Microendoscopic laminotomy versus conventional laminoplasty for cervical spondylotic myelopathy: 5-year follow-up study

Akihito Minamide, MD, PhD,1 Munehito Yoshida, MD, PhD,1 Andrew K. Simpson, MD, MHS,2 Hiroshi Yamada, MD, PhD,1 Hiroshi Hashizume, MD, PhD,1 Yukihiro Nakagawa, MD, PhD,1 Hiroshi Iwasaki, MD, PhD,1 Shunji Tsutsui, MD, PhD,1 Motohiro Okada, MD, PhD,1 Masanari Takami, MD, PhD,1 and Shin-ichi Nakao, MD, PhD1

1Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Wakayama Medical University, Wakayama, Japan; and 2Microendoscopic Spine Institute, Dallas, Texas

OBJECTIVE The goal of this study was to characterize the long-term clinical and radiological results of articular segmental decompression surgery using endoscopy (cervical microendoscopic laminotomy [CMEL]) for cervical spondylotic myelopathy (CSM) and to compare outcomes to conventional expansive laminoplasty (ELAP).

METHODS Consecutive patients with CSM who required surgical treatment were enrolled. All enrolled patients (n = 78) underwent CMEL or ELAP. All patients were followed postoperatively for more than 5 years. The preoperative and 5-year follow-up evaluations included neurological assessment (Japanese Orthopaedic Association [JOA] score), JOA recovery rates, axial neck pain (using a visual analog scale), the SF-36, and cervical sagittal alignment (C2–7 subaxial cervical angle).

RESULTS Sixty-one patients were included for analysis, 31 in the CMEL group and 30 in the ELAP group. The mean preoperative JOA score was 10.1 points in the CMEL group and 10.9 points in the ELAP group (p > 0.05). The JOA recovery rates were similar, 57.6% in the CMEL group and 55.4% in the ELAP group (p > 0.05). The axial neck pain in the CMEL group was significantly lower than that in the ELAP group (p < 0.01). At the 5-year follow-up, cervical alignment was more favorable in the CMEL group, with an average 2.6° gain in lordosis (versus 1.2° loss of lordosis in the ELAP group [p < 0.05]) and lower incidence of postoperative kyphosis.

CONCLUSIONS CMEL is a novel, less invasive technique that allows for multilevel posterior cervical decompression for the treatment of CSM. This 5-year follow-up data demonstrates that after undergoing CMEL, patients have similar neurological outcomes to conventional laminoplasty, with significantly less postoperative axial pain and improved subaxial cervical lordosis when compared with their traditional ELAP counterparts.
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Cervical expansive laminoplasty (ELAP) for cervical myelopathy has been developed as a posterior decompression surgery that is reported to have favorable results.2,6,19 However, some problems have been reported after conventional ELAP due to damage to the cervical posterior soft tissues, including muscles and ligaments. Well-described potential complications of conventional laminoplasty include persistent axial pain,6,9,11 restriction of neck motion, and loss of lordotic curvature. Therefore, minimally invasive cervical decompressive techniques, which can allow for multilevel segmental decompression while preserving the paraspinal muscles and the posterior elements (such as the lamina, spinous processes, interspinous ligaments, and facet joints) have been developed.13,20,24 Minimally invasive laminotomy procedures using spinal endoscopy have become increasingly

ABBREVIATIONS CMEL = cervical microendoscopic laminotomy; CSM = cervical spondylotic myelopathy; ELAP = expansive laminoplasty; JOA = Japanese Orthopaedic Association; JOACMEQ = JOA Cervical Myelopathy Evaluation Questionnaire; OPLL = ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament; VAS = visual analog scale.
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popular for the treatment of a wide range of pathological conditions of the spine.\textsuperscript{1,12,15,17,22} Endoscope-assisted posterior decompression surgery was derived from the microendoscopic discectomy technique developed by Foley and Smith.\textsuperscript{17} Over the last decade, microendoscopic techniques have been expanded to treat a multitude of conditions including lumbar spinal stenosis and, more recently, cervical conditions causing myelopathy and radiculopathy. Microendoscopic decompression techniques are advantageous because they involve smaller skin incisions, gentle and limited tissue dissection, and improved visualization compared with traditional tubular decompression methods, and the results obtained are equivalent to those with open techniques. One type of endoscope-assisted decompressive technique for cervical myelopathy is cervical microendoscopic laminotomy (CMEL).\textsuperscript{14,15} The compression of the cervical spinal cord in cervical spondylotic myelopathy (CSM) consists of a pincer mechanism due to a bulging disc and a hypertrophied ligamentum flavum. The concept of CMEL is to remove the dorsal compressive elements of the articular segment, including the ligamentum flavum and superior and inferior edge of the lamina, to provide safe relief of pressure off the involved spinal cord. CMEL preserves the interspinous, supraspinous, and paraspinous muscular insertions, which are removed during traditional ELAP techniques, and may provide some benefit with regard to maintaining lordosis and decreasing axial symptoms. Nonetheless, the long-term clinical benefits of CMEL in patients with CSM have not yet been elucidated. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to clarify the efficacy of posterior articular segment decompression by investigating the long-term clinical results of CMEL for patients with CSM, and to compare postoperative clinical and radiographic outcomes between conventional ELAP and CMEL techniques.

