Role of adjuvant or salvage radiosurgery in the management of unresected residual or progressive glioblastoma multiforme in the pre–bevacizumab era
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OBJECT After initial standard of care management of glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), relatively few proven options remain for patients with unresected progressive tumor. Numerous reports describe the value of radiosurgery, yet this modality appears to remain underutilized. The authors analyzed the outcomes of early adjuvant stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) for unresected tumor or later salvage SRS for progressive GBM. Radiosurgery was performed as part of the multimodality management and was combined with other therapies. Patients continued to receive additional chemotherapy after SRS and prior to progression being documented. In this retrospective analysis, the authors evaluated factors that affected patient overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival.

METHODS Between 1987 and 2008 the authors performed Gamma Knife SRS in 297 patients with histologically proven GBMs. All patients had received prior fractionated radiation therapy, and 66% had undergone one or more chemotherapy regimens. Ninety-six patients with deep-seated unresectable GBMs underwent biopsy only. Of those in whom excision had been possible, resection was considered to be gross total in 68 and subtotal in 133. The median patient age was 58 years (range 23–89 years) and the median tumor volume was 14 cm³ (range 0.26–84.2 cm³). The median prescription dose delivered to the imaging-defined tumor margin was 15 Gy (range 9–25 Gy). The median follow-up duration was 8.6 months (range 1.1–173 months). Cox regression models were used to analyze survival outcomes. Variables examined included age, residual versus recurrent tumor, prior chemotherapy, time to first recurrence, SRS dose, and gross tumor volume.

RESULTS The median survival times after radiosurgery and after diagnosis were 9.03 and 18.1 months, respectively. The 1-year and 2-year OS after SRS were 37.9% and 16.7%, respectively. The 1-year and 2-year OS after diagnosis were 76.2% and 30.8%, respectively. Using multivariate analysis, factors associated with improved OS after diagnosis were younger age (< 60 years) at diagnosis (p < 0.0001), tumor volume < 14 cm³ (p < 0.001), use of prior chemotherapy (p = 0.001), and radiosurgery at the time of recurrence (p < 0.0001). Multivariate analysis showed that younger age (p < 0.0001) and smaller tumor volume (< 14 cm³) (p = 0.001) were significantly associated with increased OS after SRS. Adverse radiation effects were seen in 69 patients (23%). Fifty-eight patients (19.5%) underwent additional resection after SRS. The median survivals after diagnosis for recursive partitioning analysis Classes III, IV and V+VI were 31.6, 20.8, and 16.7 months, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS In this analysis 30% of a heterogeneous cohort of GBM patients eligible for SRS had an OS of 2 years. Radiosurgery at the time of tumor progression was associated with a median survival of 21.8 months. The role of radiosurgery for GBMs remains controversial. The findings in this study support the need for a funded and appropriately designed clinical trial that will provide a higher level of evidence regarding the future role of SRS for glioblastoma patients in whom disease has progressed despite standard management.
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GLIOBLASTOMA multiforme (GBM) is the most common primary brain tumor in adults, with nearly 10,000 cases diagnosed annually in the United States. Unfortunately, despite surgery, adjuvant fractionated radiation therapy (RT), and chemotherapy, the prognosis remains poor. Survival is improved when patients have tumors located in brain areas that allow for maximal resection. Although multimodality management remains largely palliative, median survival times (MSTs) of 14.6 months are now possible. Conventional fractionated RT, to cumulative doses of 60 Gy, is required to improve survival rates, but further dose escalation fails to improve outcomes. Because of the infiltrative nature of glioblastoma, regional recurrence within 2 cm of the initial tumor remains a potent cause of relapse.

Across the world Gamma Knife (Elekta AB) stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) has been used as an adjuvant therapy in more than 40,000 patients with glial neoplasms. Although prior retrospective studies have documented a modest survival advantage with the addition of SRS delivered using various technologies, others have found no added benefit. A single prospective randomized trial by the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG 93–05) found no improvement in overall survival (OS) when various forms of linear accelerator– and Gamma Knife–based SRS were given prior to conventional fractionated RT. That study was not designed to evaluate the potential role of SRS at the time of GBM progression in patients who had received standard of care initial management. The present retrospective report evaluates our single-center SRS experience with glioblastoma patients who underwent multimodality management before the availability of bevacizumab. SRS was added as an option to the treatment plan for patients with unresected tumors and for patients at the time of tumor progression. A small series of patients in whom adjuvant bevacizumab was used was recently published and those patients are not included in the present report.

