Odontoid process inclination in normal adults and in an adult population with Chiari malformation Type I
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OBJECT Posterior odontoid process inclination has been demonstrated as a factor associated with Chiari malformation Type I (CM-I) in the pediatric population; however, no studies to date have examined this measurement in the adult CM-I population. The purpose of this study was to evaluate craniocervical junction (CCJ) measurements in adult CM-I versus a control group.

METHODS The odontoid retroflexion, odontoid retroversion, odontoid height, posterior basion to C-2 line measured to the dural margin (pB-C2 line), posterior basion to C-2 line measured to the dorsal odontoid cortical margin (pB-C2* line), and clivus-canal angle measurements were retrospectively analyzed in adult patients with CM-I using MRI. These measurements were compared with normative values established from CT scans of the cervical spine in adults without CM-I.

RESULTS A statistically significant difference was found between 55 adults with CM-I and 150 sex-matched controls (125 used for analysis) in the mean clivus-canal angle and the mean pB-C2 line.

CONCLUSIONS These data suggest that there are sex-specific differences with respect to measurements at the CCJ between men and women, with women showing a more posteriorly inclined odontoid process. There were also differences between the CM-I and control groups: a more acute clivus-canal angle was associated with CM-I in the adult population. These CCJ findings could have an influence on presurgical planning.
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Chiari malformation Type I (CM-I) is a disorder of the paraxial mesoderm resulting in an underdeveloped posterior cranial fossa and subsequent hindbrain crowding with potential CSF abnormalities.13 This is classically defined as downward herniation of the cerebellar tonsils through the foramen magnum and was initially described in the late 1800s by Hans Chiari.10 Advances in imaging have led to significant insight into the investigation of CM-I and its management.12 Although posterior decompression for symptomatic CM-I is the current treatment standard, a subset of patients with significant ventral cervicomedullary compression has raised the question of whether ventral decompression with or without posterior fusion should be considered in the CM-I population.6,17 There has been recent interest regarding the impact of posterior odontoid inclination in CM-I, and radiographic studies in the pediatric population have demonstrated an association between CM-I and posterior odontoid inclination.20 Normative values for odontoid inclination in the adult population have only recently been established.8 There has been no comparative evaluation for odontoid inclination between patients with CM-I and the normal adult population. The purpose of this study is to examine the craniocervical junction (CCJ) in the normal adult population compared with the CM-I population, and its potential relationship to presurgical planning.

Methods

Study Population

Following institutional review board approval, the authors retrospectively analyzed noncontrasted cervical
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spine CT scans of adult patients (age > 18 years) that were obtained for indications not related to CM-I. Computed tomography was performed on a 64-section scanner (Siemens) with a helical acquisition and 0.6-mm collimation. All CT scans were reviewed in the sagittal plane in bone and soft tissue algorithms. In this study, adult patients with CM-I and clinical examination and MRI findings consistent with the diagnosis of CM-I, who underwent suboccipital decompression, were identified from neurosurgical clinic records. Thorough histories, and physical and neurological examinations, were obtained in these CM-I patients, which formed the basis of the diagnosis. Symptomatic CM-I was diagnosed based on the presence or absence of the following clinical signs and symptoms: cervical syringohydromyelia, occipital or Valsalva maneuver–induced headaches, and neck or back pain. A review of the electronic medical records, however, revealed no specific clinical documentation of neurological symptoms to suggest cervicomedullary compression, such as swallowing difficulty, or myelopathic symptoms, including hyperreflexia, spasticity, and/or positive Babinski sign.

Imaging Parameters

Preoperative MRI of the cervical spine for these adult patients with a diagnosis of CM-I was retrospectively evaluated. All MRI was performed on a 1.5-T scanner (Avento or Aera, Siemens) or 3.0-T scanner (Verio, Siemens). Sagittal T1-weighted inversion recovery and T2-weighted images were reviewed (T1-weighted inversion recovery = 3-mm slice thickness, TR 2000 msec, TE 20 msec, TI 830 msec, FOV 22 cm; T2-weighted inversion recovery = 3-mm slice thickness, TR 3000–6000 msec, TE 112 msec, TI 80 msec, FOV 22 cm). Sagittal T1-weighted images were used to obtain the measurements and sagittal T2-weighted images were used to evaluate for cervicomedullary compression and edema. The imaging criterion for CM-I was tonsillar extension 5 mm or more below the foramen magnum on sagittal MRI. Patients with osseous injury, mass lesions, extensive arthropathy that distorted normal anatomy, or congenital abnormalities of the CCJ were excluded from the analysis.

