Assessment of impact of standing long-cassette radiographs on surgical planning for lumbar pathology: an international survey of spine surgeons
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OBJECT The associations among global spinal alignment, patient-reported disability, and surgical outcomes have increasingly gained attention. The assessment of global spinal alignment requires standing long-cassette anteroposterior and lateral radiographs; however, spine surgeons routinely rely on short-segment imaging when evaluating seemingly isolated lumbar pathology. This may prohibit adequate surgical planning and may predispose surgeons to not recognize associated pathology in the thoracic spine and sagittal spinopelvic malalignment. The authors used a case-based survey questionnaire to evaluate if including long-cassette radiographs led to changes in respondents' operative plans as compared with their chosen plan when cases contained standard imaging of the involved lumbar spine only.

METHODS A case-based survey was distributed to AOSpine International members that consisted of 15 cases of lumbar spine pathology and lumbar imaging only. The same 15 cases were then shuffled and presented a second time with additional long-cassette radiographs. Each case required participants to select a single operative plan with 5 choices ranging from least to most extensive. The cases included 5 “control” cases with normal global spinal alignment and 10 “test” cases with significant sagittal and/or coronal malalignment. Mean scores were determined for each question with higher scores representing more invasive and/or extensive operative plans.
URGICAL planning to address seemingly isolated lumbar pathology may lead to suboptimal results if a patient’s global spinal alignment is not taken into account. In recent years, there has been a greater appreciation of the interaction between different regions of the spine and the pelvis in producing harmonious global alignment. Malalignment in 1 region may result in compensatory changes in an adjacent (or even distant) region. This is particularly true in the setting of deformity surgery wherein correction of sagittal alignment can result in reciprocal changes to cephalad regions of the spine. For example, Lafage et al.28 reported a reciprocal increase in thoracic kyphosis within the unfused thoracic spine following lumbar pedicle subtraction osteotomy, which resulted in suboptimal postoperative alignment in 18 of 34 patients.

The relationship between the spine and the pelvis has also proven to be critical in predicting patient outcome. Increased pelvic tilt (PT), reflecting compensatory pelvic retroversion to correct for positive sagittal malalignment, strongly correlates with health-related quality of life (HRQOL) scores.29 Based on normative spinopelvic parameter data, corrective surgery should target a sagittal vertical axis (SVA) ≤ 50 mm and a PT ≤ 20°. Furthermore, good postoperative HRQOL scores are correlated with a lumbar lordosis that is within approximately 10° of the pelvic incidence.43 Postoperative positive SVA and coronal imbalance are major risk factors for the development of adjacent segment pathology (ASP) after lumbar or lumbosacral fusion.9,11,21,26,30,37,41 “Adjacent segment pathology” is a general term that refers to any abnormal process that develops in the mobile segment(s) adjacent to a spinal fusion, most commonly including disc degeneration,20,34,36,38 also but also including lissisthesis, hypertrophic facet joint arthritis, herniated nucleus pulposus, fracture of the rostral vertebral body, and spinal canal stenosis.2,5,9,14,27,31,41,50 ASP occurs after lumbar or lumbosacral instrumentation through a variety of mechanisms including increased facet and disc loading on the adjacent mobile segment.12,32 In patients with abnormal sagittal alignment after transpedicular posterior-lateral fusion, ASP usually results from increased loading of the posterior column and the posterior shear force at the proximal adjacent segment.45 The most common type of ASP in these patients is retrolisthesis.26,30,41

In addition to SVA, spinopelvic parameters are important for predicting ASP and overall outcome in patients who undergo treatment with lumbosacral instrumentation. In a study by Kumar et al., the incidence of ASP after lumbar spine fusion was significantly lower in patients with normal SVA and normal sacral inclination in the immediate postoperative period, relative to that in patients with abnormalities in 1 or both of these parameters.26 Furthermore, HRQOL scores have been shown to be directly correlated with the degree of SVA and PT correction;43 supine CT or MRI studies of the lumbosacral spine do not enable calculation of these important parameters and may therefore lead to a suboptimal operative plan if relied upon for these measurements.

Oftentimes, patients with identified lumbar spine pathology do not undergo standing long-cassette imaging to enable evaluation of global spinal alignment. Practitioners often make surgical decisions based solely on CT or MRI studies of the affected region. Scoliosis and sagittal plane deformity are common disorders that affect up to approximately 60% of the elderly population.42 We hypothesized that in cases with evidence of global spinal malalignment, practitioners would change their surgical plan when presented with standing long-cassette radiographs in addition to imaging studies of focal lumbar pathology, as compared with lumbar imaging alone. Conversely, we hypothesized that surgeons would not alter their operative plan when long-cassette radiographs demonstrated normal global spinal alignment.

