Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome following a thoracic discectomy–induced dural leak: case report
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Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES) is a clinicoradiological syndrome characterized by headaches, altered mental status, seizures, and visual disturbances. Classic MRI findings include white matter changes of the parieto-occipital regions. This syndrome has been encountered in myriad medical illnesses, including hypertension, preeclampsia/eclampsia, and immunosuppressive conditions. While the pathogenesis of the disorder is unclear, vasoconstriction and hypoperfusion leading to brain ischemia and vasogenic edema have been implicated as potential mechanisms. The authors present, to the best of their knowledge, the first case of PRES following a thoracic spinal surgery–induced dural leak noted on resection of the fifth rib during a thoracotomy for a T4–5 discectomy. Brain MRI revealed large areas of increased FLAIR and T2 hyperintensity in the superior posterior frontal lobes, superior and medial parietal lobes, and bilateral occipital lobes. Following repair of the CSF leak, the patient’s symptoms resolved. Spinal surgeons should be alert to the potentially life-threatening condition of PRES, especially in a hypertensive patient who experiences surgery-induced dural leakage. The development of a severe positional headache with neurological signs is a red flag that suggests the presence of PRES. Prompt attention to the diagnosis and treatment of this condition by repairing the dural leak via surgery or expeditious blood patch increases the likelihood of a favorable outcome.
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Initially described by Hinchey and colleagues in 1996, reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome, currently known as “posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome” (PRES), refers to a clinicoradiological syndrome marked by headaches, altered mental status, seizures, and visual abnormalities, with severe cases leading to coma and death.1,2,6,23,35 Radiological findings consist of white matter vasogenic edema involving the frontal, parietal, and occipital lobes, demonstrated as increased T2 and FLAIR signal intensity on MRI.6,16,23,24,30,35 Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery imaging facilitates diagnosis and detects subcortical and cortical lesions in PRES.7 The syndrome can be caused by a sudden, sustained rise in blood pressure exceeding the upper limit of cerebral blood flow autoregulation.25 Both hypertension23,35 and preeclampsia/eclampsia22,23,34 have been linked to PRES. Several additional immunosuppressive medical conditions and medications are associated with PRES, including chemotherapy in cancer,9,24,39 human immunodeficiency virus (HIV),23,36 sickle cell anemia,32 and immunosuppressive drugs following transplantation.15,20,29 Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome has been reported in a hypertensive patient with spinal epidural hematoma40 and in a patient with subarachnoid hemorrhage due to a ruptured intracranial aneurysm.21 The syndrome has also been observed in children, primarily those with hematological or neoplastic disorders or renal diseases and after transplantation.11,18,19,27,43

We present a unique case of PRES caused by a dural leak that occurred on resection of the fifth rib during an anterior approach for a T4–5 discectomy. The pathogenesis and mechanism of PRES are also described.

Case Report

History and Examination

A 47-year-old woman (height 5’11.5”, weight 186 pounds [84.37 kg]; body mass index [BMI] 25.58 kg/m2) presented with an 8-month history of thoracic pain and a 2-month history of left leg weakness, numbness in both feet, and poor balance. She had well-controlled hypertension, hyperthyroidism, and a 30 pack-year smoking his-
Thoracic MRI demonstrated a large disc herniation at T4–5 with left-sided spinal cord compression (Fig. 1).

First Surgery

A left thoracotomy was performed with removal of the rib heads of T-4 and T-5, which created a CSF leak along the T-5 nerve root sleeve. The leak subsided spontaneously without repair. A prolonged Valsalva maneuver did not cause further CSF leakage. Because of the severe stenosis of T4–5, 75% of each vertebral body adjacent to the T4–5 herniated disc was removed to provide adequate decompression above and below the large sequestered disc (Fig. 2). A bone-filled cage (Amendia Inc.) was inserted between T-3 and T-6.

