Rigid cervical kyphotic deformity represents a very difficult to treat pathology. Its etiology is multifactorial and includes traumatic injuries, degenerative changes, and ankylosing spondylitis. Furthermore, treatment of these deformities becomes increasingly difficult with any preexisting instrumentation. Currently, several options exist to treat these severe deformities, with the Smith-Petersen osteotomy and C-7 pedicle subtraction osteotomy being the most frequently used approaches. However, these techniques come with significant risk to the patient including nerve root injury as well as compression of the vertebral arteries. The authors here report on a series of 4 patients with rigid cervical deformity who underwent T-1 pedicle subtraction osteotomy. The authors review the relevant literature and provide a novel, less risky, and potentially more corrective approach for treating cervical deformities.
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Cervical kyphotic deformity represents a difficult to treat pathology often arising from multiple factors including, but not limited to, traumatic injuries, degenerative changes, and ankylosing spondylitis. Furthermore, treatment of these deformities becomes increasingly difficult with any preexisting instrumentation. Currently, several options exist to treat these severe deformities, with the Smith-Petersen osteotomy and C-7 pedicle subtraction osteotomy being the most frequently used approaches. However, these techniques come with significant risk to the patient including nerve root injury as well as compression of the vertebral arteries. The authors here report on a series of 4 patients with rigid cervical deformity who underwent T-1 pedicle subtraction osteotomy. The authors review the relevant literature and provide a novel, less risky, and potentially more corrective approach for treating cervical deformities.
based largely on the clinical picture and the extent of a patient’s disability, these radiological markers can serve not only as a postoperative prognostic indicator for patients presenting with severe cervical kyphotic deformity, but also as good preoperative indicators of a patient’s deformity.

Currently, several surgical options are available for the treatment of chin-on-chest deformity as well as other advanced rigid cervical deformities. Most of the time, surgery involves various combinations of anterior and posterior release osteotomies, followed by sagittal correction, instrumentation, and arthrodesis. Traditional osteotomies through the disc spaces and the facet joints have significant limitations in the cervical spine. A Smith-Petersen osteotomy could be performed; however, this procedure allows for only limited sagittal correction, and diminishes the quantity of bone mass available for screw fixation, carries the risk of nerve root injury, decreases the bone surface available for arthrodesis, and has a chance of kinking the vertebral artery (VA).29

Advanced osteotomies such as pedicle subtraction osteotomy (PSO) at C-7 have been performed for cervical sagittal imbalance,33 and successful corrections have been reported. This osteotomy allows 25°–35° of correction,17 and can be performed even in situations in which instrumentation and rigid bone masses could be left intact above the osteotomy level. Limitations of the C-7 PSO include the potential for nerve root injury and compression in the C6–T1 “superforamen” with resultant triceps muscle and hand weakness, as well as injuries to the VAs, which could be present in the foramen transversarium of C-7 anywhere from 1.2% to 18.4% of the time.1,4,26,28

There are numerous benefits to performing PSO at T-1, which we outline here. First, the T-1 PSO allows for a support construct from pedicle screw to pedicle screw, which allows for both easier closure and maintenance of the osteotomy closure. Furthermore, should nerve root injury occur, long-term consequences are less severe with injury to the T-1 nerve root compared with the C-8 nerve root, which can be damaged during a C-7 PSO. Additionally, because the T-1 vertebral body is substantially larger than the C-7 body, a larger degree of correction can be attained because of the larger pedicle size of the T-1 body. Lastly, dissection of the VA is unnecessary to perform a PSO at T-1. The current literature22,23 suggests bilateral removal of both the anterior and posterior aspects of the C-6 transverse foramina to mobilize the VA to prevent damage to or kinking of the artery during osteotomy closure. While removing the transverse foramina does allow the surgeon to freely operate around the VA, it introduces an operation-derived source of potential spine weakness that can be avoided entirely by performing PSO at T-1 rather than at C-7. By operating at T-1, the surgeon eliminates the need to operate around the VA, thereby eliminating the potential for injury to the VA during osteotomy closure.

