Incidence of perioperative medical complications and mortality among elderly patients undergoing surgery for spinal deformity: analysis of 3519 patients
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OBJECTIVE Using 2 complication-reporting methods, the authors investigated the incidence of major medical complications and mortality in elderly patients after surgery for adult spinal deformity (ASD) during a 2-year follow-up period.

METHODS The authors queried a multicenter, prospective, surgeon-maintained database (SMD) to identify patients 65 years or older who underwent surgical correction of ASD from 2008 through 2014 and had a minimum 2 years of follow-up (n = 153). They also queried a Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services claims database (MCD) for patients 65 years or older who underwent fusion of 8 or more vertebral levels from 2005 through 2012 (n = 3366). They calculated cumulative rates of the following complications during the first 6 weeks after surgery: cerebrovascular accident, congestive heart failure, deep venous thrombosis, myocardial infarction, pneumonia, and pulmonary embolism. Significance was set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS During the perioperative period, rates of major medical complications were 5.9% for pneumonia, 4.1% for deep venous thrombosis, 3.2% for pulmonary embolism, 2.1% for cerebrovascular accident, 1.8% for myocardial infarction, and 1.0% for congestive heart failure. Mortality rates were 0.9% at 6 weeks and 1.8% at 2 years. When comparing the SMD with the MCD, there were no significant differences in the perioperative rates of major medical complications except pneumonia. Furthermore, there were no significant intergroup differences in the mortality rates at 6 weeks or 2 years. The SMD provided greater detail with respect to deformity characteristics and surgical variables than the MCD.

CONCLUSIONS The incidence of most major medical complications in the elderly after surgery for ASD was similar between the SMD and the MCD and ranged from 1% for congestive heart failure to 5.9% for pneumonia. These complications data can be valuable for preoperative patient counseling and informed consent.
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The incidence of scoliosis in the elderly has been reported to be from 30% to 68%. Surgical treatment for adult spinal deformity (ASD) is associated with high complication rates. A recent study found that approximately 27% of patients with ASD experience perioperative complications at least one medical complication perioperatively.

High perioperative complication rates have been reported in elderly patients (aged 65 years or older) surgically treated for ASD and range from 37% to 71%. A large proportion of the morbidity in the elderly is caused by serious medical complications such as myocardial infarction (MI) and cerebrovascular accidents (CVAs), which become more prevalent with older age. Despite high complication rates, several studies have found that elderly patients may achieve equal or greater improvements in self-reported outcomes compared with younger patients (aged 64 years or younger). However, to our knowledge, no study has comprehensively assessed the rates of major medical complications among elderly patients surgically treated for ASD.

The aim of our study was to investigate the rates of major medical complications and mortality in elderly patients undergoing surgery for ASD by using 2 distinct complication-reporting methodologies: surgeon reporting by using a surgeon-maintained database (SMD), and administrative/billing abstraction using a Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services claims database (MCD). We hypothesized that the 2 methods would allow us to present a more comprehensive and accurate overview of complication rates.

**Methods**

Institutional review board approval was obtained from participating institutions.

**Study Population**

We defined “elderly” as aged 65 years or older and “perioperative period” as within 6 weeks after the index surgery.

**Complications**

The following major complications were queried in each database for the perioperative period: CVAs, congestive heart failure (CHF), deep venous thrombosis (DVT), MI, pneumonia, and pulmonary embolism (PE).

**Surgeon-Maintained Database**

The SMD used in this study is a multicenter, prospective registry of academic institutions where surgery for ASD is performed. The criteria for enrollment in the database are as follows: major coronal curve magnitude of 20° or more, sagittal vertical axis of 5 cm or more, pelvic tilt of 25° or more, and/or thoracic kyphosis of 60° or more. Patients are excluded if the spinal deformity is secondary to neuromuscular cause, infection, or malignancy.

The SMD was queried to identify elderly patients with ASD who were enrolled in the database from 2008 through 2014. Of the 1226 patients enrolled in the SMD, 737 were treated operatively. Of these, 450 were eligible for 2-year follow-up. Of these, 360 patients (80%) had 2-year radiographic and clinical follow-up. Of the 360 patients, 153 (43%) were 65 years or older and were included in the study (Table 1). Perioperative medical complications in the aforementioned categories were tabulated for these 153 patients. Patients who died within 6 weeks or 2 years after surgery were not tabulated.

**Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Claims Database**

The MCD data were accessed using the PearlDiver Patient Records Database (PearlDiver Technologies Inc.), which collates Medicare data from 2005 through 2012 in aggregate form. Access to the database was granted by PearlDiver Technologies for the purpose of academic research. The database can be queried using International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) diagnostic and procedural codes. Using ICD-9-CM procedural codes 81.05, 81.07, and 81.64, we identified patients aged 65 years or older who had undergone posterior thoracolumbar or lumbosacral fusion of 8 or more vertebral levels. Furthermore, we selected for patients who had diagnostic codes associated with spinal deformity, including all variations of code 737.x. Patients with ASD in the setting of malignancy, infection, metastasis, or fractures were excluded. The final cohort from the MCD consisted of 3366 patients (Table 1). Major medical complications in the aforementioned categories were tabulated.

**Statistical Analysis**

The data from the SMD and MCD were combined to
The aim of the current study was to investigate younger counterparts because of decreased physiological risk of perioperative complications than their elderly patients after surgery for ASD using 2 independent complication-reporting methods. We hoped that using these 2 methods would allow us to present a more comprehensive and accurate overview of complication rates. Interestingly, we found that the rates of major medical complications after surgical correction of ASD in the elderly were similar between the 2 databases.

Studies in the spine literature increasingly use national databases such as the National (Nationwide) Inpatient Sample (NIS) (maintained by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality) and the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP) (maintained by the American College of Surgeons) to report complications-related data. The NIS relies on hospitalization-based coding data, and the NSQIP relies on site-specific nurses who abstract data from patients’ medical records. Because of errors in coding and adverse event reporting, recent studies have highlighted the inherent errors associated with data on complications derived from the NIS and the NSQIP and have recommended caution in their use.

Few studies in the spine literature have used the Medicare claims data, which are generally considered more comprehensive and accurate because of the difficulties associated with accessing and querying them. In our study, we used the MCD to validate the results from our SMD and found similar complication and mortality rates derived from the 2 databases. Furthermore, the cumulative major medical complication rate of 18.1% in our study is similar to rates reported in previous studies focusing on patients undergoing ASD surgery. In a recent study, Soroceanu et al. reported a major medical complication rate of 26% among 448 patients who had undergone surgery for ASD. Similarly, in reporting outcomes of a multicenter group from France, Charosky et al. reported a medical complication rate of 13.7% among 306 patients.

Although the aim of our study was not to compare the complication rate in elderly patients with that seen in their younger counterparts, some studies have shown that elderly patients are at significantly greater risk of complications after ASD surgery than younger patients. However, one study found no significant difference in the rate of postoperative complications between elderly and younger patients undergoing revision spinal deformity surgery. Sing et al. analyzed a national database of 29,237 patients who underwent ASD surgery and found that age was a significant risk factor for inpatient complications. In our experience, age is likely a major risk factor for complications after ASD surgery, and elderly patients require special preoperative counseling regarding the greater potential for complications.

Although we focused on elderly patients undergoing ASD surgery, we note that elderly patients undergoing spinal surgery for degenerative pathology are also at increased risk of complications. In a study of Medicare beneficiaries, Puvanesarajah et al. found complication rates of 12.5% among elderly patients undergoing 1- to 2-level fusions and 20.5% among elderly patients undergoing 3- to 7-level fusions. Interestingly, they found that patients with deformities (those undergoing fusions of ≥ 8 levels) had 10.8 times higher odds of dying during a 90-day follow-up period than did elderly patients undergoing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>% of Patients</th>
<th>SMD</th>
<th>MCD</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVT</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 wks</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The multicenter SMD was queried from 2008 through 2014, resulting in 153 patients who met the inclusion criteria.
† The MCD was queried from 2005 through 2012, resulting in 3366 patients who met the inclusion criteria.
‡ Significance was set at p < 0.05.

estimate the overall rates of major medical complications. The complication and mortality rates were compared between the SMD and MCD cohorts using the z-test of proportions. Significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results

Medical Complications and Mortality Rates

During the perioperative period, the overall rates of major medical complications were 5.9% for pneumonia, 4.1% for DVT, 3.2% for PE, 2.1% for CVA, 1.8% for MI, and 1.0% for CHF. Complication rates were 0.9% at 6 weeks and 1.8% at 2 years. The cumulative rate of complications was 18.1%.

