Sensory abnormalities and masticatory function after microvascular decompression or balloon compression for trigeminal neuralgia compared with carbamazepine and healthy controls
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OBJECT Idiopathic trigeminal neuralgia (iTN) is a neurological condition treated with pharmacotherapy or neurosurgery. There is a lack of comparative papers regarding the outcomes of neurosurgery in patients with iTN. The objective of this study was to investigate sensory thresholds and masticatory function in 78 patients with iTN who underwent microvascular decompression (MVD) or balloon compression (BC), and compare these treatments with carbamazepine and 30 untreated healthy controls.

METHODS The authors conducted a case-controlled longitudinal study. Patients were referred to 1 of 3 groups: MVD, BC, or carbamazepine. All patients were evaluated before and after treatment with a systematic protocol composed of a clinical orofacial questionnaire, Research Diagnostic Criteria for temporomandibular disorders, Helkimo indices, and a quantitative sensory-testing protocol (gustative, olfactory, cold, warm, touch, vibration, superficial, and deep pain thresholds).

RESULTS Both MVD and BC were effective at reducing pain intensity (p = 0.012) and carbamazepine doses (p < 0.001). Myofascial and articular complaints decreased in both groups (p < 0.001), but only the patients in the MVD group showed improvement in Helkimo indices (p < 0.003). Patients who underwent MVD also showed an increase in sweet (p = 0.014) and salty (p = 0.003) thresholds. The sour threshold decreased (p = 0.003) and cold and warm thresholds increased (p < 0.001) in patients after MVD and BC, but only the patients who underwent BC had an increase in touch threshold (p < 0.001).

CONCLUSIONS Microvascular decompression and BC resulted in a reduction in myofascial and jaw articular complaints, and the impact on masticatory function according to Helkimo indices was greater after BC than MVD. MVD resulted in more gustative alterations, and both procedures caused impairment in thermal thresholds (warm and cold). However, only BC also affected touch perception. The sensorial and motor deficits after BC need to be included as targets directly associated with the success of the surgery and need to be assessed and relieved as goals in the treatment of iTN.
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Idiopathic trigeminal neuralgia (iTN) is a neuropathic pain that affects 1 or more branches of the trigeminal nerve. The diagnostic criteria of iTN are shock-like pain (lasting from a few milliseconds to approximately 2 minutes), trigger points or spontaneous pain, strong intensity, and absence of pain between crises. Despite the good performance of pharmacological treatment for iTN, approximately 75% of patients need neurosurgical intervention. This intervention can alter sensory and masticatory functions, but these abnormalities have not been detailed or compared according to the surgical procedure used.

Microvascular decompression (MVD) is an open surgery that was introduced by Peter Jannetta in 1967. It is considered to have the best long-term results for iTN, with a low rate of recurrence of pain (15%–25% within 3 years) and few collateral effects. The percutaneous balloon compression (BC) of the trigeminal ganglion was introduced by Mullan and Lichtor in 1983. The recurrence rate after BC is 45.4% in 4 years, and there is a high incidence of masticatory complications and sensory deficits after this procedure. Other treatment options for iTN include stereotactic radiosurgery using the Gamma Knife, radiofrequency thermal rhizotomy, and botulinum toxin injections at the trigger zone.

According to the scientific literature, MVD appears to cause fewer sensory alterations than other treatments, although it is more often related to rare but serious complications. However, to our knowledge, there are no studies comparing these techniques in a prospective design, including complete examination of the orofacial area with detailed sensory and functional quantification. Thus, the objective of this study was to investigate the sensory abnormalities and functional masticatory findings in patients with iTN who underwent surgery (MVD or BC) compared with carbamazepine-treated patients and healthy controls. The functional evaluation included instruments to investigate the masticatory function, orofacial and pain characteristics, local and systemic comorbidities and associated factors, and a systematic protocol for quantitative sensory testing at the orofacial region.

### Methods

#### Study Population

In this prospective study, we enrolled 78 patients who received a diagnosis of iTN according to the International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) criteria and were referred from the Orofacial Pain Clinic of the Dentistry Division and the Facial Pain Ambulatory Clinic of the Neurology Division, Hospital das Clinicas, School of Medicine, University of São Paulo, Brazil. All patients had been treated with anticonvulsants and had been receiving between 600 and 1200 mg/day of carbamazepine in the prior 6 months. The patients were evaluated at the Neurosurgery Division of the Psychiatric Institute of the same hospital. Thirty age- and sex-matched healthy controls were also included. These subjects were employees of the hospital or relatives and should not have had any orofacial or body pain complaint.