Despite the frequency with which cervical PSO (most often at C-7) is performed, it is not without significant morbidity. Previously reported complications resulting from cervical PSO include quadriparesis, nerve root compression, radiculopathy, and vertebral subluxation.31 Furthermore, these complications are reported in a substantial proportion of patients, in numbers anywhere from 23% to 26%.8,16,21,33 Some of these complications resolved with time; however, the majority of patients had postoperative complications that did not resolve. While any surgery carries the risk of complication, the numbers noted above warrant a second look at the surgical management of cervical kyphotic deformity. The patients described in the present report experienced no, or minimal (Case 2), postoperative complications, but it is important to note that complications such as dysphagia, dysphonia, and paresthesia are possible after surgery. However, these complications are not unique to the surgical technique that we propose and can occur with any major surgical realignment. Thus, regardless of the technique used, care must be taken to ensure that patients do not experience any lasting complications as a result of surgery. We here propose PSO at T-1 rather than at C-7 to decrease the prevalence of such complications and to minimize the severity if such complications do occur. This T-1 PSO technique should provide a safer alternative to cervical PSO and should help to prevent long-term complications.

Surgical Technique

Intraoperative motor evoked potentials (MEPs) were monitored throughout the procedure. Briefly, MEPs were determined using transcranial electrical stimulation with evoked potentials measured downstream at 4 bilateral muscle groups.

An overview of the procedure is demonstrated and narrated in Video 1.

VIDEO 1. Surgical technique demonstration and narration. Copyright Constantin Schizas. Published with permission. Click here to view.

Wide exposure of the cervicothoracic junction is performed, allowing visualization of the most proximal 6–9 cm of the T-1 rib. Laminectomy of C7–T2 is performed, and the bone is removed to be flush with the medial and inferior pedicles of C-7, the superior, medial, and inferior aspects of the pedicle of T-1, and the superior and medial aspects of the T-2 pedicle. The extent of the laminectomy should allow for the performance of the corrective maneuvers without kinking of the cord under the remaining edges of the laminae. For the same reason, we also recommend debulking any scar tissue in case of revision surgery.

Costotransversectomy is performed at T-1 with the removal of approximately 5 cm of the T-1 rib head, and the plane between the mediastinal tissues and the anterolateral surface of T-1 vertebral bodies is developed with Cobb elevators.

The vertebral bodies and pedicles of T-1 are decancelled (unilateral subtraction, Fig. 1; bilateral subtraction, Fig. 2) with a high-speed drill or a curette. Osteotomies are used to perform the osteotomy cuts while the medial cortical wall of the T-1 pedicles is maintained. Once the osteotomy cuts are completed, the remaining medial walls of the T-1 pedicles are removed using a small bone rongeur. We prefer to remove the posterior wall of the T-1 vertebral body with Kerrison rongeurs, but posterior wall impactors could also accomplish this task. It is important to maintain a unilateral temporary rod on alternating sides while the
osteotomy is performed to prevent accidental spine translation.

We use a 4-rod technique for the correction maneuvers (Fig. 3). Cervical and thoracic portions of the rods are connected via a lateral cross connector during closure of the osteotomy (Fig. 4) and could be exchanged later for a single rod, depending on screw alignment.

To ensure proper arthrodesis, posterior osteotomies are filled with either MagniFuse/bone morphogenetic protein (Case 1) or autologous local bone graft (Cases 2–4). The patient in Case 1 had to have a second surgery to close a remaining anterior defect in which autologous iliac crest–derived bone graft was used. Further clarification of our arthrodesis technique emphasizes direct placement of bone on dura mater at the osteotomy defect site. While not commonly performed, placement of bone directly on dura is not a novel concept but should be done with care. It has been reported by Chen et al.5 in thoracolumbar wedge osteotomy cases in which removed spinous processes have been replaced over the laminectomy sites at the end of the procedure, as well as by Lenke et al.19 in vertebral column resection cases in which rib segments have been placed over the laminectomy defect first to create a “rib bridge” to protect the dura. Additionally, we have had similar success in lumbar PSO cases with no long-term complications.