Methods

Survey

This study was a case-based survey of AOSpine International members, a multinational group primarily composed of orthopedic and neurological spine surgeons. The survey included 5 demographic questions to determine the type and level of training and the spine practice characteristics for each participant. Data on country of practice were also collected. Participants were then presented with 15 sequential cases of lumbar spine pathology; each
case included a brief clinical vignette and imaging of the lumbar spine, including CT myelogram or MR image and plain radiograph (Fig. 1). Following each case, participants were asked to select the single most-appropriate operative plan from 5 choices, ranging from least to most extensive (Table 1). Points were assigned for each response, with the least extensive option (choice A) receiving 1 point and the most extensive option (choice E) receiving 5 points; choices B, C, and D received 2, 3, and 4 points, respectively. Options D and E both entailed fusion up to the thoracic spine (to T-10 and T-3, respectively). The same 15 cases were then presented again in an alternate order, this time with the addition of standing long-cassette posteroanterior (PA) and lateral radiographs. The same question was then posed with the same 5 response options. Thus, each case was presented twice: first without and then with standing long-cassette radiographs. An invitation to participate in the survey was sent to the AOSpine International membership along with a link to complete the online survey. The survey did not include an explicit explanation of the purpose of the study in order to prevent bias in participant responses.

Cases
The 15 cases included 5 “control” and 10 “test” cases. Control cases were those for which the standing long-cassette radiographs demonstrated normal global alignment, whereas the test cases involved sagittal and/or coronal malalignment (Fig. 2). Abnormalities on test-case long-cassette radiographs included positive sagittal malalignment, lumbar and/or thoracic scoliosis, flatback, proximal junction kyphosis, and thoracic hypo- or hyperkyphosis.

Analysis
Demographic information on survey participants was summarized. Mean scores for the initial 15 case-based survey questions (lumbar imaging only) were compared with scores for the latter 15 questions (lumbar and long-cassette imaging). This comparison was performed separately for control and test cases using a Student t-test for paired samples. The percentage of operative plans that employed thoracic instrumentation (survey responses D or E) was similarly assessed for control and test cases by comparing the initial to the latter 15 cases. The threshold for statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results
Survey Participants
Of the 712 invited surgeons who started the survey, 316 (44%) completed the entire series of 30 cases. The participants’ practice locations represented more than 40 countries; the majority (63%) of participants were from North America or Europe. Approximately two-thirds of participants had completed an orthopedic surgery residency, and one-third had completed a neurosurgery residency. Overall, 68% of participants had completed a spine fellowship. Surgical experience ranged from 1 to 50 years in practice.

TABLE 1. Surgical plan options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Noninstrumented decompression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Decompression &amp; short-segment (&lt;5 levels) instrumented arthrodesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Decompression &amp; short-segment (&lt;5 levels) instrumented arthrodesis w/ 1 or more TLIF/PLIF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Decompression, T10–S1 or T10–ilium instrumented fusion ± interbody devices at L4–S1, ± osteotomies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Decompression, T3–S1 or T3–ilium instrumented fusion ± interbody devices at L4–5 &amp; L5–S1, ± osteotomies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PLIF = posterior lumbar interbody fusion; TLIF = transforminal lumbar interbody fusion.

72 y/o woman with mild low back pain and significant neurogenic claudication

FIG. 1. A sample case that includes lumbar imaging and a brief clinical vignette.
with a mean of 13.6 years. Participants’ practices included an average of 75% (range 5%–100%) spine cases and 119.8 fusions per year (range 10–600 fusions).

**Survey Responses**

The mean score was determined for each case-based survey question. Higher mean scores represent more extensive operative plans (Table 1). Tables 2 and 3 list mean scores and the percentage of participants who selected long instrumented fusion options (choices D and E) for control and test cases, respectively. For test cases, there was a significantly higher (p = 0.002) mean response score for cases containing long-cassette radiographs (4.2) as compared with those cases containing lumbar imaging only (3.4). Conversely, there was no significant difference (p = 0.28) among control cases (average response score of 2.1 for lumbar imaging only and 2.2 with standing long-cassette imaging added). Figure 3 represents the change in the mean response score when long-cassette radiographs were added for individual control and test cases. Test cases had steeper slopes than control cases, representing a greater increase in response score with the addition of long-cassette radiographs.

