The invention of gasoline-fueled combustion engines in the early 1880s was soon followed by the first-ever organized automobile racing competition, a 50-mile reliability test in an 1894 race from Paris to Rouen, France. The average speed of the winners of this historic race was just over 10 miles per hour (mph).\textsuperscript{5} The year 1896 brought the first oval track, a 1-mile irregular dirt track in Cranston, Rhode Island.\textsuperscript{4}

**High-Speed Auto Racing**

It was not long before the dangers of motor sports became apparent. From 1990 to 2002 alone, 204 drivers died at motor sports events, in addition to 29 spectators, 5 of them children.\textsuperscript{3} In comparison, the *Journal of Combat-ive Sport/EJMAS* reported a total of 488 boxing-related deaths, with two-thirds due to cranial or cervical injuries, from January 1960 to August 2011.\textsuperscript{26} The high risk of injury in auto racing necessitated the development of novel safety features such as multipoint seat belt restraints, durable helmets, Lexan multilayer windshields, and less flammable fuel, along with softer retaining walls and high fences for the protection of both drivers and spectators in the event of a crash.

There has been a constant coevolution between the enhanced safety of new racing technologies and the nuanced risks they bring. For example, the development of seat belts in race cars was initially met with resistance. Although seat belts prevented race car drivers from being ejected from the car in the event of a crash, these devices were scorned for increasing the potential risk of being trapped inside a flammable crashed vehicle.\textsuperscript{26} The evolution of met-
al helmets led to their replacing the predominant cloth or leather helmets by the 1950s, but despite the added potential benefit of reducing blunt skull trauma, metal helmets also introduced a new set of risks in the potentially lethal flexion-distraction forces at the craniovertebral junction (CVJ). One study investigated helmet weight and the risk of fatal skull base injury in motorcyclists and concluded that the overall risk was 9.2%, and that for riders whose helmets weighed more than 1.5 kg there was a statistically significant increase in the incidence of these injuries.

The HANS Device

Dr. Robert Hubbard, a biomechanical engineer at Michigan State University, and his brother-in-law Jim Downing, a race car driver, developed the concept for the Head and Neck Support (HANS) device following the death of a mutual friend and race car driver, Patrick Jacquemart (1946–1981). Hubbard’s extensive career as a biomechanical crash engineer gave him insight into the severity of accelerative forces placed on the CVJ of drivers. The initial prototype of the HANS device was designed to fit elegantly over the driver’s shoulders and attach to the helmet, allowing for increased resistance to flexion and distraction vectors during deceleration, deflecting translational head motion into the torso (Fig. 1).

To study the neck tension loading felt by drivers during crashes, Hubbard et al. used crash sled tests, Anthropomorphic Testing Devices (ATDs), and the injury threshold value guidelines developed by General Motors (GM) (Figs. 2 and 3, and Video 1). While conducting sled tests performed with and without the HANS device, Hubbard et al. found a significant reduction in neck loading when the device was used, from 1350 lbs to 296 lbs (Fig. 4). Neck tension and shear forces were found to be 1120 and 750 lbs, respectively, without the use of the HANS device. With the use of the HANS device Hubbard et al. found that neck tension and shear were only 210 lbs each, well below the injury threshold. These authors also examined dif-

Predictive thresholds are known for severe CVJ injury, with axial tension exceeding 740 lbs and forward neck shear exceeding 700 lbs. While conducting sled tests performed with and without the HANS device, Hubbard et al. found a significant reduction in neck loading when the device was used, from 1350 lbs to 296 lbs (Fig. 4). Neck tension and shear forces were found to be 1120 and 750 lbs, respectively, without the use of the HANS device. With the use of the HANS device Hubbard et al. found that neck tension and shear were only 210 lbs each, well below the injury threshold. These authors also examined dif-
Differential positioning of the HANS device to investigate its efficacy across different styles of auto racing, such as the more reclined (45°) position used by IndyCar and Formula 1 drivers and the upright (30°) position used in NASCAR. The HANS device significantly reduced tension and shear forces on the neck in both seating positions (Table 1).