Radiological Measurement

Six measurements of the CCJ were carried out by 3 neuroradiologists for each control patient (D.A.B., Z.K., and L.M.S.), and then were averaged. The 3 readers for the normal CT cranio-cervical measurements had substantial intrarater reliability and together established specific imaging criteria. In the current study, 2 neuroradiologists used these specific criteria while making the measurements on the CM-I group, and their measurements were averaged. The purpose of this portion of the study was to evaluate the various CCJ measurements in the adult CM-I population. The first measurement was the odontoid retroversion angle (Figs. 1A and 2B). The second measurement was the odontoid retroflexion angle (Figs. 1B and 2A). The third measurement was odontoid process height (Figs. 1C and 2C). The fourth measurement was the clivus-canal angle (Figs. 1D and 2F). The fifth and sixth measurements were the pB-C2* and pB-C2 lines, measured as a line drawn through the odontoid tip from the posterior odontoid cortex perpendicular to a second line from the basion to the inferoposterior aspect of the C-2 vertebral body (pB-C2; Fig. 2E) or a line drawn through the odontoid tip from the ventral dura perpendicular to a second line from the basion to the inferoposterior aspect of the C-2 vertebral body (pB-C2; Fig. 2D).

Postoperative records of the patients with CM-I were reviewed up to 12 months following suboccipital craniectomy. Treatment success was considered as a clinically significant reduction or resolution of symptoms resulting from the previous diagnosis of CM-I; specifically, resolution of occipital headaches and neck pain. The clinical records were reviewed for specific documentation of myelopathy related to cervicomedullary compression on follow-up clinical records up to 12 months postoperatively.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was undertaken using 2-tailed, paired t-tests for each measurement between the normal adult control and CM-I patient and statistical significance was set at p values < 0.05. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was interpreted as the proportion of total variability in measurements due to subject variability (coefficient value > 0.75 considered excellent, 0.6–0.74 considered good, 0.4–0.59 fair, and < 0.4 poor). Statistical analysis was performed using Stata (version 13, StataCorp). Means are presented ± SD.

Results

In the control group, of the 150 patients evaluated, 125 met criteria for inclusion in the study. Of these patients, 80 were male and 45 were female, with ages ranging from 18 to 89 years old (mean 52 ± 18.5 years old). The odontoid retroflexion angle was used as a measure of interrater agreement in the control group and demonstrated good interrater agreement between neuroradiologists. The ICC
for the retroflexion angle was 0.69–0.7 between readers. The difference between measurements for any pair of readers did not reach statistical significance (p > 0.05, paired 2-tailed t-test).

Values differed significantly between males and females in the control group for odontoid retroflexion angle (mean 79.9° ± 4.9° for males, mean 78° ± 4.8° for females, p = 0.048), odontoid retroversion angle (mean 72.9° ± 5.7° for males, mean 70° ± 4° for females; p = 0.002), odontoid process height (mean 22.7 ± 1.7 mm for males, mean 21.2 ± 1.5 mm for females; p = 0.0002), and pB-C2 length (mean 6.7 ± 2.2 mm for males, mean 6 ± 2 mm for females; p = 0.03; Table 1). A clivus-canal angle of < 150° was found in 8 control patients.

Of the 55 patients with CM-I identified as meeting neurosurgical criteria for CM-I, all met criteria for inclusion in the study. Of these patients, 18 were male and 37 were female, with ages ranging from 18 to 61 years old (mean 34 ± 10.2 years old).

