A novel approach to navigated implantation of S-2 alar iliac screws using inertial measurement units

Gregory F. Jost, MD,1 Jonas Walti, MSc,2 Luigi Mariani, MD,3 and Philippe Cattin, PhD2

1Spine Surgery and 2Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital Basel; and 3Medical Image Analysis Center, University of Basel, Switzerland

OBJECT The authors report on a novel method of intraoperative navigation with inertial measurement units (IMUs) for implantation of S-2 alar iliac (S2AI) screws in sacropelvic fixation of the human spine and its application in cadaveric specimens.

METHODS Screw trajectories were planned on a multiplanar reconstruction of the preoperative CT scan. The pedicle finder and screwdriver were equipped with IMUs to guide the axial and sagittal tilt angles of the planned trajectory, and navigation software was developed. The entry points were chosen according to anatomical landmarks on the exposed spine. After referencing, the sagittal and axial orientation of the pedicle finder and screwdriver were wirelessly monitored on a computer screen and aligned with the preoperatively planned tilt angles to implant the S2AI screws. The technique was performed without any intraoperative imaging. Screw positions were analyzed on postoperative CT scans.

RESULTS Seventeen of 18 screws showed a good S2AI screw trajectory. Compared with the postoperatively measured tilt angles of the S2AI screws, the IMU readings on the screwdriver were within an axial plane deviation of 0° to 5° in 15 (83%) and 6° to 10° in 2 (11%) of the screws and within a sagittal plane deviation of 0° to 5° in 15 (83%) and 6° to 10° in 3 (17%) of the screws.

CONCLUSIONS IMU–based intraoperative navigation may facilitate accurate placement of S2AI screws.
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Spinal instrumentation to the pelvis with S-2 alar iliac (S2AI) screws features excellent pullout resistance,11 inline rod placement, and low profile.1 S2AI screws may be implanted using fluoroscopic guidance13 or intraoperative 3D image guidance.12 Whereas the ubiquitous fluoroscopic guidance can verify placement of the S2AI screws above the sciatic notch, correct angulation of the S2AI screw in the axial plane depends on the surgeon’s estimation and experience and can only be confirmed with a fluoroscopic pelvic inlet view after the S2AI screw has been implanted.3 On the other hand, 3D image guidance provides visualization of all planes, enabling the surgeon to maximize both length and purchase of the S2AI screw,12 but availability of this technology is still limited. A potential low-cost alternative would be to use inertial measurement units (IMUs) to reproduce the sagittal and axial tilt angles of the S2AI trajectory. IMUs are electronic systems that power motion-sensitive applications in smartphones and tablet computers or switch the screen from portrait to landscape mode. In a previous publication, we described the technical aspects and preliminary data of using IMUs to guide the implantation of pedicle screws and S2AI screws in four cadavers.14 In this laboratory study, we have extended our investigation and analysis of using an IMU-equipped pedicle finder and screwdriver to confirm the angulation of the S2AI screw.

Methods

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the local institutional review board (Ethikkomission Nordwest- und Zentralschweiz [EKNZ]). Nine human cadavers, aged 62 to 90 years (mean 78 years), were used for the study. Six were male, 3 were female. Fine-cut CT

ABBREVIATIONS IMU = inertial measurement unit; MPR = multiplanar reconstruction mode; S2AI = S-2 alar iliac.
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preoperative planning

S2AI screws were selected. The sacrum was exposed, and the entry points for the S2AI screws were selected.

Preoperative Planning

Briefly, the MPR cursor was set at the entry point of the S2AI screw as previously described 1 mm distal and 1 mm lateral to the S-1 dorsal foramen, and the planes were oriented to display the trajectory of the S2AI screws in the axial and sagittal planes. At first, the mediolateral tilt and the trajectory length were recorded (Fig. 1). While the sagittal orientation of the S2AI trajectory was maintained in the MPR mode, the MPR cursor was shifted from the entry point of the S2AI screw to the paramedian sacral plane. Then, the sagittal angular tilt in reference to a plumb line through the sacral plane was recorded (Fig. 2). These steps were repeated for the contralateral side. In the last experiment, the sagittal tilt was referenced to the plumb line through the L5–S1 supraspinous ligament. All angles were entered into the custom-developed software on a MacBook Air running Windows. In the last 2 cadavers, the distance from the ipsilateral L-5 transverse process to the entry point of the S2AI screw was measured for intraoperative reproduction and confirmation with a divider.

