A 3D endoscopic transtubular transcallosal approach to the third ventricle
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OBJECT Surgical approaches to deep-seated brain pathologies, specifically lesions of the third ventricle, have always been a challenge for neurosurgeons. In certain cases, the transcallosal approach remains the most suitable option for targeting lesions of the third ventricle, although retraction of the fornices and wall of the third ventricle have been associated with neuropsychological and hypothalamic deficits. The authors investigated the feasibility of an interhemispheric 3D endoscopic transcallosal approach through a minimally invasive tubular retractor system for the management of third ventricular lesions.

METHODS Three-dimensional endoscopic transtubular transcallosal approaches were performed on 5 preserved cadaveric heads (10 sides). A parasagittal bur hole was placed using neuronavigation, and a tubular retractor was inserted under direct endoscopic visualization. Following observation of the vascular structures, fenestration of the corpus callosum was performed and the retractor was advanced through the opening. Transforaminal, interforniceal, and transchoroidal modifications were all performed and evaluated by 3 surgeons.

RESULTS This approach provided enhanced visualization of the third ventricle and more stable retraction of corpus callosum and fornices. Bayonetted instruments were used through the retractor without difficulty, and the retractor applied rigid, constant, and equally distributed pressure on the corpus callosum.

CONCLUSIONS A transtubular approach to the third ventricle is feasible and facilitates blunt dissection of the corpus callosum that may minimize retraction injury. This technique also provides an added degree of safety by limiting the free range of instrumental movement. The combination of 3D endoscopic visualization with a clear plastic retractor facilitates safe and direct monitoring of the surgical corridor.
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other clinical factors, the transcallosal approach may provide an optimal surgical corridor to the third ventricle. However, neuropsychological deterioration, memory deficit, and perioperative hypothalamic complications due to injury of the fornices, mammillary bodies, and/or hypothalamus have been reported to occur with this microsurgical approach. Endoscope-assisted interhemispheric approaches for corpus callosotomy have been proposed for use in epilepsy surgery, but endoscopic transtubular approaches to the third ventricle through the transcallosal corridor have yet to be described. We investigate the feasibility of a 3D endoscopic transtubular interhemispheric transcallosal approach to the third ventricle.

Methods

Three-dimensional endoscopic interhemispheric transcallosal approaches with transseptal interforniceal, transfornical, and transchoroidal modifications were performed through a tubular retractor system on 5 preserved cadaveric heads injected with colored latex—red for arteries and blue for veins (Fig. 1). A total of 15 procedures were performed, with each modification performed 5 times. Dissections were completed using 0° and 45° 2D optics (4-mm diameter, 18-cm length; Karl Storz Endoscopy-America, Inc.) and 0° 3D optics (VSIII; Visionsense, Ltd.). Images were recorded and stored using the Karl Storz AIDA system and the Visionsense software, respectively. An Anspach eMax 2 Plus (Synthes, Inc.) electric neurosurgical drill was used.

Neuronavigation

For image-guided neuronavigation, 6 skin markers (fiducials) that appear on CT scans were affixed to the cranium. One-millimeter spiral CT axial slices were obtained (Biograph TruePoint PET•CT, Siemens AG) and transferred to the neuronavigation workstation (Kolibri Image-Guided Surgery Platform, Brainlab AG) for spatial registration.

Transcallosal Approach

Three-point fixation was achieved with the head positioned supine with 30° neck flexion. Registration with the neuronavigation was completed and the software was used to identify the ideal entry point and trajectory to the third ventricle (Fig. 2). The boundaries of the superior sagittal sinus were identified and digitally marked. Following a linear incision, a 1.5- to 2-cm midline parasagittal bur hole was fashioned 1–2 cm lateral to the midline. A mini-craniotomy was used on one specimen to compare it with the keyhole option.