When comparing all controls to all patients with CM-I, there were significant differences in all measured parameters with the exception of pB-C2* (Table 2). The CM-I group had smaller retroflexion and retroversion angles, had greater pB-C2 values, and shorter odontoid heights. Among the male cohort, a significant difference between the control group and the CM-I group was found with the mean clivus-canal angle (mean 164.9° ± 10.4° in the control group vs 155.4° ± 10.9° in the CM-I group; p = 0.007) and odontoid height (mean 22.6 ± 1.7 mm in the control group vs 21.1 ± 1.6 mm in the CM-I group; p = 0.008; Table 3). Among the female cohort, a significant difference between the control group and the CM-I group was found with odontoid retroversion (mean 70° ± 4° in the control group vs 67.7° ± 3.8° in the CM-I group; p = 0.01), clivus-canal angle (mean 163.7° ± 9.9° in the control group vs 157.4° ± 7.2° in the CM-I group; p = 0.002), odontoid height (mean 21.1 ± 1.5 mm in the control group vs 20.2 ± 1.6 mm in the CM-I group; p = 0.009), and pB-C2 line
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**TABLE 1.** CCJ measurements in control patients according to sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control Men (n = 80)</th>
<th>Control Women (n = 45)</th>
<th>p Value (t-test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Odontoid retroflexion (°)</td>
<td>79.9 ± 4.9</td>
<td>78 ± 4.8</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odontoid retroversion (°)</td>
<td>72.9 ± 5.7</td>
<td>70 ± 4</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clivus-canal angle (°)</td>
<td>164.8 ± 10.4</td>
<td>163.7 ± 10</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odontoid height (mm)</td>
<td>22.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>21.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pB-C2 (mm)</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2</td>
<td>4 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pB-C2* (mm)</td>
<td>6.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2.** CCJ measurements in controls versus patients with CM-I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Normal Adult Cohort (n = 125)</th>
<th>CM-I (n = 55)</th>
<th>p Value (t-test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Odontoid retroflexion (°)</td>
<td>79.2 ± 4.9</td>
<td>77 ± 4.2</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odontoid retroversion (°)</td>
<td>71.8 ± 5.3</td>
<td>68.6 ± 3.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clivus-canal angle (°)</td>
<td>164.5 ± 10.2</td>
<td>156.8 ± 8.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odontoid height (mm)</td>
<td>22.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>20.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pB-C2 (mm)</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pB-C2* (mm)</td>
<td>6.5 ± 2.2</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
length (mean 6 ± 2 mm in the control group vs 7.2 ± 1.4 mm in the CM-I group; p = 0.003; Table 4). A clivus-canal angle of < 150° was found in a total of 10 patients with CM-I. Comparing male and female patients with CM-I, there was no significant difference in the pB-C2 (p = 0.4) and pB-C2* (p = 0.44) lengths.

For the CM-I group, the ICCs were highest for the clivus-canal angle (0.66) and the pB-C2 length (0.66). The ICCs for odontoid retroversion angle (0.45), pB-C2* line (0.49), and odontoid process length (0.46) were fair, while the retroflexion ICC was poor (0.34).

None of the patients with CM-I demonstrated clinical or imaging evidence of myelopathy, nor was any spinal cord signal abnormality identified to suggest edema or venous congestion from cord compression. None of the patients with CM-I demonstrated a syrinx on imaging. All patients with CM-I demonstrated treatment success within 6 months of suboccipital decompression based on record review of the clinical examination. None of the patients with CM-I developed delayed symptoms of cervicomедullary compression on follow-up clinical records up to 12 months postoperatively.

### Discussion

Although posterior decompression alone for CM-I is often successful in alleviation of CM-associated symptoms, pediatric, and more recently adult, literature has suggested that a small subset of patients may require ventral decompression secondary to cervicomедullary compression from CCJ abnormalities. Some pediatric studies have suggested that excessive odontoid retroflexion associated with an increase in the pB-C2 line value is a causative factor in ventral cervicomедullary compression in the CM-I population. Understanding how this measurable value, as well as other CCJ measurements, differs in the CM-I population relative to controls is an important data point. Measurement of odontoid inclination in normal adults differs from published data for the pediatric population; in adults, the odontoid is more posteriorly inclined than that observed in the pediatric CM-I population. Our grouped data comparing all control patients to all CM-I patients would suggest significant differences in nearly all measured parameters at the CCJ. However, based on analysis of control group data, there are significant differences in CCJ measurements between males and females, with female odontoid processes demonstrating greater posterior inclination and shorter length than their male counterparts. These data suggest that, in addition to utilizing differing normative values for the CCJ for the adult versus pediatric population, sex-specific norms should also be considered.