Methods

Patient Population

We performed a retrospective outcome analysis of 297 consecutive patients with histologically proven GBM. All patients underwent SRS that involved one or more Leksell Gamma Knife Knife SRS technologies between 1987 and 2008. This population represented patients treated in the pre–bevacizumab era. In 96 patients tumors were deep seated and in 201 patients they were lobar. Patients with tumors in accessible lobar locations underwent initial gross-total resection (n = 68) or subtotal (< 90% tumor) resection (n = 133). The 96 patients ineligible for cytoreductive surgery (that is, those whose tumors were located in deep locations such as the basal ganglia, thalamus, or brainstem) underwent stereotactic biopsy. All patients then received fractionated external-beam RT (median dose 60.0 Gy in daily 2.0-Gy fractions). Overall, 197 patients (66%) received concomitant chemotherapy. Patients were referred for adjuvant SRS early in their treatment course if they had imaging evidence of surgically unresected residual disease (n = 144) or later in the treatment course when tumor progression was documented despite standard-of-care management (n = 153).

Tumor progression was identified using serial imaging (both T1-weighted contrast-enhanced and T2-weighted MRI). Patients were eligible for SRS if there was early evidence of unresected tumor or delayed evidence of a progressing tumor. All patients had Karnofsky Performance Scale (KPS) scores ≥ 60. A total of 323 SRS procedures were performed. The median patient age was 58 years (23–89 years).

All patients had serial clinical and imaging evaluations at 10 weeks after SRS and then at 3-month intervals thereafter. Symptomatic adverse radiation effects (AREs) were defined as the development of new neurological symptoms or signs in the absence of overt tumor progression. The University of Pittsburgh institutional review board approved this single-institution, retrospective study. The primary end points of the study were OS after diagnosis and progression-free survival (PFS) after SRS.

Radiosurgical Technique

Our radiosurgical technique has been described in detail in previous reports. In brief, patients underwent application of an imaging-compatible stereotactic head frame followed by CT scanning or high-resolution MRI. The radiosurgery target prescription volume included the contrast-enhanced tumor regions. Gross tumor volume was defined as the paramagnetic contrast-enhanced tumor edge on T1-weighted MR images. It was included in the planned isodose volume. The median gross tumor volume was 14 cm3 (0.26–84.2 cm3). The median prescription dose delivered to the tumor margin was 15.0 Gy (9–25 Gy). The median maximum dose was 26.0 Gy (range 20–50 Gy). The median follow-up duration was 8.6 months (range 1.1–173 months). SRS was delivered in a single procedure using Leksell Gamma Knife models U, B, C, 4C, or Perfexion.

Statistical Analysis

The outcome measures considered were OS after initial diagnosis, OS after SRS, and PFS after SRS. For survival after diagnosis, we used the date of diagnosis until death or last follow-up. The OS after SRS was calculated from the day of the SRS until day of death or last follow-up using the Kaplan-Meier method. We constructed Kaplan-Meier plots for PFS using the dates of SRS and follow-up MRIs. Univariate analysis was performed using log-rank statistics with p < 0.05 set as significant. Variables that were considered to be clinically relevant to prognosis were included in the multivariate models. These variables included patient age, tumor volume, margin dose, extent of initial resection, deep versus lobar location, time between initial diagnosis and SRS, radiosurgery for residual versus recurrent tumor, use of chemotherapy, and KPS. Cox regression models were used to analyze these outcomes. The results of multivariate Cox models are reported as ORs, 95% CIs, and p values. Standard statistical processing software (SPSS, version 15.0, and Prism, version 4.0) was used.
Results

Overall Survival After Diagnosis

At the time of analysis, 265 patients (89%) had died. The MST from the date of diagnosis (on the basis of Kaplan-Meier estimates) was 18.1 months (95% CI 16.86–19.34 months). Multivariate analysis showed that younger age (< 60 years) at diagnosis (p = 0.0001), tumor volume < 14 cm³ (p = 0.001), use of any chemotherapy (p = 0.001), and SRS at the time of recurrence (p < 0.0001) were significantly associated with improved OS after diagnosis.

