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Object. Resection of vestibular schwannoma (VS) after Gamma Knife surgery (GKS) is infrequently performed. The goals of this study were to analyze and discuss the neurological outcomes and technical challenges of VS resection and to explore strategies for treating tumors that progress after GKS.

Methods. In total, 708 patients with VS underwent GKS between 1993 and 2012 at Taipei Veterans General Hospital. The post-GKS clinical courses, neurological presentations, and radiological changes in these patients were analyzed. Six hundred patients with imaging follow-up of at least 1 year after GKS treatment were included in this study.

Results. Thirteen patients (2.2%) underwent microsurgery on average 36.8 months (range 3–107 months) after GKS. The indications for the surgery included symptomatic adverse radiation effects (in 4 patients), tumor progression (in 6), and cyst development (in 3). No morbidity or death as a result of the surgery was observed. At the last follow-up evaluation, all patients, except 1 patient with a malignant tumor, had stable or near-normal facial function.

Conclusions. For the few VS cases that require resection after radiosurgery, maximal tumor resection can be achieved with modern skull-based techniques and refined neuromonitoring without affecting facial nerve function.

(http://thejns.org/doi/abs/10.3171/2014.8.GKS141312)
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STEREOTACTIC radiosurgery (SRS) is now the most commonly used treatment modality for vestibular schwannoma (VS). Modern SRS series have consistently observed rates of tumor control and facial nerve function preservation of > 90% in follow-up periods ranging from 2.6 to 12.5 years. Rates of hearing preservation are moderately lower, ranging from 58% to 75% in SRS series with follow-up periods of up to 9.3 years. Although SRS offers high rates of tumor control and preservation of facial nerve function in patients with VS, radiosurgical treatment fails for a significant subset of patients who subsequently require microsurgical resection.

Our previous report in 2005 demonstrated tumor control and hearing preservation rates of 96.8% and 60%, respectively, and a low morbidity rate and no death in a cohort of 195 patients with VS treated using Gamma Knife surgery (GKS). Our subsequent study in 2010 identified 7 patients who required microsurgical resection of their VS after GKS for reasons that included inadequate tumor control and adverse side effects during a 16-year period between March 1993 and December 2008. To further analyze this subset of patients, we here updated the previous case series with 6 additional patients who underwent microsurgical resection of their VS between December 2008 and December 2012. The goals of this study were to discuss the neurological outcomes and technical challenges of VS resection, and to explore treatment strategies for tumor progression after GKS.

**Methods**

This study was a retrospective review of patient records prospectively collected in a Gamma Knife database at the Taipei Veterans General Hospital. Between 1993
and 2012, 708 patients with VS were treated with GKS at our institution. Of these, 600 with imaging follow-up of at least 1 year after GKS treatment were included for analysis. The demographic data collected included patient sex and age at the time of treatment, and data collected on VS characteristics included tumor volume, tumor lateralization, and histological diagnosis. The radiosurgical data included margin/maximum dose, isodose line, and treatment volume. Patients’ pretreatment and posttreatment symptoms, neurological deficits, or both were also included. Imaging studies were obtained for all patients, using standard posterior fossa MRI sequences at our hospital. Precontrast sequences included axial T1-weighted (3-mm sections) and fast spin echo axial T2-weighted (3-mm sections) images. Postcontrast sequences included axial, coronal, and sagittal T1-weighted (3-mm sections) images. Since 2010, imaging sequences acquired with the FIESTA method were used as part of our standard radiosurgical planning.

On the basis of treatment history, patients were categorized into 2 groups: Group A consisted of patients who did not have any microsurgical resection during the follow-up period after GKS, and Group B of patients who underwent microsurgical resection for their VS after GKS. For patients in Group B, detailed information regarding their management were collected, including the length of the time interval between GKS and microsurgery, degree of resection, and sequence of therapies. Outcomes of facial nerve and cochlear nerve functions for these patients were evaluated using the House-Brackmann score at 6 months postoperatively and the Gardner-Robertson class at 6 months postoperatively, respectively.