Response options D and E both entailed an operative plan of long instrumented fusion that included the thoracic spine (Table 1). For control cases, the addition of standing long-cassette radiographs led to a mean increase in these responses from 4.9% to 9.0%; however, this failed to reach significance (p = 0.18). A significant increase was seen in the test cases, where presenting the same case with long-cassette radiographs produced an increase in options D and E from 58.9% to 82.1% (23.2% increase; p = 0.008).

**Discussion**

Preferably, spine practitioners would have a relatively low threshold for obtaining anteroposterior and lateral standing long-cassette radiographs in patients with seemingly isolated lumbar pathology. We make this recommendation given the high prevalence of sagittal plane deformity in the adult population and its impact on the development of ASP in patients undergoing posterior lumbarosacral fusion. ASP is an intriguing yet not fully understood occurrence, with a probable multifocal etiology; attempts to minimize ASP are important for minimizing the risk for revision surgery. In general, reoperations above previous lumbar spine fusions are undesirable, as success rates have been reported to decrease after each subsequent operative intervention. Mild-to-moderate sagittal plane deformity can be difficult to detect on physical exam alone since patients with a positive SVA can often maintain an upright posture and horizontal vi-

**TABLE 2. Control cases: mean response scores and percent long instrumented fusion responses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Mean Response Score (95% CI)</th>
<th>Long Instrumented Fusion (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lumbar Imaging Only</td>
<td>Radiographs Added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6 (1.5–1.7)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.8–2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.7 (2.6–2.8)</td>
<td>2.7 (2.6–2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.8 (1.7–1.9)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.9–2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.4 (2.3–2.5)</td>
<td>2.4 (2.3–2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.0 (1.9–2.2)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.8–2.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
sual gaze through compensatory measures, such as pelvic retroversion, which helps bring the center of mass under the pelvis.30,40,52

Proximal junctional kyphosis (PJK) is a subset of ASP that attracts much attention in the spine deformity literature. PJK is defined as an increase in kyphosis between the caudal endplate of the uppermost instrumented vertebra (UIV) and the cephalad endplate of the vertebra 2 segments cranial to the UIV by > 10° compared to preoperative imaging.8,10,23–25 A recent systematic review reported the development of PJK in 17%–39% of patients who underwent surgery for kyphosis and/or scoliosis.22 In contrast to many other forms of ASP, PJK has not been shown to consistently have a significant impact on HRQOL outcomes and reoperation rates.10,19,22,24,25 Even though both PJK and PJF are defined, at least partly, by an increased proximal kyphosis, PJF is thought to represent an entity distinct from PJK with differing pathology and risk factors. Specifically, PJF appears to result from an acute event rather than from a recurrent deformity15,48 and has been shown to have a strong association with preoperative spinopelvic mismatch, increased SVA, and increased thoracic kyphosis.15,48,51 As noted previously, global sagittal alignment is important to evaluate preoperatively and can only be done with the use of long-cassette radiographs.

A recent review characterized the relationship of cervical sagittal alignment to segmental alignment of the thoracic and lumbar spine, global sagittal alignment, and spinopelvic alignment.1 The authors demonstrated that cervical lordosis may adapt to changes in other spinal segments to keep the head over the pelvis. Conversely, prima-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Mean Response Score (95% CI)</th>
<th>Long Instrumented Fusion (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lumbar Imaging Only</td>
<td>Radiographs Added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.1 (3.0–3.2)</td>
<td>4.1 (4.0–4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.2 (2.1–2.3)</td>
<td>4.4 (4.2–4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.1 (4.0–4.2)</td>
<td>4.6 (4.5–4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.9 (3.7–4.0)</td>
<td>4.3 (4.2–4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.7 (3.6–3.8)</td>
<td>4.5 (4.4–4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.8 (3.7–3.9)</td>
<td>4.2 (4.1–4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.2 (3.1–3.4)</td>
<td>3.7 (3.6–3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.5 (3.3–3.6)</td>
<td>4.4 (4.3–4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.7 (3.6–3.8)</td>
<td>4.2 (4.1–4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.2 (3.1–3.3)</td>
<td>3.5 (3.4–3.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 3. Change in mean response score with the addition of long-cassette radiographs. An increased slope of the line corresponds to a greater increase in the mean response score. Left: Control cases. Right: Test cases. Figure is available in color online only.
ry cervical kyphosis may result in compensatory hyperlordosis of the lumbar spine in conjunction with increased PT to maintain horizontal gaze. The complex and interdependent relationships between spinal segments and the pelvis and the resultant global alignment cannot be appreciated without obtaining standing long-cassette radiographs.

