Dosing and safety of cyclosporine in patients with severe brain injury

Clinical article

JIMMI HATTON, PHARM.D.,1,2,4 BONNIE ROSBOLT, PHARM.D.,4 PHILIP EMPEY, PHARM.D.,3 RICHARD KRYSCHO, PH.D.,5 AND BYRON YOUNG, M.D.1,4

1 The Spinal Cord and Brain Injury Research Center; Departments of 2 Pharmacy Practice and Science, and 3 Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy; 4 Department of Neurosurgery, College of Medicine; and 5 Department of Biostatistics, College of Public Health, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky

Object. Cyclosporine neuroprotection has been reported in brain injury models but safety and dosing guidelines have not been determined in humans with severe traumatic brain injury (TBI). The purpose of this investigation was to establish the safety of cyclosporine using 4 clinically relevant dosing schemes.

Methods. The authors performed a prospective, blinded, placebo-controlled, randomized, dose-escalation trial of cyclosporine administration initiated within 8 hours of TBI (Glasgow Coma Scale score range 4–8; motor score range 2–5). Four dosing cohorts (8 patients treated with cyclosporine and 2 receiving placebo treatment per cohort) received cyclosporine (1.25–5 mg/kg/day) or placebo in 2 divided doses (Cohorts I–III) or continuous infusion (Cohort IV) over 72 hours. Adverse events and outcome were monitored for 6 months.

Results. Forty patients were enrolled over 3 years (cyclosporine cohorts, 24 male and 8 female patients; placebo group, 8 male patients). Systemic trough concentrations were below 250 ng/ml during intermittent doses. Higher blood concentrations were observed in Cohorts III and IV. There was no significant difference in immunological effects, adverse events, infection, renal dysfunction, or seizures. Mortality rate was not affected by cyclosporine administration, independent of dose, compared with placebo (6 of 32 patients receiving cyclosporine and 2 of 8 receiving placebo died, p > 0.05). At 6 months, a dose-related improvement in favorable outcome was observed in cyclosporine-treated patients (p < 0.05).

Conclusions. In patients with acute TBI who received cyclosporine at doses up to 5 mg/kg/day, administered intravenously, with treatment initiated within 8 hours of injury, the rate of mortality or other adverse events was not significantly different from that of the placebo group. (DOI: 10.3171/JNS/2008/109/10/0699)
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TRAUMATIC brain injury continues to be a leading cause of death and disability in children and young adults, with an estimated 1.5 million Americans affected annually.63 The outcomes from this injury vary significantly depending on severity, with estimates of 230,000 hospitalized survivors and up to 90,000 experiencing long-term disability.3,24,39 Mortality rates from TBI have declined by 20% since 1980. The decline is primarily due to earlier transportation to the hospital and improved resuscitative measures. No pharmacological agent has been shown to significantly improve outcome from severe brain injury. The long-term disability associated with these injuries remains a significant health issue. It is estimated that 5.3 million individuals in the US are currently living with a permanent disability related to TBI. In persons between 15 and 24 years of age, TBI is the second most common cause of hospitalization, with males affected twice as often as females. The US Centers for Disease Control identified TBI as an “invisible epidemic” because of the magnitude of indolent morbidity accompanying these injuries.63 Neurological and systemic metabolic sequelae accompany acute brain injury and contribute to this poor outcome.46,49,50

Brain injury occurs in 2 phases—the primary structural deformity followed by secondary damage to the surrounding brain.3,46,49 The primary insult to the brain tissue at the time of injury causes initial irreversible cellular damage. Secondary injury ensues when the surviving tissue suffers a cascade of neurochemical events that jeopardize both white and gray matter.3,10,27,33,45 The importance of the induction of apoptotic pathways, excessive release of excitotoxic neurotransmitters and inflammatory chemokines, production of oxidative reactive...
species, calpain proteolysis, and axonal stretch are well
established.\textsuperscript{16,25,26,43,47,50,56,62} Higher intracellular calcium
concentrations trigger the processes activating secondary
cell death. Mitochondria play an important role in the
maintenance of intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis by sequestering Ca\textsuperscript{2+}.\textsuperscript{23,26,43,59} A therapeutic strategy modulating Ca\textsuperscript{2+}
signaling activation targets a pivotal mechanism associ-
ated with secondary sequelae following TBI.\textsuperscript{23,26,30,37,49,55}