All patients and controls were informed about the purposes of the study and all signed the informed consent form. The protocol had been approved by the local ethics committee. The exclusion criteria for iTN patients were symptomatic neuralgia (based on clinical evaluation and imaging exams, i.e., CT and/or nuclear MRI), multiple sclerosis or other neurological diseases, and rheumatologic systemic diseases.

#### Group Distribution

The patients were classified using IASP criteria into 1 of 3 groups according to the treatment that was indicated: 1) MVD (18 patients), 2) BC (30 patients), and 3) carbamazepine (30 patients). The patients referred to MVD were selected according to their ages and lack of comorbidities, after the evaluation performed by an experienced neurosurgeon. These patients were treated according to principles outlined by Jannetta. After general anesthesia, the patients were positioned with their heads turned to the side opposite to the pain and a straight incision behind the ear was made. A skull portion of approximately 25–30 mm in diameter was removed, and the dura was opened. The dissection was performed with a microscope to expose the trigeminal nerve. The blood vessel was mobilized and the nerve decompressed. The procedure was performed in all patients by only 1 neurosurgeon (J.C.M.N.). All patients completed the 6-month evaluation period.

The patients in the BC group underwent the technique according to Brown and Mullan. After sedation with midazolam and propofol, and the anesthetic trigeminal nerve block with 2% lidocaine, a Fogarty catheter was percutaneously introduced with a balloon through the foramen ovale to reach the trigeminal ganglion, where it was inflated to form a pear shape, kept in place for a minute, and then removed. Radiology confirmed the position of the balloon. The procedure was performed in all patients by only 1 neurosurgeon (J.C.M.N.). All patients completed the 6-month evaluation period.

Thirty patients who had been treated with 600–1200 mg of carbamazepine per day for at least 6 months were included in the third group. Thirty healthy age- and sex-matched subjects were included in the control group. Demographic data were compared using Pearson’s chi-square test (SPSS version 17.0, SPSS Inc.). There were statistically significant differences in ages (p = 0.006, chi-square test) but not in sex (p = 0.965, 1-way ANOVA). The patients in the MVD group were younger ([mean ± SD] 49.17 ± 13.16 years old) than in the other groups. The mean age of the patients in the BC group was 61.97 ± 10.98 years, compared with 60.83 ± 11.08 years in the carbamazepine group and 60.57 ± 13.54 years in the control group.

The patients and the evaluator could not be blinded to the treatments due to the easy possibility of identification (e.g., area of surgical approach). A control group of healthy subjects free of medication and pain was included because discrete sensory deficits can occur in the natural history of iTN even in patients who do not undergo surgery. In addition, carbamazepine itself acts on the nervous system and can cause sensory abnormalities.

#### Evaluation Schedule

The patients forming the MVD and BC groups were
evaluated according to the following protocol: 1) before treatment (within 60 days); 2) immediately after the treatment (between 6 and 8 days, mean 7 days); 3) 30 days after the treatment (± 7 days); and 4) 6 months after the treatment (± 14 days). The patients in the carbamazepine and control groups were evaluated at 2 different time points, at initial evaluation and then a reevaluation after 6 months. The patients in the carbamazepine and control groups were reevaluated to avoid fluctuations in sensitivity and masticatory abnormalities during the period of study. The means between both evaluations of these groups were considered in the analysis.

**Instruments of Evaluation**

The entire sample was evaluated by the same examiner (M.C.I.), previously trained to use testing tools calibrated by the Orofacial Pain Group of the hospital. Several evaluation tools were used.

The first tool was a clinical evaluation protocol from the Division of Dentistry, Orofacial Pain Clinic, and Group of Oral Medicine and Neuroscience. This protocol consisted of a main complaint, pain characteristics (location, duration, descriptors, intensity according to the visual analog scale [VAS], and causal, alleviation, and aggravation factors); medical history and medications; presence of earache, headache, generalized body pain; and the complete orofacial and dental examination. This instrument allowed the assessment of all orofacial characteristics or complaints present in these patients.