The edge of the superior sagittal sinus was exposed and the dura was opened in a semicircular fashion and retracted medially over the sinus. The bridging veins were carefully exposed and preserved as much as possible. Initial dissection of the interhemispheric fissure was completed under 2D endoscopic magnification. The endoscope was then inserted into the interhemispheric fissure and a thorough arachnoid dissection was performed to facilitate safe insertion of the tubular retractor. The tubular retractor system (12-mm width × 8-mm height × 70-mm length, ViewSite Brain Access System [VBAS], Vycor Medical, Inc.) consisted of an introducer inside a working channel port (Fig. 3). The tubular retractor was mounted onto a self-retaining snake retractor (Mizuho America, Inc.) and advanced gently into the interhemispheric fissure (Fig. 4A).

The conical shape of the retractor allowed for safe and smooth insertion into the supracallosal space, and the peripheral anatomy was easily observed through the transparent walls of the retractor (Fig. 4B). The small opening at the tip of the introducer was used to dissect arachnoid obstructions to allow advancement with bayonetted microsurgical, endoscopic, and tube shaft instruments (Fig. 4C). Preservation of most of the bridging veins was accomplished. If separation of a bridging vein was necessary during advancement of the retractor, the introducer was temporarily removed to permit expanded access.

The cingulate gyrus, the A4 segment of the anterior cerebral artery and its pericallosal branches, and ultimately the corpus callosum were identified (Fig. 5A and B). The tip of the retractor was advanced just beyond the vascular structures toward the corpus callosum to provide visible retraction of the vessels (Fig. 5C). The pericallosal arteries were gently mobilized and moved to either one or both sides of the retractor’s tip, depending on the surgeon’s preference (Fig. 5A and B). The snake retractor was locked in place, the introducer was removed, and the endoscope—attached to a holding arm—was inserted into the tubular retractor. To avoid thermal injury, the endoscope was advanced to a point approximately 5 mm above the tip of the introducer. An approximately 1-cm superficial corpus callosotomy was fashioned using bayonetted bipolar cautery and endoscopic microinstruments (Fig. 6). The introducer was then reinserted and the retractor was carefully advanced through the fenestration to provide progressive blunt dilation of the corpus callosum (Fig. 7 upper). The ependymal walls of the lateral ventricle and septum pellucidum were observed (Fig. 7 lower).

Transthoraminal Modification

With the aid of neuronavigation, the retractor was angulated slightly toward and inserted into the lateral ventricle of interest through the ependyma. The ventricular walls were explored using a 0° angled endoscope and the thalamostriate vein, septal vein, foramen of Monro (FM), fornix, and choroid plexus were visualized with 30° and 45° endoscopic probes (Fig. 8). The tubular retractor was centered over the FM, and angled bayonetted microdissector tips were inserted through the FM into the third ventricle (Fig. 9). Minimal superomedial retraction was applied to the fornix.

Transchoroidal Modification

The choroid plexus was mobilized and a 1-cm incision was fashioned starting from the FM, crossing the tenia fornixicis, and extending to the subchoroidal fissure (Fig. 10 upper). Entry into the third ventricle was achieved lateral to the choroid plexus and caudal to the thalamostriate vein (Fig. 10 lower). The endoscope was advanced into the third ventricle, passing between the internal cerebral veins. Division of the thalamostriate vein was performed in 2 cases to facilitate retraction.
Transseptal Interforniceal Modification

The 2 leaves of the septum pellucidum were separated at the midline by using blunt dissection, the raphe fornices were exposed and cut, and the fornices were separated by approximately 12 mm (Fig. 11 upper). The septal veins were not disturbed, minimal retraction was applied to the fornices, and the endoscope was advanced into the third ventricle between the fornical columns (Fig. 11 lower).

Removal of the Retractor

After removal of the retractor from the corpus callosum, the fenestration was visualized and inspected, and the pericallosal arteries were carefully explored for possible injury.

Assessment of Exposure and Maneuverability

The degree of exposure of the important surgical land-
marks was quantitatively evaluated and surgical maneuverability was qualitatively assessed for each approach and scored by 3 neurosurgeons (Tables 1 and 2). Exposure within the third ventricle was measured mediolaterally (between the medial walls of the thalamus) and anteroposteriorly (from the cerebral aqueduct to the infundibular recess) by using the neuronavigation pointer through the tubular retractor in each modification (Fig. 4A; Table 3). Surgical maneuverability was assessed to evaluate the possibility of performing bimanual surgical maneuvers on the exposed structures and within the third ventricle by using a combination of microinstruments, suction, and/or tube shaft instruments through the tubular retractor.