In our case-based survey, practitioners changed their operative plans when given long-cassette radiographs in addition to brief clinical presentations and lumbosacral imaging. They opted to use more extensive instrumentation in patients with positive SVA and/or scoliosis, presumably with a view to restoring coronal and sagittal alignment. This finding is in line with current literature highlighting the importance of restoring spinal alignment to minimize loading of unfused motion segments and thus reducing the incidence of ASP and PJF 6,9,21,26,30,37,41,44,46 or minimizing exacerbation of a preexisting deformity. In addition, the restoration of spinal alignment, in particular the correction of positive sagittal malalignment, has been shown to be important in maximizing HRQOL and minimizing postoperative pain and disability.32,37,50

One strength of this study is its relatively large number of participants in a highly specialized field, many of whom had fellowship training. The geographic scope of the participants includes orthopedic and neurological surgeons from 40 different countries, with more than one-third of participants from outside of North America and Europe. However, the 44% survey completion rate constitutes a potential limitation of the study. It was not possible to determine why surgeons failed to complete the survey and if there was a differential loss of participants who would have otherwise recommended more or less extensive operative plans, which could have biased the results. Respondents had predominantly completed orthopedic residency (two-thirds) and the majority had fellowship training. Thus, the results of this study may not be fully generalizable to the sizable group of neurosurgeons who provide spine care, often without fellowship training. A further limitation is the ordinal nature of the outcome variable. Responses were assigned points (between 1 and 5) based on the level of extensiveness of the recommended surgical plan; however, the relative difference between 2 choices was not necessarily equivalent. For example, the difference between noninstrumented decompression (1 point) and short-segment instrumented fusion (2 points) is not precisely equal to the difference between T10–S1 fusion (4 points) and T3–S1 fusion (5 points). Unfortunately, no validated, linear scale that could be directly applied to the survey responses exists. The simplified scoring system employed in this study facilitated comparison of responses before and after the addition of long-cassette radiographs. Furthermore, including the dichotomous outcome variable of long instrumented fusion to the thoracic spine, not subject to the above concerns, supports the overall finding of increased extensiveness of the surgical plan after the addition of long-cassette radiographs.

Because of the survey format, participants did not have the option to obtain additional imaging during the evaluation of the first 15 cases. They were required to record a surgical plan when they might have otherwise obtained more extensive imaging (including long-cassette radiographs) prior to developing a plan. We attempted to minimize this factor by only including cases that were not necessarily suggestive of global imbalance on the lumbar-only imaging. This helped emphasize that coronal- and sagittal-plane deformity can occur in patients with what may appear to be normal lumbar spine alignment.

Although standing long-cassette radiographs can provide valuable information, we do not necessarily suggest that they should be obtained for every patient with possible lumbar pathology or even for all cases in which surgical intervention is being considered. Patient history and physical examination remain the cornerstones for evaluating a patient to determine the appropriate selection of imaging studies. It is important to recognize, however, that a physical exam may fail to reveal a substantial deformity, for example, when a high degree of pelvic retroversion compensates for sagittal malalignment. It is important to balance the potential benefits of appreciating global alignment with the potential risks of radiation exposure from the imaging as well as the added costs. Notably, newer technologies, such as the EOS imaging system, enable full-body (head-to-toe) imaging at a fraction of the radiation exposure of traditional systems and may lower the threshold for obtaining full-length images. Additional important structural spinal variables to consider include standing dynamic motion radiographs and a comprehensive physical examination to ensure the integrity of major lower-extremity joint function and trunk and torso musculature function.

While the findings of the present study argue for including long-cassette imaging in the algorithm for evaluating patients with lumbar pathology, future studies are required to determine the impact of longer constructs on patient outcome. Surgeons are commonly faced with patients who have focal lumbar pathology in the setting of some degree of spinal imbalance. Whether to address the presumed symptomatic pathology in isolation or as a component of a more extensive correction of malalignment is an important question that is not addressed by the immediate study. The present study, however, does underscore the potential impact of a more comprehensive full-length, weight-bearing radiographic study of the spinal column on the surgical planning for patients indicated for lumbar fusion surgery. Hopefully this insight will help increase the attention of spine surgeons on the role of spinal alignment and restoration during reconstructive surgery.

Conclusions

The present study adds to the growing body of evidence that supports the importance of long-cassette imaging on the choice of surgical plan for seemingly isolated lumbar pathology. In cases where global malalignment was present, the addition of long-cassette radiographs clearly influenced surgical decision making. Sagittal plane deformity can go undetected unless a relatively high index of suspicion is present. Failure to account for spinal deformity in this patient population may lead to a cascade of negative surgical outcomes. It is important for the spine provider to recognize the prevalence and effect of spinal deformity when contemplating operative intervention.
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