Patient Characteristics

Of the 600 patients included in this study, 587 did not undergo microsurgical resection of their VS during the follow-up period (Group A), and 13 (2.2%) underwent microsurgical resection after GKS for reasons that included uncontrolled tumor growth and neurological deterioration (Group B). As shown in Table 1, the median age of the patient in Groups A and B was 51 and 44 years, respectively, and the proportions of females in Groups A and B were 58.1% and 76.9%, respectively. The median tumor volume was 4.2 cm³ in Group A and 6.5 cm³ in Group B. Of the patients in Group A, 330, 198, and 59 had tumor volumes of < 3, 3–10, and > 10 cm³, respectively. In Group B, 2, 6, and 5 patients had tumor volumes of < 3, 3–10, and > 10 cm³, respectively.

Gamma Knife Surgery

Radiosurgery was performed using the Leksell Gamma Knife model B between 1993 and 2006, and model C (both Elekta) thereafter. For all patients in Group A, radiosurgery was delivered as a single-session treatment to the tumor, using a median margin dose of 12 Gy (range 11.0–18.2 Gy). A high margin dose (≥ 16 Gy) was used infrequently and was applied only to those treated during the early part of this study. The treatment dose was prescribed to a median isodose line of 57% (range 50%–94%), and the median maximum dose was 21.6 Gy (range 17.1–34.0 Gy). Any identifiable portion of the facial nerve received no more than 13 Gy, and the trigeminal nerve received no more than 15 Gy.

Only 1 patient in Group B received a high margin dose of 16 Gy, and the other 12 patients received a median margin dose of 12 Gy (range 11–12.5 Gy). Treatment dose was prescribed to a median isodose line of 56% (range 50%–60%). Radiosurgical data for Group B are shown in Table 2.

Follow-Up Imaging and Clinical Evaluation

All patients were evaluated clinically and radiographically, using MRI at regular 6-month intervals after GKS. The tumor volume was derived from the sum of the product of the tumor surface area on each MRI section and the thickness of each MRI section (3 mm).28,36,38 On the basis of volumetric measurements, the size of the tumor was considered stable if the tumor was approximately 50% of its original volume, enlarged if it was greater than 50%, or regressed if it was 50% smaller than its original volume.20

Sequential MRI follow-up studies were reviewed, and a certain degree of T2-weighted signal change was observed around the VS. The signal change on T2-weighted images was interpreted as radiation-induced edema, an adverse radiation event (ARE). According to the severity of the edema, the ARE was classified as mild (a narrow rim surround the VS), moderate (less than one-fourth of the brainstem volume involved), or severe (more than one-fourth of the brainstem volume involved).28

Resection of Radiated VS

Patients in Group B underwent microsurgical resection of their VS because of neurological deterioration and inadequate tumor control after GKS. All patients who had deteriorating clinical symptoms and neurological deficits were initially treated using oral prednisolone 5–20 mg daily. Patients whose symptoms did not resolve received intravenous injections of 5 mg dexamethasone 2–4 times a day. Magnetic resonance imaging examinations were subsequently performed, and scans were reviewed by members of the Gamma Knife team. Patients who underwent microsurgical resection of their VS were placed in either a supine-lateral or a park-bench position. Retrosigmoid craniotomy was performed using a high-speed drill, and the anterior margin of the bone flap on the ipsilateral side was extended to the sigmoid sinus. The dura was opened in a C-shaped or radiating manner, and the brain was decompressed by opening the cisterna magna and cerebellopontine cistern. After arachnoid dissection, critical neural and vascular structures were identified, including the lower cranial nerves, petrosal vein, trigeminal nerve, and anterior inferior and posterior inferior cerebellar arteries. Using a facial nerve stimulator, the posterior aspect of the tumor was carefully inspected to confirm the location of the facial nerve. The posterosilateral capsule of the tumor was breached using cotton pads, and internal decompression performed using a Cavitron ultrasonic surgical aspirator or a bipolar cautery with scissors. The tumor was col-
lapsed inward, and the facial nerve was identified and meticu-


tously dissected away from the tumor capsule.

