Association between bicortical screw fixation at upper instrumented vertebra and risk for upper instrumented vertebra fracture
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OBJECTIVE The aim of this study was to investigate the risk of upper instrumented vertebra (UIV) fractures associated with UIV screw fixation (unicortical vs bicortical) and polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) augmentation after adult spinal deformity surgery.

METHODS A single-center, single-surgeon consecutive series of adult patients who underwent lumbar fusion for ≥ 4 levels (that is, the lower instrumented vertebra at the sacrum or pelvis and the UIV of the thoracolumbar spine [T9–L2]) were retrospectively reviewed. Age, sex, follow-up duration, sagittal UIV angle immediately postoperatively including several balance-related parameters (lumbar lordosis [LL], pelvic incidence, and sagittal vertical axis), bone mineral density, UIV screw fixation type, UIV PMMA augmentation, and UIV fracture were evaluated. Patients were divided into 3 groups: Group U, 15 patients with unicortical screw fixation at the UIV; Group P, 16 with bicortical screw fixation and PMMA augmentation at the UIV; and Group B, 21 with bicortical screw fixation without PMMA augmentation at the UIV.

RESULTS The mean number of levels fused was 6.5 ± 2.5, 7.5 ± 2.5, and 6.5 ± 2.5; the median age was 50 ± 29, 72 ± 6, and 59 ± 24 years; and the mean follow-up was 31.5 ± 23.5, 13 ± 6, and 24 ± 17.5 months in Groups U, P, and B, respectively (p > 0.05). There were no significant differences in balance-related parameters (LL, sagittal vertical axis, pelvic incidence–LL, and so on) among the groups. UIV fracture rates in Groups U (0%), P (31.3%), and B (42.9%) increased in sequence by group (p = 0.006). UIV bicortical screw fixation increased the risk for UIV fracture (OR 5.39; p = 0.02).

CONCLUSIONS Bicortical screw fixation at the UIV is a major risk factor for early UIV compression fracture, regardless of whether a thoracolumbosacral orthosis is used. To reduce the proximal junctional failure, unicortical screw fixation at the UIV is essential in adult spinal deformity correction surgery.
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Posterior lumbar long instrumented fusion has been described for various conditions, including degenerative flat-back syndrome, degenerative lumbar scoliosis, metastatic spinal tumor, degenerative spondylolisthesis, and in revision cases of pseudarthroses.5,8,9,11,12,14,15,16,25 Regardless of modern surgical technology, proximal junctional failures (PJFs) are still a major concern, especially after adult deformity surgery. PJF is a widely recognized complication of spinal deformity surgery. It is obvious that degeneration of the proximal junction of the fused vertebra accelerates because of increased stress. Such failures include screw pullout in the upper instrumented vertebra (UIV), UIV compression fracture, adjacent vertebral compression fractures, adjacent-disc herniation, and adjacent-segment degeneration. These kinds of PJF usually cause kyphosis and, in some cases, resulting paraplegia. These
conditions require decompression with proximal extension of the instrumentation, sometimes to the upper thoracic spine.

Many theories have been used to explain these types of PJF. Known risk factors include older age, osteoporosis, female sex, high UIV angle, preoperative kyphosis adjacent to the UIV, inadequate implant systems, the level of the UIV, preoperative hyperkyphotic thoracic alignment, sagittal imbalance, and acute correction of sagittal imbalance. However, among these factors, it is not clear which can explain the early UIV fracture that occurs in patients (even in those who wear a brace) who have undergone a successful surgery (Fig. 1).

From the biomechanical perspective, spine surgeons are familiar with bicortical screw fixation, especially in osteoporotic adult deformity surgery. UIV fracture can occur even when patients are wearing a brace. Therefore, we considered and evaluated the possibility that UIV bicortical screw fixation may be a risk factor for early UIV fracture.

Methods

Following approval from the research ethics board, this retrospective review was performed in consecutive patients (age at surgery > 18 years) who underwent long-segment lumbar fusion between January 2011 and January 2015. All surgeries were performed by a single surgeon (S.J.H.) at a single institution. All constructs comprised pedicle screws from the same company. Local autologous bone grafts with allogeneic bone chip and demineralized bone matrix were used for fusion. All patients wore a thoracolumbosacral orthosis brace for 90 days after the index surgery.

Patients who had a minimum of 4 levels fused (that is, the lower instrumented vertebra [LIV] at the sacrum or pelvis and the UIV from T-9 to L-2) were retrospectively reviewed. Patients excluded from the study included those lost to follow-up (4 patients) and those with upper thoracic instrumentation (17 patients). Fifty-two patients met the inclusion criteria. For all patients, the UIV screw type (bicortical vs unicortical) was confirmed by postoperative CT scanning. No patients had undergone thoracic procedures and surgery proximal to the UIV. The mean follow-up was calculated based on the date of the final follow-up radiograph or until the patient underwent revision surgery.