The second tool was Research Diagnostic Criteria (RDC) for temporomandibular disorders (TMDs), Axes I and II (validated version in Portuguese). This tool consists of a protocol for the diagnosis of TMDs, which are myofascial, articular disc, and articular bone diseases of the masticatory system (Axis I), and the evaluation of associated emotional and functional aspects including depression, anxiety symptoms, and mandibular limitations (Axis II).

The third tool was an evaluation of joint, muscular, and orofacial occlusion by Helkimo indices validated for the Portuguese language. This tool consists of a questionnaire that rates the dental occlusion, mandibular movements and their limitations, and clinical dysfunction of and complaints about the masticatory function.

The final tool used was quantitative sensory testing. All participants in the study underwent a standardized protocol of quantitative sensory testing, which consists of tests grouped as follows: gustative and olfactory thresholds, thermal detection thresholds for cold and warm sensations, mechanical detection thresholds for touch and vibration perception, and mechanical pain sensitivity, including superficial and deep pain thresholds.

The facial areas evaluated were the 3 trigeminal branches (front, cheek, and chin). The evaluation was performed bilaterally in all participants. All patients were evaluated in the sitting position, with the head resting on a flat surface, and in a silent room with acoustic sealing on the walls and the door closed. Only the patient and the researcher were in the room. The same researcher evaluated all participants. The patients and controls were instructed to keep their eyes closed during the examination and to concentrate on the stimuli applied. Only the researcher knew the order of the stimuli.

**Gustative Thresholds**

For gustative thresholds, the following 4 substances, corresponding to the 4 tastes, were tested. For each test, 1 drop was applied to the tongue, starting with the lowest concentration, interleaved with 1 drop of distillate water, and the concentration was increased until the participant detected and identified the stimulus. The 4 substances and concentrations used were: 1) sweet (glucose) at 0.01 M, 0.032 M, 0.1 M, 0.32 M, and 1.0 M; 2) salty (sodium chloride) at 0.01 M, 0.032 M, 0.1 M, 0.32 M, and 1.0 M; 3) sour (citric acid) at 0.00032 M, 0.001 M, 0.0032 M, 0.01 M, and 0.032 M; and 4) bitter (urea) at 0.1 M, 0.32 M, 1.0 M, 3.2 M, and 10.0 M.

**Olfactory Thresholds**

For olfactory thresholds, the participants were evaluated with isopropanol solutions in polyethylene bottles interleaved with distillate water, starting with the lowest concentration and increasing until the participant detected the stimulus: 0.09%, 13.0%, 23.0%, 35.0%, 53.0%, and 70.0%.

**Thermal Detection**

Thermal testing was performed using the MSA thermo test device (Somedic). The baseline temperature was 32°C and the contact square area of the thermode was 9 × 9 mm. Cold detection threshold and warm detection threshold were assessed using ramped stimuli at 1°C/sec. The evaluation consisted of 5 measurements for each thermal threshold, and the means and standard deviations were considered for the analysis.

**Mechanical Detection Threshold**

Touch perception was assessed using a set of standardized von Frey filaments with rounded tips 0.5 mm in diameter, applied with an electronic device (IITC). Three measurements in g/mm² were performed and the means and standard deviations were considered for the analysis.

**Vibration Detection Threshold**

Vibration testing was performed using the electronic Vibrameter device (Somedic) with a vibrator weighing 650 g and a contact area of 1 cm², perpendicularly applied to the skin for the threshold detection using ramped stimuli at 1 Hz/sec. The method of calculating the vibration threshold consisted of the mean between the appearance and disappearance thresholds detected by the patient.

**Pressure Pain Perception**

Deep algometry was measured with the electronic pressure algometer (Somedic) with a probe area of 1 cm² that was pressed on the skin with a ramp rate of 50 kPa/sec.

**Superficial Pain Perception**

Superficial algometry was tested using disposable needles of 8 × 10 × 0.5 mm, applied with an electronic device.
(IITC). Three measurements in g/mm² were performed and the means and standard deviations were considered for the analysis.