Cyclosporine, a widely used immunosuppressive drug, has
demonstrated neuroprotective properties after neural trauma in animal models by alleviating mitochondrial dys-
function and attenuating axonal disruption.\textsuperscript{1,2,8,11,14,15,28,43,}
44,48,51–55,57,60,62 In humans, the pharmacokinetic profile of
cyclosporine is variable and population dependent. Brain
injury can lead to alterations in drug metabolism, protein
binding, and clearance for many therapeutic agents.\textsuperscript{21,64}
In patients with acute TBI, cyclosporine is cleared more
rapidly and has a larger distribution volume than in non-
TBI populations.\textsuperscript{12} The physiochemical properties of cy-
closporine limit penetration into the CNS under normal
physiological conditions, but the BBB is disrupted follow-
ing TBI.\textsuperscript{4} The biphasic opening of the BBB following TBI
affords a window of opportunity for cyclosporine to gain
access to the injured brain. Defining the minimal effec-
tive systemic or central concentration is a key factor in
optimizing this treatment strategy following TBI.

The complex metabolic changes following TBI com-
combined with the variability of cyclosporine pharmacody-
namics require prospective studies defining the dose-concentra-
tion relationship in this population prior to advancing this
treatment to larger numbers of patients. In this clinical trial
a dose-escalation design was used to systematically deter-
mine cyclosporine safety in a homogeneous population of
patients with severe TBI. Findings from this investigation
will be used to determine the optimal dosing strategy for future
evaluations of cyclosporine safety and efficacy in this
population. The study hypothesis was that clinically
approved doses of cyclosporine would achieve measurable
central and systemic concentrations and be safe when ad-
ministered to patients with acute TBI.

\section*{Methods}

\subsection*{Study Design and Population}

This study was a prospective randomized, double-
blind, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation trial of cyclo-
sporine administration to 40 patients with acute severe
nonpenetrating TBI who were admitted to the University
of Kentucky Chandler Medical Center. Patients between
16 and 65 years of age with a GCS score between 4 and 8
and a motor score between 2 and 5 within 8 hours of injury
were screened for eligibility. Eligibility criteria included
the presence of 1 reactive pupil, positive CT findings, he-
modynamic stability, and placement of an intraventricular
catheter. The exclusion criteria included significant con-
comitant diseases, history of neurological disorder, renal
dysfunction, immunosuppressive therapy, and participation
in other investigational trials. The study was approved by
the institutional review board, and informed consent was
obtained prior to randomization.

\section*{Clinical Care}

Patients were treated according to the American Asso-
ciation of Neurological Surgeons\textsuperscript{7} Guidelines for the Man-
agement of Severe Traumatic Brain Injury.\textsuperscript{7} Intracranial
pressure was monitored by means of an intraventricular
catheter in all patients when clinically indicated. Steroids
were not administered. All patients received nutrition-
al support. Prophylactic anticonvulsants were not routine-
ly administered. Cerebral hemodynamic goals included
maintaining CPP > 60 mm Hg. Vasoactive drugs such as
dopamine hydrochloride, dobutamine hydrochloride, phe-
nylephrine, and norepinephrine bitartrate were used along
with intraventricular drainage of CSF when ICP was > 20
mm Hg. Mannitol was used when CSF drainage failed to
maintain CSF pressures < 20 mm Hg. Hypothermia and
chronic hyperventilation to maintain PCO\textsubscript{2} < 30 mm Hg
were not used. Patients were monitored for adverse events
reported in FDA labeling from other patient populations
requiring chronic cyclosporine therapy. Definitions of
adverse events were established prior to initiation of the
study. These included CNS events, such as headache, trem-
or, seizures, and hallucinations, and systemic events, such
as infection, hypertension, cardiovascular events, altered
liver enzyme concentrations, ophthalmic changes, and kid-
ney dysfunction. It was known that up to 25\% of patients
receiving cyclosporine for prevention of organ rejection ex-
perience CNS adverse events.

