Patients’ cosmetic satisfaction, pain, and functional outcomes after supraorbital craniotomy through an eyebrow incision
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Object. The supraorbital approach through an eyebrow incision offers the opportunity to access a wide variety of lesions of the anterior, middle, and even the posterior fossa. The minimally invasive keyhole craniotomy limits brain exploration and retraction and offers the potential for improved surgical outcomes and reduced approach-related complications. Patient satisfaction, however, has not yet been reported in the literature.

Methods. From January 2002 through December 2011, the lead author (R.R.) used a supraorbital approach through an eyebrow incision for 418 patients with cerebral aneurysms, brain tumors or cystic lesions, and other miscellaneous pathological conditions. For 408 of these patients, a detailed retrospective case note review was conducted to extract data on surgical outcomes and complications, and 375 patients completed a follow-up patient satisfaction questionnaire.

Results. During the early perioperative period, 8 patients died (overall mortality rate 2.0%). Among patients surveyed, the overall level of satisfaction was high. Patients rated pain from the scar and headache on a scale from 1 to 5 (1 = no pain, 5 = severe pain) as follows: pain was a score of 1 for 289 patients (77.0%), 2 for 46 (12.3%), 3 for 22 (5.9%), 4 for 12 (3.2%), and 5 for 6 (1.6%). Patients also rated cosmetic outcome on a scale from 1 to 5 (1 = very pleasant, 5 = very unpleasant) as follows: outcome was a score of 1 for 315 patients (84.0%), 2 for 33 (8.8%), 3 for 14 (3.7%), 4 for 10 (2.7%), and 5 for 3 (0.8%). Postoperative chewing difficulty was reported for 8 patients (2.1% temporary, 0 permanent); palsy of the frontal muscle for 21 patients (5.6%; 13 [3.5%] temporary, 8 [2.1%] permanent); frontal hypesthesia for 31 patients (8.3%; 18 [4.8%] temporary, 13 [3.4%] permanent); and hyposmia for 11 patients (2.9%; 3 [0.8%] temporary, 8 [2.1%] permanent).

Conclusions. The supraorbital approach to the anterior, middle, and posterior fossae through an eyebrow incision offers a favorable rate of approach-associated surgical complications and high patient satisfaction with cosmetic outcome.

(http://thejns.org/doi/abs/10.3171/2014.4.JNS13787)
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A number of experimental and clinical studies have shown that brain retraction substantially traumatizes brain tissue and can cause permanent neurological deficits.1–3,12–14,25 In addition, exploration of brain tissue for several hours can lead to injury of the cortical surface through exposure to nonphysiological surroundings. To reduce trauma to the brain, various methods have been proposed, including use of anesthetic techniques to lessen cerebral edema, patient-positioning techniques to encourage brain relaxation, and specially designed brain retractor systems and instruments to decrease the forces exerted on delicate neural tissue. Along with these methods, the use of custom, tailored, minimally invasive keyhole techniques to limit exposure and manipulation of unaffected brain tissue can further reduce approach-associated complications.10,17,22,23,25 The cosmetic deformities that appear after a frontotemporal pterional craniotomy usually result from a lesion of the frontotemporal branch of the facial nerve or atrophy of the temporal muscle and substantially decrease the patient’s quality of life.
Cosmetic and functional outcomes after supraorbital craniotomy

The supraorbital keyhole approach through an eyebrow incision offers access to a wide range of lesions of the anterior, middle, and even the posterior fossa. Although the operative technique, surgical outcomes, and complications of this approach have been described briefly, to our knowledge patient satisfaction has not yet been reported in the literature.

Methods

Surgical Technique

The same surgical technique, reported elsewhere, was used for all patients. In brief, the patients were placed supine in a 3-point Mayfield head holder (Codman, Inc.). The skin was incised in the lateral part of the eyebrow. The subcutaneous layer was dissected, and the skin flap was retracted in the frontal direction. Using a monopolar knife, the frontal muscle was divided parallel to the orbital rim; after the temporal line was reached, the monopolar blade was turned 90° and then followed the temporal line in a basal direction to the zygomatic process of the frontal bone. A high-speed drill and punches were used to fashion a single frontobasal bur hole posterior to the temporal line at the level of the frontal cranial base. A high-speed craniotome was used to cut a straight line parallel to the orbital rim in a lateral-to-medial direction, and subsequently, a C-shaped line was cut from the bur hole to the medial border of the previously created frontobasal line. This procedure produces an approximately 20 × 15–mm craniotomy. After the inner edge of the craniotomy above was drilled, the dura mater was opened in a C-shaped flap and retracted in a basal direction.