Median OS after diagnosis was significantly better (p < 0.0001) for patients who underwent SRS for progressive tumors than for those who underwent early SRS for unresected tumor volumes. The MST for patients who underwent later SRS at the time of progression was 21.8 months, whereas it was 14.1 months for patients who underwent early SRS for unresected tumors (Fig. 1).

Univariate analysis showed that the median OS after diagnosis was significantly better (p = 0.007) for patients who had lobar tumors eligible for resection than for patients who had unresectable deep-seated tumors. The MST for patients with resected lobar tumors was 19.1 months (95% CI 17.51–20.69 months) compared with 16.1 months for patients with unresectable deep-seated tumors (95% CI 13.83–18.36 months).

Overall Survival After SRS

The overall MST after SRS was 9.03 months (95% CI 8.1–10.0 months). In the univariate analysis, smaller tumor volume, higher margin dose, SRS for recurrent tumors, post-SRS chemotherapy (vs no chemotherapy), post-SRS temozolomide (vs other chemotherapy agent or no chemotherapy), and resection after SRS were significantly associated with longer survival after SRS.

The MST for patients with tumor volumes < 14 cm³ was 11.2 months (95% CI 17.51–20.69 months) compared with 16.1 months for patients with unresectable deep-seated tumors (95% CI 13.83–18.36 months).

The MST after SRS for patients who received a tumor margin dose ≥ 15 Gy was 12 months (95% CI 9.8–14.2 months) compared to 8.2 months for patients who received a dose < 15 Gy (95% CI 7.4–9.0 months). For patients treated with a margin dose of ≥ 15 Gy, the 6-month, 1-year, 2-year, 3-year, and 5-year survival rates after SRS were 76.7%, 49.0%, 22.6%, 13.1%, and 9.5%, respectively. For patients treated with a margin dose < 15 Gy, the 6-month, 1-year, 2-year, 3-year, and 5-year survival rates after SRS were 65.9%, 32.1%, 13.3%, 5.4%, and 1.6%, respectively. Patients whose tumors received ≥ 15 Gy at the margin had better survivals (p = 0.001).

Median OS was significantly better (p = 0.023) for patients who underwent SRS for progressive tumors (10.2 months [95% CI 8.2–12.2 months]) than for those who underwent early SRS for residual unresected tumor volumes (8.4 months [95% CI 7.3–9.5 months]).

Overall survival was better in patients who were treated with temozolomide after radiosurgery than those patients who were not treated with temozolomide or received other chemotherapy agents (p = 0.025). The MST was 19.3 months (95% CI 9.4–29.1 months) in patients who received temozolomide after radiosurgery and 8.8 months (95% CI 7.9–9.76 months) in those who were not treated with temozolomide. Overall survival was better (12.6 vs 8.4 months) in patients who received any chemotherapy after SRS than those who did not receive chemotherapy (p = 0.004).

Overall survival was better for patients who were eligible for additional resection after radiosurgery (15.4 months [95% CI 12.2–18.67 months]) than it was for patients who...
were not candidates for additional resection (7.5 months [95% CI 6.6–8.4 months]) (p < 0.0001).

In the multivariate analysis, factors associated with improved survival after SRS were age < 60 years (p < 0.001) and tumor volume < 14 cm³ (p < 0.0005) (Table 1).

**Progression-Free Survival**

The median PFS was 4.3 months (95% CI 4.19–5.81). Rates of PFS at 6 months, 1 year, 2 years, 3 years, and 5 years were 45.8%, 29.0%, 19.2%, 15.1%, and 10.6%, respectively. In the univariate analysis a tumor volume < 14 cm³ was associated with relatively better but clinically insignificant PFS (p = 0.011) (Fig. 3). The median PFS for patients with tumors < 14 cm³ was 4.9 months (95% CI 3.08–6.72 months) compared with 4.0 months for patients with tumors ≥ 14 cm³ (95% CI 3.33–4.67 months).