Methods

Surgical Technique

Following the induction of satisfactory general endotracheal anesthesia, the patient was secured in a Mayfield head holder, and was turned until prone. The patient’s neck was fixed in a slightly flexed position to increase the interlaminar space, thus facilitating decompression. C-arm fluoroscopy was used so that real-time lateral fluoroscopic images could be obtained. The operative surgeon generally stood on the side of the approach, with viewing monitors placed opposite him or her. Under lateral fluoroscopic guidance, the targeted level was marked on the side of the approach. A skin incision was made for the length of approximately 1.6 cm, corresponding to the spinal level to be decompressed. The serial tubal dilators of the METRx endoscopic system (Medtronic Sofamor Danek), which was developed by Smith and Foley\textsuperscript{17} for lumbar disc herniation, were inserted through a small incision. After splitting into the paravertebral muscles, the tubular retractor lay on the lamina and facet joints, and was inclined in the direction of the intervertebral disc. Level and position of the tubular system were confirmed by lateral fluoroscopy. The inferior edge of the adjacent lamina and the medial edge of the facet complex were visualized.

The technique consisted of bilateral decompression using a unilateral approach (Fig. 1A). Endoscope-assisted laminotomy was performed up to the cephalad insertion of the attachment of the ligamentum flavum using a long, curved, high-speed drill with endoscopic bit (e.g., Midas Rex; Medtronic). The superior attachment of the ligamentum flavum was exposed, and the procedure was then continued to the superior portion of the inferior lamina. The inferior attachment of the ligamentum flavum was then similarly exposed. After the base of the spinous process was drilled, the laminotomy on the contralateral side was performed by drilling and tunneling the lamina from the spinal canal side. It is important to perform the contralateral decompression without removing the ligamentum flavum, to protect the spinal cord. When the floated ligamentum flavum (Fig. 1B) was completely removed, dural pulsation was observed (Fig. 1C). When endoscopic laminotomy was needed for the adjacent level, the tubular retractor was inclined either cranially or caudally and the decompression was then similarly performed (Fig. 1D).

Patient Population

This study was approved by the IRB of Wakayama Medical University. Between January 2005 and December 2010, consecutive patients were enrolled in this study if they had symptoms of cervical myelopathy and evidence of associated spinal stenosis due to cervical spondylosis. Informed consent was obtained for inclusion in the study. Inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) neurological signs consistent with cervical myelopathy, such as upper- or lower-extremity clumsiness, numbness of the upper and/or lower extremities, and gait disturbance/imbalance; and 2) spinal cord compression demonstrated by MRI, myelography, and postmyelography CT. The criteria for exclusion were trauma, tumor, severe ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL), rheumatoid arthritis, infection, destructive spondyloarthropathies, and other combined spinal lesions. The remaining patients were included in this cohort study. Subsequently, all enrolled patients underwent CMEL\textsuperscript{15} or conventional ELAP (French-door\textsuperscript{24} or open-door type)\textsuperscript{24} at the authors’ institution. Surgeries were performed by 4 spine surgeons. The 2 spine surgeons who were authorized by the Japanese Orthopaedic Association (JOA) as endoscopic spine surgeons performed the CMEL surgery, whereas other surgeons performed the ELAP surgery. Patients were divided into 2 surgical groups, consisting of the CMEL or ELAP group, according to the turn that an operation was required (in the outpatient clinic). Postoperatively, the use of a neck brace was left to the patients’ discretion.

