Digital subtraction myelography in the investigation of post–dural puncture headache in 27 patients: technical note
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OBJECTIVE Post–dural puncture headaches are common, and the treatment of such headaches can be complex when they become chronic. Among patients with spontaneous spinal CSF leaks, digital subtraction myelography (DSM) can localize the exact site of the leak when an extradural CSF collection is present, and it can also demonstrate CSF-venous fistulas in those without an extradural CSF collection. The authors now report on the use of DSM in the management of patients with chronic post–dural puncture headaches.

METHODS The patient population consisted of a consecutive group of 27 patients with recalcitrant post–dural puncture headache that had lasted from 2 to 150 months (mean 26 months).

RESULTS The mean age of the 17 women and 10 men was 39.1 years (range 18–77 years). An extensive extradural CSF collection was present in 5 of the 27 patients, and DSM was able to localize the exact site of the dural defect in all 5 patients. Among the 22 patients who did not have an extradural CSF collection, DSM showed a CSF-venous fistula in 1 patient (5%). Three other patients had a small pseudomeningocele at the level of the dural puncture. Percutaneous glue injection or microsurgical repair resulted in resolution of symptoms in 8 of the 9 patients in whom an abnormality had been identified on imaging.

CONCLUSIONS Digital subtraction myelography is able to precisely localize the dural puncture site in patients with a post–dural puncture headache and an extensive extradural CSF collection, and it may rarely detect a CSF-venous fistula in such patients without an extradural CSF collection.
of general endotracheal anesthesia with deep paralysis and suspended respiration for maximal detail and temporal resolution. Patients are positioned prone in a biplane angiography suite, with tilt table capability. Pillows or foam padding are placed to reduce lumbar lordosis, and to overcome thoracic kyphosis. A fluoroscopically guided lumbar puncture is performed at the L2–3 level with a 20-gauge spinal needle, and an opening pressure is obtained thus (prone under general endotracheal anesthesia) at this time. Accurate needle position is confirmed with an injection of 0.5 ml of Omnipaque. Patients are then further positioned based on the area of interest, tilting the table to achieve contrast flow to the cervicothoracic spine. Finally, contrast is injected manually at 1 ml/second with suspended respiration for 40–60 seconds, while acquiring biplane subtraction images at 2 frames/second. Patients with a dorsal extradural fluid collection undergo DSM in a supine position, to maximize leak detection. In this subset of patients, first a lumbar drain is placed at the L2–3 level under fluoroscopic guidance while they are prone. A standard lumbar external drainage catheter is introduced via a Tuohy needle. The intrathecal position of the catheter is confirmed with 0.5 ml of Omnipaque injection. After securing the drain with Tegaderm (3M), the patient is repositioned supine for the DSM as described above.

Results

The mean age of the 17 women and 10 men was 39.1 years (range 18–77 years). All patients had presented with orthostatic headaches. The duration of symptoms ranged from 2 to 150 months (mean 26 months). All patients had undergone brain MRI examination, and this showed brain sagging or pachymeningeal enhancement in 3 patients. The cause of dural puncture was a diagnostic lumbar puncture in 15 patients, epidural anesthesia in 4 patients, epidural steroid injection in 3 patients, lumbar drain placement in 3 patients, and in 2 patients the exact cause could not be determined and could have been a lumbar puncture, lumbar drain placement, or placement of a lumboperitoneal catheter. Prior to DSM, 25 patients had undergone 2 or more epidural blood patches, and 2 patients had undergone a single epidural blood patch.

Five of the 27 patients had evidence for a CSF leak on spinal imaging; i.e., the presence of an extensive extradural CSF collection (Figs. 1 and 2) (Table 1). The CSF col-

![FIG. 1. Imaging of a ventral post–dural puncture CSF leak of 12 years' duration. Sagittal (A) and axial (B) T2-weighted MRI sequences show an extensive extradural CSF collection, which is confirmed on a postmyelography CT scan (C). A DSM study (D) shows the exact location of the CSF leak (arrow) at the L2–3 level, which is confirmed intraoperatively (E), where a small ventral dural puncture hole was visualized (arrow). Figure is available in color online only.](image-url)
lection was ventral in 3 of these patients and dorsal in 2. The ventral extradural CSF collection extended over 18–24 levels (mean 21) and the dorsal extradural CSF collections over 2–4 levels (mean 3). The DSM study was able to localize the exact site of the dural defect in all 5 patients. Among the 22 patients who did not have an extensive extradural CSF collection on spinal imaging, DSM showed a CSF-venous fistula in 1 patient (5%) (Fig. 3). Also, a small dorsal pseudomeningocele measuring 2–3 mm was found on spinal imaging at the site of dural puncture in 3 of the 22 patients who did not have an extensive extradural CSF collection on spinal imaging (Fig. 4). In the remaining 18 patients, DSM did not show any abnormality.