Statistical Analysis
All data were tabulated and the frequencies and percentages, means, standard deviations, and ranges were compared between the groups. After the initial descriptive evaluation, variables were tested for normal distribution with the Shapiro-Wilk test and Q-Q plots. For quantitative variables with normal distribution we used 1-way ANOVA and analysis of repetitive measures. Post hoc comparisons were calculated using the Tukey test. Nonparametric tests included the Pearson’s chi-square, Fisher’s exact, and McNemar tests. All statistical calculations were performed using SPSS (version 17.0, SPSS Inc.). The level of significance was 5%.

Results
Initial Comparison and Group Matching
Hypertension was observed in 50.1% of the sample, and diabetes in 10.2% (p = 0.129, chi-square test). The groups did not significantly differ according to medication in use (p > 0.05, chi-square test).

The right side (40.7%) and the mandibular branch were the most affected (30.8%), with no statistical differences between the groups (side: p = 0.191, chi-square test; branch: p = 0.528). The mean duration of the disease was 6.0 ± 5.4 years (p = 0.326, 1-way ANOVA). Forty-five percent of the patients reported associated causal factors (dental treatments, stress, and others). Pain was primarily relieved with medication (61.5%); cold weather (35.9%) and emotional stress (28.2%) were aggravating factors (p > 0.05, chi-square test). According to the VAS, the mean pain intensity was 6.0 ± 5.4 (p = 0.519, 1-way ANOVA). Some patients had low pain intensity because all of them were being treated with medication, which could have partially or completely alleviated the symptoms. The most common pain descriptor was shock-like pain (88.5%; p = 0.05, chi-square test). Table 1 shows the pain characteristics of each group at the initial evaluation. The patients referred to neurosurgery had twice as much pain intensity according to the VAS than the patients who continued pharmacological treatment.

There were no significant differences between the groups in relation to dental characteristics (p = 0.137, chisquare test). Seventy percent of this sample was edentulous. The BC group had a greater loss of width in the vertical dimension due to edentulism (40.0%) than the other groups, and 25% of the sample had abnormal occlusion (open bite, cross bite, or deep bite). Periodontal disease was observed in 8 participants (7.4%). The study groups (MVD, BC, and carbamazepine) did not differ in the diagnosis of myofascial pain (p = 0.171, chi-square test), disc displacements (p = 0.731, chi-square test), and articular diseases of the temporomandibular joint (p = 0.545, chi-square test) according to the RDC for TMDs. The control group had more bilateral chewing (p < 0.002, chi-square test), less myofascial pain (p = 0.004, chi-square test), less masticatory discomfort (p < 0.001, chi-square test), and higher maximum mouth opening (p = 0.012) than the other groups.

Longitudinal Comparison
Table 1 shows the comparison of pain characteristics between the groups at different periods of evaluation. The mean pain intensity of the patients referred to neurosurgery (both MVD and BC) was significantly higher than the mean pain intensity of the patients pharmacologically treated. There was a significant reduction in the pain intensity in both groups (MVD and BC) after the surgical procedure (p = 0.012), which was characterized by pain alleviation. However, none of the patients in the BC group had recurrence of pain compared with 2 patients (11.1%) in the MVD group with pain recurrence (no statistical difference). The number of patients with pain descriptors also decreased after both surgical procedures (p < 0.001) as well as carbamazepine doses (p < 0.001).

Myofascial pain complaints and articular abnormalities associated with TMDs were observed in 18.2%–43.3% of the patients in this sample. A reduction in these complaints was noted at the postoperative evaluation conducted 6 months after the surgical procedure in both the MVD and BC groups (p < 0.001). A decrease in all the Helkimo indices occurred only after MVD (p < 0.003; Table 2).

There were no significant differences between the MVD and BC groups related to improvement in emotional and quality of life indices of RDC. Both groups had a significant reduction in the degree of pain severity (p < 0.001), depression trait (p = 0.006), and mean of mandibular limitations (p < 0.001; Table 3).

The following significant differences in the gustative thresholds were observed: high sweet thresholds at the postoperative evaluation after MVD compared with the baseline value and controls (p = 0.014); high salty thresholds at the 7- and 30-day postoperative evaluations after MVD compared with the baseline value and controls (p = 0.003); and decrease in the sour threshold at the 6-month postoperative evaluation compared with the baseline value and controls (p = 0.003; Table 4). The somatosensory evaluation showed significantly higher cold and warm thresholds at the postoperative evaluations (MVD and BC groups) compared with the controls (p < 0.001); significantly higher touch thresholds at the 30-day postoperative evaluation after BC compared with the other groups (p < 0.001); significantly lower vibration thresholds after MVD and BC compared with the carbamazepine and control groups (p < 0.001); and significantly higher cold, warm, and touch thresholds in all patient groups compared with controls (p < 0.001; Table 5).

