Single-level lumbar pyogenic spondylodiscitis treated with mini-open anterior debridement and fusion in combination with posterior percutaneous fixation via a modified anterior lumbar interbody fusion approach
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OBJECT This study evaluated the efficacy and safety of mini-open anterior debridement and lumbar interbody fusion in combination with posterior percutaneous fixation for single-level lumbar pyogenic spondylodiscitis.

METHODS This is a retrospective study. Twenty-two patients with single-level lumbar pyogenic spondylodiscitis underwent mini-open anterior debridement and lumbar interbody fusion in combination with posterior percutaneous fixation via a modified anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF) approach. Patients underwent follow-up for 24 to 38 months. Clinical data, etiological examinations, operative time, intraoperative blood loss, American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) grade, Japanese Orthopaedic Association (JOA) lumbar function score, visual analog scale (VAS) score, Oswestry Disability Index (ODI), postoperative complications, and the bony fusion rate were recorded.

RESULTS The mean operative time was 181.1 ± 22.6 minutes (range 155–240 minutes). The mean intraoperative blood loss was 173.2 ± 70.1 ml (range 100–400 ml). Infection was found in lumbar vertebrae L2–3, L3–4, and L4–5 in 2, 6, and 14 patients, respectively. Bacterial cultures were positive in 15 patients, including 4 with Staphylococcus aureus, 6 with Staphylococcus epidermidis, 4 with Streptococcus, and 1 with Escherichia coli. Postoperative complications included urinary retention, constipation, and numbness in the thigh in 5, 3, and 2 patients, respectively. Compared with before surgery, the VAS scores and ODI were significantly lower at the final follow-up, the JOA scores were significantly higher, and the ASIA grades had improved. All patients achieved good intervertebral bony fusion.

CONCLUSIONS Mini-open anterior debridement and lumbar interbody fusion in combination with posterior percutaneous fixation via a modified ALIF approach results in little surgical trauma and intraoperative blood loss, acceptable postoperative complications, and is effective and safe for the treatment of single-level lumbar pyogenic spondylodiscitis. This approach could be an alternative to the conventional open surgery.
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Lumbar pyogenic spondylodiscitis commonly results from primary hematogenous infection or occurs after lumbar vertebral surgery or invasive procedures. Conservative treatment is preferred, but surgery is required when conservative treatment fails or in cases of epidural abscess, spinal deformity, or neurological deficit symptoms. The purpose of surgical treatment is to debridge the lesion, correct the spinal deformity, and decompress the nerve.

Currently, anterior debridement and fusion in combination with posterior pedicle screw fixation is widely used for the treatment of pyogenic spondylodiscitis. This approach can result in thorough debridement and stable internal fixation, improving the rates of inflammation resolution and bony fusion. However, conventional open surgery is associated with several disadvantages, including large surgical trauma, severe intraoperative blood loss, high postoperative complication rates, and a slow recov-
patients were diagnosed with lumbar pyogenic spondylodiscitis (ALIF) approach on 22 patients with single-level lumbar pyogenic spondylodiscitis between March 2008 and June 2010 in order to investigate the efficacy and safety of this mini-open surgical approach.

Methods

Patients

This is a retrospective study. The institutional review board of Tongji Hospital of Tongji Medical College approved this study, and all patients gave their informed consent. This study adheres to the principles set forth in the World Medical Association’s Declaration of Helsinki. The study included 22 patients (13 men and 9 women) with single-level lumbar pyogenic spondylodiscitis who underwent mini-open anterior debridement and lumbar interbody fusion in combination with posterior percutaneous fixation at the Department of Orthopedics between March 2008 and June 2010. These patients had been given systemic antibiotics, which did not relieve their symptoms. The indications for surgery included the symptoms of nerve damage, epidural abscess, and spinal instability observed on imaging. The average age of these patients was 54.7 ± 8.0 years (range 43–71 years; Table 1).

Preoperative examinations included routine blood, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP), blood culture, tuberculosis antibody test, and T-SPOT.TB test (Oxford Immunotec). Radiological studies consisted of lumbar posteroanterior and flexion-extension radiographs, CT with 3D reconstruction, and MRI. All patients were diagnosed with lumbar pyogenic spondylodiscitis based on clinical presentations such as severe deep lumbar pain and fever, laboratory examinations (elevated white blood cell counts [WBC], ESR, and CRP), and radiological imaging, especially MRI, that showed signs of intervertebral infection. Tuberculosis and tumors were excluded by laboratory tests and radiological imaging. Single-level lumbar pyogenic spondylodiscitis was found in the L2–3 (2 patients), L3–4 (6 patients), or L4–5 vertebrae (14 patients). Eight patients had nerve damage symptoms, 4 had epidural abscess, and 10 exhibited spinal instability with loss of intervertebral space height. Before surgical treatment, all patients received the systemic administration of antibiotics, but the symptoms were not relieved.