**Imaging Response**

Examination of serial follow-up imaging in 186 patients found that tumor volumes regressed or remained unchanged in 38 patients (20.4%). However, delayed tumor growth was confirmed in 122 patients (65.6%), and distant brain spread was detected in 26 patients (14%). Local tumor control was achieved in 34% of patients and delayed tumor growth was documented in 65.6% patients. Examination of histological specimens obtained at the time of repeat resection in 58 patients revealed persistent tumor presence in 49 patients and tumor plus histopathological evidence of radiation effect in a separate 9 patients.

**Recursive Partitioning Analysis**

The recursive partitioning analysis (RPA) classification has been advocated for GBM clinical trials to compare trial design and outcomes. Recently Li et al. revisited this concept and tested a new RPA classification involving 1672 GBM patients from 5 RTOG trials.22 These authors proposed a modified model of the RPA classification involving only 4 prognostic factors (age, KPS, extent of resection, and neurological status) for 3 prognostic subgroups of patients with GBM. We used the simplified model of the original RPA classification for our analysis. In the present series the median survival rates for Class III, IV and V+VI were 31.6, 20.8, and 16.7 months, respectively (Table 2). For patients with RPA Class III GBM, 1-year, 2-year, 3-year, and 5-year survival rates were 95.2%, 58.2%, 39.7%, and 26.4%, respectively. For patients with RPA Class IV GBM, 1-year, 2-year, 3-year, and 5-year survivals were 75%, 42.5%, 25%, and 7.5%, respectively. For patients with RPA Class V+VI GBM, 1-year, 2-year, 3-year, and 5-year survivals were 72.2%, 22.1%, 7.3%, and 4.3%, respectively. The survival differences among these three classes were statistically significant (Fig. 4).

**Adverse Radiation Effects**

Sixty-nine patients (23%) developed new neurological signs or symptoms associated with imaging changes compatible with AREs. The median time after SRS until the detection of an ARE was 1.7 months. In both univariate and multivariate binary logistic regression models, no variables (dose, tumor volume, timing of SRS compared with RT, and so on) were significantly associated with the development of symptomatic AREs. All patients with suspected AREs received oral corticosteroids. No patient in this series received bevacizumab. Patients with persistent and progressive symptoms underwent resection at the time of progression when their tumor was located in a lobar location.

| TABLE 1. Factors associated with overall survival after diagnosis and SRS |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Factor                      | OS After Diagnosis p Value  | Survival After SRS p Value  |
|                             | Univariate                  | Multivariate                | Univariate                  | Multivariate                |
| Age <60 yrs                 | <0.0001                     | <0.0001                     | <0.0001                     | <0.0001                     |
| KPS score ≥80              | 0.901                       | 0.848                       | 0.296                       |
| Gross-total vs subtotal resection | 0.253                      | 0.413                       |
| Prior chemotherapy        | <0.0005                     | 0.001                       | 0.175                       |
| Lobar vs deep-seated tumor | 0.007                       | 0.593                       |
| SRS for recurrent vs residual tumor | <0.0001                  | <0.0001                     | 0.023                       | 0.370                       |
| SRS tumor margin dose ≥15 Gy | 0.001                      | 0.469                       | 0.001                       | 0.145                       |
| SRS target vol <14 cm³     | <0.0001                     | 0.001                       | <0.0001                     | 0.001                       |

**FIG. 3.** Kaplan-Meier estimate of PFS after radiosurgery comparing patients with tumors smaller than 14 cm³ and patients with tumors 14 cm³ or larger. Smaller tumor volume (< 14 cm³) was associated with better overall PFS (p = 0.011).
Radiosurgery as Part of Planned Radiosurgical Boost Postirradiation Therapy