Discussion
In this study, both MVD and BC were effective for pain relief, reducing the mean pain intensity, pain descriptors, and carbamazepine doses.3,25 Both groups showed similar results for emotional and quality of life variables, reducing the degree of severity, depression index, and limitations in mandibular function (p < 0.001) after the surgery. However, the masticatory function and the mechanical (touch) perception were better preserved after MVD than
There is ample evidence of sensorial interaction between the trigeminal gustative and olfactory systems, which can influence the trigeminal neuralgia and other treatments for trigeminal neuralgia (TN) surgery. There is a lack of comparative studies that have analyzed the outcomes of different types of neurosurgery for iTN, and despite the fact that there are clear criteria for the selection of surgical modalities, for a great number of patients this choice is made according to the available technique.

Historically, sensorial and motor deficits with a neurological etiology that occurred as complications of these neurosurgical modalities have been investigated, as well as their associations with pain alleviation and the success of these procedures. However, there is also an impact of the surgeries on the functionality of the masticatory system not directly associated with the neurological deficit.

The orofacial tissues are directly and indirectly involved in any type of facial pain, including iTN. In this case-controlled longitudinal study, myofascial and articular symptoms in the masticatory system were reduced after MVD and BC and maintained at a lower level after 6 months. However, the masticatory function according to Helkimo indices improved only after MVD. This technique has less impact on orofacial tissues, whereas BC perforates the pterygoid muscles and can cause limited mouth opening. Therefore, the impact on the facial region is minor in MVD, and the presence of mandibular abnormalities should be considered a risk factor in the outcomes of BC.

Myofascial pain is a common consequence of pain chronicization (development of pain memory and permanence of pain for more than 3–6 months). It can be a compensatory mechanism involving the sensory control of the masticatory function to relieving adequate treatment. It is not uncommon for patients with iTN to develop myofascial pain in these patients. The presence of jaw, tooth, and muscle pain in these patients supports the idea that the central nervous system is involved in the development of myofascial pain.

Myofascial pain is also common in patients with iTN surgery. It has been more recently explored in patients with iTN who have undergone surgery for TN. The presence of jaw, tooth, and muscle pain in these patients supports the idea that the central nervous system is involved in the development of myofascial pain.

There was an increase in sweet and salty thresholds after MVD and a decrease in sour thresholds after both types of surgery. MVD generated more abnormalities in gustative thresholds in this study. Since the beginning of the 20th century, taste alteration has been observed after TN surgery. It has been more recently supported by many clinical articles showing abnormal gustative and olfactory functions in patients with orofacial neuropathic pain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>MVD</th>
<th>BC</th>
<th>CBZ Mean*</th>
<th>p Value†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAS pain intensity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>7.22 ± 2.86</td>
<td>8.12 ± 1.9</td>
<td>4.5 ± 4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain descriptors (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 (77.8) shock-like; 4 (22.2) other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache (%)</td>
<td>10 (55.5)</td>
<td>16 (53.3)</td>
<td>23 (76.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized body pain (%)</td>
<td>10 (55.5)</td>
<td>13 (43.3)</td>
<td>17 (56.7)</td>
<td>0.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose of CBZ (mg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>962.5 ± 394.7</td>
<td>840 ± 493.32</td>
<td>557 ± 493</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>200–1600</td>
<td>200–2400</td>
<td>200–2400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CBZ = carbamazepine.
* Initial mean and after 6 months.
† Values obtained using chi-square, Fisher's exact, and McNemar's tests, as well as 1-way ANOVA and analysis of repetitive measures.
‡ All evaluations of MVD/BC compared with CBZ
§ All postoperative evaluations of MVD/BC compared with CBZ
¶ Postoperative 30 days and 6 months (MVD/BC) compared with CBZ.
be demonstrated by the convergence of inputs at the solitary tract, at the trigeminal complex, and in other subcortical areas.

