In an attempt to curb the current unsustainable growth in health care costs, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) has initiated several cost containment and quality improvement measures. A very important example of these measures is the Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program (HRRP), which penalizes hospitals with relatively higher rates of Medicare readmissions. The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) stated that the HRRP will play a significant role in its new goals, applying to most inpatient hospitals, to tie an increasing share of traditional Medicare payments to quality or value in the coming years. Private payers are also developing an interest in this important metric. Many health care quality scientists believe readmissions are a strong indicator of a fragmented health care system that fails to identify patients at high risk for readmission and that too often leaves discharged patients confused about how to care for themselves at home and obtain necessary follow-up care. Additionally, readmissions are costly; Medicare alone reports spending $17.8 billion a year on
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patients whose return trips to the hospital could have been avoided. As part of the HRRP, the CMS is currently collecting data that may be used to financially penalize institutions based on high readmission rates after total joint arthroplasty. This policy may soon extend to other surgical procedures, including spine surgery.

Hospital readmissions following spine surgery can dramatically raise costs and reduce the overall value of surgical spine care; thus, a better understanding of the factors associated with readmission after spine surgery is needed to facilitate efforts aimed at quality improvement. Prior research into postoperative spine readmissions has relied heavily on administrative databases and thus has been restricted by the inherent limitations of such analyses. Nevertheless, these prior investigations have found rates of 30-day readmission after spine surgery ranging from 2.5% to 8.4%. These studies have identified the most common causes of readmission including wound-related complications, infections, pain, and thromboembolic events; however, the identification and importance of specific risk factors for hospital readmission have yet to be elucidated. To date, there has been no comprehensive prospective analysis with the primary intent of understanding factors associated with hospital readmission following spine surgery. Therefore, we set out 1) to understand the factors associated with unplanned hospital readmission following elective spine surgery and 2) to develop a predictive model that could estimate the risk of 90-day readmission based on data obtained from our institutional prospective, longitudinal spine registry.

Methods

All patients undergoing elective spinal surgery for degenerative disease in the period between 2010 and 2014 at a single academic, comprehensive spine center were entered into a prospective, web-based longitudinal registry. Inclusion criteria for the present study were as follows: 1) patients with an age > 18 years, 2) presenting symptoms with correlative imaging findings for diagnosis, 3) 3 months of failed multimodal conservative care, and 4) progressive neurological deficits.

Prospectively collected preoperative variables included patient demographics, comorbidities, diagnoses, and radiographic findings. Length of surgery, estimated blood loss, perioperative complications, and length of hospital stay were also prospectively recorded. Hospital readmission during the 90-day global period was recorded, as was a return to the operating room during this time. All morbidity and death occurring within the first 3 months after surgery were prospectively recorded.

Reasons for readmission were stratified into four categories: 1) medical, 2) wound related, 3) surgical failure, and 4) uncontrollable pain. Medically necessitated readmissions included those attributed to electrolyte abnormalities, persistent nausea/vomiting, cardiac arrhythmias, urinary retention, renal insufficiency, non-wound infections (urinary tract infection, pneumonia), uncontrolled diabetes, pulmonary embolus, deep vein thrombosis, and ileus. Wound-related readmissions included infection, dehiscence, pseudomeningocele, cerebrospinal fluid leak, and hematoma. Surgical failure readmissions included cases of persistent symptoms from inadequate decompression, hardware failure, and new neurological deficits.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were conducted, and the results were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation, compared via the Student t-test, and as the median (interquartile range), compared via the Mann-Whitney U-test. Nominal data were compared via the chi-square test. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to determine factors associated with 90-day hospital readmission.

The first step in developing the predictive model for readmission was variable selection. This was done by choosing those variables that were found to be significantly associated with readmission and by incorporating other relevant variables based on clinical intuition and the Akaike information criterion (AIC). The available data were randomly partitioned into an 80% “training” data set and a 20% “validation” data set, while ensuring that the incidence of readmission remained equal between the two groups. The selected predictor variables were then included in a multivariable logistic regression model, which was fit using the training data set. Because our goal was to optimize prediction while properly accommodating model uncertainty, the logistic regression was fitted using Bayesian model averaging (BMA). To assess predictive performance, the model was then used to predict the odds of a 90-day readmission for patients in the validation data set. Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) analysis was conducted to quantify goodness of fit and the predictive performance of the model. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 20 (IBM Corp.) and R.