**Monitoring and Mapping**

Before positioning of the patient, the subdermal needle electrodes (12 mm long and 27-gauge diameter; VIASYS) were inserted into the stimulating sites and the corresponding recording sites. The neuromonitoring of the cerebellopontine angle tumors included motor, somatosensory, and brainstem auditory evoked potentials; free-run electromyography (EMG); triggered EMG; and blink reflex. The stimulation of motor evoked potentials was to activate the primary motor cortex, and the subdermal needle electrodes were placed at C1 and C2 on the scalp.

For the recordings, subdermal needle electrodes were placed in the muscles of interest: the orbicularis oris and orbicularis oculi (for the facial nerve), soft palate (for the vagus nerve and glossopharyngeal nerve), tongue (for the hypoglossal nerve), and abductor pollicis brevis (for the corticospinal tract). The stimulating electrodes for obtaining somatosensory evoked potentials were usually placed at the ankle for the posterior tibial nerve and at the wrist for the median nerve. The recording sites were on the scalp, corresponding to the parietal cortex. Thus, needle electrodes were placed on Fz, Cp3, and Cp4. Ideally, for the patients who had servable hearing, the stimulation of brainstem auditory evoked potentials was a click, produced by delivering a square wave electrical pulse to a transducer. A plastic tube delivered a sound to the auditory canal of the stimulated ear. We used disposable foam ear tips (XLTEK), one for each ear and connected via a front tube to a transducer. The recording electrodes were subdermal needle electrodes, placed in the ear lobes (A1 and A2) and at Cz.

During the tumor dissection, functional mapping was also performed by triggered EMG. Triggered EMG applies an electrical stimulus directly on the motor nuclei or nerves to elicit compound muscle action potentials recorded in the corresponding muscle channels. Direct nerve bi- and monopolar (VIASYS) stimulation was used for the cranial nerves and for the nuclei. Cranial nuclei were stimulated by delivering a constant current, with repetitive square wave pulses of 0.02–0.05 seconds and with an intensity of 5–8 mA, for an average of 4–6 trials. In addition, the responses of the stimulated pharyngeal, glossopharyngeal, and vagus nerves were recorded with repetitive square wave pulses of 0.1–0.2 seconds, intensity 0.1–2 mA, and a frequency of 4 Hz, for an average of 4–6 trials.

The motor, somatosensory, and brainstem auditory evoked potentials, and the blink reflex were recorded throughout the operative course. A repeated facial nerve EMG check was always performed when we encountered a radiated VS with severe adhesion and vague anatomic structures. (Fig. 1)

**Results**

**Changes in Tumor Volume After GKS**

The efficacy of radiosurgery was assessed by examining changes in tumor volume in all 600 patients who underwent regular MRI follow-ups. In Group A, 470 patients (80.1%) showed decreased or stable tumor volume at the last follow-up, and 117 (19.9%) showed an increased tumor volume. The increased volume was mostly found in the early follow-up period, that is, within 6 to 18 months. The median follow-up time for patients in Group A was 49.5 months after the GKS. In Group B, 7 patients (53.8%) showed an increased tumor volume at the follow-up (an example is shown in Fig. 2). The median follow-up time for this group was 71.3 months after GKS.

