Erratum

Sphenoorbital meningioma: surgical technique and outcome
Clinical article

To The Editor: Thank you for publishing our paper entitled “Sphenoorbital meningioma: surgical technique and outcome. Clinical article” (J Neurosurg: published online December 24, 2010; DOI: 10.3171/2010.10. JNS101128). After publication we realized that the paper contained some errors.

The first 4 errors appeared in the Results section of the Abstract (page 1241). In the original we stated:

Postoperative complications included trigeminal hypesthesia in 10 patients, oculomotor palsy in 2 patients, and seizure in 2 patients. Seven patients had recurrence within the mean follow-up period of 40.6 months. Preoperative visual deficits were present in 21 patients (53.8%). Of these, 14 (66.7%) experienced visual recovery to normal levels postoperatively. Statistical analyses revealed preoperative severe visual deficit and sphenoid bone hypertrophy as an independent risk factor and an independent favorable factor, respectively, for a favorable visual outcome. Proptosis was resolved (≤ 2 mm) in 76.4% of the authors’ patients. No patient had postoperative enophthalmos.

This passage has been corrected to the following:

Postoperative complications included trigeminal hypesthesia in 9 patients, oculomotor palsy in 3 patients, and seizure in 2 patients. Seven patients had recurrence within the mean follow-up period of 40.7 months. Preoperative visual deficits were present in 21 patients (53.8%). Of these, 14 (66.7%) experienced visual recovery to normal levels postoperatively. Statistical analyses revealed preoperative severe visual deficit and sphenoid bone hypertrophy as an independent risk factor and an independent favorable factor, respectively, for a favorable visual outcome. Proptosis was resolved (≤ 2 mm) in 73.5% of the authors’ patients. No patient had postoperative enophthalmos. [Corrections bolded.]

The fifth error appeared in the Data Analysis paragraph in the Methods section of the paper (page 1242, right column). We originally listed 6 preoperative patient characteristics that were entered into the multiple logistic regression analysis. Actually there were 5 variables. The corrected text now reads:

The variables included 5 preoperative patient characteristics (age [< 49 years, ≥ 50 years], duration of visual symptoms [none or < 6 months, ≥ 6 months], proptosis [≤ 4 mm, > 4 mm], ocular pain [yes, no], and first surgery [yes, no]) and 4 tumor characteristics (sphenoid hypertrophy [severe, mild], optic canal involvement [yes, no], residual tumor in the periorbita [yes, no], and cavernous sinus involvement [yes, no]).

The sixth error was in the Results section (page 1244, right column, 2nd full paragraph). In the original we stated that there was 1 patient with persistent partial oculomotor palsy. In actuality there were 2 such patients. The corrected text follows:

Postoperative trigeminal hypesthesia was observed in 9 patients (23.1%). One patient (2.6%) suffered a complete oculomotor palsy after surgery. Two patients (5.1%) had a persistent partial oculo-motor palsy. [Correction bolded.]

We are pleased to have the opportunity to correct these errors. They were corrected online as of February 11, 2011, and are printed correctly in this issue.
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