\subsection*{Study Procedures}

Cyclosporine was prepared by the University of Ken-

tucky's investigational drug pharmacy. Normal saline was
the placebo used for this investigation. Within 8 hours of
injury, each patient (10 patients/cohort) was randomly as-
signed to a treatment regimen and began to receive either
placebo (2 patients/cohort) or cyclosporine (8 patients/co-
hort) intravenously based on the dosing scheme outlined
in Table 1. Intermittent doses were infused intravenously
over 2 hours (Cohorts I–III). Doses were selected based
on FDA-approved labeling for intermittent administration
of cyclosporine in transplant patient populations. Whole-
blood cyclosporine concentrations were determined by
means of HPLC or, for Cohort IV, by the University of
Kentucky's investigational drug pharmacy. Normal saline
in FDA-approved labeling for intermittent administration
of cyclosporine in transplant patient populations. Whole-
blood cyclosporine concentrations were determined by
means of HPLC or, for Cohort IV, by the University of
Kentucky Hospital clinical laboratory using a validated
HPLC-MS procedure. The continuous infusion dosing
strategy was determined by modeling the pharmacoki-
netic profiles of Cohorts I–III.\textsuperscript{12} The Cohort IV loading
dose/continuous infusion dosing strategy was determined
by modeling the pharmacokinetic profiles of Cohorts I–
III. The doses tested are shown in Table 1.

Prior to the study, a safety response algorithm was

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Cyclosporine doses tested}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline
Cohort & Dosing Schedule \\
\hline
I & 0.625 mg/kg/dose every 12 hrs for 72 hrs (6 doses) \\
II & 1.25 mg/kg/dose every 12 hrs for 72 hrs (6 doses) \\
III & 2.5 mg/kg/dose every 12 hrs for 72 hrs (6 doses) \\
IV & 2.5 mg/kg loading dose, then 5 mg/kg/day continuous infusion for 72 hrs \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
generated. This algorithm was initiated whenever a cyclosporine concentration reached the “alert” threshold. Daily cyclosporine blood concentrations were obtained each morning for the first 7 days. Serial concentrations were also collected throughout the dosing period. Daily trough concentration values were reported to the study data manager and assessed for activation of the safety algorithm. In Cohort III, CSF samples were analyzed using HPLC to determine if cyclosporine could be detected in this matrix.

To maintain the blinding procedure, the safety monitoring for patient protection included “alert” status phone calls for both placebo- and cyclosporine-treated patients. Prior to the study, a computer-generated table of “false” cyclosporine concentrations was created for the 40 patients. Upon notification of a patient’s cyclosporine concentration, the data manager reviewed the concentration table and compared the generated “false” value with the actual laboratory value to determine if the safety algorithm would be activated. The highest cyclosporine value, either generated or actual measured value, was the trigger for activation. The data manager communicated the concentration to an unblinded physician. When a qualifying concentration was met, other laboratory and clinical parameters were evaluated by this physician using the algorithm. A rise in serum creatinine > 2 mg/dl and seizure onset were each considered thresholds for intervention for patients participating in this trial.

Safety Monitoring Procedures

Any serum cyclosporine value > 300 ng/ml in Cohorts I–III or 750 ng/ml in Cohort IV accompanied by a 50% increase in serum creatinine concentration resulted in the second dose for that day being withheld and a 50% reduction in dose for the next dosing day. Serum cyclosporine concentration was evaluated prior to the next dose, and if serum concentrations remained elevated, the subsequent dose was withheld until serum concentrations fell to the target concentration range. If > 72 hours was required for this decline, no additional doses were given. In the event of seizure, a serum cyclosporine level was obtained. If the value remained elevated, the next dose of study drug was withheld. Therapy was reinitiated if seizures resolved and serum cyclosporine concentrations were below the alert thresholds.