**Resection of VS After GKS**

In this study, 13 patients underwent microsurgical re-

---

**TABLE 1: Characteristics of the 600 patients in this study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Group A (no MS after GKS)</th>
<th>Group B (MS after GKS)</th>
<th>p Value†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no. of patients</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median age in yrs (range)</td>
<td>51 (10–85)</td>
<td>44 (19–70)</td>
<td>0.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female sex (%)</td>
<td>341 (58.1)</td>
<td>10 (76.9)</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median tumor vol in cm³ (range)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.04–25.9)</td>
<td>6.5 (2.3–23.5)</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tumor vol at GKS (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;3 cm³</td>
<td>330 (56.2)</td>
<td>2 (15.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–10 cm³</td>
<td>198 (33.7)</td>
<td>6 (46.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 cm³</td>
<td>59 (10.1)</td>
<td>5 (38.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median margin dose in Gy (range)</td>
<td>12 (10–16)</td>
<td>12 (11–16)</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no. of regressed or stable tumors at FU (%)</td>
<td>470 (80.1)</td>
<td>6 (46.2)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no. of progressed tumors at FU (%)</td>
<td>117 (19.9)</td>
<td>7 (53.8)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median clinical FU in mos (range)</td>
<td>49.5 (6.0–191.6)</td>
<td>71.3 (13.4–205.5)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interval btwn GKS &amp; MS in mos (range)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>36.8 (3.0–107.0)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values represent number of patients unless otherwise specified. FU = follow-up; MS = microsurgery; NA = not applicable. † p values indicate statistical significance of differences between the 2 groups; p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
### TABLE 2: Characteristics of the patients who underwent microsurgery for VS after GKS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Age (yrs), Sex</th>
<th>Tumor Vol (cm³)</th>
<th>Margin Dose in Gy (%)</th>
<th>Tumor Growth (%)†</th>
<th>ARE</th>
<th>Management Strategy</th>
<th>GKS to MS Interval (mos)</th>
<th>Degree of Resection</th>
<th>Histological Result</th>
<th>Change in Facial Nerve Function‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>adverse radiation effect w/ perifocal edema</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>68, F</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>12.5 (55)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>severe</td>
<td>GKS, VPS, craniectomy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>subtotal</td>
<td>VS</td>
<td>II → II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>38, F</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>12 (57)</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>severe</td>
<td>craniotomy, GKS, VPS, craniotomy</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>subtotal</td>
<td>VS</td>
<td>II → II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>62, F</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>severe</td>
<td>craniotomy, GKS, craniotomy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>subtotal</td>
<td>VS</td>
<td>IV → IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>66, F</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>11.5 (55)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>severe</td>
<td>GKS, craniotomy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>maximal safe</td>
<td>VS</td>
<td>IV → IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tumor enlargement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>44, F</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>16 (50)</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>GKS, craniectomy</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>subtotal &amp; cyst decompression</td>
<td>VS</td>
<td>III → II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>34, F</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>12 (57)</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>mild</td>
<td>craniotomy, GKS, craniotomy, GKS</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>subtotal</td>
<td>VS</td>
<td>IV → IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>46, F</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>12 (57)</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>GKS, craniotomy, GKS, RT</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>subtotal</td>
<td>MPNST</td>
<td>III → V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>19, M</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>12 (56)</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>mild</td>
<td>craniotomy, GKS, craniotomy</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>subtotal</td>
<td>VS (w/ NF2)</td>
<td>IV → IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>68, F</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>12 (57)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>GKS, craniotomy</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>maximal safe</td>
<td>VS (w/ NF2)</td>
<td>IV → IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>36, F</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>12 (56)</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>mild</td>
<td>GKS, craniotomy</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>maximal safe</td>
<td>VS</td>
<td>II → II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyst enlargement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>39, F</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>11.5 (60)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>mild</td>
<td>craniotomy, GKS, Ommaya, craniotomy, Ommaya</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>cyst decompression</td>
<td>necrosis</td>
<td>II → II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>70, M</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>12 (58)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>GKS, craniectomy</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>cyst decompression</td>
<td>VS</td>
<td>II → II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>40, M</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>12 (56)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>mild</td>
<td>craniotomy, GKS, craniotomy</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>cyst decompression</td>
<td>VS</td>
<td>IV → IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Patients are grouped according to the indication for microsurgery. MPNST = malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor; NF2 = neurofibromatosis Type 2; Ommaya = Ommaya shunt insertion for aspiration; RT = radiotherapy; VPS = VP shunt insertion.

† Indicates tumor growth after GKS, immediately before surgical intervention.

‡ The change in facial nerve function was assessed as grades on the House-Brackmann scale for facial palsy; changes shown are between the preoperative and 6 months postoperative measurement.
section after the GKS (Table 1). The mean length of time from the radiosurgery to microsurgery was 36.8 months (range 3–107 months). The 13 patients in Group B tended to harbor larger tumor volumes at GKS than those in Group A (4.2 vs 6.5 cm³, p = 0.056), although the tumors had received a similar margin dose (12 Gy, p = 0.976). Following GKS, the tumor volume had increased on average by 95% (range 21%–743%) in these 13 patients. In 3 patients (Cases 11, 12, and 13), the solid components of the tumors increased by approximately 20%. However,
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their cystic components enlarged by more than 300%, resulting in a mass effect. Prior to microsurgery, all 13 patients had progressive neurological deteriorations, such as gait ataxia, weakness, or consciousness disturbance. Follow-up MRI scans showed AREs in 4 patients, tumor enlargement in 6, and cyst growth in 3. One patient (Case 2) had both an enlarged tumor and AREs. Seven of these patients had a subtotal tumor resection, 3 a maximal safe resection, and 3 a decompressive drainage of multilocu lar cysts. The results of the histological analyses of the tumors indicated that 11 patients had a typical benign VS, 1 a malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor, and 1 a necrotic tissue (Table 2).