**Results**

**Tubular Retractor**

The conical shape of the retractor greatly facilitated its insertion and advancement, and its transparent walls provided excellent visualization of the peripheral anatomy—especially the pericallosal arteries. Small suction, microinstruments, and tube shaft instruments were all used through the retractor without difficulty. A neuronavigation pointer was also easily used through the retractor, and irrigation was applied through the retractor as needed without difficulty.

**Transforaminal Modification**

Excellent visualization of the lateral ventricles was achieved (Table 3), including visualization of the lateral walls, which are difficult to see microscopically. Key landmarks in the lateral ventricle were identified, including the choroid plexus, medial wall of thalamus, septal vein, and thalamostriate vein. The 30° and 45° angled endoscopes provided excellent visualization of the medial aspect of the lateral ventricle and of the FM. Angled dissectors were passed through the FM under direct endoscopic view and without difficulty. Neuronavigation was necessary in determining the optimal angle of insertion for the tubular retractor. An optimal trajectory into the lateral ventricle based on the specimen’s specific anatomy was of paramount importance for achieving entry into the FM. All 3 surgeons scored this approach a “4” (multiaxial exposure and facilitated surgical maneuverability), the maximum possible score; although surgical maneuverability was slightly limited around the floor of the third ventricle (Table 2). Because of its surgical route, this approach is optimal for accessing pathologies localized near the FM and for lesions that distend the body of the third ventricle and raise its roof.

**Transchoroidal Modification**

The choroid plexus, choroidal fimbria, and FM were identified after the retractor was inserted into the lateral ventricle. Following entry into the third ventricle and identification of the internal cerebral veins, the microinstruments were able to reach the contralateral side of the ventricle. The use of angled endoscopes was particularly beneficial in this approach, which also scored very high for exposure and surgical maneuverability except, as in other nonmidline approaches, for structures located at the floor of the third ventricle (Tables 2 and 3). Neuronavigation was extremely helpful for positioning and repositioning of the tubular retractor as needed during the procedure.

**Transseptal Interforniceal Modification**

Separation of the septal leaves was accomplished with...
After opening the roof of the third ventricle through the fornical columns, the interthalamic adhesion—helpful for anatomical orientation and identification of the walls of the ventricle—was clearly visualized in all but one of the specimens. Accurate identification and bilateral displacement of the fornices was challenging, but was eased by use of the magnification provided by the endoscope. A curved dissector could be passed under the interthalamic adhesion without difficulty. Excellent visualization of the floor and lateral walls of the third ventricle was provided by a 0° endoscope. Surgical maneuverability was facilitated within the third ventricle, and a 30° endoscope enabled visualization of its posterior aspect. The 45° endoscope allowed for exploration of the full length of the FM as well as visualization of the opening of the cerebral aqueduct and the suprapineal recess in all specimens (Tables 2 and 3). Because of its trajectory, the interforniceal approach is not dependent on the size of the FM, and provides adequate access along a straight axis to the anterior portion and floor of the third ventricle. This approach is therefore most suitable for lesions primarily located in the inferior part of the third ventricle.

**Discussion**

Three categories of approaches have traditionally been used to access third ventricular lesions: endoscopic transcortical, microscopic transcortical, and transcallosal. Access to the third ventricle is virtually impossible without incising at least some neural structures. Determinants in selecting the best surgical route to a third ventricular lesion include lesion characteristics, location, pathology, size, relationship to adjacent structures, size of the lateral ventricles, and the surgeon’s preferences. Other approaches, including the pterional, subfrontal, and subtemporal, are becoming less frequently used to access this area. Lesions affecting this part of the brain can be broadly categorized as intra- or extraaxial tumors (astrocytoma, ependymoma, colloid cyst, craniopharyngioma, and so on), congenital anomalies, and vascular malformations.

The microscopic transcortical transventricular approach, which normally involves the opening or enlargement of the FM by opening the choroidal fissure, is traditionally used to approach lesions facing or protruding through the foramen. One of the main concerns with this approach is its risk of postoperative seizures, although several studies have contradicted previous findings of increased postoperative seizure activity.