Hardware Setup

The pedicle finder and screwdriver were each equipped with an IMU (MTw sensor, Xsens Technologies B.V., Enschede, The Netherlands) in a custom-made 3D-printed housing (Fig. 3, left). The orientation of the pedicle finder and screwdriver was wirelessly transmitted to the MacBook Air and displayed in digits and on a target, reproducing the axial orientation on the y-axis and the sagittal orientation on the x-axis (Figs. 4 and 5 lower).

Intraoperative Guidance

The lumbosacral area was exposed, and the entry points for the S2AI were decorticated. Entry points were chosen as previously described 1 mm distal and 1 mm lateral to the S-1 dorsal foramen. In the last 2 cadavers, we additionally confirmed the correct position of the entry point by reproducing the preoperatively measured distance to the L-5 transverse process with a divider. After calibration of the sensors, the pedicle finder was first oriented along the imaginary reference line for zeroing of the IMU: in the sagittal plane perpendicular to the paramedian sacral plane and in the axial plane neutrally (without any tilt) (Fig. 4, upper). A custom-made 3D-printed device was used for zeroing the pedicle finder and screwdriver on the last 2 cadavers (Fig. 3, right). In terms of rotation, the sensor box was strictly aligned in the cranio-caudal axis of the spine. While the pedicle finder or screwdriver was held in this position, an assistant pressed a USB foot switch to zero the sensor (Fig. 4, lower). Next, the pedicle finder was moved to the entry point, and the orientation of the tool was controlled on the screen by matching it with the planned sagittal and axial tilt (Fig. 5 lower). This was done by overlapping the blue dot (present orientation) with the green dot (target orientation, Fig. 5 lower). With the pedicle finder advanced by 70 mm, actual IMU readings in the axial and sagittal planes were registered. The pedicle finder was pulled out, and the trajectory was probed and tapped across the S-2 iliac joint. Referring was repeated with the screwdriver, which was then also oriented to the planned sagittal and axial tilt for implanting the screw (Fig. 6). Before the screw was released, the IMU’s displayed sagittal and axial tilt angles were recorded.

Postoperative Analysis

A postoperative CT scan was performed, and the axial and sagittal tilt angles of the implanted S2AI screws were measured in the MPR mode of Osirix as described above for the trajectory planning. The screw trajectory was checked for cortical bone breaches.

Statistical Evaluation

The chi-square test was used for group comparison. The significance level was set at p = 0.05.

Results

Accuracy of IMU-Guided S2AI Instrumentation

The planned mean axial tilt angle was 42° (range 36°–48°). With the plumb line through the paramedian sacral plane as reference, the planned sagittal tilt angle was 0° in 12 S2AI screws. The handle of the pedicle finder and screwdriver had to be tilted 4° toward the head in 1 screw and 5°, 9°, and 13° toward the feet in another 3 screws. With the plumb line through the L5–S1 supraspinous ligament as reference in the last experiment, the handles of the tools had to be tilted by 20° and 18° toward the head. Seventeen of 18 screws showed good S2AI screw trajectories. In relation to the planned tilt angles, a deviation within 5° was achieved by 13 (72%) in the axial plane and by 8 (44%) in the sagittal plane. Although this difference was not significant (p = 0.1), the findings showed a trend toward better results for the axial than the sagittal plane. In the axial plane, another 4 screws (22%) showed a deviation between 6° and 10°, and in the sagittal plane, a deviation between 6° and 10° was measured in 5 (28%) and a deviation between 11° and 15° in another 5 (28%). One screw breached into the pelvis past the sacroiliac joint (Fig. 7). It was the second screw in the study and was inserted without prior tapping across the sacroiliac joint, which we identified as the cause of final misplacement. The deviation was clearly indicated by the IMU past the sacroiliac joint, and in relation to the planned axial tilt angle, the final deviation was 18°.

