Interdigitated craniotomy: a simple technique to fix a bone flap with only a single plate

Noboru Takahashi, MD, PhD,1 Kazunori Fujiwara, MD, PhD,1 Keiichi Saito, MD, PhD,1 and Teiji Tominaga, MD, PhD2

1Department of Neurosurgery, Iwate Prefectural Iwai Hospital, Ichinoseki; and 2Department of Neurosurgery, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, Sendai, Japan

In pterional craniotomy, fixation plates cause artifacts on postoperative radiological images; furthermore, they often disfigure the scalp in hairless areas. The authors describe a simple technique to fix a cranial bone flap with only a single plate underneath the temporalis muscle in an area with hair, rather than using a plate in a hairless area. The key to this technique is to cut the anterior site of the bone flap at alternate angles on the cut surface. Interdigitation between the bone flap and skull enables single-plate fixation in the area with hair, which reduces artifacts on postoperative radiological images and provides excellent postoperative cosmetic results.

http://thejns.org/doi/abs/10.3171/2014.10.JNS141034

KEY WORDS craniotomy; fixation; plate; surgical technique

AN objectionable bulge is a common sequela of cranial fixation plates, especially in patients with a thin scalp. To prevent this undesirable deformity in pterional craniotomy, we developed interdigitated craniotomy as an alternative to plate fixation at the anterior site of a cranial bone flap.

Operative Technique

The skin and temporalis muscle are incised in the standard fashion for pterional craniotomy and then reflected inferiorly as a single layer. With a 4-mm cutting bur, the initial cut is made linearly from the lateral greater wing of the sphenoid to the inferior part of the temporal bone. A MacCarty keyhole is not made; however, a bur hole is placed in the posterior section of the temporal bone at the squamous suture, and the bone dust is collected for later use. The dura mater is then stripped from the undersurface of the bone flap. The second cut is made with a craniotome at the posterior region of the temporal bone. The final cut is made at the parietal and frontal bones. While cutting the frontal bone, the blade of the craniotome is used with an oscillating movement, resulting in alternate angles at the cut surface. Then, the free bone flap is raised (Fig. 1), and the inner surface of the sphenoid wing is removed with the cutting bur. On closure, the beveled edges of the bone flap and skull are interdigitated with a small amount of the bone dust interposed between the edges, and then the posterior site of the bone flap is fixed with a circular titanium plate and screws to cover the single bur hole (Figs. 2 and 3). Small grooves in the frontal bone and sphenoid wing are filled with the remaining bone dust.

Results

Nine patients (2 men and 7 women) with a mean age of 62 years (median 64 years, range 39–78 years) underwent interdigitated craniotomy between January 2014 and May 2014. Lesions included 8 anterior circulation aneurysms and 1 putaminal hemorrhage.

The quality of the bone flap fixation was assessed at least 3 months after the surgery. At the hairless area, the positioning of the bone flap with respect to the height of its surface relative to the surface of the adjacent bone (offset) was assessed by appearance and palpation.

The patient characteristics and results of the follow-up assessment are provided in Table 1. Excellent results were achieved in all patients, except for 1 patient who died dur-
ing acute subarachnoid hemorrhage. Offset was not visually apparent or felt by palpation at the hairless areas. The internal review board at the Iwate Prefectural Iwai Hospital approved the study.

Discussion

Plate fixation is a straightforward and secure procedure in the restoration of cranial bone flaps. Two or 3 plates are typically used to fix the bone flaps firmly to the skull. However, when placed under the scalp in hairless areas, plates can disfigure the contour of the skin and appear as objectionable bulges, particularly in patients with a thin scalp.

The superiority of titanium plate fixation in comparison with wire fixation for cosmesis has been described by Broaddus et al. Of 19 patients with titanium plate fixation who were followed up for at least 3 months after surgery, 14 had no offset palpable (excellent results), 4 had palpable but invisible offset of less than 2 mm (acceptable results), and 1 patient had significant visible offset of more than 2 mm (unacceptable results). The bulge of the plate was not reported in the study, but in another study by Shimizu et al., the bulge associated with a 0.6-mm titanium plate (Bioplate; Codman & Shurtleff, Inc.) fixed by self-tapping screws was reported at approximately 1 mm. Furthermore, fitting the plate into a small groove dug on the bone so that the plate is flush with the bone is reportedly not applicable for a thin outer table.