MVD is known as a procedure with little impact on facial sensitivity and few numbness complaints. This study supports these characteristics of MVD by the increase in touch thresholds only in the group of patients who underwent BC. However, both neurosurgical procedures resulted in an increase in cold and warm thresholds. This result can be attributed to neuroplastic phenomena involving subcortical areas related to sensorial interaction, the same mechanisms that underlie the alteration in taste after neurosurgery.\(^30\) The reason why MVD did not produce abnormal mechanical thresholds is not clear and needs further investigation. One possible explanation is the distribution of mechanical nerve fibers in the trigeminal root, which possibly makes small fibers (such as pain and thermal) more prone to injuries than the large ones. This could be, at least in part, the mechanism underlying the effect of MVD on iTN pain.

In the past, it was a universally understood concept that there were no abnormalities in the neurological examination of patients with iTN. More recent studies have shown that discrete tactile and thermal hypoesthesia are present in these patients.\(^6,27,33\) In this study, this thermal hypoesthesia has also been evidenced. The pathophysiological mechanisms of iTN involve membrane abnormal processing with ectopic action potentials and sodium channel alterations located at the entry zone of the trigeminal nerve.\(^28\) This area may present compression (vascular or not) and abnormal deposits of myelin that interfere with the membrane of small fibers such as the ones related to pain and temperature, causing iTN.

MVD could be considered the surgery for iTN with better long-term results for pain and less discomfort generated by sensory impairment, which is shown by the thermal and gustative thresholds. However, when patients are being referred, this needs to be balanced against the bigger short-term risks involved, and the patients’ general health status and age must also be considered. Although BC is safer for the elderly and patients with chronic diseases, its impact on orofacial sensation and function should be measured, assessed, and noted as one of the priorities in the treatment for iTN. Particularly in the period after BC, the recurrence of pain needs to be assessed according to the history of the patients, masticatory limitations, and pain descriptors to determine whether their pain remains iTN related or a musculoskeletal problem due to BC.

### Table 2. Temporomandibular disorders according to RDC and Helkimo indices for each period of evaluation (n = 108)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis (questionnaire)</th>
<th>MVD (%)</th>
<th>BC (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myofascial pain (RDC/TMD)</td>
<td>Preop 7 (38.9)</td>
<td>Postop 30 Days 3 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disc displacement (RDC/TMD)</td>
<td>Preop 3 (16.7)</td>
<td>Postop 30 Days 2 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Articular disease (RDC/TMD)</td>
<td>Preop 6 (33.3)</td>
<td>Postop 30 Days 2 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anamnestic dysfunction (Helkimo)</td>
<td>Preop 15 (83.3)</td>
<td>Postop 30 Days 3 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical dysfunction (Helkimo)</td>
<td>Preop 14 (77.7)</td>
<td>Postop 30 Days 5 (27.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular mobility (Helkimo)</td>
<td>Preop 16 (88.8)</td>
<td>Postop 30 Days 9 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusion dysfunction (Helkimo)</td>
<td>Preop 16 (88.8)</td>
<td>Postop 30 Days 7 (38.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Initial mean and after 6 months.
† Values obtained using the chi-square, Fisher’s exact, and McNemar tests.
‡ Before MVD/BC compared with CBZ.
§ Before MVD compared with CBZ and controls.
¶ Before MVD/BC compared with controls.
** Before MVD/BC compared with 6-month postoperative MVD/BC.