Accuracy of the IMU

It was of interest to see not only whether it was possible to implant screws as planned, but even more, whether the final IMU readings before releasing the fully implanted screw corresponded to the postoperatively measured angles. Final IMU readings were within an axial plane deviation of 0° to 5° in 15 screws (83%) and 6° to 10° in 2 screws (11%) and within a sagittal plane deviation of 0° to 5° in 15 (83%) and 6° to 10° in 3 (17%) (Fig. 8).
Implanting S-2 alar iliac screws using inertial measurement units

J Neurosurg Spine
Volume 24 • March 2016
449

Timing for Screw Placement
After exposure and decortication of the S2AI starting point, it took an average of 4 minutes and 21 seconds (SD 2 minutes 8 seconds, median 4 minutes 15 seconds) from zeroing the IMU-equipped pedicle finder to having the screw fully implanted.

Discussion
Navigation With IMUs
Inertial measurement units (IMUs) house accelerometers and gyroscopes to measure acceleration and angular rotation. Inclusion of magnetometers improves the IMUs’ accuracy. IMUs are ubiquitous devices: They assist air- and spacecraft maneuvering, are used in the safety systems of cars for functions such as airbag deployment and electronic stability control, and detect motion and orientation of tablet computers and smartphones. In this study, the IMUs’ data output was processed to track the tilt angles of the IMU-mounted pedicle finder and screwdriver in the axial and sagittal planes.

Our results suggest that S2AI screws can safely and accurately be placed by reproducing preoperatively defined trajectory tilt angles with IMU-equipped surgical tools. Indeed, Kwan et al. measured such angles in a sample of Asian patients. A surgical tool that is equipped with an IMU enables real-time implementation and monitoring of such angles and may enhance the surgeon’s control of instruments. Assessment of the feasibility of this approach to angle-guided spine surgery must address the accuracy of the device; the intraoperative reproducibility of the preoperatively set reference position, timing, and handling of the IMU-equipped tools; the reliability of successful screw placement; and hardware cost. The accuracy of the IMU meets the demands of spine surgery as it is stated to be on the order of 0.5° to 1° by the manufacturer (Xsens Technologies) and was confirmed to be within 0.5° in uni-axial slow motion and within 1° in multiaxial slow motion (90°/second), which is within the expected handling velocity of the presented technique to implant S2AI screws.

Zeroing of the Tools
Zeroing of the IMU was a very quick process, and any edge in the vicinity deemed suitable for preoperative planning of the relative tilt angles and clear intraoperative recognizability could be used for zeroing the IMUs. We chose a plumb line to the paramedian sacral plane as a reference position (Fig. 4 upper). A careful choice for zeroing will ensure optimal final accuracy of the implanted screw, whereas zeroing of the IMUs in a misaligned orientation will inevitably lead to errors in final screw orientation. In other words, deviations of final screw position may be caused by a) inaccurate choice of the starting point, b) within the time range required to cannulate the trajectory or implant the screw.

FIG. 1. Multiplanar reconstruction of the preoperative CT scan showing the trajectory for a left S2AI screw (black line on the left panel and white line on the right panel). The mediolateral (axial) tilt as measured on the right panel is 48°.

FIG. 2. Multiplanar reconstruction of the preoperative CT scan. The cursor has been shifted from its position in Fig. 1 to the paramedian sacral plane (right panel) while the sagittal projection of the S2AI trajectory (white line in left panel) is maintained. The sagittal tilt is 9°.

FIG. 3. Left: Inertial measurement units housed in a 3D-printed box are mounted on the pedicle finder and screwdriver. Right: A custom-made 3D-printed box was used for zeroing the pedicle finder and screwdriver in the last 2 cadavers. It has 2 holes in which the pedicle finder or screwdriver can be placed for simplified zeroing.
inaccurate reproduction of the surgeon-controlled intraoperative “zero” orientation of the IMU-equipped tools, c) arbitrarily veering off the IMU-guided trajectory while preparing the canal or implanting the screw, or d) any inaccuracy inherent to the IMU. Despite these potential pitfalls, more than 80% of the final IMU measurements before releasing the screw were within a 5° deviation of the postoperative control and 94% to 100% were within a deviation of 10°, suggesting that the IMU measurements were reliable (Fig. 8). To facilitate zeroing of the IMU-equipped tools, a 3D-printed box with inlets for the pedicle finder and screwdriver was used in Specimens 9 and 10 (Fig. 3 right).