Clinical and radiographic data were collected by a clinical fellow and reviewed by a professor. The pelvic incidence (PI), sagittal UIV angle immediately postoperatively, lumbar lordosis (LL; pre- and postoperation), sagittal vertical axis (SVA; pre- and postoperation), and UIV polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) augmentation were checked on standing whole-spine lateral radiograph; UIV screw type was evaluated on immediate postoperative spinal CT scan. Bone mineral density (BMD), follow-up duration, and demographic data, including age and sex, were also recorded.

To determine whether there was a correlation among the screw type, PMMA augmentation, and UIV fracture, patients were divided into 3 groups. Group U consisted of 15 patients who underwent unicortical screw fixation at the UIV. Group P consisted of 16 patients who underwent bicortical screw fixation with PMMA augmentation at the UIV. Group B consisted of 21 patients who underwent bicortical screw fixation at the UIV without PMMA augmentation (Fig. 2).

Statistical Analysis

By analyzing the serial follow-up radiographs, we investigated the occurrence and time to UIV fracture. To perform statistical analysis, we used SPSS, version 19.0 (SPSS, Inc.). A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The p values for age, follow-up duration, num-
ber of fused segments, BMD, UIV angle, and sex were calculated using 1-way ANOVA for normally distributed clinical characteristics. Linear-by-linear association analysis was used to analyze differences in sex proportions, 1-way ANOVA was used to analyze differences in BMD and the UIV angle, and the Kruskal-Wallis test was used for all other variables. Outcomes were analyzed using Fisher’s exact test for the pairwise comparisons between groups (U and P, P and B, and B and U); a p value of 0.017 was considered statistically significant (accounting for Bonferroni correction). Linear-by-linear association analysis was also performed to compare the rates of fracture among the 3 groups.

**Results**

**Comparison of Demographic Data Among the Groups**

There were 3 men and 12 women in Group U, 16 women in Group P, and 2 men and 19 women in Group B (Table 1). The median age of the enrolled patients was 52 years (range 21–83 years), with female predominance (89%). The mean follow-up was 1.6 years (range 0.6–4.6 years). There were no significant differences in age at the time of surgery (50, 72, and 59 years in Groups U, P, and B, respectively), number of levels fused (6.5, 7.5, and 6.5 in Groups U, P, and B, respectively), and BMD (−1.01, −2.17, and −1.83 kg/m² in Groups U, P, and B, respectively).

The majority of patients underwent surgery for degenerative kyphosis, scoliosis, or both. The next most common cause of surgery was adjacent-segment disease. Most patients’ LIV included the pelvis (100% in Groups U and P, 85.7% in Group B). The mean follow-up was 31.5 months for Group U, 13 months for Group P, and 24 months for Group B.

**Radiological Comparison of the Groups**

**LL, LL Change, PI, and PI-LL**

Group U demonstrated an average preoperative LL angle of −24.1° and a postoperative LL angle of −49.5°. The immediate postoperative LL angle increase (preoperative to 2 weeks postoperative) was 24.7°. Group P demonstrated an average preoperative LL angle of −13.5° and a postoperative LL angle of −47.9°. The immediate postoperative LL angle increase (preoperative to 2 weeks postoperative) was 34.1°. Group B demonstrated an average preoperative LL angle of −16.0° and a postoperative LL angle of −52.6°. The immediate postoperative LL angle increase (preoperative to 2 weeks postoperative) was 35.1°. There was no significantly different change in the LL angle among the 3 groups between the measurements made.
preoperatively and 2 weeks postoperatively ($p = 0.335$). There was also no significant difference between the PI and PI-LL values (Table 2).

**SVA Change**

Group U demonstrated a preoperative SVA of 10.57 cm and a 2-week postoperative SVA of 1.48 cm. The immediate postoperative SVA change (preoperative to 2 weeks postoperation) was $-9.4$ cm. Group P demonstrated a preoperative SVA of 14.29 cm and a 2-week postoperative SVA of 2.06 cm. The immediate postoperative SVA change (preoperative to 2 weeks postoperation) was $-11.5$