Use of the endoscope in the transcortical approach has gained increasing popularity, although most surgeons prefer relatively large lateral ventricles when considering this type of approach. Some studies have also reported colloid cyst recurrence rates of up to 11.4% following endoscopic resection, most likely due to cyst residue in 62% of cases at the time of resection. Use of 3D endoscopy has helped by adding functional depth perception and facilitating anatomical understanding.

The classic interhemispheric transcallosal approach
and its variants—transforaminal, transforaminal with unilateral incision of the column of the fornix, interfornical, subchoroidal, and transchoroidal—are favorable options when ventriculomegaly is not a factor.6,24,37 For the purposes of this study, the transforaminal with unilateral incision of the fornix and subchoroidal variants were not included due to their rarity in clinical practice.

Although the transcallosal approach offers direct access to the third ventricle with minimal cortical resection, it also carries a risk of postoperative cognitive and memory deficits in the event of bilateral fornical injury. Although disconnection syndrome has been attributed to callosotomy and transection of a large number of fibers, recent data support the role of the supracallosal gyrus in cognitive disturbances caused by the transcallosal approach.17 Peltier et al. conducted a detailed neurocognitive assessment in 8 patients who underwent a transcallosal approach, and showed higher incidences of dysexecutive syndrome and disturbances in interhemispheric transfer of learning in this small cohort of patients.25 As detailed in Mizowaki et al., a study published in 1982 by Apuzzo et al. reported a 33% risk of transient memory loss following this approach in a series of cases.24,36 Additionally, manipulation of the walls of the third ventricle could cause hypothalamic dysfunction and manifest as disturbances of consciousness, temperature control, respiration, and/or pituitary hormone status.28

FIG. 6. Fenestration of the corpus callosum. Upper: A small opening in the corpus callosum was fashioned prior to advancement of the tubular retractor. Lower: The callosal arteries and a small feeding artery to the corpus callosum were visualized and safely retracted.

FIG. 7. Advancement of the tubular retractor through the callosotomy. Upper: Passage of the retractor tip through the fenestration in the corpus callosum. Lower: The ependymal lining of the lateral ventricles was observed.
Since the introduction of retractors into neurosurgery by Greenberg in 1981, their use has been the subject of much debate. There have been several reports on the potentially deleterious effects of retractors on cortical microcirculation and resultant neural damage. Rosenørn and Diemer found an increased incidence of cortical damage from retractors held in place for more than 15 minutes with 20 mm Hg of pressure in a rat model. Additionally, systemic intraoperative factors, such as hypotension and blood loss, can increase vulnerability to retractor-mediated cortical ischemia. Whereas some authors have advocated the benefits of retractorless surgery, others have sought an alternative that provides surgical maneuverability while minimizing its adverse effects.

In 1988, Kelly et al. first used a tubular retractor system mounted to a stereotactic frame for intraparenchymal tumor debulking. Since then, the use of frameless stereotactic techniques combined with minimally invasive tubular retractor systems has shown evidence of faster recovery times and lower morbidity rates. In recent years there have been several reports on cranial applications of tubular retractors. In 2008, Harris et al. reported on the successful use of “ventricuoloport,” a modified thoracic port with a diameter of 11.5 cm, as a conduit for endoscope-assisted intraparenchymal tumor resection. In 2008, Dorman used modified spinal sequential dilators to resect 2 intraxial tumors. Additional studies have successfully examined stereotactic transcortical retractor-guided resection of colloid cysts and other intraventricular lesions.

The use of tubular retractors in cranial surgery has been preliminarily shown to reduce pressure on cortical surfaces, minimize damage to white matter tracts, and potentially reduce intraoperative injury caused by surgical instruments. The retractor exerts reduced (compared with brain spatulas) and symmetrically distributed pressure as low as less than 10 mm Hg onto impacted surface areas, reducing the risk of neuronal damage. Additionally, the blunt tip of the retractor is designed to provide progressive dilation that minimizes retraction injury to white matter tracts.