Clinical Outcomes

The patients’ preoperative and 5-year follow-up functional outcomes were evaluated using the JOA scoring system for cervical myelopathy (JOA score, full score = 17 points).\textsuperscript{21} Cervical Myelopathy Evaluation Questionnaire (JOACMEQ),\textsuperscript{4} SF-36\textsuperscript{1} survey, and visual analog scale (VAS) for the assessment of axial neck pain. Lateral radiographs were taken in the neutral position preoperatively and at 5 years postoperatively. The lordotic angle
was calculated by measuring between the C-2 inferior endplate and C-7 superior endplates at the neutral position using Cobb’s method. The change in alignment was determined by the difference between subaxial alignment at the preoperative and 5-year postoperative radiographs. The results obtained were filed in the patients’ charts and were not the basis for any decision making. Data collection was performed by independent reviewers (H.H. and S.N.). Clinical outcomes were based on the recovery rates calculated from the JOA score. The recovery rate was calculated as follows: recovery rate = 100 × (postoperative JOA score – preoperative JOA score)/(17 – preoperative JOA score). The data regarding intraoperative, perioperative, and postoperative complications were retrieved from independent review of the medical charts.

Statistical Analysis

All parameters were analyzed statistically. The Student t-test was used to compare preoperative and postoperative JOA scores, recovery rates, SF-36 scores, and VAS scores between the CMEL and ELAP groups. The subaxial cervical lordosis (C2–7 Cobb angle) on lateral radiography was also compared using the Student t-test. All statistical analyses were performed using JMP (version 11, SAS Inc.), with the level of significance set at p < 0.05.

Results

Seventy-eight patients were included in the study, including 50 men and 28 women, with a mean age of 65.5 years (range 46–85 years). Nine patients were lost to follow-up; thus, the follow-up rate was 88.5% (69 of 78 patients). An additional 8 patients were excluded because of death, dementia, or concurrent symptomatic lumbar spinal stenosis. Thus, 61 patients completed the 5-year follow-up and were included for data analysis; there were 31 patients in the CMEL group and 30 in the ELAP group (Table 1).

There were no significant differences in sex, age, preoperative JOA scores, and recovery rate of JOA score between the CMEL and ELAP groups (p > 0.05; Tables 1 and 2). In addition, there were significant improvements between preoperative and final JOA scores in both groups (p < 0.05).
Regarding perioperative complications, 2 patients in the CMEL group and 1 patient in the ELAP group experienced C-5 nerve root palsy postoperatively. The 2 patients in the CMEL group had the palsy on the approach side. All patients improved as a result of conservative treatment with a Philadelphia collar. The developmental nerve root palsy appeared several days following surgery. The tethering effect on the nerve root induced by excessive posterior shift of the spinal cord after decompression was the additional hypothesized cause. The VAS score for axial neck symptoms at the final follow-up evaluation was significantly lower in the CMEL group than the ELAP group.

Regarding the JOACMEQ and SF-36 scores, there were no significant differences in any subscale at the 5-year evaluation between groups (p > 0.05; Figs. 2–4).

In the CMEL group, the mean lordotic angle was 12.7° preoperatively and 14.9° at the 5-year follow-up; in the ELAP group, the mean lordotic angle was 10.0° preoperatively and 7.9° at the 5-year follow-up (Table 3). The difference between lordotic angles preoperatively and at 5 years was 2.6° in the CMEL group, indicating a gain in cervical lordosis, and −1.2° in the ELAP group, indicating a loss of cervical lordosis. There were significant between-groups differences with respect to the mean lordotic angle at the 5-year follow-up and the difference between preoperative and 5-year follow-up values (p < 0.05). With regard to changes in the alignment of the lateral neutral position, 2 patients in the CMEL group and 4 patients in the ELAP group presented with preoperative lordosis that changed to kyphosis at the 5-year follow-up. Seven patients (22.6%) in the CMEL group and 2 patients (6.7%) in the ELAP group exhibited an increase in lordotic angle greater than 10°. This result suggests that CMEL maintained lordosis better than ELAP.

**Discussion**

Conventional ELAP techniques provide surgeons with a powerful tool for decompression of multilevel cervical stenosis, while maintaining intersegmental motion and avoiding the significant loss of clinical motion that results from multilevel fusions. However, the complications of these traditional laminoplasty techniques, including persistent axial symptoms and neck pain, as well as loss of subaxial cervical lordosis and resultant sagittal imbalance, are well described. There are a multitude of reports demonstrating persistent axial symptoms following ELAP, and the frequency of clinically significant postoperative neck pain is nearly 3 times that of anterior cervical fusion. A number of surgical technique modifications, as well as programs for early neck mobilization, have been developed for conventional cervical ELAP to prevent these types of morbidities, but the issues of postoperative axial symptoms and loss of lordosis have remained. Without question, intraoperative damage to cervical posterior soft tissues, including muscles and ligaments, are at least somewhat responsible for many of these problems.

