Lumbar subdural cerebrospinal fluid collection with acute cauda equina syndrome after posterior fossa decompression for Chiari malformation Type I: case report
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This report describes the circumstances of a patient with a cauda equina syndrome due to the development of a lumbar subdural CSF collection with ventral displacement of the cauda equina shortly following posterior fossa decompression for Chiari malformation Type I (CM-I). This unusual, but clinically significant, complication was successfully treated with percutaneous drainage of the extraarachnoid CSF collection. Although there are a few cases of intracranial subdural hygroma developing after surgery for CM-I, often attributed to a pinhole opening in the arachnoid, as far as the authors can determine, a spinal subdural hygroma associated with surgery for CM-I has not been recognized.
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vertebral bodies (Fig. 2C and D). On the axial views there was a dorsal subdural collection with signal identical to that for CSF on T1- and T2-weighted imaging, compressing the intraarachnoidal structures anteriorly. The thoracic spine T2-weighted MRI showed CSF-like fluid posteriorly in the subdural space (Fig. 2B). The cervical T2-weighted MRI showed an extraarachnoid subdural collection of fluid along the superior margin of the cerebellum with mild mass effect (Fig. 2A). The collection of subdural extraarachnoidal fluid with CSF-like signal appeared to communicate between the lumbar and thoracic subdural spaces.

Treatment
The patient was admitted to the hospital, a lumbar drain was inserted at the L4–5 interlaminar space, and under fluoroscopic control the tip was placed into the lumbar subdural space where it drained the CSF-like fluid. After passively draining 40 ml, the drain was left in place with the patient at bedrest for 48 hours. Her low-back and left leg pain resolved after 24 hours of CSF drainage. She still had residual pain in her right lower extremity, although it was substantially improved. After the drain was removed at 48 hours, she was out of bed and ambulating with only mild residual low-back pain. Repeat MRI demonstrated the disappearance of the ventral displacement of the cauda equina.

Posttreatment Course
Two months later she returned to the clinic, when MRI showed resolution of the cerebellar tonsil herniation with the tonsils’ return to a normal shape and position, resolution of the subdural collection above the cerebellum and in the thoracic region, disappearance of the lumbar subdural CSF collection, and a normal position of the cauda equina (Fig. 3). At that visit she still had pain in her right leg, exacerbated by coughing and laughing. When seen in the clinic 8 months later, she described continuing spo-
radic pain and numbness in her right lower extremity that was exacerbated by bending forward and disturbed her sleep. Her neurological examination, including straight leg raising, was negative, and brain and lumbar spine MRI showed no evidence of hygroma recurrence.

Discussion

Several cases of a subdural hygroma under pressure developing in the posterior fossa or the supratentorial space have been reported.1–4,6,7 The patients generally developed symptoms 3–15 days after the surgery. In all of them the original surgery included opening the dura, and in many of them an attempt was made to leave the arachnoid intact and a small pinhole leak in the arachnoid was noted at surgery. Some leaks were associated with an extradural collection of CSF under pressure at the wound site with CSF leakage. In different circumstances, Singleton et al. recently reported on 2 patients who developed subdural hygromas in the lumbar spine with ventral compression of the cauda equina, both with a cauda equina syndrome associated with an inadvertent durotomy during spinal disc surgery.5 Both patients had surgical exploration with drainage of a tense subdural hygroma, and in both instances a wide opening of the arachnoid was created without later recurrence of the hygroma.

Although our patient underwent suboccipital craniectomy and C-1 laminectomy, the symptom-producing subdural CSF collection under pressure occurred in the lumbar region. Singleton and colleagues described 2 patients who developed subdural, extraarachnoidal CSF collections in the lumbar region following lumbar decompression surgery via laminectomy complicated by durotomy.5 In both patients the symptoms of a cauda equina syndrome started 24 hours after the surgery. Magnetic resonance imaging in both patients showed a subdural, extraarachnoidal CSF collection displacing the cauda equina ventrally. The mechanism proposed by the authors was a ball-valve one-way flow of CSF through a pinhole defect in the arachnoid with CSF collecting beneath the dura under pressure. Similar observations have been made in several patients with intracranial subdural hygromas developing after craniovertebral decompression for CM-I. The long-standing practice of one of us (E.H.O.) has been to attempt to open the dura while leaving the arachnoid intact, to keep blood out of the subarachnoid space and reduce the risk of arachnoid adhesions developing later. However, it is not always possible to open the dura while keeping the arachnoid intact. Our patient also had pulses of CSF passing through a pinhole defect in the arachnoid, which was noted as the dura was being opened. By the time the pericranial graft was acquired and placed, the CSF had drained somewhat and the pulses were no longer obvious. Thus, no attempt was made to either close the defect in the arachnoid or enlarge it.

Thus, in our patient the pinhole arachnoidal tear created a ball-valve one-way efflux of CSF flow driven by the systolic pulses in the CSF space. This assertion is supported by the presence of the thoracic subdural collection communicating with the lumbar extraarachnoidal collection. This could have probably been prevented by enlarging the opening in the arachnoid. It was promptly and successfully treated, not by further surgery to address the arachnoid leak in the region of the foramen magnum, but
with a percutaneous lumbar drain with its tip in the subdural collection, which suggests that the pinhole leak in the arachnoid at the foramen magnum either closed spontaneously or that drainage of the lumbar hygroma somehow facilitated its closure.

Conclusions

A conventional operation for a CM-I with dural opening and placement of a pericranial graft was complicated by the cauda equina syndrome associated with a large extraarachnoid, subdural CSF collection in the lumbar region. We probably could have prevented this by enlarging the arachnoid opening and thus preventing the ball-valve one-way CSF flow. The circumstances in this patient demonstrate the importance of considering a spinal subdural fluid collection if a patient develops a cauda equina syndrome after surgery for a CM-I.
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