In addition to the daily cyclosporine concentrations, clinical safety parameters were followed. Vital signs, GCS score, and systemic and cerebrovascular hemodynamics were recorded hourly during the dosing phase. Daily laboratory monitoring included assessment of serum chemistry, triglyceride concentrations, and hematological and hepatic function parameters. Anergy panels were placed prior to the first dose and again in the 2nd week following the injury. Eye examinations were obtained during the dosing phase and were repeated prior to discharge and at 3 and 6 months after TBI. Adverse events were recorded up to the 6-month examination.

Safety Monitoring Board

An independent safety monitoring board was established by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke. A report for each patient was generated and submitted to members of this board upon patient discharge from the hospital. Serious adverse events were defined as any life-threatening event, including refractory sustained increase in ICP to > 30 mm Hg, CPP < 50 mm Hg, sepsis, or death. Major organ dysfunction—as defined by a ≥ 50% decrease in creatinine clearance within the 72-hour treatment period, new-onset seizures refractory to anticonvulsant therapy, elevation of liver function test values at least 3 times above baseline, or refractory hypertension in the absence of vasopressor therapy—was also considered a serious adverse event.

Each serious adverse event was evaluated for cause by the principal investigator, who remained blinded to treatment randomization. Causality was assigned as due to brain trauma, infection, systemic organ failure, or other or indeterminate. All reports to the Data Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) were unblinded so adverse events could be evaluated for relationship to cyclosporine treatment. For each cohort, a comprehensive report summarizing all 10 patients in the cohort was provided to the DSMB at the completion of the 10th patient’s discharge from the hospital.

Criteria for stopping treatment and criteria for dose escalation were developed. Unacceptable drug toxicity, maximum tolerated dose, death rate, and cause-of-death criteria were defined, along with the procedures to be followed if any of the thresholds were met. Assigning 10 patients per cohort enabled identification of toxicity levels of ≥ 33% and ≤ 5%. Statistical evaluation was provided in the cohort summary reports to the board. Advancing to the next dosing cohort was only initiated upon the board’s review and approval of the previous cohort summary report.

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the responses and adverse events. Serum creatinine, anergy responses, and infection rates were compared between groups. Once the dose-finding studies were completed, mean responses for all dose levels that were considered safe to use were compared with the pooled data from the placebo patients using a linear mixed model. In this model the between-groups factor corresponded to dose while the within-groups factor corresponded to treatment Days 1–5.

At each of the follow-up periods (3 and 6 months) the proportion of patients with favorable outcomes (good and moderate disability on the GOS scale) were compared between placebo and cyclosporine by using a chi-square statistic. Adverse event rates were compared between doses and placebo using chi-square statistics or the Fisher exact test. Probability values of ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant throughout.

Results

Forty patients were enrolled in this dose escalation trial. Ten patients were enrolled into each dosing cohort with 8 patients randomly assigned to the cyclosporine study drug and 2 assigned to the placebo (Table 2). The cohorts were well matched. Placebo patients did not differ demographically from the cyclosporine-assigned patients.

The incidence of serious adverse events did not dif-
fer significantly between the cyclosporine and placebo groups (Fig. 1). One patient did not complete the dosing protocol due to death; elevated cyclosporine concentrations accompanied increases in hepatic enzymes and creatinine. The nonblinded physician investigator monitored this patient and applied the safety algorithm. None of the events were attributed to the study drug. There was no statistically significant difference in the mortality rate between cyclosporine-treated patients (6 [18.8%] of 32 patients) and placebo-treated patients (2 [25%] of 8 patients, \( p = 0.65 \)). No effect of cyclosporine dose on mortality rate was detected (Fig. 2). The other serious adverse events were persistent or significant disability (2 patients), hospital readmissions or prolonged hospital stay (11 patients), and a diagnosis of testicular cancer reported by 1 patient during the 6 month follow-up period.