As shown in Table 2, we classified the 13 patients in Group B into 3 subgroups on the basis of their surgical indications. Four patients had AREs characterized by tumor swelling and perifocal edema after GKS. In these patients, the time interval between GKS and microsurgery ranged from 4 to 11 months. Although in 3 of these patients the enlargement in tumor volume was less than 50%, the perifocal edema led to significant neurological deterioration. The microsurgery was performed to decompress the brain edema and to immediately resolve this neurological deterioration. The histological analysis of the tumors showed typical benign VS in the 4 patients of this subgroup.

Abnormal tumor enlargement after GKS was the second surgical indication, which was present in 6 of the Group B patients. In these patients, the interval between GKS and microsurgery ranged from 24 to 107 months. Significant tumor growth was observed in all them, with on average a 3-fold increase in tumor volume after a stable period (Fig. 2). The goal of the microsurgery in these patients was not only to decompress, but also to biopsy the tumors to examine them for malignant transformation. The histological examination of the tumors indicated typical benign VS in 5 patients and a malignant tumor of the peripheral nerve sheath in 1 patient.

The last surgical indication was cystic enlargement, which was observed in 3 patients after GKS. The size of the solid component of the tumors did not increase significantly (the increase was 21% at 3 months, 24% at 18 months, and 27% at 44 months after GKS), but the cystic component showed significant enlargement (that is, a mean enlargement of 342%), with a mass effect several months after the GKS. One patient (Case 11) with an enlarged cystic component underwent an Ommaya shunting procedure for a multilocular cyst, followed by simple microsurgical aspiration and biopsy 5 months after GKS. Histological examination of the surgical specimen indicated only necrotic tissue, with no active tumor cells. The remaining 2 patients (Cases 12 and 13) in this subgroup received extensive fenestration of a cyst, and the specimens were identified as typical benign VS.

Functional Outcomes

After microsurgery, 12 patients whose histological results indicated a benign tumor or necrosis showed improvement of symptoms. Further follow-ups with MRI showed stability or reduction in the size of the residual tumor. These 12 patients maintained stable clinical Functioning (including facial function) for at least a median follow-up time of 3 years after the microsurgery (Table 2). All 12 patients experienced ipsilateral hearing loss preoperatively. Although no major complications were observed after microsurgery, dizziness and tinnitus continued to affect most of the patients.

The patient who had a malignant tumor of the peripheral nerve sheath experienced rapid tumor progression, coinciding with severe ataxia, complete facial palsy, and perturbed consciousness 1 month after the microsurgery. A repeat microsurgical resection, followed by repeat GKS and adjuvant radiotherapy, was performed in attempts to control the tumor. However, significant declines in the patient’s neurological functional status (such as complete facial palsy, multiple cranial nerve dysfunctions, and hemiparesis) led to severe disability. This patient died 110 months after the initial GKS.

Discussion

Tumor control with GKS for small- or medium-sized (< 3 cm) VS results in favorable outcomes, but few studies have reported the outcomes in those patients whose tumor progressed after the GKS treatment. In some instances, tumor progression, cystic expansion, or symptomatic AREs may necessitate subsequent microsurgery or other decompressive procedures. However, the indications and timing of these surgical interventions remain undetermined and controversial because they are rarely performed after GKS. In addition, the long-term outcomes, such as functional preservation or tumor recurrence, of microsurgery after GKS for VS are largely unknown. Given the paucity of data on the management of recurrent VS after GKS, we propose here an algorithm for managing these challenging tumors (Fig. 3).