Determining the Starting Point

Using the same starting point for implanting the S2AI screw as in the preoperative planning is another critical point for accuracy. The dorsal foramen of S-1 and hence the starting point 1 mm caudal and lateral to its caudal border can usually be well exposed. Local anatomy was somewhat less clear in Specimen 7: The intraoperative starting point was chosen too far cranially and medially, and strict adherence to the planned tilt angles resulted in a breach into the pelvis. The screws were removed and inserted with a deliberately more divergent axial tilt angle, resulting in a postoperative deviation of approximately 10°. This problem may be avoided by knowing and measuring the distance between an unequivocal landmark, such as the transverse process of L-5, and the starting point for the S2AI screw.

Surgical Experience Using IMUs

Handling of the IMU-equipped pedicle finder and screwdriver was straightforward. The IMU housing did not interfere with handling of the pedicle finder and screwdriver and did not obscure the view of the tips of the instruments. A benefit of IMU-guided surgery as opposed
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...to stereoscopic camera-dependent navigation is that an absolute line of sight between the computer and sensor is not required. Of note, our results were achieved without the use of intraoperative fluoroscopy. Although we would not advise against the confirmatory use of intraoperative fluoroscopy in a clinical scenario, e.g., to confirm with an anteroposterior view that the trajectory of the S2AI screw is indeed pointing toward the trochanter major, the experimental setup of our study allowed us to demonstrate that fluoroscopy is not a sine qua non for IMU-guided implantation of S2AI screws. The results of the presented technique are promising, as 17 of 18 S2AI screws were correctly placed. In the instance of the single misplaced S2AI screw, the intrapelvic trajectory was indicated by a progressive IMU-monitored offset from the planned angle in the axial plane and hence was noted during the surgery. We deliberately did not attempt to redirect this screw.

Availability of IMUs and Current Medical Use

Thanks to the ubiquitous use in smartphones and tablet computers, retail prices for IMUs start below US $100 and thus pose an attractive alternative to much more expensive systems for motion tracking or intraoperative guidance. Indeed, IMUs can be used to monitor trunk, shoulder and elbow, and gait kinematics. In the operating room, IMU-enabled techniques are already in clinical use to improve the surgical precision of total knee and hip replacement and to facilitate percutaneous placement of lumbosacral pedicle screws. We have also tested the technique for thoracic and lumbar pedicle screws, and final analysis is pending.

Comparing IMU Guidance With 3D Navigation

Considered IMU-guided navigation is more simple and lightweight. It requires only IMU-equipped tools and a regular notebook computer. However, it does not deliver the same amount of information as automated 3D navigation: 1) Whereas 3D navigation can be used to find the ideal trajectory, depth, and starting point, which is visualized on the workstation’s screen, the presented IMU-guided technique requires the surgeon to select the starting point for the screw based on thorough knowledge of the exposed intraoperative local anatomy and/or intraoperative fluoroscopy. Therefore, this IMU-guided technique in its current version is not applicable to percutaneous screw placement. 2) Potential errors may occur during the surgery-controlled...
planning of the trajectory and, as mentioned above, intraoperative zeroing of the device, whereas errors happen in 3D navigation if the dynamic reference array is shifted unnoticed after referencing or is attached too far from the point of interest. 3) In contrast to 3D navigation, the depth of advancement is not monitored by the IMU. It must be controlled with the depth readings on the tools, a depth indicator, or fluoroscopy and compared with the surgical plan. 4) The current software version for IMU-guided implantation of S2AI screws requires the surgeon to measure and feed the software with the relative tilt angles ahead of the surgery; however, advanced software using 3D rendering technology is being developed, and it may eliminate most if not all of the preoperative trajectory planning.

Conclusions

IMU guidance may offer an inexpensive and convenient tool for assisting with determining angles for placement of S2AI screws for instrumentation in the pelvis. Our results showed that S2AI screws can accurately be implanted by starting 1 mm caudal and lateral to the caudal border of the dorsal foramen of S-I and adhering to IMU-guided sagittal and axial tilt angles. Further development of the prototype into a clinically applicable device may assist surgeons in successful placement of S2AI screws.
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