### Table 3. Emotional evaluation by the RDC Axis II for each period of evaluation (n = 108)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emotional Evaluation</th>
<th>MVD</th>
<th>BC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean degree of pain severity ± SD</td>
<td>Mean 3.06 ± 1.0</td>
<td>Mean 2.91 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression (%)</td>
<td>13 (72.2)</td>
<td>25 (83.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical symptoms (%)</td>
<td>13 (72.2)</td>
<td>25 (83.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean mandibular limitations ± SD</td>
<td>80.8 ± 27</td>
<td>82.1 ± 29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## TABLE 4. Mean of gustative and olfactory thresholds for each period of evaluation (n = 108)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>MVD</th>
<th>BC</th>
<th>CBZ Mean†</th>
<th>Control Mean†</th>
<th>p Value‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean olfactory (%)</td>
<td>5.83 ± 5.24</td>
<td>6.4 ± 5.96</td>
<td>7.4 ± 4.4</td>
<td>4.0 ± 3.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop</td>
<td>Postop 7 Days</td>
<td>Postop 30 Days</td>
<td>Postop 6 Mos</td>
<td>Preop</td>
<td>Postop 7 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweet (M)</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.44</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salty (M)</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sour (M)</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitter (M)</td>
<td>2.0 ± 3.71</td>
<td>2.59 ± 3.8</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.39</td>
<td>1.12 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(M) = molar concentration.
* All data given as mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated.
† Initial mean and after 6 months.
‡ Values obtained using 1-way ANOVA and analysis of repetitive measures.
§ Seven-day, 30-day, and 6-month postoperative MVD compared with preoperative MVD and controls.
¶ Seven- and 30-day postoperative MVD compared with preoperative MVD and controls.
** Six-month postoperative MVD compared with preoperative MVD and controls.

## TABLE 5. Cold, warm, touch, vibration, deep pain, and superficial pain thresholds on the side affected for each period of evaluation (n = 108)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>MVD</th>
<th>BC</th>
<th>CBZ Mean†</th>
<th>Control Mean†</th>
<th>p Value‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cold (°C)</td>
<td>4.1 ± 2.2</td>
<td>5.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>6.4 ± 2.9</td>
<td>4.9 ± 2.9</td>
<td>5.78 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warm (°C)</td>
<td>6.7 ± 3.4</td>
<td>6.5 ± 2.1</td>
<td>7.4 ± 4.1</td>
<td>7.37 ± 2.6</td>
<td>8.6 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Touch (g/mm²)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 7.0</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.6 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibration (Hz)</td>
<td>3.9 ± 4.6</td>
<td>3.6 ± 3.6</td>
<td>2.2 ± 2.3</td>
<td>3.19 ± 3.5</td>
<td>3.8 ± 4.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep pain (KPa)</td>
<td>238 ± 85</td>
<td>165 ± 74</td>
<td>171 ± 38</td>
<td>208 ± 101</td>
<td>238 ± 116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial pain (g/mm²)</td>
<td>7.2 ± 5.6</td>
<td>7.5 ± 7</td>
<td>7.28 ± 5</td>
<td>7.05 ± 5.2</td>
<td>9.1 ± 21.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All data given as mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated.
† Initial mean and after 6 months.
‡ Values obtained using 1-way ANOVA and analysis of repetitive measures.
§ All MVD/BC and CBZ compared with controls.
¶ Thirty-day postoperative BC, preoperative MVD/BC and CBZ, compared with controls.
** Thirty-day postoperative BC, preoperative MVD/BC and CBZ, compared with controls.
with iTN treated with medication are not free of risks, and they require periodic hematological examinations to check hepatic function and blood count.\(^4\)

One limitation of this study is the impossibility of age matching. Although MVD is a safe procedure for patients of any age,\(^25\) due to more severe comorbidities in older populations the younger patients were more often referred to MVD. There are age characteristics associated with the masticatory system and sensorial thresholds that could have affected, at least in part, the results obtained by this study. The other variables that could have interfered with the analysis (prevalence of comorbidities, dental pain, and orofacial characteristics) did not present statistically significant differences between the groups. In addition, it was also not possible to make the evaluator or the patients blind to the groups, which also needs to be considered in the analysis of the results.

Another limitation is the effect of carbamazepine on the nervous system, which could alter sensorial perception. This effect was the reason for the inclusion of a control group of patients with iTN with no history of neurosurgery and pharmacotherapy-only treatment.

**Conclusions**

MVD and BC resulted in a decrease in myofascial and jaw articular complaints, and the impact on the masticatory function and mobility was greater after BC than MVD. Myofascial pain relief with a surgical procedure should indicate that this pain could be an effect of central sensitization by chronic pain and iTN. On the other hand, MVD resulted in more gustative alterations, and both procedures caused an increase in thermal thresholds (warm and cold), although only BC also affected touch perception. The numbness complaint of patients who underwent BC is more likely associated with abnormal touch perception, in Schmidek HH, Sweet WH (eds): Operative Neurosurgery 108:259–265, 2008.
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