Does clinical improvement of symptomatic degenerative lumbar disease impact obesity?
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OBJECTIVE Obesity and low-back pain associated with degenerative spondylosis or spondylolisthesis are common comorbid conditions. Many patients report that the pain and disability associated with degenerative lumbar disease are key factors in their inability to lose weight. The aim of this retrospective study was to determine if there is an association between improved functional status and weight loss following a successful transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF) procedure.

METHODS A retrospective cohort study of patients who underwent single-level TLIF was performed. Inclusion criteria were preoperative body mass index (BMI) greater than 30 kg/m², achievement of minimum clinically important difference in the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI, defined as improvement of 15 points), and minimum 1-year postoperative follow-up BMI. Preoperative and postoperative BMI, ODI, and visual analog scale (VAS) scores were compared. A subgroup analysis of patients who achieved substantial clinical benefit (SCB, defined as a net improvement of 18.8 points on the ODI) was also performed.

RESULTS A total of 56 patients met the inclusion criteria. The mean age of the study population was 55.6 ± 13.7 years. The mean preoperative BMI was 34.8 ± 4.6 kg/m², the mean preoperative ODI was 66.2 ± 10.1, and the mean preoperative VAS score was 7.1 ± 1.7. The mean change in ODI was −33.1 ± 13.5 (p < 0.01) and the mean change in the VAS score was −4.1 ± 2.1 (p < 0.01). SCB was achieved in 46 patients on the ODI. The mean preoperative BMI for patients with SCB was 34.8 ± 4.8 kg/m², and the mean postoperative BMI was 34.7 ± 5.0 kg/m². The mean change in BMI was −0.03 ± 1.9 kg/m² (p = 0.9).

CONCLUSIONS Despite successful surgical intervention via TLIF with achievement of improved function and pain, obese patients did not have significant change in weight postoperatively.
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Although there is some evidence of an association between lumbar spine disease and obesity,1–8 the nature of that association is complex.15 Twin studies have suggested that there may not be a direct causal relationship between the 2 conditions.6 There is likely a 2-way relationship between spine pathology and obesity, whereby the presence of obesity exacerbates back pain, but back pain’s impact on quality of life may also predispose obese individuals to a sedentary lifestyle that is not conducive to losing weight and reducing the health burden of obesity.17,20 Indeed, severity of pain has been shown to be associated with suboptimal weight loss.19 Many patients report that the pain and disability associated with degenerative lumbar disease are key factors in their inability to lose weight.
While most low-back pain is believed to be associated with muscular strain or sprain, degenerative lumbar spondylosis and degenerative spondylolisthesis are also commonly believed to cause low-back pain. Previous studies have estimated that disc and facet degeneration account for approximately 10% of patients with back pain, while spondylolisthesis accounts for 2%.14 In another study, the prevalence of degenerative spondylolisthesis was 2.7% in males and 8.4% in females.15 From a surgical treatment standpoint, transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF) is a commonly used technique to treat refractory cases of degenerative spinal disease and has been shown to improve functional status and long-term pain reduction, even in obese patients.16,23 Previous studies have defined a minimum clinically important difference (MCID) in TLIF as an improvement in the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) of 14.9 points.22 A substantial clinical benefit (SCB) was previously defined in lumbar arthrodesis as a net improvement of 18.8 points.17 However, it is unknown whether such improvements are associated with significant weight loss, presumably due to improved function and activity level. The aim of this retrospective study was to determine if there is an association between improved functional status and weight loss following a successful TLIF procedure.

Methods

Following approval by the University of Michigan IRB, we performed a retrospective cohort study via medical record review of patients who underwent single-level TLIF. Inclusion criteria were a preoperative body mass index (BMI) greater than 30 kg/m², improvement in the ODI of at least 15 points, and minimum 1-year postoperative follow-up BMI. Data were also collected on patients who did not show improvement in the ODI of at least 15 points (patients who did not achieve MCID). ODI and BMI were offset to allow patients to have time after activity restrictions were lifted to experience meaningful weight loss. Demographic data including age at surgery and sex were recorded, as were level of fusion and indication for procedure. Preoperative BMI, ODI, and visual analog scale (VAS) score for pain were recorded. Preoperative BMI, ODI, and weight loss following a successful TLIF procedure. Statistical analysis was performed utilizing GraphPad Prism software, version 6 (GraphPad Software, Inc.). Paired 2-tailed t-tests were used to compare preoperative and postoperative BMI, ODI, and VAS scores. Subgroup analyses of patients with Class I and Class II/III obesity were performed using paired 2-tailed t-tests. Subgroup analyses of males and females were also performed using paired 2-tailed t-tests. An unpaired 2-tailed t-test was used to compare BMI change in patients with and without achievement of MCID. A statistical significance level of p < 0.05 was set for this analysis.

Results

A total of 135 obese patients were identified as having undergone single-level TLIF during the study period. Forty-three patients were excluded due to lack of follow-up ODI or BMI, and 36 patients were excluded due to not meeting MCID. Fifty-six patients met the inclusion criteria. Four patients did not have follow-up BMI data. The mean age of the study population was 55.6 ± 13.7 years. There were 37 females (66.1%) and 19 males (33.9%). Forty-one patients had a primary diagnosis of spondylolisthesis, while 15 had a primary diagnosis of degenerative disc disease. The majority of patients underwent fusion at L4–5 (30 patients, 53.6%) or L5–S1 (20 patients, 35.7%). Two patients underwent fusion at L3–4, 2 at L2–3, 1 at L1–2, and 1 at L6–S1. There were 37 patients (66.1%) with Class I obesity, 10 (17.9%) with Class II obesity, and 9 patients (16.1%) with Class III obesity.