Results

Patient Characteristics

A total of 2803 consecutive patients were enrolled in our institutional registry over the study time period. Of this cohort, 227 (8.1%) patients were readmitted to the hospital (for any cause) within 90 days postoperatively. The primary reason for readmission was medical for 120 (52.9%) patients, wound related for 72 (31.7%) patients, surgical failure for 27 (11.9%) patients, and uncontrollable pain for 8 (3.5%) patients.

Patients requiring readmission were older (59.5 ± 12.8 years vs 56.3 ± 13.7 years, p = 0.0004) and more likely to have governmental (Medicare/Medicaid) insurance (55.5% vs 45.2%, p = 0.004), a higher-risk anesthesia classification (American Society of Anesthesiologists [ASA] class III–IV: 78.4% vs 65.0%, p < 0.0001), and various medical comorbidities (p ranges from < 0.0001 to 0.04) than the patients without a hospital readmission (Table 1).

A diagnosis of pseudarthrosis (10.1% vs 6.1%, p = 0.02) and tumor (8.4% vs 4.1%, p = 0.006) was more likely to be present in the patient cohort requiring readmission, whereas a diagnosis of herniated disc (18.9% vs 26.4%, p = 0.01) was less often present in the readmission versus the non-readmission cohort.
patients in the non-readmission cohort (Table 2). The median number of operative levels was similar between the readmission and non-readmission cohorts (2 [1–3.5] levels vs 2 [1–3] levels, p = 0.65); however, patients in the readmission cohort did have longer surgeries than the non-readmission cohort (199 [136.5–274] minutes vs 173 [118–246] minutes, p = 0.001). There was no difference in the length of hospitalization between the readmission and non-readmission cohorts (3 [2–5] days vs 1 [2–4] days, p = 0.24).

Multivariable Analysis and the Predictive Model

Variables that remained significantly associated with hospital readmission in the multivariable logistic regression analysis were as follows (OR [95% confidence interval]): lumbar surgery 1.8 [1.1–2.8], government-issued insurance 2.0 [1.4–3.0], hypertension 2.1 [1.4–3.3], prior myocardial infarction 2.2 [1.2–3.8], diabetes 2.5 [1.7–3.7], and coagulation disorder 3.1 [1.6–5.8] (Table 3). The full list of 32 variables included in the predictive model appears in Table 4. Variables used to create the model included those that were found to be significant in the multivariable logistic regression as well as other relevant variables as determined by clinical intuition and the Akaike information criterion. The AUC for the model, as applied to the training data set, was found to be 0.77 (representing goodness of fit). When the model was applied to the validation data set, an AUC of 0.76 (predictive performance) was achieved (Fig. 1).

Discussion

In a retrospective longitudinal cohort study, we collected data on demographics, presentation, surgical variables, and the need for readmission within 90 days postoperatively for patients undergoing elective spinal surgery at our institution. In this study of 2803 consecutive patients, we observed a 90-day readmission rate of 8.1%. The most common reason for readmission during this period was medical (52.9%). The remaining readmissions were secondary to

Operative and Perioperative Variables

Patients in the readmission cohort were more likely to have surgery in the lumbar spine (77.5% vs 69.8%, p = 0.02), revision surgery (34.8% vs 27.4%, p = 0.02), fusion procedures (67.0% vs 45.0%, p < 0.0001), and posterior approaches (88.1% vs 73.1%, p < 0.0001) than were patients in the non-readmission cohort (Table 2). The median number of operative levels was similar between the readmission and non-readmission cohorts (2 [1–3.5] levels vs 2 [1–3] levels, p = 0.65); however, patients in the readmission cohort did have longer surgeries than the non-readmission cohort (199 [136.5–274] minutes vs 173 [118–246] minutes, p = 0.001). There was no difference in the length of hospitalization between the readmission and non-readmission cohorts (3 [2–5] days vs 1 [2–4] days, p = 0.24).
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Variables that remained significantly associated with hospital readmission in the multivariable logistic regression analysis were as follows (OR [95% confidence interval]): lumbar surgery 1.8 [1.1–2.8], government-issued insurance 2.0 [1.4–3.0], hypertension 2.1 [1.4–3.3], prior myocardial infarction 2.2 [1.2–3.8], diabetes 2.5 [1.7–3.7], and coagulation disorder 3.1 [1.6–5.8] (Table 3). The full list of 32 variables included in the predictive model appears in Table 4. Variables used to create the model included those that were found to be significant in the multivariable logistic regression as well as other relevant variables as determined by clinical intuition and the Akaike information criterion. The AUC for the model, as applied to the training data set, was found to be 0.77 (representing goodness of fit). When the model was applied to the validation data set, an AUC of 0.76 (predictive performance) was achieved (Fig. 1).