All observed adverse events were recorded. No clinical safety concerns were identified in patients receiving cyclosporine at any of the doses tested. All patients had negative anergy panel reactions at baseline and upon repeat challenge at Day 14. No significant increase in risk of infection, renal dysfunction, or seizures was observed between cyclosporine dose levels or placebo-assigned patients. The most common infections were pneumonia, urinary tract infections, meningitis, and bacteremia (Fig. 3). Bilirubin levels increased during the first 14 days of hospitalization, but when they were evaluated during the dosing week and compared with baseline, no statistical differences were observed between the cyclosporine-treated patients and those who received the placebo treatment (Fig. 4). None of the patients experienced conjunctivitis, corneal deposits, or cortical blindness during the trial. Visual disturbances were present at both 3- and 6-month follow-ups, but the incidence was not different between patients who received the placebo and those who received cyclosporine.

Although cyclosporine-treated patients did not experience statistically significant differences in safety endpoints compared with patients assigned to placebo treatment, there were some differences among the cyclosporine-treated patients in the different cohorts. The most frequent events in the lowest dosing cohorts were changes in serum chemistry parameters. In the patients who received 5 mg/kg/day (Cohorts III and IV), cerebral hemodynamic changes were more frequent than in patients who received the lower doses. These changes included a rise in ICP of ≥ 25% baseline (\( p = 0.04 \)), CPP < 60 mm Hg (\( p = 0.02 \)), and the combination of these events (\( p = 0.0003 \)). Other events reaching statistical significance were bacteremia, decerebrate posturing, rash, decreased numbers of eosinophils, and urinary tract infections. There was, however, no statistically significant difference between the placebo and

### Table 2

**Summary of demographic data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>Placebo Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no. of patients</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS score (( \pm SD ))</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.93</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.5</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.99</td>
<td>6.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age (( \pm SD ))</td>
<td>29 ± 6.0</td>
<td>32 ± 14.6</td>
<td>23 ± 8.2</td>
<td>34 ± 14.8</td>
<td>6 ± 6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values represent numbers of patients unless otherwise indicated. Abbreviations: SAH = subarachnoid hemorrhage; SD = standard deviation.
†As defined in Marshall et al., 1991.
cyclosporine groups with respect to these parameters or other CNS safety end points (Table 3).

Daily trough cyclosporine values were monitored for Cohorts I–III (Table 4). Trough values were < 250 ng/ml in all patients who received cyclosporine in each of these cohorts. In Cohort III, cyclosporine troughs were still within the therapeutic range (100–200 ng/ml) 12 hours following the sixth dose of 2.5 mg/kg but fell to < 40 ng/ml within 24 hours. The presence of cyclosporine in the CSF matrix was determined using HPLC. This assay has not been validated using CSF, so pharmacokinetic modeling was not possible. Despite these limitations, cyclosporine was detectable in CSF samples obtained from Cohort III during the 72-hour dosing interval and up to 6 days postinjury. Maximum blood concentrations achieved during cyclosporine treatment were not routinely monitored; they were, however, estimated using a computer-generated model (Fig. 5). Predicted maximum concentrations in the intermittent dosing groups occurred just following the sixth dose and were 398 ± 159 ng/ml, 645 ± 228 ng/ml, and 949 ± 640 ng/ml for Cohorts I, II, and III, respectively.

In Cohort IV, the maximum cyclosporine concentration was predicted to occur just following the loading dose; the predicted maximum was 1636 ± 569 ng/mL. The mean predicted concentration at the end of the 72-hour infusion was 461 ± 118 ng/mL in this cohort, and the mean concentration was predicted to remain within the therapeutic range at least 4 hours following cessation of the infusion.