Survival From Diagnosis

Stereotactic radiosurgery was performed for residual tumors in 144 patients. The MST from the date of diagnosis (on the basis of Kaplan-Meier estimates) was 14.1 months (95% CI 12.3–15.86 months). Factors associated with better survival included younger age, smaller tumors, use of any chemotherapy, and resection after SRS. The MST for patients younger than 60 years was 16.7 months (95% CI 12.8–20.5 months). For patients younger than 60 years the 6-month, 1-year, 2-year, 3-year, and 5-year survival rates from diagnosis were 91.3%, 75.5%, 6.8%, 0%, and 0%, respectively. Younger age (< 60 years) was associated with improved survival after SRS (p < 0.0001). The MST for patients with tumor volumes < 14 cm³ was 16.7 months (95% CI 13.5–19.8 months). For patients with tumor volumes < 14 cm³ the 6-month, 1-year, 2-year, 3-year, and 5-year survival rates from diagnosis were 91.3%, 57.5%, 68.0%, 0%, and 0%, respectively. Younger age (< 60 years) was associated with improved survival after SRS (p < 0.0001). Overall survival was better for patients who were eligible for additional resection after radiosurgery than for patients who were not candidates for additional resection (p < 0.046). The MST for patients who underwent additional resection after radiosurgery was 18.1 months (95% CI 14.6–21.6 months) compared with 12.8 months (95% CI 10.5–15.0 months) for patients who were not eligible to undergo additional resection. Overall survival was better for those who received chemotherapy (p < 0.0001). The MST for patients who underwent chemotherapy was 16.6 months (95% CI 13.6–19.6 months) compared with 11.2 months (95% CI 8.7–13.6 months) for patients who did not receive chemotherapy.

Survival From SRS

The overall MST from SRS was 8.4 months (95% CI 7.3–9.5 months). In the univariate analysis, younger age (< 60 years), smaller tumor volume < 14 cm³, and resection after SRS were significantly associated with better survival after SRS. The MST after SRS in patients younger than 60 years was 9.5 months (95% CI 7.2–11.8). In patients younger than 60 years the 6-month, 1-year, 2-year, 3-year, and 5-year survival rates after SRS were 75.9%, 42.1%, 21.1%, 10.5%, and 2.9%, respectively. The MST for patients with tumor volumes of 14 cm³ or larger was 6.9 months (95% CI 5.68–8.12 months). For these patients, the 6-month, 1-year, 2-year, 3-year, and 5-year survival rates from diagnosis were 58.8%, 22.9%, 2.0%, 0%, and 0%, respectively. Smaller tumor volumes were associated with improved survival after SRS (p < 0.0001). Overall survival after SRS was better for patients who were eligible for additional resection after radiosurgery than for patients who were not candidates for additional resection (p < 0.0001). The MST for patients who underwent addi-

### Table 2. Patient survival after SRS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Frame</th>
<th>OS After Diagnosis</th>
<th>Survival After SRS</th>
<th>Survival for GBMs &lt;14 cm³</th>
<th>PFS After SRS</th>
<th>RPA Class III</th>
<th>RPA Class IV</th>
<th>RPA Class V+VI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 yr</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
<td>95.2%</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>58.2%</td>
<td>42.5%</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>39.7%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (mos)</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 4.** Kaplan-Meier estimate of OS after diagnosis comparing patients in modified RPA Classes III, IV, and V+VI. Survival was significantly better for patients with RPA Class III GBMs.
tional resection after radiosurgery was 12.57 months (95% CI 9.8–15.3 months) compared with 7.17 months (95% CI 6.19–8.14 months) for patients who were not eligible to undergo additional resection.

Progression-Free Survival
The median PFS was 4.4 months (95% CI 3.17–5.62). None of the variable tested affected the PFS significantly.

Discussion
The Challenge of Glioblastoma
Glioblastoma multiforme is the most common primary malignant brain tumor in adults. Despite advances in surgical and postoperative radiotherapy techniques, innovative strategies are needed to improve the otherwise dismal prognosis for patients with unresected and progressive tumors. Initial maximal safe cytoreductive surgery in eligible patients (usually those with lobar tumors) improves survival when it is followed by subsequent fractionated radiotherapy and chemotherapy. While data that include all patients with glioblastoma regardless of location have shown little improvement in overall median survival during the last 25 years, carefully selected subgroups may have meaningful improvement in survival. Patients eligible for resection, RT, chemotherapy, repeat resection, and additional multimodality management have increased the rates of longer-term survival.

After histological diagnosis by biopsy (for deep-seated tumors) or cytoreductive surgery (usually for lobar tumors), fractionated external-beam radiotherapy has become a critical standard of care needed to extend survival. If RT is not added, little benefit from aggressive cytoreductive surgery is realized. In prospective trials its benefit has been a more than doubling of longer-term survival. During the last 25 years, only two long-term advances have been validated in comprehensive prospective clinical trials. The addition of temozolomide during radiotherapy and for some months thereafter prolonged survival and significantly increased MSTs but only by an average of 2.5 months. Westphal et al. demonstrated a statistical survival benefit in patients eligible for multimodality management that included reoperation and placement of Gliadel wafers. The median increase in survival was 1.5 months.

