Erratum

The Barrow Ruptured Aneurysm Trial: 3-year results

Clinical article

TO THE EDITOR: We appreciate the publication of our paper entitled “The Barrow Ruptured Aneurysm Trial: 3-year results. Clinical article” (*J Neurosurg* 119:146–157, 2013).

Recently a Letter to the Editor from Dr. Daniel J. Denis¹ alerted us to 2 errors in our paper.

1. In the *Methods* section of the Abstract, we originally stated:

Of the 170 patients who had been originally assigned to coiling, 64 (38%) crossed over to clipping, whereas 4 (2%) of 179 patients assigned to surgery crossed over to clipping.

The last word of this sentence should have been “coiling,” and thus the corrected sentence is

Of the 170 patients who had been originally assigned to coiling, 64 (38%) crossed over to clipping, whereas 4 (2%) of 179 patients assigned to surgery crossed over to **coiling**.

2. In the legend to Table 2, we initially stated:

* Coil-coil compared to coil-clip at 3 years: $p = 0.007$. Coil-clip compared to clip-clip at 3 years: $p = 0.26$. Coil-coil compared to clip-clip at 3 years: $p = 0.0$.

The last p value is incorrect; it should have been 0.04.

The corrected legend entry is thus

* Coil-coil compared to coil-clip at 3 years: $p = 0.007$. Coil-clip compared to clip-clip at 3 years: $p = 0.26$. Coil-coil compared to clip-clip at 3 years: $p = \mathbf{0.04}$.

We have bolded the words that have been corrected.

We apologize to the editor and readers for our errors, and we thank Dr. Denis for pointing them out. We are pleased to have the opportunity to make these corrections. The errors were corrected online as of November 29, 2013.

CAMERON G. McDOUGALL, M.D.

ROBERT F. SPETZLER, M.D.

FELIPE C. ALBUQUERQUE, M.D.

JOSEPH M. ZABRAMSKI, M.D.

PETER NAKAJI, M.D.

Barrow Neurological Institute
St. Joseph's Hospital and Medical Center
Phoenix, AZ

Reference

1. Denis DJ: Crossover and clinical outcomes in the Barrow Ruptured Aneurysm Trial. *J Neurosurg* [epub ahead of print November 29, 2013. DOI: 10.3171/2013.8.JNS131835] (Letter)

TABLE 2: Patients with mRS scores > 2 based on actual treatment

Time Point	Available for Analysis	Coil-Coil (124/199) mRS >2		Coil-Clip (75/199) mRS >2		Clip-Clip (205/209) mRS >2		Clip-Coil (4/209) mRS >2	
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
time of treatment	408								
discharge	406	72/124	58.1	55/74	74.3	144/204	70.6	3/4	75.0
6 mos	341	18/108	16.7	22/63	34.9	59/167	35.3	3/3	100.0
1 yr	358	20/109	18.4	22/65	33.9	61/180	33.9	3/4	75.0
3 yrs*	349	24/106	22.6	27/64	42.2	60/175	34.3	4/4	100.0
3 yrs–CF†	366	24/111	21.6	27/64	42.2	60/184	32.6	4/4	100.0

* Coil-coil compared to coil-clip at 3 years: $p = 0.007$. Coil-clip compared to clip-clip at 3 years: $p = 0.26$. Coil-coil compared to clip-clip at 3 years: $p = 0.04$.

† Includes patients seen at 1 year but not at 3 years.