When comparing adult sex-specific controls to their adult CM-I counterparts, our data do not support the pediatric literature that a correlation exists between increased retroversion and CM-I. The retroflexion measure was found to be a more accurate assessment of odontoid angulation as compared with retroversion, because of less variation in the base of the C-2 vertebral body. There was a similarity in retroflexion values for the CM-I group as reflected by the low ICC. The higher ICC value for retroflexion in the normal controls implies a greater degree of variability in the control group.

In the adult CM-I group, the odontoid height is shorter, the pB-C2 value is significantly larger, and the clivus-canal angle is more acute when compared with controls. The shortened odontoid height in the CM-I group may reflect the underlying malformation of the axial component of the occipital selerote, prosatlas, and C-1 resegmented selerotome, resulting in odontoid and basiocciput dysgenes. Only the pB-C2 value reaches significance in our cohort as opposed to the pB-C2* value (a line extending to the posterior cortical margin of the odontoid process, not inclusive of the ventral dura). This finding persists in subgroup analysis of female patients (Table 4). A larger pB-C2 value in this setting reflects a greater amount of peri-odontoid tissue, also referred to as the “crown,” and not increased odontoid posterior inclination. Increased peri-odontoid tissue is more often observed in the aged population; however, the mean age of our CM-I population was only 34 years. Menezes postulated that skull base hypoplasia may contribute to ligamentous laxity at the CCJ with subsequent granulation tissue proliferation. Support for this hypothesis is suggested through stabilizing procedures that have demonstrated a postoperative decrease in the prominence of this tissue. Although speculative, it has also been suggested that the relative instability between the cerebellar tonsils and the foramen magnum (because of the small posterior fossa) with intermingled block of CSF flow contributes to ligamentous enlargement, which may be reflected in a larger amount of peri-odontoid tissue.

Established craniovertebral junction measurements state that a clivus-canal angle < 150° is abnormal regard-
less of patient positioning, and at angles < 150° there is
a known increased risk of ventral cervical spinal cord
compression.18 A decrease in the clivus-canal angle is a
described feature of basilar invagination, a developmental
finding associated with basiocipit hypoplasia.18 This <
150° measurement was present in only 6.4% of our control
group but 18.2% of our CM-I group (Fig. 3). The overall
significantly increased acuity of the clivus-canal angle in
the CM-I group suggests a trend toward a shortened basi-
ocipit, which in conjunction with increased peri-odon-
toid tissue, may predispose this group to an increased risk
of ventral cervical spinal cord compression in the absence
of increased odontoid inclination. However, none of our
patients demonstrated physical examination abnormalities
or abnormal cervicomedullary T2-weighted signal abnor-
mality to suggest cord compression. Direct assessment
of basilar invagination or platybasia through evaluation of the
McGregor line or Welcher basal angle was not undertaken
in this review. However, in the absence of a statistically
significant sex-adjusted difference in odontoid retroflex-
ion between CM-I patients and control subjects, it may be
suggested that the trend of a more acute clivus-canal angle
in the CM-I group is secondary to flattening of the clivus.
This flattening is an indirect measure of platybasia and basilar invagination, two entities that are not infrequently
observed in association with one another.9

