Medical treatment decision making after total avulsion brachial plexus injury: a qualitative study
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OBJECT Complete avulsion traumatic brachial plexus injuries (BPIs) can be treated using nerve and musculoskeletal reconstruction procedures. However, these interventions are most viable within certain timeframes, and even then they cannot restore all lost function. Little is known about how patients make decisions regarding surgical treatment or what impediments they face during the decision-making process. Using qualitative methodology, the authors aimed to describe how and why patients elect to pursue or forego surgical reconstruction, identify the barriers precluding adequate information transfer, and determine whether these patients are satisfied with their treatment choices over time.

METHODS Twelve patients with total avulsion BPIs were interviewed according to a semi-structured guide. The interview transcripts were qualitatively analyzed using the systematic inductive techniques of grounded theory to identify key themes related to the decision-making process and long-term satisfaction with decisions.

RESULTS Four decision factors emerged from our analysis: desire to restore function, perceived value of functional gains, weighing the risks and costs of surgery, and having concomitant injuries. Lack of insurance coverage (4 patients), delayed diagnosis (3 patients), and insufficient information regarding treatment (4 patients) prevented patients from making informed decisions and accessing care. Three individuals, all of whom had decided against reconstruction, had regrets about their treatment choices.

CONCLUSIONS Patients with panplexus avulsion injuries are missing opportunities for reconstruction and often not considering the long-term outcomes of surgery. As more Americans gain health insurance coverage, it is very likely that the number of patients able to pursue reconstruction will increase. The authors recommend implementing clinical pathways to help patients meet critical points in care within the ideal timeframe and using a patient- and family-centered care approach combined with patient decision aids to foster shared decision making, increase access to information, and improve patient satisfaction with decisions. These measures could greatly benefit patients with BPI while reducing costs, improving efficiency, and generating better outcomes.
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Total avulsion traumatic brachial plexus injury (BPI) is a sudden event with lasting physical, psychological, and socioeconomic implications. Surgical management options consist of nerve reconstruction, functioning free-muscle transfer (FFMT), joint fusion, and/or amputation. Timing determines whether these options are available to a patient (Table 1). Only nerve reconstruction and muscle transfers can restore functionality and/or protective sensation, but even these do not usually restore adequate arm function. Although these procedures have been studied intensely from technical and objective standpoints, much less is known regarding patient preferences and long-term outcomes. It is unclear how patients decide if surgery is appropriate and advantageous for them, whether they are sufficiently informed to make this choice, and if they are satisfied with their decisions over time.

The traumatic nature of BPI complicates diagnosis and treatment, often delaying what would ideally be an expeditious process. Motor vehicle accidents account for over 70% of all traumatic BPIs and often lead to other serious injuries that require immediate attention. As a result, many patients present or are diagnosed late and miss...
the opportunity to pursue nerve transfers. \textsuperscript{3,6,17,22,23} Aside from timing and injury issues, other factors affecting patient medical decisions are absent from the literature. These are critical decisions with life-long implications for the small but meaningful number of patients who are injured annually. Yet little is known about how and why patients choose surgical intervention and what barriers exist in obtaining information and care. Moreover, most studies omit eligible individuals who decide against surgery, which underrepresents their perspectives in the literature.

Many studies have demonstrated that shared decision making, a model defined by mutual exchange and collaboration, can improve patient outcomes, satisfaction with decisions, and compliance with care by fostering choices that align with a patient’s personal values. Shared decision making is especially effective in scenarios with more than one “reasonable” choice and/or when making long-term decisions, such as in the management of complete avulsion BPIs. \textsuperscript{11,14,21} However, it cannot be implemented until the current state of the decision-making process is understood. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to qualitatively investigate and describe the decision-making process of patients with diagnosed total avulsion traumatic BPI. In areas lacking adequate exploration, qualitative research can provide more comprehensive insight into the context and meaning of phenomena than the quantitative measures that are used to test hypotheses experimentally. \textsuperscript{15,38} Specifically, we aimed to identify important factors in patient decisions concerning surgical reconstruction, unexplored issues and inadequacies in the current process, and whether patients are satisfied with their choices years afterward.