Surgeon-Maintained Database Versus Medicare Claims Database

There were no significant differences in the perioperative rates derived from the SMD versus the MCD with respect to DVT (2.6% vs 4.2%, p = 0.323), PE (1.3% vs 3.3%, p = 0.168), CVA (0% vs 2.2%, p = 0.062), MI (2.0% vs 1.8%, p = 0.915), and CHF (0.7% vs 1.0%, p = 0.664) (Table 2). The rate of perioperative pneumonia was significantly lower in the SMD (1.3% vs 6.1%, p = 0.014). When comparing the SMD with the MCD, there were no significant differences in the mortality rates at 6 weeks (1.3% vs 0.8%, p = 0.380) or 2 years (3.3% vs 1.8%, p = 0.159).

Discussion

Surgical treatment of ASD is associated with major complications, which can result in deterioration of health-related quality-of-life outcomes. Elderly patients may be at higher risk of perioperative complications than their younger counterparts because of decreased physiological reserve. The aim of the current study was to investigate the incidence of major medical complications and death among elderly patients after surgery for ASD using 2 independent complication-reporting methods. We hoped that using these 2 methods would allow us to present a more comprehensive and accurate overview of complication rates. Interestingly, we found that the rates of major medical complications after surgical correction of ASD in the elderly were similar between the 2 databases.

Studies in the spine literature increasingly use national databases such as the National (Nationwide) Inpatient Sample (NIS) (maintained by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality) and the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP) (maintained by the American College of Surgeons) to report complications-related data. The NIS relies on hospitalization-based coding data, and the NSQIP relies on site-specific nurses who abstract data from patients’ medical records. Because of errors in coding and adverse event reporting, recent studies have highlighted the inherent errors associated with data on complications derived from the NIS and the NSQIP and have recommended caution in their use.

Few studies in the spine literature have used the Medicare claims data, which are generally considered more comprehensive and accurate because of the difficulties associated with accessing and querying them. In our study, we used the MCD to validate the results from our SMD and found similar complication and mortality rates derived from the 2 databases. Furthermore, the cumulative major medical complication rate of 18.1% in our study is similar to rates reported in previous studies focusing on patients undergoing ASD surgery. In a recent study, Soroceanu et al. reported a major medical complication rate of 26% among 448 patients who had undergone surgery for ASD. Similarly, in reporting outcomes of a multicenter group from France, Charosky et al. reported a medical complication rate of 13.7% among 306 patients.

Although the aim of our study was not to compare the complication rate in elderly patients with that seen in their younger counterparts, some studies have shown that elderly patients are at significantly greater risk of complications after ASD surgery than younger patients. However, one study found no significant difference in the rate of postoperative complications between elderly and younger patients undergoing revision spinal deformity surgery. Sing et al. analyzed a national database of 29,237 patients who underwent ASD surgery and found that age was a significant risk factor for inpatient complications. In our experience, age is likely a major risk factor for complications after ASD surgery, and elderly patients require special preoperative counseling regarding the greater potential for complications.

Although we focused on elderly patients undergoing ASD surgery, we note that elderly patients undergoing spinal surgery for degenerative pathology are also at increased risk of complications. In a study of Medicare beneficiaries, Puvanesarajah et al. found complication rates of 12.5% among elderly patients undergoing 1- to 2-level fusions and 20.5% among elderly patients undergoing 3- to 7-level fusions. Interestingly, they found that patients with deformities (those undergoing fusions of ≥ 8 levels) had 10.8 times higher odds of dying during a 90-day follow-up period than did elderly patients undergoing...
1- to 2-level fusions for degenerative pathology. The differences could be attributable to greater surgical invasiveness of the spinal deformity surgery (more levels fused, use of osteotomies), as well as differences in preoperative frailty among patients with the different diagnoses.