Titanium plates can also cause skin issues such as irritation, necrosis, or fistula, potentially requiring a further procedure for hardware removal and reconstruction. Fukunaga et al. reported 2 cases in which patients suffered from a skin ulceration at the location of a scar from a previous operation in the midfrontal area and exposure of the implanted titanium plate, and Okuda et al. described 3 cases of skin necrosis at the frontotemporal region in patients who had undergone implantation of a large star-shaped titanium plate directly under the scalp at the frontal tuberosity. The latter authors suspected that...
pressure was placed on the scalp from below by a highly convex frontal tuberosity and that the titanium plate was under high levels of skin tension. As a result, the blood flow was insufficient, and scalp necrosis occurred. These cases highlight the risk of ulceration in hairless areas with the use of a titanium plate. Therefore, we believe that a titanium plate should not be used in hairless areas if possible.

Instead, bone flaps can be fixed using alternative methods (e.g., suture, wire, middle table pin, or bone shim). However, a suture does not provide rigid fixation, whereas a wire is more likely than plates to cause an objectionable bulge. A middle table pin is not always applicable for a thin or osteoporotic skull, and the method of placing a bone shim is slightly complicated.

Therefore, interdigitated craniotomy is an alternative to the use of plates in hairless areas. Interdigitation between the bone flap and skull eliminates the danger of floating, depression, and horizontal displacement of the bone flap. Considering that a cranial bone flap is a spherical surface, single-plate fixation at the posterior site can prevent depression of the bone flap, even when the cut surfaces on the frontal bone are perpendicular; however, the attached edge of the frontal bone may float easily via a hinge-like motion with the plate (Fig. 4 upper). The beveled edges on the skull, which are similar in appearance to eaves and are used in interdigitated craniotomy, prevent floating and horizontal displacement of the bone flap (Fig. 4 lower).

In interdigitated craniotomy, the offset is almost zero. Moreover, without a plate at the hairless area, an objectionable bulge is never generated. The bone dust interposed between the bone edges fills the slight gap and prevents slipping, and the remaining bone dust fills the grooves of the frontal bone and sphenoid wing. With this method, all of our patients had excellent results, without visible or palpable offset at the hairless areas.

Regarding the use of materials, interdigitated craniotomy uses only 1 bur hole cover plate and 4 or 5 screws, compared with an average of 1 bur hole cover plate, 2 other plates, and 8 screws for standard titanium plate fixation. Moreover, artificial materials to fill the bone defect such as a ceramic bur hole button, methyl methacrylate, hydroxyapatite, and calcium phosphate are not required. As a result, our method may also help to decrease operating room costs. Furthermore, the minimal use of metal reduces artifacts on postoperative radiological images.

Interdigitated craniotomy is a simple and useful technique that provides excellent cosmetic results, decreases cost, and reduces artifacts in pterional craniotomy.
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**TABLE 1. Clinical characteristics and follow-up results in 9 patients who underwent interdigitated craniotomy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Age (yrs), Sex</th>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Appearance of Offset</th>
<th>Palpation of Offset</th>
<th>Follow-Up (mos)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>64, M</td>
<td>Ruptured ACoA aneurysm</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>64, F</td>
<td>Ruptured MCA aneurysm</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>49, F</td>
<td>Ruptured ACoA aneurysm</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>39, F</td>
<td>Unruptured ICA-PCoA aneurysm</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>61, M</td>
<td>Ruptured ACoA aneurysm</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>78, F</td>
<td>Ruptured ACoA aneurysm</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>75, F</td>
<td>Ruptured ICA-PCoA aneurysm</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>72, F</td>
<td>Ruptured ACoA aneurysm</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Dead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>57, F</td>
<td>Putaminal hemorrhage</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACoA = anterior communicating artery; ICA = internal carotid artery; MCA = middle cerebral artery; NA = not applicable; PCoA = posterior communicating artery.
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**FIG. 4. Schema of a cross-section of the bone flap and skull.** *Upper:* Depression of the bone flap can be prevented by using a perpendicular cut surface; however, the bone flap is still free to float. *Lower:* Floating of the bone flap is prevented with a beveled edge on the skull. Copyright Noboru Takahashi. Published with permission.
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