\textbf{Methods}

The University of Michigan Institutional Review Board approved all parts of this study. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

\textbf{Study Design}

We used qualitative research methods to better understand themes pertaining to the treatment decision-making process of patients with total avulsion BPI. Qualitative research is both inductive and interpretive, making it useful in yielding contextual information about poorly understood health care topics. \textsuperscript{4,15,16,25,29,30} Our qualitative method included the use of the grounded theory, an approach that develops research themes as data are analyzed, as opposed to defining these themes prior to conducting a study. \textsuperscript{25,31,40} Because treatment decision making for total avulsion BPI is poorly understood, a qualitative methodology promotes the exploration of emotional and experimental phenomena at play in the treatment decision-making process. \textsuperscript{15,16,25,29,31}

\textbf{Setting and Participants}

Unlike quantitative studies that utilize random sampling to achieve statistical power and prove predetermined hypotheses, qualitative studies sample smaller subpopulations that possess relevant study characteristics. \textsuperscript{4,15,31,32} Grounded theory–based investigation of medical decision making among adolescents with neonatal BPIs, patients with tetraplegia, and patients with chronic pain included 18, 22, and 13 subjects, respectively. \textsuperscript{10,13,35} In the present study we screened 163 patients with traumatic BPIs that had been evaluated by 2 surgeons at a large academic health center between 2002 and 2012 (Fig. 1). Of these patients, 23 had complete avulsion injuries and fit our other inclusion criteria: age > 18 years, no other condition affecting the upper extremities, and no BPI surgery within the past year. These patients were contacted via mail and phone. Twelve chose to participate, 5 were unreachable, 4 declined to join the study, and 2 were unable to participate due to health problems.

\textbf{Data Collection}

Data collection and analysis followed grounded theory methodology. \textsuperscript{4,15,16,25,29,31} One research assistant conducted open-ended face-to-face interviews with each participant. The interviewer used a semi-structured interview guide that focused on injury mechanism, initial care and diagnosis, what treatment options were presented, how patients obtained information about care, how decisions about treatment were made, and whether patients were currently satisfied with their decisions. All interviews were audio recorded, allowing the research assistant to form a conversational connection with each participant. Recordings were transcribed verbatim. We obtained objective information about injury and treatment from patient medical records.

\textbf{Data Analysis}

Two of the research staff meticulously reviewed the transcripts and independently coded themes encountered

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Surgical procedures for total avulsion BPI}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline
\textbf{Treatment} & \textbf{Indications} & \textbf{Goals} & \textbf{Ideal Timing} \\
\hline
FFMT & Intact intercostal nerves or spinal accessory nerves; presence of one or both gracilis muscles & Restoration of elbow flexion-extension and/or protective sensation & >9–12 mos since injury \\
\hline
Nerve reconstruction & Some or all transferrable nerves intact & Restoration of elbow flexion-extension and/or protective sensation & <6 mos since injury \\
\hline
Shoulder arthrodesis & Shoulder subluxation; can be done in conjunction with other ops & Shoulder stability & No limit \\
\hline
Amputation & Elective procedure; all attempts to restore function have failed, or patient elects not to pursue reconstruction & Remove flail arm & No limit \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
in the data (that is, open coding). They met periodically to discuss their findings and to develop a codebook of themes organized by categories, codes, and subcodes. Once they coded all transcripts, the reviewers applied the codebook to a portion of the data and reconvened to discuss discrepancies and refine the codebook. When the codebook was finalized, they applied the codes once more to all of the transcripts to examine the frequency of each theme (that is, selective coding) and to select representative quotations to include in this article.

Results

Of the 12 patients with total avulsion traumatic BPI who participated in this study, all were male and 10 had been injured in motor vehicle accidents. The mean age was 37 years (range 25–66 years; Table 2). Interviews lasted an average of 47 minutes. Fig. 2 illustrates themes relating to medical decision making as a conceptual framework, corresponding to patient experiences. We discuss each category (sources of information about injury and treatment, decision factors, obstacles to care, and long-term satisfaction with decision) in depth below. Representative quotations for each theme can be found in Table 3.

Sources of Information About Injury and Treatment

Patients reported using 3 sources of information about diagnosis and treatment (Fig. 3): doctors (12 patients), online research (5 patients), and media (3 patients). Although physicians provided the most thorough explanations and facts, 6 participants reported receiving poor or no information about their diagnoses or treatment options at smaller hospitals. In an extreme case, 1 individual diagnosed his condition after requesting his medical records and researching his symptoms on the Internet. The other 4 participants who conducted their own research used it to supplement the information provided by their doctors. The media was misleading because it portrayed fictitious interventions using stem cells and lasers that patients hoped would become available in the future.