Case Reports

We conducted a retrospective chart review of patients who had undergone T-1 PSO. After obtaining institutional review board approval, we reviewed the charts of 4 patients (Table 1) from 2 institutions. The mean age was 59 years (range 45–77 years). Because CBVA measurements were not possible in our patients, the C2–7 SVA, cervical Cobb angle, and C-2 slope were used to assess both pre- and postoperative deformity. Traditionally, the cervical Cobb angle is determined using the inferior endplate of C-2 and the superior endplate of C-7. To better illustrate the surgical correction achieved by the T-1 PSO, we calculated the cervical Cobb angle using the inferior endplate of C-2 and the superior endplate of T-1. The mean preoperative C2–7 SVA was 58 mm (range 38–85 mm), and the mean postoperative C2–7 SVA was 33.5 mm (range 6–61 mm) for an average correction of 24.5 mm (range 20–32 mm). The mean preoperative cervical Cobb angle was $-3.25^\circ$ (range $-66^\circ$ to $47^\circ$), and the mean postoperative cervical Cobb angle was $6.25^\circ$ (range $-20^\circ$ to $40^\circ$) for an average sagittal correction angle of $20^\circ$ (range $7^\circ$–$46^\circ$). The mean preoperative C-2 slope angle was $-58.5^\circ$ (range $-79^\circ$ to $-29^\circ$), and the mean postoperative C-2 slope angle was $-19.5^\circ$ (range $-48^\circ$ to $12^\circ$) for an average C-2 slope correction angle of $39^\circ$ (range $25^\circ$–$64^\circ$). As per convention, positive angles represent cervical lordosis, while negative angles represent cervical kyphosis.

Case 1

History and Examination

A 65-year-old woman who had previously undergone multiple cervical surgeries for C1–2 instability and cer-
Cervical kyphotic deformity presented with a fixed chin-on-chest deformity (Fig. 5A), decreasing food intake, difficulty ambulating, and occiput to T-3 posterior cervical instrumentation with rigid fusion masses posterolaterally. She had a preoperative C2–7 SVA of 41 mm, cervical Cobb angle of $-66^\circ$, and C-2 slope angle of $-79^\circ$.

**Operation**

Preoperative planning was performed using the SpineView software (Surgiview Corp.; Fig. 5B). The patient underwent revision surgery with a combined T1–2 PSO and correction of sagittal balance. After the PSO, there was a large wedge osteotomy defect that would not properly close. Consequently, the patient underwent a second, anterior surgery to fill the anterior osteotomy defect with autograft.

**Postoperative Course**

There were no postoperative complications and successful sagittal correction was attained. At the 12-month follow-up, the patient had a C2–7 SVA of 19 mm (correction of 22 mm), a cervical Cobb angle of $-20^\circ$ (correction of $46^\circ$), and a C-2 slope of $-15^\circ$ (correction of $64^\circ$) with no neurological complications (Fig. 5C). Figure 6 features pre- and postoperative sagittal and frontal radiographs.

**Case 2**

**History and Examination**

A 49-year-old woman presented with posttraumatic cervical kyphosis. She had a preoperative C2–7 SVA of 68 mm, cervical Cobb angle of $34^\circ$, and C-2 slope angle of $-52^\circ$.

**Operation**

The patient underwent T-1 PSO with correction of sagittal balance.

**Postoperative Course**

There were no immediate postoperative complications, and successful sagittal correction was performed. At the 42-month follow-up, the patient had a C2–7 SVA of 48 mm (correction of 20 mm), cervical Cobb angle of $20^\circ$ (correction of $14^\circ$), and C-2 slope of $-27^\circ$ (correction of $25^\circ$) with occasional hand paresthesias.