Outcome

Functional outcome was not a primary objective of this study; nevertheless, 3- and 6-month examinations were conducted for long-term safety observations in surviving patients. No statistical difference in GOS or GOSE was observed between the placebo- and cyclosporine-treated patients (Fig. 6). Outcome scores in 7 (35%) of 20 cyclosporine-treated patients improved from poor to good at the 6-month assessment compared with no improvement in the placebo-treated patients (improvement in 0 of 6 patients, TABLE 3

**Central nervous system adverse events during the dosing period in 32 patients receiving cyclosporine and 8 receiving placebo**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Cyclosporine Groups</th>
<th>Placebo Group</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cerebral edema</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intracranial hypertension</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decerebrate posturing</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decreased CPP</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seizures</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values represent numbers of patients unless otherwise indicated. Definitions for all adverse events were agreed upon prior to initiation of the protocol in any patient. The events recorded in this table met the definition at any time point following the first dose of the study drug. Cerebral edema was defined as a new onset of fluid accumulation in the brain tissue; intracranial hypertension was defined as a clinically significant elevation of ICP that disrupts autoregulation (usually > 20 mm Hg; > 40 mm Hg sustained was defined as severe elevation); decerebrate posturing was defined as decerebrate rigidity with the extremities stiff and extended; decreased CPP was defined as any recorded value < 60 mm Hg; seizures were defined as sudden, involuntary contractions accompanied by electroencephalography changes.
p = 0.15). While these early results are encouraging in this small sample, they did not meet the conventional definition of a statistical “trend” which is customarily defined as p < 0.10. The probability of a favorable outcome varied by cyclosporine dose with the continuous infusion protocol generating the most improved scores (p ≤ 0.05) (Fig. 7).

**Discussion**

There was no difference in mortality or adverse events when cyclosporine was administered to patients with acute severe TBI beginning within 8 hours of injury. The effects of cyclosporine on immune response in the acute TBI patient were not clinically significant in this trial. Of the doses investigated, the optimal cyclosporine dosing regimen for future evaluations of neuroprotective potential was a 2.5 mg/kg loading dose followed by a continuous infusion of 5 mg/kg/day. The 72-hour treatment duration did not generate safety concerns and is a reasonable starting point for examination of CNS penetration and efficacy outcomes. Recognizing the safety profile and challenging dosing paradigms associated with cyclosporine, we attempted to follow conservative strategies and extensive follow-up in our trial. The small number of patients prevents full extrapolation of these preliminary safety and efficacy findings until larger Phase III investigations can be completed. Further development and validation of an analytical method for quantifying cyclosporine in the CSF matrix is essential for assessment of pharmacokinetic parameters within the CNS.

The amount of drug reaching the injured brain is an important consideration in clinical trial design.\textsuperscript{30,32,34,37,42} Traumatic brain injury may alter BBB permeability, providing a window of dosing opportunity.\textsuperscript{4,55} Cyclosporine penetration of the BBB is critical for neuroprotective effects,\textsuperscript{6,8,11,14,28,44,48,51–53,57,60,61,65,66,68} and the drug was detectable in CSF from patients in whom treatment with a 5 mg/kg/day intermittent dose was initiated within 8 hours of injury. Animal models indicate that the window for therapeutic intervention is at least 1 hour and may even be as long as 24 hours.\textsuperscript{57} Systemic cyclosporine administration before or after cerebral contusion in animals with TBI significantly reduces lesion size. Postinjury administration of cyclosporine resulted in a 40% reduction in lesion volume.\textsuperscript{11,61} In animal models, a 74% reduction in lesion volume was observed with the higher continuous

### TABLE 4

* Values represent means ± SDs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Point (hrs)</th>
<th>Cohort 1</th>
<th>Cohort II</th>
<th>Cohort III</th>
<th>Cohort IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>38 ± 14.7</td>
<td>34 ± 5.8</td>
<td>54 ± 13.4</td>
<td>82 ± 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>44 ± 18.4</td>
<td>66 ± 23</td>
<td>94 ± 27.2</td>
<td>116 ± 39.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>119 ± 61.0</td>
<td>154 ± 48.2</td>
<td>169 ± 30.6</td>
<td>193 ± 28.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Fig. 7. Graphs of pharmacokinetic modeling. Observed (squares) and predicted (lines) cyclosporine whole blood concentrations in a representative patient at each dosing level. (See Table 1 for the cyclosporine doses.) Conc = Concentration.
Cyclosporine administration in acute brain injury