Indications and Timing of Post-GKS Microsurgery

Microsurgery may be indicated when patients have progressive neurological deteriorations with 1) symptomatic ARE; 2) tumor progression, whether due to recurrence or a malignant transformation; or 3) cyst formation or enlargement. Proper selection of patients requires a careful review of serial MRI follow-up scans, good knowledge of the disease course and of the pathophysiology after radiosurgery (e.g., of ARE and postradiosurgical tumor expansion), and close observation of clinical symptoms.

Adverse radiation effects or radiation-induced cranial neuropathies now occur in fewer than 10% of patients after SRS and are usually associated with a tumor size of 3 cm or larger, with a higher tumor margin dose, or both.32 The AREs are most commonly encountered 6–18 months after radiosurgery and in more than half of the cases, resolve 3–6 months after onset.7,32,36 We suggest avoiding surgery during these periods if possible, since the cranial nerves and brainstem are most vulnerable to secondary injury during this time interval.15,26,31

Gait disturbance and imbalance are the most common ARE symptoms. For mildly to moderately symptomatic AREs, pharmacological treatments such as corticosteroid prescriptions are usually sufficient. However,
in cases of severe ARE, symptoms may indicate worsening brainstem compression with or without obstructive hydrocephalus. Simple ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunt placement is sufficient in those patients with obstructive hydrocephalus if the risk of upward herniation is low. However, patients in whom VP shunt placement failed or in those without obstructive hydrocephalus, suboccipital decompressive craniectomy may be indicated. In our series of 600 patients, a VP shunt was placed in 15 (2.5%).

Tumor resection should be considered only when the mass effect is associated with tumor enlargement. Resection in these cases should be restricted to subtotal resection to decompress and to preserve cranial nerve function. Extensive skull base exposure as in the translabyrinthine approach may be necessary to gain wider exposure of the internal acoustic canal without excessive retraction on the swollen brain. Fortunately, because of improvements in imaging quality, dose planning, and in lowering margin doses, severe AREs in patients with VS are rare in modern radiosurgical series.

After radiosurgery, tumor recurrence must be carefully monitored in those with tumor expansion or enlargement. Compared with meningiomas and other benign brain tumors, VSs are more likely to initially expand after radiosurgery. Such expansion has been observed in 5%–62% of patients with VS in the early period after GKS. Vestibular schwannomas also lose central contrast enhancement during this time period. Tumor regression is therefore typically observed after longer follow-up periods. Some authors reported that one-third of such enlarged tumors remained at the increased size without further growth, and only 2% of these tumors required additional intervention.

The tumor expansion may be attributable to intratumoral hemorrhage, central necrosis, solid-part enlargement or cystic formation. Incidences of intratumoral hemorrhage in VS after GKS are rare. We encountered intratumoral hemorrhage after GKS only in 3 patients (0.5%). The hemorrhage may be the result of the natural history of tumor growth or a post-GKS effect on the tumor’s vasculature. However, none of these 3 patients needed microsurgery for decompression, and all responded to conservative treatment. Hence, mild or chronic intratumoral hemorrhage alone should not be an indication for microsurgery. In our series, 19.9% of the patients showed transient tumor volume enlargement of >50% due to post radiosurgical swelling. Such initial tumor volume enlargement without neurological deterioration is not a surgical indication. Even in patients with symptomatic tumor swelling, these symptoms usually resolve after initiation of corticosteroid therapy. Microsurgery is rarely considered 6–18 months after radiosurgery, unless tumor recurrence or malignant transformation is suspected.

The distinction between transient tumor expansion and actual tumor recurrence may be difficult to ascertain, especially in the early post-GKS period. However, if rapid or progressive tumor enlargement is encountered several years after an initial period of stability after GKS, the possibility of recurrent tumor growth or malignant transformation should be considered. The time interval from the primary benign tumor to the malignant transformation ranges between 4 and 7 years. The incidence of malignant transformation of non-NF-2 VS may be low, but it was detected in some Group B patients after radiosurgery. Management strategies for recurrent tumors and tumors with malignant transformation, in our opinion, should differ from those for AREs.