**Case 3**

**History and Examination**

A 77-year-old woman presented with cervical kyphosis due to inflammatory spondyloarthropathy. She had a preoperative C2–7 SVA of 85 mm, cervical Cobb angle of $-28^\circ$, and C-2 slope angle of $-74^\circ$.

**Operation**

The patient underwent T-1 PSO with correction of sagittal balance.

**Postoperative Course**

There were no postoperative complications, and successful sagittal correction was performed. At the 12-month follow-up, the patient had a C2–7 SVA of 61 mm (correction of 24 mm), a cervical Cobb angle of $-15^\circ$ (correction of $13^\circ$), and a C-2 slope of $-48^\circ$ (correction of $26^\circ$) with no neurological complications.
Case 4

History and Examination

A 45-year-old woman presented with posttraumatic cervical kyphosis. She had a preoperative C2–7 SVA of 38 mm, cervical Cobb angle of 47°, and C-2 slope angle of −29°.

Operation

The patient underwent T-1 PSO with correction of sagittal balance.

Postoperative Course

There were no postoperative complications and successful sagittal correction was performed. At the 35-month follow-up, the patient had a C2–7 SVA of 6 mm (correction of 32 mm), a cervical Cobb angle of 40° (correction of 7°), and a C-2 slope of 12° (correction of 41°) with no neurological complications.

Discussion

We performed T-1 PSO for the correction of cervical sagittal imbalance and rigid sagittal deformity. In our opinion, this osteotomy allows an increased amount of sagittal correction as the procedure is performed lower in the spine and through a larger T-1 pedicle (average C-7 width × height = 6.4 mm × 7.25 mm;24,34,35 average T-1 width × height = 7.56 mm × 9.15 mm). Additionally, there is less risk of injury to the VA. We also believe that the potential neurological deficit associated with manipulation of the C-8 and T-1 roots is less significant than that when C-7 is involved. An additional advantage is the possibility of placing pedicle screws in both the C-7 and T-2 adjoining pedicles while palpating their medial walls through the T-1 laminectomy. In contrast, C-7 osteotomies imply using a lateral mass screw at C-6, which provides a lower quality anchoring point than pedicle fixation.27 Furthermore, the use of intraoperative navigation would be necessary to optimally place instrumentation into the C-6 pedicle, whereas the direct C-7 pedicle instrumentation technique we propose obviates the need for navigation in cases in which the VA runs outside the foramen transversarium.

Conclusions

The T-1 PSO is a powerful correction technique for sagittal cervical imbalance in the presence of rigid deformities. In our opinion, it is superior to the C-7 PSO in terms of decreased risks of injury to the VA and clinically significant nerve root injury. We also believe that the T-1 PSO allows increased angles of correction, but a more

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Level of Osteotomy</th>
<th>FU (mos)</th>
<th>Correction Angle</th>
<th>C2-7 SVA Correction (mm; preop → postop)</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Neurological Complication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>T1-2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>46° (−66° → −20°)</td>
<td>64° (−79° → −15°)</td>
<td>22 (41 → 19)</td>
<td>Iatrogenic postlaminectomy kyphosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>T-1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>14° (34° → 20°)</td>
<td>25° (−52° → −27°)</td>
<td>20 (68 → 48)</td>
<td>Posttraumatic kyphosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>T-1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13° (−28° → −15°)</td>
<td>26° (−74° → −48°)</td>
<td>24 (85 → 61)</td>
<td>Kyphosis on inflammatory spondyloarthropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>T-1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7° (47° → 40°)</td>
<td>41° (−29° → 12°)</td>
<td>32 (38 → 6)</td>
<td>Posttraumatic kyphosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FU = follow-up; osteot = osteotomy.
comprehensive study needs to be done to prove this concept. We are very optimistic about these findings and the use of T-1 PSO as an alternative treatment for rigid cervical kyphotic deformity, but more cases must be analyzed before we can confirm our initial encouraging results.
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