Lateral lumbar interbody fusion in the elderly: a 10-year experience
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OBJECTIVE  Elderly patients, often presenting with multiple medical comorbidities, are touted to be at an increased risk of peri- and postoperative complications following spine surgery. Various minimally invasive surgical techniques have been developed and employed to treat an array of spinal conditions while minimizing complications. Lateral lumbar interbody fusion (LLIF) is one such approach. The authors describe clinical outcomes in patients over the age of 70 years following stand-alone LLIF.

METHODS  A retrospective query of a prospectively maintained database was performed for patients over the age of 70 years who underwent stand-alone LLIF. Patients with posterior segmental fixation and/or fusion were excluded. The preoperative and postoperative values for the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) were analyzed to compare outcomes after intervention. Femoral neck t-scores were acquired from bone density scans and correlated with the incidence of graft subsidence.

RESULTS  Among the study cohort of 55 patients, the median age at the time of surgery was 74 years (range 70–87 years). Seventeen patients had at least 3 medical comorbidities at surgery. Twenty-three patients underwent a 1-level, 14 a 2-level, and 18 patients a 3-level or greater stand-alone lateral fusion. The median estimated blood loss was 25 ml (range 5–280 ml). No statistically significant relationship was detected between volume of blood loss and the number of operative levels. The median length of hospital stay was 2 days (range 1–4 days). No statistically significant relationship was observed between the length of hospital stay and age at the time of surgery. There was one intraoperative death secondary to cardiac arrest, with a mortality rate of 1.8%. One patient developed a transient femoral nerve injury. Five patients with symptomatic graft subsidence subsequently underwent posterior instrumentation. A lower femoral neck t-score < −1.0 correlated with a higher incidence of graft subsidence (p = 0.006). The mean ODI score 1 year postoperatively of 31.1 was significantly (p = 0.003) less than the mean preoperative ODI score of 46.2.

CONCLUSIONS  Stand-alone LLIF can be safely and effectively performed in the elderly population. Careful evaluation of preoperative bone density parameters should be employed to minimize risk of subsidence and need for additional surgery. Despite an association with increased comorbidities, age alone should not be a deterrent when considering stand-alone LLIF in the elderly population.
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With the advances in medical care, it is expected that 53% of the United States population will be above the age of 65 by 2020. According to the World Health Organization, musculoskeletal conditions are the most disabling in people over the age of 70, and back pain is the most common complaint. It is estimated that the prevalence of back pain among patients over the age of 65 is about 56%. Lumbar fusion has been utilized for the treatment of a variety of spinal pathologies including degenerative diseases causing low-back pain.
ever, elderly patients, often presenting with multiple medical comorbidities, are touted to be at an increased risk of peri- and postoperative complications.

Minimally invasive spine surgery was first presented as an alternative to open surgery in 1991. Lateral lumbar interbody fusion (LLIF) is a surgical technique that entails gaining access to the lumbar spine through a lateral approach by passing through the retroperitoneal fat and the psoas major muscle. The main advantages of this approach include decreased intraoperative blood loss, lower intraoperative narcotic requirements, a shorter hospital stay, and lower risk of intraoperative injury compared to open surgery.

The clinical outcomes in elderly patients undergoing stand-alone LLIF are not well established. As such, we report the clinical outcomes and complications in patients over the age of 70 who underwent stand-alone LLIF for the management of degenerative spinal pathology.

Methods

A total of 470 patients underwent LLIF in the period between 2007 and 2016. Ninety-seven patients were 70 years of age or older at the time of surgery. Patients who underwent LLIF combined with posterior supplementary fixation or fusion were excluded. A retrospective analysis of this prospectively maintained database was performed on the study cohort consisting of 55 patients; inclusion criteria were as follows: age over 70 years at time of intervention with a minimally invasive stand-alone LLIF. All procedures were performed at a single institution. The LLIF procedure was performed as previously described. Patients underwent DEXA scans preoperatively to determine bone density. Routine postoperative radiographs and CT scans of the abdomen and pelvis were obtained to assess for radiographic endplate breach as well as occult injury to peritoneal or retroperitoneal structures.