After completion of the intracranial procedure, the dura was sutured, the bone flap was replaced with a Craniolix plate (Aesculap AG), and any craniotomy defects were filled with bone cement to create a pleasing cosmetic outcome. The muscles were reapproximated, and the skin was closed with subcutaneous and subcuticular sutures.

Retrospective Evaluation

During the 10-year study period, the supraorbital approach was used for 418 patients. For every patient, the supraorbital approach was performed after careful preoperative study of diagnostic images to determine the least traumatic route to the lesion, taking into consideration each patient’s particular pathoanatomical factors.

Case notes and images were available for 408 patients with varying pathological conditions (Table 1). In addition, 375 available patients were contacted and completed a follow-up patient satisfaction questionnaire. Patients were asked to rate postoperative scar pain and headache on a scale from 1 to 5 (1 = no pain, 5 = severe pain), postoperative cosmetic on a scale from 1 to 5 (1 = very pleasant, 5 = very unpleasant), difficulty chewing, frontal weakness, frontal hypesthesia, and hyposmia.

To evaluate the surgical learning curve involved in performing supraorbital craniotomy, we evaluated satisfaction of the first 50 and last 50 patients who underwent the procedure. We compared responses by using the Fisher exact or chi-square test.

Results

Deaths

During the 30-day perioperative period, 8 patients died. Causes of death were hemorrhage (n = 1), pulmonary embolism (n = 1), and severe vasospasms after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage (n = 6); the overall mortality rate was 2.0%.

Approach-Associated Complications

Postoperative hemorrhage occurred in 7 patients (1.7%); among these, urgent surgery was needed for 2 (0.5%). Postoperative CT images demonstrated subdural hygromas in 6 patients (1.5%), among whom surgery was needed in 2 (0.5%). Wound-healing disturbances occurred in 5 patients (1.2%), among whom removal of the bone flap was needed in 1 (0.2%) because of wound suppuration. For 18 (4.4%) patients, a subcutaneous CSF pouch was observed and successfully managed conservatively for all. A total of 5 patients (1.2%) experienced a postoperative CSF leak, 3 through the frontal sinus and 2 through the deep paranasal sinuses after removal of the anterior clinoid process. Transient palsy of the frontal muscle was reported for 13 (3.5%) patients and permanent palsy for 8 (2.1%). Problems with closure of the eyelid were not reported for any patient. Transient frontal hypesthesia was reported for 18 patients (4.8%) and permanent deficit for 13 (3.4%). Postoperative epileptic seizures occurred in 1 patient (0.2%). Transient hyposmia was reported for 3 patients (0.8%) and permanent hyposmia for 8 (2.1%); all of these patients harbored meningioma in or near the olfactory groove. Postoperative approach-associated complications of the eyebrow incision and supraorbital craniotomy are summarized in Table 2.

Patient Satisfaction

Postoperative scar pain and headache were reported as “none” or “minimal” (score of 1 or 2) by 335 patients (89.3%) (Table 3). The cosmetic outcome was reported as “very pleasant” or “quite pleasant” by 348 patients.
Surgical Learning Curve

In a comparison between the first 50 and last 50 cases performed, statistically significant reductions in postoperative facial palsy, hyposmia, and improvement in patient satisfaction with cosmetic outcome were noted \((p < 0.05)\). In the same comparison, no significant differences in postoperative scar pain and headache, frontal numbness, or problems with chewing were noted.

Discussion

The extent of acceptance and adoption of the supraorbital approach will depend on the satisfaction of neurosurgeons and their patients. Surgeons will be satisfied if the approach offers reduced intraoperative traumatization, shorter operating times, and shorter hospitalization times while equaling or exceeding the safety and efficacy profile of conventional approaches. Patients will be satisfied if, in addition to the aforementioned factors, the approach is associated with less pain, improved cosmesis, and fewer postoperative complaints.