Discussion

In a retrospective longitudinal cohort study, we collected data on demographics, presentation, surgical variables, and the need for readmission within 90 days postoperatively for patients undergoing elective spinal surgery at our institution. In this study of 2803 consecutive patients, we observed a 90-day readmission rate of 8.1%. The most common reason for readmission during this period was medical (52.9%). The remaining readmissions were secondary to
wound complications (31.7%), surgical failure (11.9%), or uncontrollable pain (3.5%). Variables found to be significantly associated with 90-day readmission following spine surgery in a multiple logistic regression analysis included lumbar surgery, government-issued insurance, hypertension, prior myocardial infarction, diabetes, and coagulation disorder. Using the factors found to be associated with readmission, we created a novel model to predict an individual patient’s odds of readmission to the hospital within 90 days of spine surgery. When tested on a validation cohort, this model was able to accurately predict the occurrence of readmission with good validity (AUC = 0.76).

Prior research into postoperative spine readmissions has largely been confined to administrative databases. All of these studies are retrospective in nature and are subject to limitations inherent to the use of administrative databases. Wang et al. queried the Medicare claims database and found 30-day readmission rates of 7.9% for cervical surgery and 7.3% for lumbar surgery. Factors found to be associated with a higher risk of readmission in their analysis were older age, greater number of comorbidities, dual eligibility for Medicare/Medicaid, and a greater number of fused levels. In our analysis, government-issued insurance was associated with a higher likelihood of readmission compared to private insurance. The older age and associated comorbidities in the Medicare group and limited resources in indigent patients can explain the higher readmission rates in these groups. Kim et al. reviewed the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP) database for patients undergoing lumbar decompression and found a 4.4% rate of readmission. Factors significantly associated with readmission in that analysis were anemia, dependent functional status, operative duration, higher ASA classification, and occurrence of postoperative complications. In our analysis, comorbidities including hypertension, prior myocardial infarction, diabetes, and coagulation disorder were associated with higher chances of readmission. Patients with these comorbidities may need additional outpatient care including close follow-up, diagnosis, and management during the perioperative period to minimize the likelihood of re-admission. Furthermore, these patients can be considered a high-risk group and should be incorporated differently into the episode-of-care health care model so that their additional costs of readmission can be covered.

The novel statistical model presented in this study was able to predict a patient’s risk of postoperative readmission with good validity (AUC = 0.76). We utilized a prospective spine registry to observe the incidence of 90-day readmission following elective spinal surgery at our institution. Clinical registries use observational study methods to collect uniform data to evaluate specified outcomes for a defined population. The primary advantage of well-designed registries from a scientific perspective relates to their strong external validity, which is achieved through an inclusive design that seeks to evaluate heterogeneous populations. As a result of this, the observed outcomes from registry analyses are often more representative of what is achieved in real-world practice and can more reliably be generalized to broad patient populations. Regular use of such a model can lead to more informed decision-making when discussing treatment options and expectations with patients.
patients. High-risk patients requiring surgery can be prospectively identified, and appropriate interventions can be instituted both pre- and immediately postoperatively to help reduce the incidence of unplanned readmission. Analyses such as these can also allow hospitals and surgeons to risk stratify their practices to allow for more appropriate third party evaluations of patient outcomes. Furthermore, understanding and accurately predicting which patients may require additional resource utilization within a global period after surgery may help to facilitate the creation and implementation of risk-adjusted bundled payment systems that would more fairly compensate surgeons and hospitals for advanced services.

There are limitations to our study that have implications for its interpretation. In particular, the results represent a single institutional perspective. Therefore, medical centers with varying patient demographics, surgical case indexes, and practice patterns may have different rates of readmission and causative associations. To date there have been no prospective multicenter analyses of postoperative spine surgery readmission rates inclusive of all adult ages and insurance types—factors critical to generalizability. Data obtained from emerging national registry efforts in organized neurosurgery such as the Quality Outcomes Database (QOD) will hopefully help to bridge this knowledge gap.

Conclusions

Several pre- and perioperative factors were found to be associated with readmission following elective spine surgery. This study demonstrates that identification of high-risk patients is feasible. Furthermore, a novel predictive model derived from these data was able to accurately predict 90-day readmission with a sensitivity and specificity of 76%. Identification of high-risk patients and appropriate allocation of resources to reduce the postoperative incidence of readmission may reduce its rate and associated health care costs.
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