**TABLE 1. Comparison of clinical data among groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group U: Unicortical UIV Screw</th>
<th>Group P: Bicortical UIV Screw + PMMA</th>
<th>Group B: Bicortical UIV Screw</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age in yrs (range)</td>
<td>50 (21–79)</td>
<td>72 (66–78)</td>
<td>59 (35–83)</td>
<td>0.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean no. of levels fused</td>
<td>6.5 (4–9)</td>
<td>7.5 (5–10)</td>
<td>6.5 (4–9)</td>
<td>0.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean length of follow-up in mos (range)</td>
<td>31.5 (8–55)</td>
<td>13 (7–19)</td>
<td>24 (7–42)</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMD (range)</td>
<td>$-1.01$ ($-4.4$ to $1.8$)</td>
<td>$-2.17$ ($-3.7$ to $-0.3$)</td>
<td>$-1.83$ ($-4.9$ to $2.2$)</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean UIV angle, ° (range)</td>
<td>$-6.13$ ($-17$ to $6$)</td>
<td>$-6.94$ ($-18$ to $9$)</td>
<td>$-7.64$ ($-21$ to $10$)</td>
<td>0.828</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2. Comparison of radiological data among groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group U</th>
<th>Group P</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVA in cm, C-7 plumb to S-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop</td>
<td>10.57 ± 8.1</td>
<td>14.29 ± 7.7</td>
<td>12.36 ± 6.7</td>
<td>0.501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 wks postop</td>
<td>1.48 ± 4.4</td>
<td>2.06 ± 4.2</td>
<td>1.26 ± 3.2</td>
<td>0.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop to 2 wks postop</td>
<td>$-9.4$ ± 4.8</td>
<td>$-11.5$ ± 6.2</td>
<td>$-10.8$ ± 7.2</td>
<td>0.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL angle, °, T12–S1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop</td>
<td>$-24.1$ ± 20.5</td>
<td>$-13.5$ ± 22.5</td>
<td>$-16.0$ ± 21.2</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 wks postop</td>
<td>$-49.5$ ± 18.4</td>
<td>$-47.9$ ± 13.4</td>
<td>$-52.6$ ± 15.7</td>
<td>0.483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 wks postop to preop</td>
<td>$-24.7$ ± 16.9</td>
<td>$-34.1$ ± 17.2</td>
<td>$-35.1$ ± 20.3</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI angle, °</td>
<td>57.9 ± 30.2</td>
<td>58.0 ± 17.8</td>
<td>58.4 ± 26.4</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-LL angle, °, 2 wks postop</td>
<td>8.4 ± 12.8</td>
<td>10.1 ± 17.3</td>
<td>5.8 ± 19.3</td>
<td>0.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UIV angle, °</td>
<td>$-6.13$ ± 11.2</td>
<td>$-6.94$ ± 12.3</td>
<td>$-7.64$ ± 14.2</td>
<td>0.837</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Immediate Postoperative UIV Angle

There were no significant differences in UIV angle (−6.13°, −6.94°, and −7.64° in Groups U, P, and B, respectively; p > 0.05) among the groups.

Effect of UIV Screw on UIV Compression Fractures

UIV bicortical screw fixation was highly correlated with developing a fracture of the UIV. The average fracture rate was 0% in Group U, 31.3% in Group P, and 42.9% in Group B. In linear-by-linear association analysis, the UIV fracture rate increased in sequence by group (Group U 0%, Group P 31.3%, and Group B 42.9%; p = 0.006). This difference was significant between Groups A and B (p = 0.005). However, differences between Groups P and B (p = 0.471) and Groups U and P (p = 0.043) were not statistically significant. There were no statistically significantly different factors between the UIV fracture group and the non-UIV fracture group (Table 3). There were no cases of surgical site infection or death.

Clinical Outcomes

No patients in Group U had a UIV fracture. Group P had 5 patients (31.3%) and Group B had 9 patients (42.9%) with a UIV fracture. The mean time to UIV fracture was 53 days in Group P and 27.3 days in Group B. None of the 15 patients in Group U had any fractures of the vertebra proximal to the UIV. The mean interval between the index surgery and revision surgery was 90 days in Group P and 170 days in Group B. The 3 groups were similar with regard to the mean preoperative Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) score (31.30, and 33 for Groups U, P, and B, respectively) and postoperative ODI score (16.8, 19.6, and 20.5 for Groups U, P, and B, respectively). There was a positive correlation between the preoperative and postoperative ODI score (Pearson correlation coefficient 0.82; p = 0.005). The difference among the groups between the preoperative and postoperative ODI score was not significant. The ODI score did not significantly correlate with sex, age, BMD, or the number of levels fused.

