**Indirect decompression with lateral interbody fusion for severe degenerative lumbar spinal stenosis: minimum 1-year MRI follow-up**
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**OBJECTIVE** The use of indirect decompression surgery for severe canal stenosis remains controversial. The purpose of this study was to investigate the efficacy of lateral interbody fusion (LIF) without posterior decompression in degenerative lumbar spinal spondylosis with severe stenosis on preoperative MRI.

**METHODS** This is a retrospective case series from a single academic institution. The authors included 42 patients (45 surgical levels) who were preoperatively diagnosed with severe degenerative lumbar stenosis on MRI based on the previously published Schizas classification. These patients underwent LIF with supplemental pedicle screw fixation without posterior decompression. Surgical levels were limited to L3–4 and/or L4–5. All patients satisfied the minimum 1-year MRI follow-up. The authors compared the cross-sectional area (CSA) of the thecal sac and the clinical outcome scores (Japanese Orthopaedic Association [JOA] score) preoperatively, immediately postoperatively, and at the 1-year follow-up. Fusion status and disc height were evaluated based on CT scans obtained at the 1-year follow-up.

**RESULTS** The CSA improved over time, increasing from $54.5 \pm 19.2 \text{ mm}^2$ preoperatively to $84.7 \pm 31.8 \text{ mm}^2$ at 3 weeks postoperatively and to $132.6 \pm 37.5 \text{ mm}^2$ at the last follow-up (average 28.3 months) ($p < 0.001$). The JOA score significantly improved over time (preoperatively $16.1 \pm 4.1$, 3 months postoperatively $24.4 \pm 4.0$, and 1-year follow-up $25.7 \pm 2.9$, $p < 0.001$). The fusion rate at the 1-year follow-up was 88.8%, and disc heights were significantly restored (preoperatively 6.3 mm and postoperative, 9.6 mm; $p < 0.001$). Patients showing poor CSA expansion (<200% expansion rate) at the last follow-up had a higher prevalence of pseudarthrosis than patients with significant CSA expansion (>200% expansion rate) (25.0% vs 3.4%, $p < 0.001$). No major perioperative complications were observed.

**CONCLUSIONS** LIF with indirect decompression for degenerative lumbar disease with severe canal stenosis provided successful clinical outcomes, including restoration of disc height and indirect expansion of the thecal sac. Severe canal stenosis diagnosed on preoperative MRI itself is not a contraindication for indirect decompression surgery.
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of LIF without posterior decompression for degenerative lumbar spinal disease with severe canal stenosis diagnosed on preoperative MRI. More specifically, we investigated the change in cross-sectional area (CSA) of the thecal sac over time on MRI during the minimum 1-year follow-up period.

**Methods**

**Patient Population and Data Collection**

After receiving institutional review board approval, we reviewed the medical records of the patients who underwent LIF surgery for degenerative lumbar conditions at a single academic institution between January 2012 and December 2018. A total of 257 patients were identified. Of the 257 patients, we included patients with age > 18 years at time of surgery, L3–4 and/or L4–5 surgical level, severe canal stenosis on preoperative MRI evaluation (grades C and D, determined according to the Schizas classification for severity of lumbar spinal stenosis based on the morphology of the dural sac; Fig. 1A), and available preoperative, 3-week postoperative, and minimum 1-year follow-up MR images. Exclusion criteria were prior lumbar spine surgery (revision surgery), grade > 2 spondylolisthesis, infectious diseases, and/or trauma. Sixty-eight patients had Schizas grade C or D at L3–4 and/or L4–5 surgical levels preoperatively. Of them, 26 patients were excluded for the following reasons: 14 for the lack of available follow-up MRI, 9 for an incomplete minimum 1-year follow-up, and 3 for prior lumbar surgery. Consequently, 42 patients with 45 surgical levels were included in the analysis.