Decision Factors

Although restoring function was the initial concern of all participants, 3 other themes (perceived value of functional gains, risks and costs of surgery, and presence of concomitant injuries) were critical to deciding whether to

| Table 2. Demographic data, injury factors, and treatment in 12 men with BPI |
|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Variable                    | No.              |
| Mean age in years (range)   | 37 (25–66)       |
| Mean time since injury in years (range) | 7.8 (2.3–24) |
| Trauma (%)*                 |                  |
| Motorcycle accident         | 5 (42)           |
| Snowmobile accident          | 2 (17)           |
| Other motor vehicle accident | 3 (25)           |
| Fall/sports injury           | 2 (17)           |
| Concomitant injuries (%)    |                  |
| Scapula, clavicle, rib fractures | 5 (42)         |
| Vertebra fracture           | 4 (33)           |
| Lower extremity fracture    | 4 (33)           |
| Upper extremity fracture    | 3 (25)           |
| Traumatic brain injury/coma | 3 (25)           |
| None                        | 2 (17)           |
| Skull fracture              | 1 (8)            |
| Surgical treatment (%)      |                  |
| Single FFMT                 | 4 (33)           |
| Double FFMT                 | 2 (17)           |
| Nerve transfer              | 1 (8)            |
| Amputation                  | 1 (8)            |
| None                        | 4 (33)           |
| Time to surgery in mos (range) | 11† (5–228)   |

* Percentages do not add up to 100% because of rounding.
† Mean excludes 1 patient who had surgery 19 years after injury.
pursue surgical reconstruction (Fig. 3). Six believed that the functionality achieved through reconstruction was disappointing and/or not worth the costs and risks associated with surgery. One of these individuals ultimately decided that “in the long run” the restored functions would be important to him, and he decided to pursue FFMT; the remaining 5 did not seek reconstruction. These patients stressed the insignificance of the gains (3 patients), the chance that the operation would fail (3 patients), and a reluctance to set back their recovery (3 patients). Three patients had serious concomitant injuries that delayed their decision regarding reconstruction and increased their reluctance to reenter the hospital. One patient chose amputation; he decided against reconstruction because it did not seem “viable” given the chance of failure and because he believed he “might get more use out of a prosthetic” than he had with a flail arm.

For the 7 patients who underwent reconstruction (FFMT 6; nerve transfer 1), functional gains were the main motivator behind their decisions. As a group, these participants believed that having surgery and prolonging recovery were worth the risk because there was a chance to regain some amount of function. All of these patients had health insurance that covered FFMT and/or nerve transfer and believed that this was a critical factor in pursuing reconstruction.

**Obstacles to Care**

Lack of insurance coverage (4 patients), delayed diagnosis (3 patients), and insufficient information (4 patients) reduced the number of treatment options available to patients and hindered them in making informed decisions about their care (Fig. 3). Those who were not told about reconstruction initially were first treated at smaller rural medical centers, where FFMT and nerve transfer were not available. Seven participants regretted not asking certain questions and still desired answers about what exactly a nerve avulsion injury means, why it cannot be “fixed,” and/or what options exist.

**Long-Term Satisfaction With Decision**

Nine patients reported being satisfied with their decisions about treatment (Table 4). Interestingly, 2 patients who underwent FFMT and were dissatisfied with their outcomes still were happy that they had pursued surgery. The 3 participants who expressed regret about their decisions had chosen not to have reconstruction; 2 were disappointed that they were not informed about reconstruction until the opportunity had passed, and 1 regretted dismissing nerve transfer when it was presented to him.

**Discussion**

The goal of this study was to qualitatively assess and describe how patients with complete avulsion BPIs navigate decisions about reconstruction and whether they are satisfied with their choices over time, and to identify the issues that exist with the current status of medical decision making in this patient group. Through examining the experiences of 12 patients, we identified 3 obstacles to care (late diagnosis, inadequate information, and lack of insurance coverage) and 4 factors in patient decision making concerning whether to pursue reconstruction (desire to improve function, perceived value of functional gains, weighing the risks and costs of surgery, and concomitant injuries). Seven patients chose reconstruction and were satisfied with their decisions; 5 elected not to undergo reconstruction, and 3 of them had regrets about their choice.