Our case series has demonstrated that the keyhole supraorbital approach can be performed with excellent surgical outcomes and very few associated complications. The neurosurgical advantages of limited approaches are well known. A supraorbital craniotomy through an eyebrow incision can provide a more direct approach to pathological conditions and can reduce the time from skin incision to durotomy to approximately 15 minutes, providing the potential for shorter operating times. Moreover, the smaller skin incision, limited soft-tissue dissection, and minimal brain exposure and retraction can reduce associated postoperative complications. However, keyhole approaches also have shortcomings, especially with regard to surgical safety. Because microsurgical dissection through a keyhole approach substantially limits the surgeon’s working space, gaining experience with this procedure is associated with a steep learning curve, which may expose patients to higher intraoperative risk.

Patient satisfaction with the approach was good. Patients reported that the short skin incision within the eyebrow and the single bur hole trephination behind the temporal line offered pleasing cosmetic results. Patients also reported acceptable severity of postoperative complaints, the most common being frontal hypesthesia and paresis, which persisted for 3.4% and 2.1% patients, respectively. Throughout the case series, a learning curve was demonstrated by improved cosmesis and less occurrence of frontal muscle paresis over time. Because patients who were not entirely satisfied often described a small indentation behind the temporal line, where bur hole trephination was performed, we speculate that improvements might result in part from more careful placement and fixation of the bone flap. During the study period, we modified the closure technique to cover the bur hole with a Craniofix plate and bone cement (Fig. 1).

We also assessed complications and death associated with the supraorbital approach. However, because the patient population in this single-surgeon series is very heterogeneous in terms of the pathological conditions treated, we refrained from comparing outcome end points, such as complications and death, between our study and others.

For all patients in our study, self-absorbable cuticular sutures were used. It is a matter of debate whether the breakdown of absorbable suture materials causes an inflammatory reaction, which might lead to more pronounced scarring. However, Parell and Becker, in a prospective study of 41 patients who underwent excision of

### TABLE 2: Postoperative approach-associated complications after supraorbital craniotomy through an eyebrow incision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication Type</th>
<th>Temporary</th>
<th>Permanent</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>difficulty chewing</td>
<td>8 (2.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>8 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>palsy of frontalis muscle</td>
<td>13 (3.5)</td>
<td>8 (2.1)</td>
<td>21 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frontal hypesthesia</td>
<td>18 (4.8)</td>
<td>13 (3.4)</td>
<td>31 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hyposmia</td>
<td>3 (0.8)</td>
<td>8 (2.1)</td>
<td>11 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(92.8%) (Table 4). Among patients who were not entirely satisfied, most described a small indentation behind the temporal line, where the bur hole trephination had been performed. Postoperative problems with chewing were described by 8 patients (2.1%).

### TABLE 3: Postoperative discomfort after supraorbital craniotomy through an eyebrow incision in 375 patients*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Scale Score (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no. of cases</td>
<td>289 (77.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Score scale: 1 = no pain; 5 = severe pain.

**Fig. 1.** Fixation of the bone flap (BF). Remaining defects are filled with bone cement (BC) to prevent indentation of the overlying skin.
facial skin cancer, found no significant difference in aesthetic outcome between patients in whom absorbable or nonabsorbable intracutaneous sutures were used to close facial skin wounds.16

The supraorbital approach requires very careful case selection, detailed preoperative planning, and meticulous execution of the surgical procedure along with use of modern imaging guidance and specific microinstruments to ensure safety and effectiveness.17,22 Because the outcomes improved with surgical experience, colleagues who are new to this technique should be closely guided through all stages of the operation. In addition, the beginning and closure of the operation should not be left to residents who have not been properly trained with this technique.

Essential limitations of our study arise from the retrospective nature of the case series, possible case-selection and self-reporting bias, and incomplete follow-up for the entire cohort. Additionally, to our knowledge, this is the first study with regard to patient satisfaction of the supraorbital approach; as a result, a comparison with previous data is not possible.

Conclusions

We have presented a series of cases for which the lead author (R.R.) performed supraorbital craniotomy through an eyebrow incision; we evaluated surgical efficacy and safety as well as patient satisfaction. For the 418 patients who underwent surgery through the supraorbital approach during the 10-year study period, the rate of approach-associated complications was favorable and the cosmetic satisfaction of surveyed patients was high.
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TABLE 4: Satisfaction with the postoperative cosmetic outcome after supraorbital craniotomy through an eyebrow incision in 375 patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Scale Score (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no. of cases</td>
<td>315 (84.0) 33 (8.8) 14 (3.7) 10 (2.7) 3 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Score scale: 1 = very satisfied; 5 = very unsatisfied.