The incidence of cyst formation in VS ranges from 4% to 15%. Cystic VS is believed to be associated with sudden expansion, a shorter clinical history (<2 years), atypical initial symptoms, and an increased rate of preoperative facial palsy. The mechanism of cyst formation is not well understood.
formation is not yet fully understood; however, cyst expansion after radiosurgery for cystic VS is commonly observed. Possible mechanisms include intratumoral hemorrhage, osmotic changes from vascular damage, and extravasation of serum proteins into the extracellular matrix. Cystic VS may enlarge more frequently than solid VS after GKS, and their volumes may also fluctuate after preservation intraoperatively. We therefore agree with the usual occurrence due to ischemic injury, despite anatomical variation. Under such circumstances, postsurgical facial palsy nerves more challenging. It is well recognized that radio-therapy of cystic enlargement (Patient 11); microsurgery with extensive cyst resection or fenestration rather than simple aspiration or Ommaya reservoir placement was necessary for durable decompression and symptomatic relief.

Strategies and Technical Aspects of Microsurgery for VS After GKS

The goals of surgical resection after radiosurgery are the following: 1) obtain a tissue biopsy for disease diagnosis; 2) relieve the mass effect due to tumor, cyst, or both; 3) preserve cranial nerve and brainstem functions; and 4) decrease the risk of tumor recurrence. As mentioned above, if surgical decompression is considered for resolving any AREs during the early post-GKS period, subtotal tumor resection is usually sufficient for histological analysis, tumor control, and preservation of cranial nerve function. In contrast, maximal safe resection of VS is recommended in cases of sustained tumor enlargement, suspected recurrence, or malignant transformation after a period of initial stability.

Because of the grave prognosis of a median survival of < 1 year in cases of malignant transformation, a more aggressive attitude should be taken toward the extent of VS resection. The tumor recurrence rate after complete microsurgical resection of benign VS has been reported to be as low as 0%–3%, whereas that after subtotal resection is believed to be approximately 20%. In studies with small case numbers, some authors have reported that subtotal resection after radiosurgery resulted in a low tumor recurrence rate; however, analyses of tumor recurrence in large series with long-term follow-up are not yet available. It is reasonable to assume that tumor recurrence is directly related to the volume of residual viable tumor. Furthermore, reported recurrence rates may increase as the follow-up periods are extended. Taking these points into consideration, maximal safe resection, rather than subtotal resection, may benefit younger patients.

Some authors have suggested that because microsurgical resection is more difficult and aggressive after radiosurgery, microsurgery after radiosurgery may be associated with increases in postsurgical morbidities. Indeed, strong adherence of the tumor to the brainstem or other neurovascular structures is more commonly seen in patients with prior radiosurgical treatments. In addition, color changes may render the identification of cranial nerves more challenging. It is well recognized that radio-surgery devascularizes the tumor and makes the cranial nerves more vulnerable to ischemia during tumor dissection. Under such circumstances, postsurgical facial palsy usually occurs due to ischemic injury, despite anatomical preservation intraoperatively. We therefore agree with the consensus that total resection should not be recommend-
our radiosurgical and microsurgical techniques since our prior publication.

**Study Limitations**

This is a retrospective study with several limitations. The relatively small number of patients and the intermediate lengths of follow-up represent limitations and preclude substantial subgroup analyses. In particular, detection of complications arising from GKS-treated VS may require longer follow-up periods. Over the time period of the study, more advanced microsurgical and neuromonitoring techniques have improved the outcome of microsurgical resection of VS after GKS, which may represent an uncontrolled source of bias. Undoubtedly, a larger cohort with longer follow-up periods will provide more evidence and help us refine the management of VS after GKS.

**Conclusions**

Microsurgical resection for VS after radiosurgery remains challenging in terms of surgical indications, timing, or techniques: 1) The indications for surgical intervention in VS patients after GKS included AREs, tumor enlargement, and cyst development or enlargement. However, the most important factors for these interventions are the presence of symptoms and their severity. 2) Most VS patients with AREs can be treated with medications. The AREs may persist for as long as 18 months after GKS, but only 4 out of 600 patients (0.7%) required a surgical intervention in our series. 3) Because of radiation effects, post-GKS tumors usually adhere to surrounding neurovascular structures. Therefore, we recommend maximal safe resection with comprehensive neuromonitoring, instead of total tumor resection.
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