Late diagnosis and failure to receive information regarding treatment options were both major obstacles to informed decision making and accessing care. In our sample, 3 patients received a late diagnosis, 4 reported being inadequately informed at the time they made their decisions, and 7 still had unanswered questions. These findings suggest that the current system of diagnosing, referring, and treating patients should be improved. Developing a BPI clinical pathway and strengthening communication between smaller hospitals and interdisciplinary specialty centers for BPI care could help to facilitate timely referral and
established multidisciplinary care within a specific timeframe. Espinosa-Aguilar et al.12 report the development and validation of a clinical pathway for patients with traumatic brain injuries who, like patients with BPI, are typically young men injured in motor vehicle accidents. This pathway, in particular, may be a useful guide for smaller and rural hospitals because it was designed for areas with limited resources.

In order for a clinical pathway to succeed, patients need to be directed to treatment centers via a sound referral system. Traumatic BPI referral patterns and timing are largely unknown. In a study from Ireland, the median time from injury to referral was 8.2 months, already outside the window for nerve transfer, and 51% of patients with a diagnosis of traumatic BPI were not referred to the national brachial plexus service for treatment.9 The median injury-to-surgery time interval was 9 months in our study and 12 months in another study from the United States, which suggests that delays in treatment similar to those in Ireland exist here in the United States.3

### TABLE 3. Selected excerpts from patient interviews representative of decision-making themes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Quote</th>
<th>Respondent Attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Information sources</td>
<td>&quot;As soon as they told me what it was, I had to know about it, so I researched everything I could about it.&quot;</td>
<td>26 yo, no treatment, 2.5 years postinjury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;I just don’t quite understand [what my injury is] and when the doctor did try to explain it to me, I had a hard time understanding him.&quot;</td>
<td>35 yo, no treatment, 13 years postinjury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;They [the doctors] presented so much that I really felt like there were no more questions...I knew exactly what I was getting into.&quot;</td>
<td>25 yo 2 FFMT, 4.3 years postinjury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived value of functional gains</td>
<td>&quot;I'd at least be able to hold a cup or a glass of water and I was like, well that’d be great. If I could hold onto something...I'd figure out all kinds of ways with stuff.&quot;</td>
<td>48 yo, 1 FFMT, 10 years postinjury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighing the risks and costs of surgery</td>
<td>&quot;How much of a big surgery it was going to be...with minimal gain, it wouldn't be worth it.&quot;</td>
<td>26 yo, no treatment, 2.5 years postinjury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant injuries</td>
<td>&quot;The biggest factor was how damaged the muscles were in my legs.&quot;</td>
<td>34 yo, 1 FFMT, 3.8 years postinjury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors related to amputation</td>
<td>&quot;Either cut it off or keep holding on to it and hoping they'll come up with something stem cell research.&quot;</td>
<td>35 yo, no treatment, 2.5 years postinjury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late diagnosis</td>
<td>&quot;When it became more of a hazard and hindrance than a point of having it as a piece of meat I decided to go ahead and have it amputated...I decided I might be better off with a prosthetic than an arm.&quot;</td>
<td>66 yo, amputation and shoulder fusion, 8.5 years postinjury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient information</td>
<td>&quot;They didn't know what was wrong, So they took another MRI there and they still didn't know what was wrong. So they took me to [another] hospital...I took about 4 MRIs at [the second hospital]. And that's when a dude came up to me and said we have never seen an injury like this before.&quot;</td>
<td>26 yo, 2 FFMT, 2.5 years postinjury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction with treatment decision</td>
<td>&quot;I'm happy about having the surgery, but also I'm really not satisfied about the outcomes so far.&quot;</td>
<td>27 yo, 1 FFMT, 24 years postinjury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regret</td>
<td>&quot;Looking back I wish I had taken the time to research it myself and...dug a little deeper than just say no...just that percentage she threw out there kind of turned my mind off to it.&quot;</td>
<td>56 yo, no treatment, 7 years postinjury</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*yo = years old.*
Although a lack of health insurance coverage for brachial plexus reconstruction was a barrier to participants in our study, it is very likely that more patients will have health insurance that enables them to pursue reconstruction. Since the passing of the Affordable Care Act in 2010, the percentage of uninsured Americans has decreased significantly for young adults, who are most often affected by traumatic BPIs. Among 19- to 25-year-olds visiting emergency departments, the proportion with private insurance increased by 5% and the proportion with no insurance decreased by 4.5% from 2009 to 2011. Although the lack of health insurance coverage is not completely resolved, it is probable that more patients will be motivated to pursue reconstructive surgery for complete avulsion BPI as insurance coverage expands. All participants who chose to undergo FFMT or nerve transfer named health insurance coverage as a critical factor in their decisions.