Postoperative MRI data, obtained following use of the tubular retractor, have shown minimal T2/FLAIR changes along the surgical corridor, indicating minimal tissue damage and ischemia. Furthermore, by limiting the range of instrument movement and protecting the surrounding tissue from instruments within the working channel, the tubular retractor may reduce inadvertent injury to tissues during insertion or removal of instruments.

In this study, the VBAS provided a transparent structure that allowed for visual hemostatic monitoring of the retracted cortex throughout the procedure. The VBAS, a commercially available retractor system, has been previously used in transcortical approaches. In comparison with transcortical endoscopic surgery, our technique facilitates controlled removal of ventricular CSF by suction and formation of an air medium that provides better...
We hypothesize that the use of this retractor may, in addition to the aforementioned benefits, reduce the risk of thermal injury to surrounding tissues caused by the endoscope light or electrocautery. Furthermore, when compared with freehand manipulation of the retractor, we believe that use of the self-retaining snake retractor may also decrease the risk of parietal cortex injury from torque effect.

The 12 mm width × 8 mm height × 70 mm length VBAS was found to be most suitable for this study. There was sufficient space within this retractor to allow for simultaneous placement of the endoscope and a small suction aspirator, microinstrument, or tube shaft instrument. Instruments could be interchanged easily and quickly—with the surrounding tissues protected from accidental injury. If the use of a larger instrument or device is necessary, a retractor with a larger distal port size may be used. Tube shaft instruments and long endoscopic instruments were most efficient geometrically and ergonomically, and provided more space for movement within the retractor. Development of instruments specifically designed to accompany this system could contribute to the safety of this technique.

Future designs of this system, specifically for the transcallosal approaches, may benefit from additional lengths, diameters, and shapes. A separate port for the endoscope within the retractor could be advantageous, but risks restricting the endoscope’s range of movement. Although a neuronavigation pointer was used without difficulty here, a retractor with integrated neuronavigation markers would greatly ease insertion and enable constant monitoring of position and trajectory relative to anatomical landmarks.

Use of the 3D endoscope provided valuable stereoscopic perception, but surgeons should be familiar with the associated transtubular anatomy, as well as the use of

### TABLE 1. Exposure score definitions*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Limited exposure; surgical maneuvers are not possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Multiangled exposure; surgical maneuvers are difficult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Limited exposure; surgical maneuvers are possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Multiangled exposure; surgical maneuvers are facilitated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based on Bernardo et al., 2013.
The endoscopic transtubular transcallosal approach is a valid and potentially safer option for the management of third ventricular lesions. The tubular retractor provides rigid, constant, and symmetrically distributed pressure on the corpus callosum that may minimize retraction injury. Furthermore, this technique provides an added degree of safety by limiting the free range of instrumental movement. The combination of 3D endoscopic visualization and a clear plastic retractor facilitates safe direct monitoring of the corridor during and after insertion.

Conclusions

The endoscopic transtubular transcallosal approach is a valid and potentially safer option for the management of third ventricular lesions. The tubular retractor provides rigid, constant, and symmetrically distributed pressure on the corpus callosum, and allows for progressive blunt dilatation of the corpus callosum that may minimize retraction injury. Furthermore, this technique provides an added degree of safety by limiting the free range of instrumental movement.
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### Table 2. Mean surgical exposure and maneuverability scores*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical Structure</th>
<th>Approach Variant &amp; Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transforaminal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choroid plexus of third ventricle</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial wall of thalamus</td>
<td>4 (contralat)/3 (ipsilat)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infundibular recess</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammillary bodies</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foramen of Monro</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tela choridea</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuber cinereum</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral aqueduct</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fornical columns</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interthalamic adhesion</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The 30° or 45° angle endoscopes were used for visualization of structures via the transforaminal and transchoroidal approaches.

### Table 3. Mean measurements of surgical exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach Variant</th>
<th>Anteroposterior*</th>
<th>Medial†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transforaminal‡</td>
<td>26.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transchoroidal‡</td>
<td>26.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transseptal Interforneical</td>
<td>27.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Measured from the cerebral aqueduct posteriorly to the infundibular recess anteriorly.
† Measured between the medial walls of the thalamus.
‡ With the use of 30° or 45° angle endoscopes.