We have been using CMEL as a less invasive surgical strategy for posterior cervical decompression in cervical myelopathy. The principal benefit of the endoscope-assisted procedure is that it minimizes disruption of the posterior structures (Fig. 5), while still allowing for thorough segmental decompression. Prior to this study, the longer-term clinical outcomes with regard to neurological recovery, axial symptoms, and radiographic parameters for CMEL had not yet been elucidated. The purpose of this study was to characterize these outcomes for micro-endoscopic laminotomy, and to compare them to those for conventional ELAP.

The primary goal in treating multilevel CSM is to arrest neurological deterioration and, in many cases, to improve a patient’s neurological status. Therefore, as we develop less...
invasive strategies for the treatment of spine conditions, it is paramount that we not compromise our ability to safely address patients’ neurological and functional measures. In our study, patients demonstrated similar preoperative neurological status, as measured by JOA score, and both groups undergoing conventional laminoplasty and CMEL had similar neurological recovery rates; thus, both ELAP and CMEL similarly achieved the primary goal of neurological recovery in patients with CSM.

Conventional laminoplasty techniques have been well studied, and the most commonly cited complications involve postoperative axial neck pain and loss of lordosis. These parameters were specifically addressed in this study, and compared between ELAP and CMEL. The VAS score for axial neck symptoms at the final follow-up was significantly lower in the CMEL group than the ELAP group (19.5 vs 43.6, p < 0.01; Table 2). This is a rather dramatic difference in axial symptoms when comparing endoscopic techniques to more traditional laminoplasty. With regard to radiographic parameters, CMEL also dem-

**TABLE 3. Subaxial cervical lordosis measured between the C-2 and C-7 angle at the neutral position using Cobb’s method on lateral radiographs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>CMEL</th>
<th>ELAP</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean preop angle ± SD (°)</td>
<td>12.7 ± 15.1</td>
<td>10.0 ± 8.3</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 5-yr follow-up angle ± SD (°)</td>
<td>14.9 ± 15.8</td>
<td>7.9 ± 9.5</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference btwn preop &amp; 5 yrs*</td>
<td>2.6 ± 7.7</td>
<td>−1.2 ± 5.0</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Difference between subaxial cervical lordosis on preoperative and 5-year postoperative radiographs.
CMEL is a novel, less invasive technique that allows for multilevel posterior cervical decompression for the treatment of CSM. Our study demonstrates that neurological outcomes are similar to conventional laminoplasty techniques. Moreover, 5-year follow-up data demonstrate that after CMEL, patients have far less postoperative axial pain and improved subaxial cervical lordosis when compared with their traditional laminoplasty counterparts. CMEL is fundamentally different from conventional laminoplasty, as the former decompresses the pincer mechanism at the level of the disc space, while the latter actually opens the bony aspect of the vertebral canal in addition to decompressing the disc space. Thus, CMEL has a more limited role and cannot be used in patients with significant congenital stenosis or retrovertebral ventral compression, such as OPLL, where compression exists at more than just the level of the disc space. Hatta et al.2 reported that the outcome of posterior decompression surgery for multisegmental CSM was not correlated with the magnitude of postoperative posterior shift of the spinal cord. Posterior decompression of the articular segment may be enough in patients with spondylotic-type stenosis. In this study, the number of surgical disc levels was significantly different between the 2 groups, although there was no difference in the surgical selection criteria for the patients with CSM. Based on the general concept of a posterior shift of the spinal cord with ELAP, this procedure was performed at C3–5, C3–6, or C3–7, even in patients with CSM in whom the main lesion responsible for the condition was located at 1 or 2 disc levels. For example, a patient with CSM and 1 lesion at the C4–5 level underwent ELAP surgery from C-3 to C-5, whereas a patient with CSM and 2 lesions at the C4–5 and C5–6 levels underwent ELAP surgery from C-3 to C-6. With CMEL, however, surgery was performed only at the affected levels. Due to this inherent difference between these treatment modalities, the average number of levels included in ELAP patients was more than that included in CMEL patients. Thus, one could argue that some of the relative improvement in outcome measures was a result of the ability of CMEL to address solely the affected levels.

Conclusions

This work demonstrates that, in the appropriately selected patient with CSM secondary to typical stenosis patterns at the level of the disc space and anatomical parameters favorable for dorsal decompression techniques, CMEL represents an effective technique with long-term neurological outcomes that are similar to conventional laminoplasty methods, with less tissue disruption, which translates into significantly less postoperative neck pain and improved postoperative sagittal alignment.
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