Surgical Procedure

Under C-arm fluoroscopy, patients were placed prone, and 2 pedicle screws were implanted in the proximal and distal vertebrae of the affected segment, respectively. If the lesions affected the screw implantation, fixation was extended 1 segment further. Then, the patients were turned to the right lateral position, and the incision site and surface markers were confirmed by C-arm fluoroscopy. A mini-open horizontal incision was made in the left lumbarcostal region at the intervertebral level of the affected segment. A modified ALIF approach was used to expose the affected intervertebral space. The external oblique, internal oblique, and transverse abdominal muscles were dissected in the direction of their fiber orientation. At the retroperitoneal space, the psoas muscle was exposed after pushing the retroperitoneal fat forward. The affected intervertebral space was exposed by bluntly dissecting the psoas muscle at about 5 mm behind the anterior edge of the psoas major muscle along the direction of its fiber orientation. A working channel was created using a mini-open Medtronic EndoRing, and the affected intervertebral space was clearly visualized by the surgeon (Fig. 1).

After the annulus was cut open, the pus and tissues in the intervertebral space were collected for bacterial culture, drug sensitivity testing, and pathological examination. All visible necrotic tissues, nucleus pulposus, endplate cartilage, sequestrum, and pus were thoroughly scraped, and the intervertebral space was extensively rinsed with 3% hydrogen peroxide, povidone iodine saline, and normal saline. The sterile surgical instruments were replaced, and an autologous iliac bone strut was removed carefully to avoid fracture and nerve injury, trimmed to an appropriate size, and implanted into the intervertebral space structurally. The length of the tricortical allograft strut was adapted to the height of intervertebral space, and the depth was fit to the width of the anterior third of the intervertebral space. After the grafted bone was compressed firmly, 1 irrigation tube and 1 drainage tube were placed into the intervertebral space, and another drainage tube was placed extraperitoneally. Intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring was used to monitor evoked potentials and electromyography in all cases, for both the anterior and posterior approaches. No severe monitoring findings occurred that affected the surgical procedure.

Perioperative Management

Patients received the intravenous administration of antibiotics after diagnosis, and the antibiotics were discontinued 3 days before surgery to improve the positive bacterial culture rate. Postoperative broad-spectrum antibiotics and anti-gram–positive antibiotics were empirically used, and antibiotics were adjusted based on the results of the bacterial culture and drug sensitivity tests. Antibiotics were continuously used until the symptoms and signs disappeared and ESR and CRP levels returned to normal. For patients with a negative bacterial culture result, antibiotics were empirically used. The postoperative administration of gentamicin in saline into the lesion area via the irrigation tube continued for at least 3 weeks. The irrigation and drainage tubes were pulled out after 3 consecutive negative results of the bacterial cultures of the drainage liquids. Patients were instructed to exercise their lower limbs and back muscles in bed during the period of postoperative bed rest. When the symptoms and signs were relieved, patients were allowed to ambulate under the protection of a waist belt.

Therapeutic Evaluation

Patient symptoms and signs were evaluated after surgery. All patients underwent lumbar radiography at 3, 6,
and 12 months and CT scanning at 12 months after surgery to confirm intervertebral fusion. Routine blood tests, ESR, and CRP were examined weekly until the results returned to normal. Preoperative and postoperative spinal cord injury, pain, and disability were evaluated using the American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) impairment classification,\textsuperscript{11} Japanese Orthopaedic Association (JOA) lumbar function score,\textsuperscript{19} visual analog scale (VAS) score,\textsuperscript{12} and Oswestry Disability Index (ODI).\textsuperscript{5} Postoperative complications were recorded during the follow-up period.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 16.0 software (SPSS Inc.). The paired t-test was used to assess the difference between the preoperative and postoperative VAS scores and ODIs. Here, p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
A summary of the clinical characteristics and surgical parameters of the 22 patients with lumbar pyogenic spondylodiscitis is detailed in Table 1. The mean operative time was 181.1 ± 22.6 minutes (range 155–240 minutes), and the mean intraoperative blood loss was 173.2 ± 70.1 ml (range 100–400 ml). During the operation, soft tissue swelling was observed around the affected segment. Annular disruption and paraspinal abscess were seen in some patients. The intervertebral space was filled with necrotic nucleus pulposus, endplate cartilage, and sequestrum. The endplate cartilage was fragile and easily scraped off.