First Postsurgical Course

Postoperatively, the patient had severe positional headaches suggestive of a CSF leak. Three days following the anterior procedure, a T2–8 posterior thoracic fusion was performed. The positional headaches persisted; therefore, a lumbar drain was inserted in an attempt to close the CSF leak. Cerebrospinal fluid (100 ml) drained over the next several hours, after which the patient experienced prolonged tonic-clonic seizures lasting for 10 minutes. There had been no history of seizures. The lumbar drain was occluded. After a prolonged postictal state for 4 days, the patient complained of visual loss as well as severe disorientation and confusion. The postural headaches persisted. The CSF leak persisted for 14 days prior to the final CSF repair. The delay in the repair was due to the severe neurological deficits exhibited by the patient.

Brain MRI demonstrated increased FLAIR and T2 hyperintensity diffusely over the superior posterior frontal, superior and medial parietal, and bilateral occipital lobes (Fig. 3). This appearance confirmed the diagnosis of PRES.

Second Surgery

A left posterior thoracic laminectomy of T-5 was performed to repair the CSF leak. Cerebrospinal fluid emanated from the distal portion of the T-5 nerve root sheath. The T-5 nerve root was ligated close to the dural tube.

Second Postsurgical Course

The patient’s headaches resolved postoperatively. Her mental status returned to normal, and she was discharged with complaints of mildly blurred vision. Brain MRI performed 5 days later showed dramatic improvement of the FLAIR signal changes (Fig. 4A). An MR image obtained 6 weeks later showed minimal residual occipital lobe abnormality (Fig. 4B).

Discussion

Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome has been reported following lumbar puncture in the obstetric setting, for suspected chronic adult hydrocephalus, and during a workup for multiple sclerosis. In addition, a renal transplant recipient developed PRES following the inadvertent placement of an epidural catheter, which resulted in a CSF leak. Post–lumbar puncture headaches after attempted epidural anesthesia during labor have led to PRES. Headaches following a lumbar puncture are the result of a transdural CSF leak causing decreased CSF pressure and reflex dilation of the intracranial blood vessels. The headaches of PRES and those following lumbar puncture are similar, which may delay the accurate diagnosis and treatment of PRES.

Timely recognition and treatment of PRES is critical in reversing the potentially fatal clinical outcome and radiological abnormalities. Alhilali et al. investigated the risk factors leading to death in their study of 47 patients who developed PRES. Nine patients (19.1%) succumbed to the neurological effects of PRES. Fatalities increased 5-fold with intraparenchymal or subarachnoid hemorrhage and doubled with low CSF glucose (mean 36 mg/100 ml, range 30–45 mg/100 ml). Hypertensive encephalopathy was associated with a fatal outcome, while eclampsia was protective with a 75% decreased risk.

Two theories have been proposed to explain PRES: 1) severe hypertension leading to a loss of cerebral autoregulation, brain hyperperfusion, and endothelial injury/vasogenic edema, and 2) cerebrovascular vasocostriction and hypoperfusion causing cerebral ischemia and vasogenic edema. The latter theory is believed most likely. Perfusion MRI demonstrates an increase in the diffusion coefficient as well as a decrease in cerebral blood volume and cerebral blood flow in the posterior cerebral territory in patients with PRES, supporting the theory of disturbed cerebral autoregulation leading to vasocostriction. Bruhake and colleagues suggest that interstitial edema may be caused by the elevation of capillary hydrostatic pressure mediated by venous constriction. Alternatively, the immune system may trigger endothelial activation that initiates a molecular cascade. This theory postulates that molecules (for example, cytokines, vascular endothelial growth factor [VEGF]) are produced and alter homeostasis of the blood-brain barrier (BBB), leading to a loss of tight junction integrity causing fluid transudation and vasogenic edema. According to that theory, the cause of PRES is not related to hypertension.

Blood pressure is normal in 20%–30% of patients with PRES. The syndrome is often encountered in toxemia of pregnancy (eclampsia), chemotherapy in cancer, transplantation, and infection. Common
biological processes include 1) immune system activation of T cells, 2) endothelial cell activation, 3) endothelial injury, 4) vascular instability (systemic vasoconstriction), and 5) organ hypoperfusion. Cerebral hypoperfusion is observed on imaging studies with symmetric vasogenic edema between the lateral and medial cerebral territory (watershed distribution).