Changes in BMI, ODI, and VAS for the entire cohort are shown in Table 1. The mean preoperative BMI was 34.8 ± 4.6 kg/m² (range 30.1–50.6 kg/m²). The mean preoperative ODI was 66.2 ± 10.1 (range 44–88), and the mean preoperative VAS score was 7.1 ± 1.7 (range 4–10). The mean 3-month ODI was 33.0 ± 16.4 (range 0–60) and the mean 3-month VAS score was 3.0 ± 2.0 (range 0–8). The mean 1-year BMI was 34.9 ± 4.9 kg/m² (range 28.5–48.4 kg/m²). The mean change in ODI was −33.1 ± 13.5 (p < 0.01) and the mean change in VAS score was −4.1 ± 2.1 (p < 0.01). The mean change in BMI was +0.15 ± 2.1 kg/m² (range −4.2 to +6.5 kg/m²; p = 0.6); changes in BMI are represented in Fig. 1.

There were 46 patients who achieved SCB on the ODI at 3-month follow-up. The mean preoperative BMI for SCB patients was 34.8 ± 4.8 kg/m², and mean postoperative BMI was 34.7 ± 5.0 kg/m². The mean change in BMI in this group was −0.03 ± 1.9 kg/m² (p = 0.9).

For patients with Class I obesity, the mean ODI improvement was 32.3 ± 12.6 (p < 0.01) and the mean VAS score improvement was 4.3 ± 2.2 (p < 0.01). BMI change for Class I obesity was +0.29 ± 2.1 kg/m² (p = 0.4). For patients with Class II/III obesity, mean ODI improvement was 34.8 ± 15.3 (p < 0.01) and mean VAS score improve-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Preop Mean ± SD</th>
<th>3-Mo Follow-Up</th>
<th>1-Yr Follow-Up</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>34.8 ± 4.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>34.9 ± 4.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.0 ± 16.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>37.3 ± 23.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>−33.1 ± 13.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.7</td>
<td>3.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>6.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ment was 3.7 ± 1.9 (p < 0.01). BMI change for Class II/III obesity was −0.12 ± 2.2 kg/m² (p = 0.8). Neither change in BMI in males (+0.29 ± 2.4 kg/m²; p = 0.6) or females (+0.08 ± 2.0 kg/m²; p = 0.8) was significant.

At 1-year follow-up, the mean ODI was insignificantly changed when compared with the 3-month follow-up (p = 0.07). However, the mean VAS score at 1-year was significantly increased (p = 0.03). Compared with preoperative ODI and VAS scores, there was still a significant decrease in ODI (p < 0.01) and VAS (p < 0.01) scores at 1-year follow-up. These data are represented graphically in Figs. 2 and 3.

Of the 36 patients who did not meet MCID, the mean age was 51.3 years, and the mean preoperative BMI was 34.9 ± 5.6 kg/m². Sixteen (44.4%) were female, and 20 (55.6%) were male. The mean ODI change was −3.4 ± 8.9 (range −14 to 18). In 25 patients with follow-up BMI data, the mean BMI change was +0.93 ± 2.8 kg/m² (p = 0.1). There was no significant difference in BMI change between patients who did and did not achieve MCID (p = 0.2).

Discussion

MCID is defined as the smallest change in an outcome measure that is important to a patient, and is believed to be the threshold for meaningful clinical improvement. Previous studies have defined MCID of the ODI in lumbar degenerative disease to be a 12.8-point improvement, although for TLIF specifically, it was defined as a 14.9-point improvement. However, MCID is not necessarily defined by standard methodology, and may therefore be variable. Parker et al. calculated MCID in ODI after TLIF using 4 different methods, and found that MCID ranged from 11 to 22.9 points, depending on the calculation used. Because MCID is, by definition, the “floor” of success, the concept of SCB has been thought to be a more useful determinant of truly meaningful changes in outcome measures. Both MCID and SCB are importantly more useful as measures for individuals, rather than for populations.

Several reports suggest that obesity may have an adverse impact on clinical outcomes following spinal fusion surgery. However, the impact of surgery itself on weight changes in obese patients is unknown. In this retrospective cohort study, we aimed to address whether symptomatic relief following TLIF was associated with weight loss, as measured by BMI. For patients who achieved MCID on the ODI, we found no significant change in BMI. This change in ODI remained stable from 3-month follow-up until 1-year follow-up. Similarly, there was no significant change in BMI when specific classes of obesity were evaluated. Even when patients achieved SCB, which is believed to be a significantly higher level of meaningful clinical improvement, no relevant changes in BMI were observed. These results suggest that obese patients do not lose weight, even with improved function and less pain, as evidenced by the improved postoperative VAS scores. It should be noted that obesity is a complex problem with a multitude of contributing factors. To our knowledge, this report is the first of its kind to investigate an association between functional improvement in lumbar degenerative disease and weight loss.

FIG. 1. Box-and-whisker plot of BMI preoperatively and at 1-year follow-up.

FIG. 2. Box-and-whisker plot of ODI preoperatively and at 3-month and 1-year follow-up.

FIG. 3. Box-and-whisker plot of VAS preoperatively and at 3-month and 1-year follow-up.
This study also brings to light the possibility that there may be a missed opportunity for weight loss in these patients. With the significant increase in their mobility and decrease in pain, these obese patients may be in a good position to enter aggressive weight loss programs after they have been removed from postsurgical activity restrictions.

There are limitations to this study. This was a retrospective cohort study, and is therefore subject to inherent biases including selection bias and loss to follow-up. In addition, this study was limited to a 1-year follow-up, which does not account for long-term weight changes.

Conclusions

Despite successful surgical intervention via TLIF, with subsequent improvement in function and pain, obese patients did not experience significant weight loss postoperatively. Further prospective studies are needed to validate these data, and to explore novel means to encourage weight loss after TLIF surgery.
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