During the decision-making process, however, patients in our study focused primarily on immediate factors rather than lasting effects. Only 1 patient recalled specifically considering the long-term outcomes of surgery. In contrast, 3 patients referred to a reluctance to extend their recovery by undergoing surgery. Although appreciable, the desire to be discharged from the hospital and to expedite recovery from the initial trauma may prevent patients from fully considering how their injuries and reconstruction could affect the rest of their lives. Three of 4 patients who decided against reconstruction had regrets about this choice at the time of our study. Patients with BPIs, like patients in our study, have serious concomitant injuries that can keep them in the hospital for weeks or months before brachial plexus treatment can be pursued. Coordinating the management of multiple injuries may make it difficult for patients to invest themselves fully in making each choice and could explain why 7 participants in the present study still had unanswered questions about their care. Multiple methods exist to foster patient participation in shared decision making, which is preferred by the majority of adults in the United States.

**TABLE 4. Patient satisfaction with treatment decision stratified by treatment group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>FFMT</th>
<th>Nerve Transfer</th>
<th>No Surgery</th>
<th>Amputation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of patients</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently satisfied with decision</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has regrets</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patient- and family-centered care (PFCC) is one such method; it is a practice model that strives to provide safe, effective, patient-centered, efficient, and equitable care in a timely manner. To meet these goals, practitioners, patients, and families enter into a partnership defined by open sharing of information and cooperation to ensure that medical decisions align with a patient’s goals and values and are evidence based. By involving family members who may offer a valuable, more objective perspective, PFCC could help patients with BPI to consider long-term outcomes that may not seem important during the initial intensive recovery process. Furthermore, the close collaboration of specialists and fortified support network of family and doctors could improve the treatment process. Patient- and family-centered care has been shown to improve outcomes while decreasing costs.

DiGioia et al. presented 4 approaches to integrating PFCC into practice based on their experience at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, which began in the orthopedics department and has since spread to 60 other clinics.
The development of written patient education materials, such as decision aids, could further increase patient participation in decision making and considering all relevant factors, helping to reduce missed opportunities for treatment as a result of insufficient information. Patient decision aids have been shown to increase patient knowledge and the accuracy of risk perceptions, reduce decisional conflict due to poor information and/or a lack of clarity about personal values, and increase the proportion of patients who are active in decision making.

Greater understanding of one’s condition and participation in decision making are also linked to better health outcomes, and written materials, in particular, are associated with greater retention of information. By facilitating open discussion of patient goals for treatment and helping patients to identify important issues, a decision aid could help to reduce misunderstanding and decisional conflict and lead to greater satisfaction with decisions. It could also enhance the delivery of PFCC because patients can take home written materials and discuss them with their families.

Several limitations to our study should be recognized. Most notable is the small and homogeneous sample. Although we were limited by the availability of patients, we believe that 12 participants were sufficient to qualitatively explore themes in the decision-making process; no new concepts were emerging by the end of our analysis, indicating that we had reached the saturation point. The response rate was low but not unexpected. Similarly low response rates have been reported in other qualitative studies.

Complete avulsion BPI is a rare injury that is treated at Level I trauma centers. Many eligible patients do not live nearby, and others have moved since being treated and thus were unreachable. Selection bias toward individuals who had more positive experiences may have also influenced our sample. To make all patients feel comfortable participating, we informed them during recruitment that this study was separate from their clinical care and offered a wide range of dates and times for study appointments.

As with all qualitative research, the subjectivity of investigators and recall bias of participants can affect the findings. To minimize investigator bias, 2 members of the research staff analyzed data independently and came to a consensus on any discrepancies in their coding. Because our purpose was to describe long-term patient satisfaction as well as decision making, we do not believe that recall bias was a major limitation. Brachial plexus injuries have life-long effects, and it is important to understand whether patients are satisfied with their initial treatment decisions over time.

Conclusions

Brachial plexus injuries are traumatic events, and it is ultimately up to the patient to decide whether he or she wants to undergo another physically difficult experience like surgical reconstruction. However, it is imperative for physicians to educate patients about every treatment option and to encourage them to consider how each option fits with their long-term goals, so that patients can make careful, informed decisions without future regret. Our study identified a number of problems with the current decision-making process, including delayed diagnosis, insufficient information in the community, and lack of insurance coverage. Further exploration of these issues is necessary to determine their extent. However, the development of clinical pathways, PFCC models, and a patient decision aid for traumatic BPIs would greatly benefit patients facing the choice of surgical reconstruction and, if made publicly available, could expand access to information beyond interdisciplinary specialty BPI referral centers.
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