Of the 22 patients, preoperative positive blood bacterial culture results were found in 7 patients. Positive operative tissue bacterial culture results were found in 15 patients, including 4 patients with \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}, 6 with \textit{Staphylococcus epidermidis}, 1 with \textit{Escherichia coli}, and 1 with \textit{Streptococcus}. Postoperative complications were recorded during the follow-up period.
Staphylococcus epidermidis, 4 with Streptococcus, and one with Escherichia coli (Table 1). All 7 patients with preoperative positive blood bacterial culture results had positive operative bacterial culture results. The remaining 7 patients had negative bacterial culture results. Postoperative pathological examination confirmed the diagnosis of pyogenic spondylodiscitis.

For all patients, symptoms such as back pain were obviously relieved immediately after surgery. The WBC, ESR, and CRP decreased gradually, and all were normal at the 3-month follow-up after surgery (Table 2). All surgical incisions achieved primary healing. Perioperative complications included urinary retention (5 patients), constipation (3 patients), and numbness in the thigh (2 patients). Urinary retention and constipation were transient and relieved after symptomatic treatment. Numbness in the thigh ipsilateral to the surgical side was caused by intraoperative traction of the lumbar plexus and had disappeared by the 3-months follow-up. No other complications such as dural rupture, nerve root injury, or pulmonary embolism occurred. No abscess or fistula was observed after the removal of irrigation and drainage tubes.

All patients underwent follow-up for 30.7 ± 4.0 months (range 24–38 months). The lumbar radiographs and CT scans showed that good intervertebral bony fusion was achieved 12 months after surgery in all patients (Fig. 2). No internal fixation loosening or breakage occurred. At the final follow-up, the mean JOA scores (25.9 ± 1.6) were significantly higher than they had been preoperatively (9.1 ± 2.2; p < 0.001). The mean VAS scores (0.6 ± 0.7) were significantly lower than they were preoperatively (7.5 ± 0.8; p < 0.001). The ODIs at final follow-up (14.7% ± 5.7%) were also significantly lower than the preoperative scores (81.5% ± 5.8%; p < 0.001). The ASIA grades had improved at the final follow-up compared with the preoperative grades (Table 3). No recurrence was found at the final follow-up.

Discussion

Several surgical approaches have been applied to treat lumbar pyogenic spondylodiscitis, including posterior, anterior, and a combination of the anterior and posterior approaches. For the posterior approach, pedicle screws can provide stable 3-column spine fixation, but it is difficult to thoroughly debride the affected lesions and thus there is a high risk of the spread of bacteria to the screws and muscles posterior to the vertebrae. For the anterior approach, the intervertebral lesions can be completely removed under direct vision, thus reducing surgical interference with the spinal canal and avoiding bacterial spread. However, anterior fixation does not provide as strong of support as posterior fixation. Because of these considerations, anterior debridement in combination with posterior fixation is widely used for the treatment of lumbar pyogenic spondylodiscitis. Yet, conventional open surgery using this approach is associated with disadvantages such as a large incision, excessive tissue damage,
and severe intraoperative blood loss. In the present study, we performed mini-open anterior debridement and lumbar interbody fusion in combination with posterior percutaneous fixation for the treatment of single-level lumbar pyogenic spondylodiscitis. Compared with minimally invasive posterior procedures such as transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion and posterior lumbar interbody fusion, this approach allows the thorough removal of infective lesions and prevents bacterial spread to the instruments and muscles posterior to the vertebrae.

The conventional posterior midline approach involves extensive dissection and retraction of the paraspinal mus-
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**FIG. 2.** Preoperative and postoperative radiological images in a patient. Preoperative radiograph (A) and MRI (B) showing pyogenic spondylodiscitis at the L2–3 level. Postoperative radiograph (C) and CT (D) showing good intervertebral bony fusion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Follow-Up (mos)</th>
<th>ASIA Grade</th>
<th>JOA Score</th>
<th>VAS Score</th>
<th>ODI (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Preop</td>
<td>Final Follow-Up</td>
<td>Preop</td>
<td>Final Follow-Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD 9.1 ± 2.2 25.9 ± 1.6 7.5 ± 0.8 0.6 ± 0.7 81.5 ± 5.8 14.7 ± 5.7

* p < 0.001 compared with preoperative values.
cles, leading to postoperative back pain, reduced lumbar muscle strength, and slow recovery time. The Wiltse approach is another choice, but still causes a certain amount of muscle trauma and skin incision. In the present study, we used posterior percutaneous pedicle screw fixation, which has been reported to be an effective and safe method for the treatment of thoracic and lumbar burst fracture. Under the guidance of preoperative imaging and intraoperative fluoroscopy, percutaneous pedicle screw fixation can be effectively performed with the appropriate type of screws and the correct angle, and thus can produce fixation similar to that of conventional open surgery. The advantages of this approach over open surgery include minimizing intraoperative retraction of the paraspinal muscles and ligaments, shortening the surgical time, reducing intraoperative blood loss, and promoting the postoperative recovery of lumbar muscle strength.