The Potential Benefit of SRS
Stereotactic radiosurgery is a precise surgical technology that delivers, in a single procedure, a concentrated radiation effect to an imaging-defined target volume. Definition of the target volume—whether the contrast-enhanced volume or the high T2 signal—remains one of the major remaining technical difficulties in the case of malignant glioma. Others have used PET to assist targeting. Tumor infiltration within the volume of tissue adjacent to the contrast-enhancing volume (estimated by the T2 volume) makes the final target estimate difficult. Using various radiosurgical technologies, prior publications have reported MSTs after glioblastoma radiosurgery that range from 7.5 to 30 months. Such variance has led to significant confusion both to the role of SRS and its benefit. The only Level I prospective randomized trial that evaluated SRS for malignant glioma used a paradigm not commonly employed in practice (i.e., upfront SRS before completion of what is generally thought to be the standard of care: surgery when feasible [otherwise biopsy], fractionated RT, and concomitant carmustine [BCNU] chemotherapy). The radiosurgical methodology in this RTOG trial used both the Gamma Knife and linear accelerators to deliver various SRS doses. This study was not designed to evaluate the role of SRS either early after standard-of-care management or at the time of tumor progression. The treatment paradigm employed in the present report was designed to provide initial phase I/II data related to the use of SRS in the context of current management concepts. The fact that more than 40,000 patients worldwide have undergone glioma SRS for tumor recurrence would support the need for additional knowledge related to its value.

Selection Bias
Selection bias (both positive and negative) has been implicated as one of the factors that explains the variance in OS after radiosurgery. At our center we strive to identify those patients for whom aggressive multimodality management is likely to have the greatest benefit. In patients eligible for aggressive cytoreductive surgery, fractionated radiotherapy, concomitant temozolomide, and, when possible, additional cytoreductive surgery, the rate of 18-month, 2-year, and 5-year survival has significantly increased. To date, there has been no Level I study that evaluates the benefit of radiosurgery in a clinically relevant paradigm. We believe that the assessment of the role of SRS should be done after standard-of-care radiotherapy and chemotherapy have been delivered. In the present study this included patients who underwent SRS early in the treatment course for unresected tumors or later at the time of tumor progression. We suspect that selection bias improves outcomes in patients eligible for SRS because they have smaller tumor volumes. We believe that it is exactly such patients who should consider the most aggressive interventions and who may gain the greatest dividend. A similar bias exists to define those patients appropriate for surgical resection.

Other SRS Studies for Patients With Glioblastoma
To study the effect of selection bias, Lustig et al. followed a cohort of patients who were considered eligible for radiosurgery and compared them to a cohort of patients who were not deemed eligible for radiosurgery. These investigators noted no difference in OS between the cohorts. On the other hand, Mahajan et al. performed a case-control study and concluded that patients with recurrent glioblastoma who undergo SRS require fewer surgical procedures and have longer survivals than do controls. In contrast, other studies have shown the potential benefit of SRS in the management of recurrent glioblastoma. Kondziolka et al. reported the initial outcome of 64 patients with malignant glioma who underwent radiosurgery at the University of Pittsburgh. In this study, the MST after radiosurgery was 21 months, and the 2-year survival rate was 51%. An MST of 30 months was noted in patients who underwent SRS at the time of tumor progression.
underwent SRS. Overall MST was 16.2 months. The authors reported that patients treated at the time of progression had significantly longer MSTs than those who were treated as part of the initial treatment paradigm (7.4 vs 15.1 months). Survival after SRS was not different between the two patient groups. Shrieve et al. reported on 86 patients who underwent linear accelerator–based SRS at the time of tumor recurrence or progression. The median actuarial survival was 10.2 months and the 1-year and 2-year OS rates were 45% and 19%, respectively. In this study, age and tumor volume were considered prognostic factors. Villavicencio et al. studied the response to radiosurgery in 36 patients using the CyberKnife in a multicenter study. The MST after early CyberKnife radiosurgery was 11.5 months compared with 24 months for patients treated at the time of tumor recurrence or progression.