Baseline demographics, including age, sex, BMI, tobacco use, and comorbidities, were investigated. Surgical data, including operative time, total estimated blood loss (EBL), cage height, and perioperative complications, were also collected. Clinical outcome was evaluated preopera-
Surgical Procedure

Surgery was performed based on the previously reported oblique lumbar interbody fusion procedure. Initially, we positioned the patient in a true lateral decubitus position under fluoroscopic guidance. We made a 4- to 5-cm skin incision at the anterior axillary line, centered on the affected disc level, in the lateral abdominal region parallel to the fibers of the external oblique muscle and 5 cm frontally from the anterior border of the vertebral body. We preferred an approach from the left side. We accessed the retroperitoneal space by blunt dissection of the external oblique, internal oblique, and transverse abdominal muscles along the direction of their fibers. We exposed the intervertebral disc through an open corridor between the psoas muscle and aorta. We then placed a marker pin into the disc space from the anterolateral corner, which was identified fluoroscopically. Next, we placed sequential dilators to keep the operative field open. After making a portal by excising the annulus fibrosis, we removed the disc material, including the cartilaginous endplate and the annulus fibrosis, with a Cobb elevator under fluoroscopic guidance. An appropriate-sized cage (Clydesdale, Medtronic Inc.) was filled with synthetic bone substitute with or without autologous iliac crest bone graft depending on the surgeon’s preference. We inserted the interbody cage in a press-fit fashion into the anterior third of the disc space to maximize the creation of segmental lordosis. No neuromonitoring was used during the surgery. After completion of the anterior procedure, the patient was turned prone, and we inserted supplemental posterior percutaneous pedicle screws. We locked the set screws on the rods in an in situ position without adding segmental compression.

Radiographic Evaluation

The presence of spondylolisthesis was determined if a plain lateral radiograph showed grade ≥ 1 spondylolisthesis. CT scanning was routinely performed preoperatively and at the 1-year follow-up. Based on the CT scans, the disc height at the middle and posterior edge of the vertebral body (DH-m and DH-p, respectively; Fig. 1B) and the segmental disc angle (SDA; Fig. 1B) were measured; the Cobb angle between the inferior endplate of the upper vertebra and the superior endplate of the lower vertebra was also measured. The fusion rate was also evaluated on the CT scan at the 1-year follow-up. Solid fusion was determined if continuous trabecular bone inside the cage and/or bony union of the facet joint were observed without loosening of the screws.

MRI Evaluation

The severity of canal stenosis was evaluated based on the Schizas classification (grades A–D) preoperatively, at 3 weeks postoperatively, and at the 1-year follow-up. The CSA of the thecal sac was measured at the disc level with T2-weighted axial MRI (Fig. 1C) preoperatively, at 3 weeks postoperatively, and at the last follow-up (minimum 1 year postoperatively). ImageJ software (NIH) was used to measure the CSA in a hand-drawn fashion. The CSA expansion rate was calculated according to the following formula: (postoperative CSA/preoperative CSA) × 100. The correlation between the postoperative CSA expansion rate and the JOA score improvement rate was also determined.

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, unless otherwise specified. The radiographic measurements were performed by a board-certified spine surgeon (T.S.), who was not involved in the surgeries. The interobserver reliability was assessed using intraclass correlation coefficients with data measured by one of the coauthors (B.O.) and classified as poor (0–0.39), moderate (0.4–0.74), or excellent (0.75–1). The JOA score, CSA, DH-m, DH-p, and SDA were compared among the preoperative and postoperative periods using the paired t-test or analysis of variance and Tukey’s post hoc test according to the number of variables. Pearson’s correlation test was used in the correlation analysis. The chi-square test and Mann-Whitney U-test were used for categorical and continuous variables, respectively, in the univariate analysis. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. JMP version 13 (SAS Institute) was used for all analyses.

Results

Table 1 shows the baseline demographics of the cohort included in the study. The mean age was 69.1 ± 7.6 years, and the BMI was 22.8 ± 3.7 kg/m². One-level fusion was performed in 85.7% of patients (L3–4: 23.8% and L4–5: 61.9%), and 2-level fusion was performed in 14.2%. Spondylolisthesis was observed at 66.6% of the surgical levels. The mean operative time was 95.1 ± 25.5 minutes per level, including the intraoperative positioning change (from lateral decubitus to prone), and the mean total EBL was 17.7 ± 21.2 ml per level. The average cage height used was 10.6 mm. Overall, the interrater reliability for the radiographic measurements was excellent (intraclass correlation coefficients: 0.984 for CSA, 0.937 for DH-m, 0.945 for DH-p, and 0.948 for SDA).

Clinical Outcome

The JOA score significantly improved over time (preoperatively 16.1 ± 4.1, 3 months postoperatively 24.4 ± 4.0, and 1-year follow-up 25.7 ± 2.9; p < 0.001) (Fig. 2A). The correlation between the postoperative CSA expansion rate and the JOA score improvement rate was also determined.