In 2002, Gideon et al. also investigated the effects of variable crash deceleration time histories on resultant neck tension during automobile crashes with and without the use of the HANS device.\(^6\) To simulate a life-threatening crash energy level, all trials used a 40-mph crash velocity at a 36° right front angle barrier impact. The forces measured on the ATDs during crash testing included neck tension and compression, head acceleration, and chest acceleration; Injury Assessment Reference Values (IARVs) were used to judge injury potential associated with dummy measurements. The HANS device was found to effectively reduce the neck tension to less than 225 lbs of force, well below the IARV criterion of 900 lbs used to assess injury for all types of deceleration time histories. These studies provided validation for the effectiveness of the HANS device, showing an 80% reduction in flexion-distraction force on the head and neck compared with controls (Table 1). Following the death of Formula 1 driver Aryton Senna in 1994, DaimlerChrysler investigated sled testing with the HANS device, concluding that the device was both efficacious and safe.\(^7\) With the cooperation of DaimlerChrysler, the second-generation HANS device

![Fig. 3. Comparison using an ATD with and without the HANS device. The results of a simulated head-on crash at 40 mph using the Mercedes Benz ATD simulation. A: Without the HANS device, a large amount of neck tension is exhibited on the test dummy. B: With the HANS device, the neck and head are kept closer to the torso by distributing loads across the shoulders and chest of the driver. Photograph courtesy of Dr. Robert Hubbard. Figure is available in color online only.](image1)

![Fig. 4. Force diagrams demonstrating typical results from frontal crash test with and without HANS. The guidelines as developed by GM and the SAE indicate that the threshold values to prevent head and neck injury should not exceed 740 lbs axial neck tension, 700 lbs forward shear, and 900 lbs compression, and that chest compression should not exceed 51 mm (Hubbard et al.). This testing was conducted by GM to simulate a 45-mph crash at a 45° seat back angle to model the reclined position as seen in IndyCar and Formula 1 racing. Without the HANS device, the neck load values all exceed the injury thresholds, with neck axial tension and shear at 1122 lbs and 752 lbs, respectively. With the HANS device all load values are significantly below thresholds, with neck axial tension and shear at 210 lbs and 296 lbs. Reprinted with permission from Hubbard RP, Begeman PC, Downing JR: Biomechanical Evaluation and Driver Experience with the Head and Neck Support. SAE Technical Paper, 1994.](image2)
was created. The adoption rate for the HANS device by race car drivers remained poor, however, because even with research and testing indicating their potential safety benefit, marketing and awareness of these devices lagged behind for nearly 5 years. This delay continued until 2001 when, during his final lap of the Daytona 500, Dale Earnhardt Sr. was involved in a fatal collision. Root-cause analysis of his death determined that his car decelerated so rapidly that he suffered a fatal CVJ injury.

The passing of Earnhardt was one of the most tragic events in modern motor sports. His fatal crash on February 18, 2001, was extensively investigated. Earnhardt’s No. 3 car was making the fourth turn of his last lap when his left rear bumper made contact with the No. 40 car, causing him to yaw counterclockwise toward the center of the track. A corrective sharp turn back to the right sent him up the racing surface into the path of the No. 36 car, which made unavoidable impact with Earnhardt’s passenger door area just before he made angled contact with the side wall.

Analyses of the crash component velocity vectors demonstrated that Earnhardt’s car had an impact velocity in excess of 150 mph and experienced a total deceleration of 43–44 mph in a period of 0.08 seconds, with the equivalent forces of 45–50 g. Injury causation analysis likened the velocity change due to the crash to that of a parked car being struck head on by a similar car traveling 75 mph. The final cause of death was found to be a fatal CVJ injury with an associated basilar ring fracture; however, the mechanism of fracture was heavily debated. The official NASCAR crash report explained that impact to the occipital scalp in conjunction with the tension and torsion stress to the base of the skull resulted in the fracture, and additional consultation by Dr. Barry Myers described a “whip” mechanism resulting from the differential restraint of the torso and head, leading to a lethal flexion-distraction injury.

Earnhardt’s crash marked a turning point for the adoption of head and neck restraint systems, with NASCAR mandating the use of these restraint systems in cars in 2001. The creation of the head and neck restraint specifications by auto racing’s nonprofit quality assurance company, the SFI Foundation, Inc. (SFI) (specification 38.1; www.sfifoundation.com/wp-content/pdfs/specs/Spec_38.1_031615.pdf), should have heralded the arrival of many new neck restraint devices. However, by 2004 only 2 of these were certified, including the long-standing HANS device. The Fédération Internationale de l’Automobile (FIA) mandated the use of the third-generation HANS device for Formula 1 racing in 2003 (Fig. 5). By 2007, more than 40 sanctioning bodies required the use of SFI-certified devices.