In our study, the perioperative mortality rate was 0.9%, which is similar to the 0.3% rate reported by Shaw et al.,28 and the 0.35% rate reported by Smith et al.,31 both of whom analyzed the Scoliosis Research Society (SRS) Morbidity and Mortality database. The SRS database relies on voluntary reporting by surgeons and is biased toward early perioperative outcomes. For instance, in the study by Smith et al.,31 79% of the 138 reported deaths occurred in the hospital. Using the SMD and the MCD in our study, we were able to estimate the 2-year risk of death as 1.8%. However, it is important to note that the 2-year mortality rate may not necessarily be attributable to the surgery but may reflect the mortality rate in the elderly population. To our knowledge, the baseline rate of 2-year mortality in elderly patients with ASD is not established.

Our study has several limitations. First, the MCD and SMD databases are fundamentally different. The MCD relies on administrative/billing data abstraction, whereas the SMD relies on surgeon reporting. Furthermore, in our analysis, the patients from the 2 databases were not matched a priori with respect to demographic characteristics, comorbidities, or surgical invasiveness. For example, the SMD group contains patients with a ≥ 5-level fusion, whereas the MCD group contains patients with a ≥ 8-level fusion. We felt that removing the 15% of patients in the SMD group with 5- to 7-level fusion would reduce the power to detect complications. We are unable to determine whether patients in the SMD and MCD had similarly complex surgical procedures because the MCD is limited with respect to surgical details and does not account for complex surgical elements such as 3-column osteotomies, which may have substantially influenced the surgical invasiveness and associated complication rates. In contrast, the SMD provided substantially greater detail with respect to patient radiographic and surgical characteristics compared with the MCD (Table 3).

Another limitation of our study is that we were unable to determine if patients in the MCD group were all patients with true spinal deformity. This is an inherent problem when using administrative databases, which do not contain radiographic data. Instead, administrative databases rely on billing codes as a surrogate for clinical diagnostic codes, which may not represent the same cohort of patients as the SMD. In comparison, all patients in the SMD group had known radiographic evidence of spinal deformity.

Furthermore, although the rates of most medical complications were similar between the MCD and SMD, the rate of pneumonia was significantly lower in the SMD. There could be several potential reasons for this. It is possible that patients who developed pneumonia were treated on an outpatient basis or were admitted to a medical service or to a different hospital and were thus missed by the SMD. Whereas the MCD is sensitive to such events because of its reliance on billing codes, the SMD relies on the information reported by patients to providers during follow-up clinical visits. It is also possible that the MCD overestimates rates of events such as pneumonia because of carrying forward of prior diagnoses. The temporal relationship between an intervention and a medical event such as pneumonia can be obscured when performing cross-sectional analysis using billing codes. In our study, we used an alpha level of 0.05. Had we used a Bonferroni correction to adjust our alpha to 0.007 to minimize the likelihood of Type 1 error, the difference in perioperative rates of pneumonia between the SMD and MCD groups would not have been found to be statistically significant. Despite these limitations, our study aimed to establish the rates of major medical complications among elderly patients treated with surgery for spinal deformity, yielding similar rates despite 2 contrasting complication-reporting strategies.

Conclusions

Elderly patients with ASD are at risk for major medical complications, including pneumonia, thromboembolic events, CVA, MI, and CHF. The mortality rates among elderly patients after spinal fusion surgery for ASD are approximately 0.9% at 6 weeks and 1.8% at 2 years. A multicenter SMD allowed for accurate capture of major medical complication rates and provided substantially greater detail with respect to patient radiographic and surgical characteristics compared with the MCD. The complications data reported in our study can be valuable for preoperative patient counseling and informed consent.

### Table 3. Radiographic and surgical characteristics obtained from the SMD of patients aged ≥ 65 years who underwent ASD surgery between 2008 and 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Radiographic data</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal curve magnitude (°)</td>
<td>27 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal malalignment (cm)</td>
<td>0.37 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic tilt (°)</td>
<td>28 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagittal malalignment (cm)</td>
<td>10 ± 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2–12 thoracic kyphosis (°)</td>
<td>35 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2-yr follow-up</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal curve magnitude (°)</td>
<td>13 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal malalignment (cm)</td>
<td>0.35 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic tilt (°)</td>
<td>24 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagittal malalignment (cm)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2–12 thoracic kyphosis (°)</td>
<td>54 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surgical data</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated blood loss (L)</td>
<td>1.96 ± 1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of levels fused</td>
<td>11 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Op time (mins)</td>
<td>390 ± 129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients w/ 3-column osteotomies (%)</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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