Conventional anterior open surgery can achieve good debridement and bone implantation, but often results in an incision longer than 15 cm, extensive dissection of the muscles, severe blood loss, and a high risk of injury to the major blood vessels, ureter, lumbar plexus, and peritoneum. In the present study, we adopted the mini-open modified ALIF approach by establishing a working channel directly to the surgical area, which was about 5 mm behind the anterior edge of the psoas major muscle. This opens a window onto the psoas major muscle, through which debridement could be expanded and fusion performed in the intervertebral space.

Our approach reduced the risk of injury to the major blood vessels and sympathetic trunk, which is associated with performing conventional ALIF in front of the psoas major muscle. In fact, for pyogenic spondylodiscitis, the traditional ALIF approach is difficult, and it is dangerous to separate the tissues between the psoas major muscle and the major blood vessels because the normal tissue planes are often obscured by scar formation and the result is chronic infection. It is much safer to pass through the psoas major muscle.

Compared with extreme lateral interbody fusion, our approach reduces retraction of the psoas major muscle and lumbar plexus. Because debridement is performed anterior to the paravertebral abscess, the lumbar plexus is pushed backward by the abscess, far away from the debridement area. Once the working channel is established, it is sufficient and fixed for complete debridement and bone transplantation, thus reducing the risk of injury to the peritoneum and its surrounding structures. In addition, the pressure from the automatic retractor on the muscles reduces muscle bleeding.

The anterior approach that passes the psoas major muscle carries a high risk of iatrogenic injury to the lumbar plexus, which is caused by direct mechanical compression, overstretching, tearing, electric cautery, and ischemia. Numbness in the lower abdomen, groin, and thigh and weakness in the hip flexor and knee extensor can occur postoperatively. Another complication associated with the anterior approach is injury to the blood vessels, which can result in severe consequences. To avoid these complications, care should be taken with regard to the following: 1) The left lateral approach should be taken to reduce the risk of injury to the inferior vena cava, except for cases with a huge abscess on the right. 2) To facilitate surgery, the patient’s position should be adjusted preoperatively under fluoroscopic control to ensure that the targeted intervertebral space is perpendicular to the operating table. 3) Muscles should be dissected along the direction of their fiber orientation. 4) Electric cautery should be avoided, and care should be taken to avoid injury to the lumbar plexus and sympathetic chains. 5) The ureter should not be exposed, and can be pushed forward with the retroperitoneal fat tissues. 6) Care should be taken to avoid injury to the genitofemoral nerve. 7) The common iliac vessels should be protected at the L4–5 level. 8) Thorough debridement is important to achieving a good therapeutic outcome. In the present study, transient urinary retention occurred in 5 (23%) of 22 cases. Urinary retention was transient and recovered after symptomatic treatment without sequelae. Transient urinary retention may result from the use of patient-controlled analgesia, Foley catheterization, incision pain, or prolonged bed rest.

This combined mini-open approach requires surgeons to have enough experience with open surgery. Surgeons should be proficient with the anatomy and dissect several muscular layers directly to the surgical area. Beginners may encounter difficulties in exposing the surgical field. Precise preoperative surface location is important for determining the position and size of the incision. If the incision site deviates too much, the affected segment may not be exposed sufficiently, thus leading to the failure of the mini-open approach and conversion to open surgery. To reduce errors, multiple intraoperative fluoroscopic examinations should be performed to guide the surgical procedure. However, multiple fluoroscopic examinations can lead to an increase in x-ray exposure for the patient and surgeons. In addition, this method is only indicated for patients with single-segment lumbar pyogenic spondylodiscitis, or certain patients with 2-segment involvement. In the present study, only patients with single-segment lumbar pyogenic spondylodiscitis were included. For patients with involvement of the L5–S1 segment, this mini-open approach is not suitable because it is hindered by the ilium and thus exposure is difficult.

Conclusions

Mini-open anterior debridement and lumbar interbody fusion in combination with posterior percutaneous fixation resulted in little surgical trauma and intraoperative blood loss and few postoperative complications, suggesting that this approach is effective and safe for the treatment of single-level lumbar pyogenic spondylodiscitis. This approach could be an alternative to the conventional open surgery.
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