Percutaneous glue injections (n = 1) or microsurgical
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**FIG. 2.** Imaging of a dorsal post–dural puncture CSF leak. Sagittal T2-weighted MRI sequence (A) shows an upper lumbar dorsal extradural CSF collection (arrow). The DSM sequences (B–E) show the exact location of the CSF leak at the L-2 level (B, arrow). Post-DSM reconstructed sagittal (F) and axial (G–I) CT scans show the extent of the dorsal extradural CSF collection. Figure is available in color online only.

<p>| Table 1: Clinical and radiographic characteristics in 9 patients with post–dural puncture headache and an abnormality identified on imaging |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex, Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Type of Dural Puncture</th>
<th>MRI &amp; Post-DSM CT</th>
<th>DSM Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F, 33</td>
<td>Spinal tap</td>
<td>Ventral extradural CSF collection from C-4 to L-4</td>
<td>CSF leak site at L2–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M, 48</td>
<td>Spinal tap</td>
<td>Ventral extradural CSF collection from C-3 to L-3</td>
<td>CSF leak site at L2–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F, 22</td>
<td>Spinal tap, lumbar drain, or lumboperitoneal shunt</td>
<td>Ventral extradural CSF collection from C-1 to L-5</td>
<td>CSF leak site at L3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F, 35</td>
<td>Spinal tap</td>
<td>Dorsal extradural CSF collection from T-6 to L-4</td>
<td>CSF leak site at L3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F, 33</td>
<td>Epidural (vaginal delivery)</td>
<td>Dorsal extradural CSF collection from L-1 to L-2</td>
<td>CSF leak site at L2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F, 39</td>
<td>Spinal tap</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Rt L3–4 &amp; L4–5 CSF-venous fistula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M, 48</td>
<td>Spinal tap</td>
<td>Pseudomeningocele (2 mm) at L3–4</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F, 28</td>
<td>Spinal tap</td>
<td>Pseudomeningocele (3 mm) at L4–5</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F, 36</td>
<td>Lumbar drain</td>
<td>Pseudomeningocele (2 mm) at L3–4</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
repair of the dural tear (n = 4) resulted in complete resolution of symptoms in all 5 patients with an extradural CSF collection (follow-up 4–21 months, mean 12 months). Among the 4 patients without an extensive extradural CSF collection in whom an anatomical target could be identified, microsurgical repair of the CSF-venous fistula or small pseudomeningocele resulted in resolution of symptoms in 3 patients, whereas 1 patient who underwent repair of a pseudomeningocele reported no change in orthostatic headaches postoperatively (follow-up 8–20 months, mean 14 months). Postprocedure imaging confirmed radiographic resolution in all 9 patients in whom an abnormality had been identified on imaging.

Discussion

Radiographic evidence of a CSF leak is very common shortly after a lumbar puncture. In 1 study, CSF leakage could be identified within a few days of a diagnostic lumbar puncture in 100% of patients with a post–dural puncture headache, and also in 50% of patients without a post–dural puncture headache.19 In patients with prolonged headache after a lumbar puncture, however, spinal imaging results are generally normal, and extradural CSF collections have only rarely been reported.9,17

In this study we used DSM in patients with an extensive extradural CSF collection to localize the exact site of the dural puncture to help guide directed treatment; i.e., per-
cutaneous glue injection or microsurgical repair. Digital subtraction myelography was necessary because the extradural fluid collections extended over many levels, and the level of the dural puncture was not known in any of these patients. This group of patients included individuals with post–dural puncture CSF leaks of unusually long duration—up to 12 years. The CSF leaks of longest duration were all located ventrally. Such ventral CSF leaks, either iatrogenic or spontaneous, have been reported previously to have a tendency to become chronic.12,13

In this study we also used DSM to look for a CSF-venous fistula in patients with post–dural puncture headache who had no extradural fluid collection. We have recently described such fistulas in patients with spontaneous intracranial hypotension who have no evidence for a CSF leak on conventional non-DSM imaging.14,15 Others have confirmed the existence of these CSF-venous fistulas among patients with spontaneous intracranial hypotension, by using dynamic CT myelography.5,6 Moreover, CSF-venous fistulas have been detected in patients following lumbar puncture, and it has been postulated that these fistulas could be the cause of post–dural puncture headaches.8 However, we found such a CSF-venous fistula in only 1 of 22 patients with post–dural puncture headache who had no extradural fluid collection.

Conclusions

The use of DSM in chronic post–dural puncture headache is limited, and it was not able to detect a source of CSF leakage in the great majority of patients who had normal, routine (i.e., postmyelography CT) spine imaging. The treatment of these patients is complex and, in addition to medical treatment, may include further epidural blood patching, percutaneous glue injections, or even surgical exploration.
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