safety. Therefore, we decide on endoscopic surgery for acute and subacute SDH based on these contraindications. Our indications for endoscopic surgery are as follows: 1) the presence of symptoms; 2) age older than 70 years; 3) absence of moderate or massive brain contusion/hematoma; 4) absence of an enlarging SDH; and 5) no high risk of bleeding. Using these criteria, we performed endoscopic surgery in 11 patients with acute and subacute SDH. In 2 patients (Cases 5 and 7) the mRS score was 4 at discharge. As a cause of poor outcome, it was thought that the preoperative GCS score was lower in the poor than in the good outcome group. Rebleeding was observed in 1 case. This patient had a confirmed bleeding point, but coagulation was insufficient. Repeat endoscopic surgery was done, the bleeding point was completely coagulated, and there was no further recurrence. On the other hand, patients receiving antithrombotic drugs (antiplaetelet drugs and/or anti-coagulants) showed no rebleeding. This finding suggests that endoscopic surgery for acute and subacute SDH is safe even for patients with a high risk of bleeding.

The mean hematoma evacuation rate was 87% \pm 23.2%. One patient had a low evacuation rate, but her mRS score was 1 at discharge. We conclude that a decrease of intracranial pressure and a decompression of brain are important aspects of this surgery. Therefore, we conclude that complete hematoma evacuation is not necessary.

Another important consideration is the development of useful equipment. Nagasaka et al. performed combined irrigation-coagulation suction for endoscopic evacuation of intracranial hemorrhage. However, it is difficult to insert the suction cannula that they used into the subdural space, because this cannula cannot twist to various angles. Therefore, we improved the suction cannula and created an irrigation suction cannula with a malleable nozzle (Fig. 1B and C). This suction cannula can evacuate hematomas that are deeply located or at various angles.

In this study, the patients’ mean age was 82.6 years, and there was no mortality and morbidity. Seven of 11 patients (63.6%) returned to normal activities of daily life. Therefore, it is thought that endoscopic surgery is useful for elderly patients with acute and subacute SDHs. However, our report is on a small number of cases, and it is a retrospective study. Thus, it will be necessary to observe more cases and to examine long-term outcomes. Also, it will be necessary to evaluate the effectiveness of our procedure compared with large craniotomy/craniectomy for hematoma removal in elderly patients with acute and subacute SDHs.

Conclusions

Endoscopic hematoma evacuation of acute and subacute SDHs for selected elderly patients is a safe and effective method. We believe that this technique may be a less invasive surgical approach for treating elderly patients with acute and subacute SDHs.
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