Fig. 6. Graph showing the percentages of cyclosporine-treated and control patients with favorable GOS and GOSE scores. Data were examined for trends associated with cyclosporine treatment effect on functional outcome at 3 and 6 months following injury. There was no statistically significant difference in GOS scores between the surviving patients treated with cyclosporine and placebo controls.

infusion dose. These findings suggest that continuous exposure to cyclosporine during a dosing period increases neuroprotection, overcoming time limitations for BBB penetration. The continuous infusion regimen used in our protocol was well tolerated with encouraging trends in outcome. Findings in both animal and human TBI suggest that this is the optimal dosing strategy for future clinical trials of cyclosporine.

The cellular mechanisms of secondary injury associated with TBI involve a number of endogenous mediators. Many agents have been evaluated in clinical trials over the past decade, but they failed to demonstrate significant benefit in overall morbidity or mortality rates. Neuroprotection by cyclosporine has been demonstrated in a variety of models, and a number of potential mechanisms have been described. Effects of cyclosporine on mitochondrial function and axonal disruption continue to be explored. The drug may protect against secondary neuronal death by preventing Ca efflux via inhibition of mitochondrial permeability transition pores.

Mitochondria play an important role in the maintenance of intracellular calcium homeostasis by sequestering Ca++. The mitochondria function to buffer intracellular Ca and protect against a high level of cytosolic Ca++. Calcium enters the mitochondria by a low-capacitor antipor or an electronic unipor. Mitochondria pump Ca out when the cytosolic level of Ca++ are high. The massive influx of Ca following glutamate activation of the N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor causes secondary neuronal injury. Mitochondria protect against this excitotoxic injury by accumulating Ca when exposed to glutamate. Excessive accumulation of Ca, however, causes hyperpolarization and opening of the mitochondria permeability transition pore to Ca. This efflux of Ca may then potentiate the secondary biochemical cascade leading to neuronal death. Although cyclosporine may protect by blocking Ca efflux, it has also shown other effects on mitochondria. Signoretti et al. described a significant restoration of adenosine triphosphate along with diminution of N-acetylaspartate reduction with cyclosporine therapy, illustrating attenuation of mitochondrial dysfunction. The preservation of mitochondrial function by cyclosporine is perhaps only 1 of several mechanisms underlying cyclosporine’s neuroprotective effect.

The complex central and metabolic events accompanying TBI make identification of a pharmacodynamic end point, a surrogate marker, a rationale consideration for evaluating drug response. The severity of brain injury appears to affect endogenous protein concentrations following injury. Identifying surrogate markers of cyclosporine effects remains critical for defining the optimal dose response profile in TBI patients. Several potential surrogate markers were explored during the course of this trial and remain under investigation. Clinical trials of possible treatment strategies continue, and alternative study designs are under consideration, including recommendations for the use of surrogate markers for drug response.

Conclusions

Identifying a therapeutic strategy to mitigate the cognitive and physical impairments associated with TBI is essential to address the personal and societal impact of this condition. Our findings show that clinically approved doses of cyclosporine can be safely administered to patients with TBI. The optimal dose in this investigation was 2.5 mg/kg administered over 2 hours followed by a continuous infusion of 5 mg/kg/day for 72 hours. Biomarkers collected from patients with TBI will help define the mechanisms responsible for neuroprotective actions of cyclosporine and may be useful surrogates for predicting drug response. Although significant adverse events were not observed in this Phase II trial, future Phase III investigations with larger numbers of patients will be needed to fully define the role of cyclosporine as a potential treatment for acute TBI.
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