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, unless otherwise specified. The radiographic measurements were performed by a board-certified spine surgeon (T.S.), who was not involved in the surgeries. The interobserver reliability was assessed using intraclass correlation coefficients with data measured by one of the coauthors (B.O.) and classified as poor (0–0.39), moderate (0.4–0.74), or excellent (0.75–1). The JOA score, CSA, DH-m, DH-p, and SDA were compared among the preoperative and postoperative periods using the paired t-test or analysis of variance and Tukey’s post hoc test according to the number of variables. Pearson’s correlation test was used in the correlation analysis. The chi-square test and Mann-Whitney U-test were used for categorical and continuous variables, respectively, in the univariate analysis. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. JMP version 13 (SAS Institute) was used for all analyses.

Results

Table 1 shows the baseline demographics of the cohort included in the study. The mean age was 69.1 ± 7.6 years, and the BMI was 22.8 ± 3.7 kg/m². One-level fusion was performed in 85.7% of patients (L3–4: 23.8% and L4–5: 61.9%), and 2-level fusion was performed in 14.2%. Spondylolisthesis was observed at 66.6% of the surgical levels. The mean operative time was 95.1 ± 25.5 minutes per level, including the intraoperative positioning change (from lateral decubitus to prone), and the mean total EBL was 17.7 ± 21.2 ml per level. The average cage height used was 10.6 mm. Overall, the interrater reliability for the radiographic measurements was excellent (intraclass correlation coefficients: 0.984 for CSA, 0.937 for DH-m, 0.945 for DH-p, and 0.948 for SDA).

Clinical Outcome

The JOA score significantly improved over time (preoperatively 16.1 ± 4.1, 3 months postoperatively 24.4 ± 4.0, and 1-year follow-up 25.7 ± 2.9; p < 0.001) (Fig. 2A). The
average JOA score improvement rates were 66.8% and 74.9% at the 3-month and 1-year follow-ups, respectively. The disc heights were significantly restored postoperatively (DH-m: 6.3 ± 2.4 mm preoperatively vs 9.6 ± 1.8 mm at the 1-year follow-up, p < 0.001; and DH-p: 3.7 ± 1.6 mm preoperatively vs 5.7 ± 1.7 mm at the 1-year follow-up, p < 0.001) (Fig. 2B). The SDA was also restored (4.6° ± 4.0° preoperatively vs 6.4° ± 3.1° at the 1-year follow-up; p < 0.001). The fusion rate at the 1-year follow-up was 88.8% (Table 2). Perioperative complications included incidental anterior longitudinal ligament rupture (n = 1), approach-associated transient thigh pain/numbness (n = 2), and retroperitoneal hematoma (n = 2). No major vascular, ureteral, or colon injury occurred. No patients underwent revision surgery during the follow-up period.

MRI Evaluation
The preoperative Schizas classifications were grades C and D in 30 and 15 patients, respectively. The average MRI follow-up was 29.6 ± 15.7 months postoperatively (minimum 1-year follow-up). This significantly improved postoperatively (3 weeks postoperatively: grades A, n = 14; B, n = 14; C, n = 14; and D, n = 3; and at last follow-up: A, n = 37; B, n = 4; and C, n = 3). The CSA also improved over time, increasing from 54.5 ± 19.2 mm² preoperatively to 84.7 ± 31.8 mm² at 3 weeks postoperatively and to 132.6 ± 37.5 mm² at the last follow-up (p < 0.001) (Fig. 2C). The average CSA expansion rates were 172.0% and 274.0% at the 3-week and 1-year follow-ups, respectively. Figure 3 is the scatterplot showing that no significant correlation was observed between the CSA expansion rate and the JOA score improvement rate at 3 months postoperatively (r = 0.225, p = 0.133).

Risk Factors for Poor CSA Expansion
Twenty-nine surgical levels showed a good CSA expansion rate (> 200%), whereas 16 levels showed a poor CSA expansion rate (< 200%). Of the demographic and radiographic parameters that could clinically contribute to the poor CSA expansion rate, the univariate analysis revealed intergroup difference in preoperative CSA (67.0 ± 17.8 mm² vs 47.6 ± 16.5 mm²) and absence of solid fusion (pseudarthrosis) at the 1-year follow-up CT (25.0% vs 3.4%) (Table 3).