In the pediatric population, Grabb et al. have suggested
that patients with a significantly lengthened pB-C2 dis-
tance (> 9 mm) may benefit from traction or transoral
odontoidectomy prior to standard CM decompression.4
Given the differences between the adult and the pediatric
populations and the differences between male and female
patients, the decision of whether to perform odontoidecto-
my or traction with posterior fusion may be not only age-
but also sex-specific. Nine of our patients with CM-I had
pB-C2 values greater than 9 mm and did not demonstrate
a difference from those patients with a pB-C2 value less
than 9 mm, with respect to success of standard subocci-
pittal decompression. With higher rates of CM-I diagnosis
due to advances in imaging, more precise stratification
of patients into those who can be successfully managed
conservatively versus those who will need standard sub-
occiptal decompression or more aggressive surgical in-
tervention for good clinical outcome should be evaluated
in light of these findings.6 All of our adult patients with
CM-I underwent subocciptal decompression with varying
degrees of C-1 and C-2 laminectomy and duraplasty.
None of these adult patients had symptoms related to cer-
icomedullary compression that required odontoid resec-
tion in the first 6–12 months of postoperative follow-up.
Others have demonstrated improvement of symptoms due
to cervicomedullary compression with posterior fossa de-
compression alone.17

When symptomatic, CM-I is usually treated by sub-
occiptal decompression;2 there is variation in the use of
duraplasty, cervical laminectomy, cerebellar tonsil cautera-
ization, and/or division of the arachnoid membrane.2,3,16
Surgical planning for congenital CM-I, however, should
take into consideration more than cerebellar tonsil hernia-
tion. Ventral brainstem compression associated with
genital CM-I from basilar invagination has a reported
incidence of 4%–31%, predominantly in the pediatric pop-
ulation.2,4,5,13 Posterior decompression with CM-I patients
with coexisting basilar invagination involves a higher late
complication rate even if the anterior component is not ini-
tially symptomatic if there is postdecompression cranial
settling.4 In rare cases of symptomatic postdecompression
cranial settling, some have advised initial preservation of the
C-2 lamina or occipital–C1-2 fusion with subsequent transoral
resection of the odontoid if cervicomedullary inden-
tation persists.14 Our data suggest that an element of
basilar invagination may be more frequent in the CM-I
population based on the acquired measurements, which
would be an important preoperative consideration when
discussing the potential for surgical complications or need
for additional operative intervention.

The limitations of this study include an element of sex
bias in the control group, which is predominately male,
and the CM-I group, which is predominately female. We
believe this bias was mitigated by performing sex-specific
comparisons at the expense of decreasing the size of the
control and CM-I groups. The differences in imaging
modality between the control and CM-I groups also rep-

FIG. 3. Sagittal CT image in bone algorithm (A) demonstrates a normal
culis-canal angle as compared with a sagittal T1-weighted image (B)
in a CM-I patient with a clivus-canal angle of 140°. Sagittal CT image in
soft-tissue algorithm (C) shows a normal control pB-C2 measurement
and a sagittal T1-weighted image (D) shows a pB-C2 length of 9 mm in
a patient with CM-I.
Conclusions

The data in this study suggest that sex-specific differences exist with respect to CCJ measurements, as women showed greater odontoid retroflexion and shorter odontoid processes, and there was no significant difference in posterior odontoid inclination/retroflexion in the adult CM-I population when compared with normal, sex-specific controls. Apparent differences in posterior odontoid inclination in these groups are more likely due to relative skull base hypoplasia, which is known to occur in the CM-I population and due to a greater amount of peri-odontoid soft tissue. Odontoid angulation measurements are only a corollary to other imaging and clinical features in the pre-operative evaluation of symptomatic CM-I patients.

References


Disclosure

The corresponding author is a military service member. This work was prepared as part of his official duties. Title 17, USC, section 105 provides that “Copyright protection under this title is not available for any work of the United States Government.” Title 17, USC, section 101 defines a US Government work as a work prepared as part of a military service member or employee of the US Government as part of that person’s official duties. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, or the US Government.

Author Contributions

Conception and design: all authors. Acquisition of data: Besachio, Shah. Analysis and interpretation of data: Besachio, Shah. Drafting the article: Besachio, Khaleel. Critically revising the article: all authors. Reviewed submitted version of manuscript: all authors. Statistical analysis: Besachio, Shah. Administrative/technical/material support: Besachio. Study supervision: Besachio, Shah.

Correspondence

David A, Besachio, Department of Radiology, US Naval Medical Center Portsmouth, 620 John Paul Jones Cir., Portsmouth, VA 23708. email: david.besachio@gmail.com.