### Table 1. Testing data results from the frontal impact crash sled testing of the HANS device as conducted by GM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tolerance thresholds†</td>
<td></td>
<td>698</td>
<td>765–900‡</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No neck support</td>
<td>45 mph, 30° seat</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45 mph, 45° seat</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>1122</td>
<td>1352</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With neck support</td>
<td>45 mph, 30° seat</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0–270</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45 mph, 45° seat</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>210–290</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Front-impact tests were performed using the ATD and conducted at 45 mph at 30° and 45° seat angles, designed to model Formula 1 seating positions. The tests were performed both with and without the HANS device, with loads measured. Modified with permission from Hubbard RP, Begeman PC, Downing JR: Biomechanical Evaluation and Driver Experience with the Head and Neck Support. SAE Technical Paper, 1994.

† Tolerance threshold values as determined by GM and the SAE for maximum allowed loads to prevent injury to the head and neck.

‡ Axial loads are measured as extension (positive) and compression (negative). Extension loads are indicative of distractive injuries. Compression loads are caused by the head pushing down on the neck due to the restraint provided by the HANS device.

![FIG. 5. More recent HANS devices.](image-url)
According to clinical literature, basilar skull ring fractures occur from distraction or compressive forces.\textsuperscript{15,28} Compression ring fractures occur from a vertical fall from a height or direct impact to the top of the head and are often associated with fatal downward displacement of the posterior fossa, brainstem, and vascular structures. Flexion-distraction ring fractures and atlantoaxial dislocation occur when forces applied to the head are sufficient to cause suture diastasis during acceleration experienced by the head and torso, which is often fatal as well.\textsuperscript{1,2,12,23,31} Pollanen et al. evaluated a series of 8 fatal basilar skull fractures and found that fractures of the petrous portion of temporal bones will often involve laceration of the internal carotid arteries, leading to massive hemorrhage.\textsuperscript{21} These fractures can involve the middle ear as well and create a carotid–middle ear fistula leading to rapid execution.

The grave prognosis of these high-velocity sports injuries from atlantoaxial dissociation, fatal vascular injuries, and concurrent basilar skull ring fractures necessitated further investigation into prevention and safety. Trammell and Hubbard explored medical and technical outcomes of the HANS device in Championship Auto Racing Team (CART) series racing.\textsuperscript{27} They found that in 2000 and 2001, there were 28 incidents involving 33 drivers using the HANS device, with 0 fatalities, 0 cervical fractures or dislocations, 1 minor head injury, and 8 drivers with minor neck complaints.\textsuperscript{27} In comparison, between 1985 and 1999 there were a total of 146 different injuries to drivers, 11 of which (7.5%) involved the cervical spine, resulting in 2 fatalities.\textsuperscript{20} Trammell and Hubbard compared 2 crashes experienced by a single driver—one in which the HANS device was implemented and another in which it was not. The crash in which the HANS device had been used had a higher rear-impact acceleration (100 g vs 66 g) and a velocity change from 44 to 22 mph, but the driver sustained a lower-grade concussion without any neck symptoms or soft-tissue injury.

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is another major source of death from trauma. Studies using Indy Racing League (IRL) data showed a dramatic increase in risk of TBI with collision accelerations in excess of 50g.\textsuperscript{29} An analysis of NASCAR data showed that increased head acceleration provided a good correlation with injury severity according to the Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS), ranging from loss of consciousness to hemorrhage to fatal skull fracture.\textsuperscript{24} These studies have not directly examined the benefits of the HANS device, but it may be interesting to investigate what effect the reduced loads on the head and neck of the driver would have on the overall AIS severity.

In the US alone, 42,000 traffic fatalities and 6.1 million traffic accidents occur each year. Meanwhile, NASCAR drivers averaged 220 crashes per year over 9 years from 2001 to 2009. Based on this ratio, there should have been 15 deaths since 2001; however, there have been none reported.\textsuperscript{10}

Conclusions

The HANS device, with an 80% reduction in flexion-distraction vectors, has led to dramatic declines in fatal CVJ injuries. Critical understanding of the biomechanical forces at the CVJ led to the invention of the HANS and other similar CVJ-stabilizing devices, revolutionizing safety in high-speed racing. Initial adoption of HANS devices was low due to a lack of community awareness of the injuries involved. The tragic death of Dale Earnhardt Sr. had a lasting cultural impact on auto racing because it was a turning point for the adoption of HANS devices. Since requirements mandating the use of head and neck support devices were put in place in 2001, there have been no reported fatalities due to CVJ injuries.
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