Discussion
In this clinical case series, we showed successful 1-year clinical outcome of LIF without posterior decompression in degenerative lumbar spinal disease with severe canal stenosis diagnosed on preoperative MRI. The CSA of the thecal sac on MRI expanded postoperatively over time during the minimum 1-year follow-up period.

Several authors have reported on the clinical outcomes of indirect decompression surgery for degenerative lumbar disease. Kepler et al. found that the Oswestry Disability Index scores improved from an average of 32.8 ± 9.8 preoperatively to 19.8 ± 9.8 postoperatively at the 6-month follow-up.a A recent report by Pereira et al. demonstrated that the clinical outcomes at the 1-year follow-up showed 39%, 50%, and 60% improvements in the Oswestry Disability Index, back visual analog scale, and leg visual analog scale scores, respectively.20 However, none of these studies have focused on cases with severe canal stenosis. The inclusion criteria for the present study were strictly in regard to the severity of canal stenosis; only the preoperative Schizas classification grades C and D, representing complete MR myelographic canal block, were included. Furthermore, we focused on the L3–4 and L4–5 surgical levels, which are the typical lumbar spinal levels where degenerative changes occur. We believe that these specific criteria have ensured strong clinical evidence on the current data. In addition to the successful clinical score (JOA score improvement of 74.9% at the 1-year follow-up), the excellent fusion rate at 1 year (88.8%) was found to align with previous reports describing fusion rates of 88.0%–97.2% for LIF in degenerative lumbar disease.2,10,17,23

In our series, the average disc height at the 1-year follow-up (9.6 mm) was nearly the same height as the average cage height inserted (10.6 mm), indicating that only subtle cage subsidence occurred. This could be due to the rigidity of the supplemental pedicle screw fixation. Prior studies have shown that a stand-alone cage may result in 22.4%–31.3% subsidence rate.11,12,23 We believe that supplemental pedicle screw replacement is extremely helpful in preventing cage subsidence.

In a recent meta-analysis comparing the complication rates of trans- and pre-psoas approaches, the trans-psoas group had a higher rate of approach-side sensory symptoms (21.7% vs 8.7%) and hip flexor weakness (19.7% vs 5.7%), whereas the pre-psoas group had significantly higher major vascular injury (1.8% vs 0.4%).23 Although the approach-associated complication has been shown to

---

**TABLE 1. Patient demographics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, yrs (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, M/F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI, kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco user, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidity, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spondylolisthesis at surgical level, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical level, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean op time per 1 level, mins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean total EBL per 1 level, ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean cage height, mm (range)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuous data are shown as mean ± SD.
be transient, a pre-psoas approach with direct visualization of the operative field makes the complication rate less frequent. Recently, we prefer to split the psoas at the anterior third of the muscle belly after blunt dissection of the fascia. This prevents major vascular injury as well as sympathetic nerve injury.

Our MRI analysis showed that substantial CSA expansion can occur following indirect decompression even in patients with severe canal stenosis. An average of 172.0% TABLE 2. Fusion rate and perioperative complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fusion rate at 1-yr follow-up</td>
<td>40/45 (88.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periop complication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL rupture</td>
<td>1/42 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach-associated thigh pain/numbness</td>
<td>2/42 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retroperitoneal hematoma</td>
<td>2/42 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALL = anterior longitudinal ligament.

FIG. 2. A: Change in JOA score at 3 months and 1 year postoperatively. B: Change in DH-m, DH-p, and SDA on the 1-year follow-up CT scan. C: Change in CSA on MRI at the 3-week and last follow-ups (average 28 months). *p < 0.001. Figure is available in color online only.