Our report of a CSF leak caused by resection of the head of the fifth rib during an anterior thoracic exposure for a T4–5 discectomy and subsequent CSF drainage aids in clarifying the etiology of PRES. Our case is similar to the gynecological setting in which an inadvertent CSF leak (wet tap) occurs during an epidural block. The mechanism of PRES is presumably related to a pressure differential between the cerebral arterial pulse pressure and the CSF pressure. The pulse pressure refers to the difference between the systolic and diastolic pressure readings and is measured in mm Hg. Normal CSF pressure measured in the lumbar subarachnoid space in the recumbent position is 100–180 mm H2O (8–15 mm Hg). Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome is marked by dysfunction of the cerebral circulation. When the difference between the pulse pressure greatly exceeds the CSF pressure, a breakdown of the BBB in the posterior circulation occurs, as is reflected by the MRI abnormalities.

Spinal fluid drainage via a lumbar puncture during thoracic (TAA) and thoracoabdominal (TAAA) aortic aneurysm repair has been found to decrease the likelihood of spinal cord ischemia and paraplegia. Wynn et al. used spinal drains in 486 of 648 patients who underwent TAA or TAAA repair and reduced CSF pressure to < 6 mm Hg during thoracic aortic occlusion and reperfusion. After surgery, spinal fluid pressure was maintained at < 10 mm Hg until patients were awake with normal leg function. These authors stressed the importance of low spinal fluid pressure to decrease the risk of spinal cord ischemia leading to paraplegia. In another report, prompt CSF drainage reversed an individual's paraplegia that had occurred following an elective abdominal endovascular aneurysm repair.

The pulse pressure and spinal cord blood flow are significantly decreased when the aorta is clamped during aortic aneurysm repair. Low CSF pressure in combination with decreased spinal cord pulse pressure decreases the likelihood of postoperative spinal cord infarction. Although spinal drainage is beneficial during TAA and TAAA surgery, this mechanism contrasts with the deleterious effect following low CSF pressure that may cause PRES.

In our case of CSF hypotension due to a dural leak, a significant difference occurred between pulse pressure in the brain and CSF pressure. This disparity between pulse pressure and CSF pressure presumably led to the clinico-

---

**Fig. 2.** Postoperative thoracic CT scan of the surgical site, sagittal (A) and axial (B) views, demonstrating the vertebral body resection cavity, spinal reconstruction, and fixation.

**Fig. 3.** Brain MRI reveals evidence of large areas of increased FLAIR and T2 hyperintensity in the bilateral occipital lobes (A), superior and medial parietal lobes (B), and superior posterior frontal lobes (C).
radiological scenario of PRES. After the pressure differentials were normalized in PRES following dural repair of the CSF leak, the patient’s symptoms and pathognomonic MRI appearance resolved. Factors that make certain patients vulnerable to this pressure differential scenario are unknown.

Following spine surgery, many CSF leaks will subside spontaneously with or without a lumbar CSF drain. If necessary, drains can remain in place for several days. That was the rationale for placing the lumbar subarachnoid drain in our case; however, the neurological deficit (seizures, blindness, coma) developed within hours of placing the drain because of the additional removal of CSF through the drain. Thus, the drain was promptly removed. The delay in the repair of the CSF leak was due to the severe neurological deficits exhibited by the patient following the tonic-clonic seizure. The major contribution of this paper is to call attention to the rare complication of a postoperative CSF leak, namely PRES. If a CSF leak leads to the development of PRES, then direct closure of the leak should be performed when the patient is medically stable.

Conclusions

Spinal surgeons should be aware of the potentially life-threatening condition of PRES, particularly in the setting of a hypertensive patient who experiences a surgery-induced dural leak. The development of an excessive positional headache with neurological signs is a warning sign that suggests the presence of PRES. Prompt attention to the diagnosis and treatment of this entity by repairing the dural leak via surgery or expeditious blood patch increases the likelihood of a favorable outcome.
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