Electronic medical records were reviewed for patient demographics, procedures, clinical outcomes, and complications. Functional outcomes were reported using Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) scores preoperatively and at 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months, and 1 year following surgery. Femoral neck t-scores were acquired from bone density scans. These were utilized to establish a correlation with graft subsidence.

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS Statistics 24 (IBM). Differences in clinical outcomes scores from preoperative to 1-year postoperative time-points were determined using t-tests. Univariate analysis was done to study the correlation between the numbers of levels operated on and the length of hospital stay and risk of postoperative complications. Additionally, univariate analysis was done to study the correlation between age and length of stay. A p value < 0.05 was set for statistical significance.

Results

Among the study cohort of 55 patients, 36 patients were women and 19 were men. The median age at the time of surgical intervention was 74 years (range 70–87 years). Seventeen patients had at least 3 medical comorbidities at the time of surgery. The most common medical comorbidities are presented in Table 1. The mean follow-up was 15 months (range 1–48 months). Twenty-three patients underwent a 1-level fusion, 14 a 2-level fusion, and 18 patients a 3-level or higher fusion. The median estimated blood loss (EBL) was 25 ml (range 5–280 ml). None of the patients required intraoperative or postoperative blood transfusion. No statistically significant relationship was revealed between volume of blood loss and the number of operative levels. The median length of hospital stay was 2 days (range 1–4 days). No statistically significant relation was found between the length of hospital stay and age at the time of surgery.

Clinical Outcomes

The mean preoperative ODI score was 46.2 (range 8–80). The mean postoperative ODI score at 6 months was 24 (range, 0–78), and the mean ODI score 1 year postoperatively was 31.1 (range 0–68). ODI scores documented 1 year postoperatively were 33% lower than the preoperative ODI scores (p = 0.003) (Fig. 1).

Complications

There was one intraoperative death secondary to cardiac arrest as a result of presumed myocardial infarction or pulmonary embolism, yielding an overall mortality rate of 1.8%. The deceased patient had a history of coronary artery disease and was status post stenting, atrial fibrillation status post pacemaker, and tobacco use. One patient developed a transient femoral nerve injury. There were no visceral or vascular injuries in this series. Five patients with radiographic graft subsidence subsequently required posterior instrumentation and fusion. A summary of complications is presented in Table 2. DEXA scan reports were available in 23 patients. A lower femoral neck t-score < −1.0 correlated with a higher incidence of graft subsidence (p = 0.006). A greater number of levels operated on did not correlate with a higher risk of developing complications. The number of medical comorbidities was not associated with a higher risk of complications.
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Discussion

Patient Characteristics

The goal of minimally invasive spine surgery is to provide effective symptomatic relief with minimal tissue damage and minimal postoperative complications. To that end, elderly patients, often presenting with multiple comorbidities, benefit from lower intraoperative blood loss, faster wound healing, and early mobilization. In this cohort, we report a median hospital stay of 2 days. This is shorter than the length of stay reported in the literature for patients undergoing posterior lumbar interbody fusion (PLIF), transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF), and anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF) with an average range of 3–6 days.11,12,25 Karikari et al. reported a mean length of stay of 3.5 days in patients over the age of 70 who underwent stand-alone LLIF.14 We report a median EBL of 25 ml. This is in contrast to a reported mean EBL of 1147 ml and 226 ml in PLIF and TLIF, respectively.12 Karikari et al. also reported a mean EBL of 46 ml in patients undergoing stand-alone 1- to 2-level LLIF and 175-ml blood loss in more than 2-level LLIF.14 Avila et al. reviewed the outcomes of elderly patients undergoing minimally invasive spine surgery using various surgical approaches.1 They reported a mean blood loss of 43 ml and a length of hospital stay of 1.7 days. It is worth noting, however, that all patients in their cohort underwent single-level intervention and that the majority of their patients underwent intralaminar decompression and fusion. In this cohort, no statistically significant correlation was observed between the number of levels and the EBL. Rodgers et al. reported outcomes in patients over the age of 80 years who underwent either open PLIF or minimally invasive LLIF. They found that LLIF patients had lower mortality rates, lower complication rates, less intraoperative blood loss, and significantly shorter hospital stays.27