FIG. 3. Scatterplot of JOA score improvement and CSA expansion rate, showing no significant correlation (r = 0.225, p = 0.133).
expansion was seen at the immediate postoperative period (3 weeks postoperatively) and continued to expand to 274.0% during the average 29.6-month follow-up. A typical MRI evaluation showed the immediate CSA expansion due to disc height restoration and improvement of ligamentum flavum buckling, then gradual shrinking of disc bulging and ligamentum flavum along with the progression of bony fusion (Fig. 4). This spontaneous long-term shrinkage of the ligamentum flavum after anterior interbody fusion has been previously reported.14 However, the CSA expansion rate had no significant correlation with the clinical score (JOA score). This indicates that clinical score improvement is instead associated with the effect of stabilization rather than that of the neural decompression. From this perspective, supplemental screw fixation would be recommended. Nevertheless, we believe that radiographic improvement still has a significant importance in postoperative discussions with patients. In addition, at long-term follow-up, which we could not address in this study, CSA expansion may be significantly relevant to clinical outcome, especially in patients with pseudarthrosis (in case of loss of rigid stabilization). Therefore, we evaluated the risk factors for the degree of CSA expansion.

There has been no previously published evidence regarding the threshold of the CSA expansion ratio that is correlated with clinical outcome. According to our average data (172.0% expansion ratio) and for the ease of use, we defined the threshold as > 200%, and all of the patients with > 200% expansion had Schizas grade A or B, which indicates negative canal stenosis. Pseudarthrosis was more frequently observed in the patients with poor CSA expans-

TABLE 3. Univariate risk factor analysis for poor CSA expansion rate (< 200%) at the last follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Poor Group (n = 16)</th>
<th>Good Group (n = 29)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, yrs</td>
<td>69.7 ± 7.3</td>
<td>68.0 ± 8.0</td>
<td>0.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (62.5)</td>
<td>21 (72.4)</td>
<td>0.491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>23.2 ± 5.6</td>
<td>22.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>0.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spondylolisthesis, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (62.5)</td>
<td>20 (69.0)</td>
<td>0.660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean preop CSA, mm²</td>
<td>67.0 ± 17.8</td>
<td>47.6 ± 16.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean preop DH-m, mm</td>
<td>5.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>6.7 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean preop DH-p, mm</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.4</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean preop SDA, °</td>
<td>3.8 ± 3.4</td>
<td>5.0 ± 4.2</td>
<td>0.329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean postop DH-m, mm</td>
<td>9.0 ± 1.6</td>
<td>9.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean postop DH-p, mm</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>6.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean postop SDA, °</td>
<td>6.0 ± 3.1</td>
<td>6.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>0.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudarthrosis, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (25.0)</td>
<td>1 (3.4)</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 4. A and B: Typical case of preoperative severe canal stenosis (CSA, 25.3 mm²). C and D: Three weeks postoperatively, significant expansion is seen due to disc height restoration and improvement of ligamentum flavum buckling. E and F: At the last follow-up (14 months), further CSA expansion is observed with shrinking of the disc bulging and ligamentum flavum. FU = follow-up.
sion than in those with good expansion (25.0% vs 3.4%) (Fig. 5). Solid fusion is necessary for promoting and maintaining CSA expansion during the long-term follow-up. Our data showed that a large preoperative CSA was the risk factor for poor expansion at last follow-up. In other words, a small preoperative CSA tended to have greater expansion, which implies that small preoperative CSA is not necessarily a contraindication for indirect decompression.

Some limitations should be noted. This study is a small retrospective case series. Furthermore, there was no control group. A prospective study with a control group undergoing conventional posterior/transforaminal interbody fusion with direct decompression is needed to determine actual clinical relevance. In addition, there was substantial selection bias because the patients with extraligamentous disc herniation, locked facet, and stenosis due to bony structure (osteophyte of the facet/vertebra) were originally excluded. These conditions should be carefully reviewed preoperatively. In this series, the patients without spondylolisthesis (33.4%) underwent fusion surgery for the following reasons: intractable back pain with evidence of radiographic disc degeneration, significant intervertebral instability on dynamic flexion-extension radiographs, and/or foraminal stenosis on MRI parasagittal views. Although we believe that decompression alone might not have worked for these patients, this relatively aggressive criterion for fusion possibly caused selection bias. This study did not include the L5–S1 level, where degenerative change is usually seen. We are gathering data on L5–S1 indirect decompression, which will be included in future research.

Conclusions

LIF with indirect decompression for degenerative lumbar disease with severe canal stenosis provided successful clinical outcomes throughout the 1-year postoperative period, including restoration of disc height and indirect expansion of the thecal sac. LIF is an effective surgical option and can be indicated for severe lumbar canal stenosis. Achieving solid fusion may be necessary to maintain the expansion of the dural sac throughout the postoperative period.
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