Discussion

Stress concentration on the proximal junction after posterior long instrumented fusion, from the thoracolumbar spine to S-1 or the pelvis, has demonstrated several junctional changes: PJFs, proximal junctional kyphosis (PJK), junctional disc rupture, and junctional spinal stenosis. The prevalence of PJK following posterior long instrumented fusion for adult spinal deformity has been shown to be high but clinically insignificant. Although efforts have been made to reduce PJF, there is no consensus on how to do so. In a study by Kim et al., there was no difference in prevalence of PJK between the proximal thoracic and distal thoracic groups, and they showed similar outcomes. A multicenter study performed by Hostin et al. identified 68 cases of acute PJF (APJF) among 1218 consecutive surgeries (5.6%). The authors concluded that the mode of failure differed depending on the location of the UIV, with thoracolumbar failures more likely due to fracture and upper thoracic failures more likely due to soft-tissue failure. Many authors seem to agree that the most common mode of failure in lower thoracic or lumbar fusions is a UIV fracture. Some have argued that APJF was more common in patients with preoperative sagittal imbalance. However, Smith et al. concluded that sagittal balance correction was not correlated with change in the incidence of early PJF. Lewis et al. reported that a high UIV sagittal angle shown on intraoperative lateral radiographs is strongly associated with UIV fractures. However, in our study, there were no meaningful differences between the UIV sagittal angle and UIV fracture. Previous researchers have reported that UIVs of L-1 or L-2 had a higher rate of adjacent-segment or UIV failure.

Another investigator reported that the UIV fracture rate was higher when the UIV was at T-10. Yet, Kim and colleagues reported that proximal fusion levels (T-9, T-11, and L-1) did not demonstrate significant radiographic and clinical outcomes or revision prevalence postoperatively. A PJK > 15° without fracture or hardware failure had the longest revision-free survival. Postoperative proximal junctional angle > 5° and a greater correction of LL were independent risk factors for APJF. Junctional problems after deformity corrective surgery require further extensive research to minimize their incidence, especially in the aging population.

In the current study, we were limited by our research on APIF, especially UIV compression fracture. In addition, we only evaluated UIV from T-9 to L-2 and LIV to S-1 or pelvis to perform a well-controlled study and create an environment in which a fracture could have occurred. It is well known that the thoracolumbar junction is easy to fracture. Thus, to maximize axial loading applied at the UIV, we limited the LIV to S-1 or pelvis. Cases of UIV at the proximal thoracic level were excluded because a fracture at this level is difficult to determine on follow-up.

### Table 3. Comparison of fracture-related factors between UIV fracture and UIV nonfracture groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>UIV Fracture Group</th>
<th>UIV Nonfracture Group</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in yrs</td>
<td>71.29*</td>
<td>66.13*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UIV angle (°)</td>
<td>−7.93*</td>
<td>−6.64*</td>
<td>0.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD</td>
<td>−2.05*</td>
<td>−1.57*</td>
<td>0.549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td>13 (92.86)</td>
<td>34 (89.47)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients w/ PMMA</td>
<td>5 (55.71)</td>
<td>12 (31.58)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of fused segments</td>
<td>6.57*</td>
<td>6.71*</td>
<td>0.768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up in mos</td>
<td>18.95*</td>
<td>19.14*</td>
<td>0.944</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean values.
radiographs, and the fracture incidence is lower. Groups P and B included 14 patients who had a UIV fracture; of those, 1 case had a UIV fracture at 158 days from the index surgery. In the remaining 13 patients, the mean time to UIV fracture was 27.15 days (range 5–70 days). This is a significant result considering that all patients wore a thoracolumbosacral orthosis brace for a minimum of 90 days after surgery.

Groups U and B showed a statistically significant difference in terms of the UIV fracture rate (OR 1.75; p = 0.005), but differences between Groups U and B and Groups P and B were not statistically significant. If the number of patients had been higher, we could have determined the relationships between Groups U and P and Groups P and B. As hypothesized, this finding supports the idea that breakage on the anterior cortical wall of the UIV by a bicortical screw causes UIV fracture because it cannot bear the axial loading force exerted on the UIV (Fig. 3). Although there were no statistically significant differences, Groups P and B had a lower BMD, and the mean age was higher than that in Group U.

Our study was limited by the small number of patients. Also, the highest BMD was observed in Group U, which did not show fracture. Nevertheless, we think that our results related to bicortical screw fixation and early UIV fractures are significant and relevant. Additionally, conducting a biomechanical study could support our results.

**Conclusions**

Our clinical series of patients who underwent adult spinal deformity surgery showed that bicortical fixation at the UIV is a major risk factor for early UIV compression fracture. To reduce the incidence of APJF, unicortical screw fixation at the UIV is essential in adult spinal deformity surgery.
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