Functional Outcomes

Our study showed a statistically significant improvement in ODI score in as early as 6 weeks postoperatively, as well as on long-term follow-up. Based on ODI score interpretation, patients in this cohort were classified as either incapacitated or severely disabled before surgery. We observed a 33% improvement the 1-year postoperative ODI score. Postoperative ODI score interpretation suggests that patients had substantial degrees of improvement in their disability. Improvement in the functional score reported in this series is comparable to that of prior results of interbody fusion cited in the literature. Ozgur et al. reported a 39% improvement in ODI score following an anterolateral transpsoas approach for fusion.23 Malham et al. reported a 44% improvement in ODI score in a cohort of 40 patients (mean age 65 years) who underwent stand-alone LLIF.19 In their study of TLIF outcomes, Nikhil et al. found that both functional outcomes and complication rates were comparable between the different age groups included in their cohort.21

Complications

The morbidity rates associated with spinal procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2. Complications observed in patients who underwent stand-alone LLIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complication</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow viscous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the elderly documented in the literature range between 25% and 80%, and the mortality rate is 2% \(^{2,4,9,14,26}\). Observed graft subsidence requiring surgical revision was 5/55 (9%) in the present study. This is lower than the complication rates reported by Knight et al. and Grimm et al., who showed complication rates of 23% and 22.5%, respectively.\(^{10,17}\) Karikari et al. reported a 32% complication rate.

In their report, 4 patients who underwent stand-alone LLIF developed graft subsidence and required further surgery.\(^{14}\) Phan et al. reported on outcomes following ALIF in patients 65 years of age or older.\(^{24}\) They found that patients in this age group have a higher chance of developing graft subsidence compared to younger patients. Graft subsidence had a statistically significant correlation with femoral neck t-scores < -1.0 on DEXA scans. This finding is in line with the findings of Tempel et al., who reported a higher degree of graft subsidence in patients with t-scores < -1.0. This suggests that patients with poor bone density may benefit from supplementation of LLIF with posterior instrumentation.\(^{30}\) Other variables reportedly found to increase the risk of graft subsidence include over-distraction of the disc space, length of construct, cage width, endplate violation, and lateral plate fixation.\(^{6,18,25,30,3}\) The clinical sequelae of graft subsidence may be more profound in patients who undergo stand-alone LLIF than those who undergo pedicle screw fixation. As such, before stand-alone LLIF, patients are typically screened for subsidence risk factors including age and bone mineral density. Patients with evidence of osteopenia or osteoporosis on DEXA scanning are typically offered LLIF with supplemental pedicle screw fixation in to reduce the risk of subsidence.

Carreon et al. found that the prevalence of complications is related to the age of the patient at the time of surgery, the number of levels fused, and the EBL.\(^{2}\) In this series, the number of levels and EBL did not correlate with the risk of complications. In addition, we did not find a statistically significant relationship between the number of medical comorbidities and the risk of developing a postoperative complications. This is in contrast to Karikari et al., who reported a higher rate of postoperative complications in patients with a higher number of medical comorbidities.\(^{14}\) Overall, complications rates for this study cohort were comparable or lower than those reported in the literature.

Conclusions

Lateral lumbar interbody fusion can be performed in the elderly with a relatively low rate of complications. This approach is associated with a high rate of symptomatic and functional improvement on short- and long-term follow-up. Age alone should not be a deterrent to performing LLIF in the elderly. Additional supplementation may be beneficial in patients with poor bone quality to reduce the risk of graft subsidence.
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