Relatively few studies have attempted to evaluate the value of SRS in combination with adjuvant chemotherapy for recurrent glioblastoma. Larson et al., in a prospective study of SRS in conjunction with the agent Marmimastat, showed no survival advantage for patients with recurrent glioblastoma. Trials have shown that multimodality treatment involving radiosurgery, RT, and chemotherapy is feasible and relatively well tolerated.

The RPA Classification System

Curran et al. performed the original RPA of prognostic factors in three RTOG trials more than 2 decades ago. This analysis included 1578 patients with GBM or anaplastic astrocytoma and generated 6 prognostic classes (Classes I and II for anaplastic astrocytoma, and Classes III–VI for GBM). The reproducibility of this RPA classification system has been verified using cases from the later RTOG trial. Li et al. combined Classes V and VI of the original RPA classification because the survivals in these classes were not statistically different. This modified model was easier to apply because it involves only 4 variables: age, KPS, extent of resection, and neurological status. Applying this simplified model to the GBM database that included 1672 GBM patients, these authors reported MSTs of 17.1, 11.2, and 7.5 months for Classes III, IV, and V+VI, respectively. In comparison with these historical data, MSTs in the present study were 31.6, 21.3, and 16.7 months for Classes III, IV, and V+VI, respectively.

In a recent report, Sikie et al. studied clinical outcomes of 77 patients with recurrent GBM. Thirty-two patients underwent Gamma Knife SRS, 26 underwent reoperation, and 19 underwent both procedures. These authors showed that patients who underwent SRS had significantly longer survivals than did those who underwent repeat resection. The present study describes our experience in treating a larger number of glioblastoma patients who underwent adjuvant early SRS for residual tumors or later at the time of progression. We noted that the MST after SRS was 9.03 months after radiosurgery and 18.1 months after diagnosis. The 1-year and 2-year OS rates were 37.9% and 16.7%. The 1-year and 2-year OS rates after diagnosis were 76.2% and 30.8%. Of patients eligible to undergo this multimodality management strategy, we found that 30% of those selected for SRS had an OS of 2 years. Adverse radiation effects were noted in 23% of patients and were generally manageable by a temporary increase in corticosteroid therapy. Our center has also reported the potential benefit of combined SRS and bevacizumab, an agent that may enhance the benefit of SRS and limit the risk of AREs.

Weaknesses of the Present Study

This study includes a heterogeneous patient population, but it represents a cross-section of the nature of glioblastomas encountered in routine practice. Thus, both patients with lobar tumors eligible for cytoreductive surgery and patients with deep-seated unresectable tumors are included. Many patients received different oncological management therapies during the course of their treatment. Many received chemotherapies as part of clinical trials using drugs that were later shown to be ineffective. It is difficult to sort out the contribution of an individual therapy in patients who undergo multimodal therapies. In addition, potential biases that could affect the outcome include selection bias, dose selection based on tumor size, and a tendency to pursue an aggressive management option more often in younger patients with better performance scores.

Conclusions

This 21-year experience suggests that SRS in carefully selected glioblastoma patients had a favorable survival benefit and was well tolerated. It was associated with a relatively low risk of AREs and represents a noninvasive additional option in a clinical situation in which few options exist. The current study is the largest single-center patient series that examines the role of SRS for glioblastoma, both early after diagnosis for residual unresected tumor and later at the time of tumor progression noted after failure of standard of care management. Important prognostic variables included a tumor volume of less than 14 cm³, a dose at the edge of the tumor volume of 15 Gy or greater, younger age, and resectable tumor or lobar tumor location.

During this clinical experience, our neurooncology group evaluated numerous chemotherapy and several immunotherapy approaches for GBM as part of both single- and multicenter trials. Most proved ineffective. Given the numerous publications that suggest the benefit of radiosurgery in properly selected patients, we think that brain tumor teams should focus more attention on rigorously evaluating radiosurgery. We believe that a well-designed prospective clinical trial of radiosurgery at the time of tumor recurrence is warranted. A Phase I/II study of SRS and bevacizumab for management of recurrent glioblastoma will begin in 2014 under the auspices of the North American Gamma Knife Consortium.
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