The Brain and Spinal Injury Center score: a novel, simple, and reproducible method for assessing the severity of acute cervical spinal cord injury with axial T2-weighted MRI findings
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OBJECT Previous studies that have evaluated the prognostic value of abnormal changes in signals on T2-weighted MRI scans of an injured spinal cord have focused on the longitudinal extent of this signal abnormality in the sagittal plane. Although the transverse extent of injury and the degree of spared spinal cord white matter have been shown to be important for predicting outcomes in preclinical animal models of spinal cord injury (SCI), surprisingly little is known about the prognostic value of altered T2 relaxivity in humans in the axial plane.

METHODS The authors undertook a retrospective chart review of 60 patients who met the inclusion criteria of this study and presented to the authors’ Level I trauma center with an acute blunt traumatic cervical SCI. Within 48 hours of admission, all patients underwent MRI examination, which included axial and sagittal T2 images. Neurological symptoms, evaluated with the grades according to the American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) Impairment Scale (AIS), at the time of admission and at hospital discharge were correlated with MRI findings. Five distinct patterns of intramedullary spinal cord T2 signal abnormality were defined in the axial plane at the injury epicenter. These patterns were assigned ordinal values ranging from 0 to 4, referred to as the Brain and Spinal Injury Center (BASIC) scores, which encompassed the spectrum of SCI severity.

RESULTS The BASIC score strongly correlated with neurological symptoms at the time of both hospital admission and discharge. It also distinguished patients initially presenting with complete injury who improved by at least one AIS grade by the time of discharge from those whose injury did not improve. The authors’ proposed score was rapid to apply and showed excellent interrater reliability.

CONCLUSIONS The authors describe a novel 5-point ordinal MRI score for classifying acute SCIs on the basis of axial T2-weighted imaging. The proposed BASIC score stratifies the SCIs according to the extent of transverse T2 signal abnormality during the acute phase of the injury. The new score improves on current MRI-based prognostic descriptions for SCI by reflecting functionally and anatomically significant patterns of intramedullary T2 signal abnormality in the axial plane.
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Following the advent and widespread implementation of MRI in the 1980s, many researchers have investigated the prognostic value of MRI findings in assessing acute spinal cord injury (SCI). In particular, the prognostic value of abnormalities in T2-weighted MRI signals has been extensively explored. In the acute phase, a T2 signal abnormality within the injured spinal cord has been attributed to various underlying pathological changes in both human and animal studies. For example, a T2 hypointense signal reflects the susceptibility-related T2-shortening effect of intracellular deoxyhemoglobin during the acute and subacute phases of hemorrhage. A T2 hyperintense signal is less specific and probably reflects a combination of vasogenic edema, cytotoxic edema, axonolysis, myelinolysis, inflammatory cellular infiltrate, and petechial hemorrhage. Early MRI-based classification systems for acute SCIs defined 3 distinct patterns of intramedullary signal change: Type I, with diffuse T2 hypointensity; Type II, with intramedullary T2 hyperintensity; and Type III, with central T2 hypointensity and a surrounding hyperintense signal. Modification of these descriptions in subsequent studies eliminated the Type I pattern because a T2 hypointense hemorrhage was not routinely observed without a significant surrounding T2 hyperintense edema.

A more widely adopted classification system defines 4 distinct injury patterns as assessed on a sagittal T2-weighted MRI sequence. Pattern 1 represents a normal spinal cord signal; Pattern 2 shows a T2 hyperintense intramedullary edema, with its longitudinal extent confined to a single vertebral level; Pattern 3 indicates a multilevel edema; and Pattern 4 includes a mixed hemorrhage and edema. Such classification systems have been shown to provide measures that correlate with injury severity and that supplement other clinical measures for predicting clinical outcome.

Patterns based on sagittal T2-weighted MRI signals are most accurate at predicting outcomes when patients have very mild (that is, Pattern 1, indicating a normal cord signal) or severe (Pattern 4, with hemorrhage and edema) injury. However, in the setting of nonhemorrhagic intramedullary T2 hyperintensity, there is tremendous variability in clinical outcomes. For example, in a meta-analysis, Bozzo et al. reported that among 49 patients presenting with Pattern 3 edema (that is, with multilevel T2 hyperintensity), the injury severity grades of the American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) Impairment Scale (AIS) were nearly equally distributed at the follow-up: 72% of these patients had an AIS grade of A, 22% of B, 24% of C, and 24% of D. This wide variability in outcome data is in part related to the arbitrary measurement of the longitudinal extent of the T2 signal relative to the height of the vertebral body, in addition to the nonspecific nature of T2 hyperintensity in the spinal cord. Histopathological studies of SCI in animals have revealed that longitudinal measurements do not correlate with functional recovery as well as axial or cross-sectional area does. In addition, translational studies of axial T2 images in rats have indicated a strong correspondence of axial MRI findings with microscopic histopathology and functional recovery.

Given the limitations of previous longitudinal MRI-based measures of intramedullary signal change and the paucity of axial T2 data on SCIs, we sought to develop a simple and reproducible classification system for blunt traumatic SCI that is based on the transverse extent of intramedullary T2-weighted MRI signal abnormality during the acute phase of injury. We hypothesized that such a classification system would reflect the functionally relevant anatomical distribution of pathological MRI signal changes and therefore yield valuable diagnostic and prognostic information. In this study, we aimed to assess the reliability and validity of this MRI-based classification system in a cohort of patients with blunt traumatic SCIs.

Methods

Patient Selection

We performed a retrospective chart review to evaluate the diagnostic and prognostic values of axial T2-weighted MRI findings for rating the severity of acute SCIs in patients admitted to San Francisco General Hospital, a Level I trauma center, between January 2005 and December 2011. This study was approved by the internal review board of the University of California. Patients’ records were reviewed in a Department of Neurosurgery database and in cross-referencing trauma logs, with searchable terms and by using electronic medical records (San Francisco, CA). From this database, we retrospectively identified the records of 131 patients who had a principal diagnosis of SCI (codes 953–957 designating discharge diagnoses) according to the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM). Of these patients, 92 had cervical levels of injury, 60 of whom met this study’s inclusion criteria.

To be eligible for this study, patients had to be 18 years of age or older; had to have an MRI examination performed within 48 hours of admission which, at a minimum, included T2-weighted images of the cervical spine in both the axial and sagittal planes; and had to have a documented AIS grading performed both at the time of admission and at a follow-up (performed at the time of discharge from the acute-care hospital). We excluded patients younger than 18 years; those with an SCI related to penetrating trauma or with imaging evidence of complete spinal cord transection; and those with MRI studies degraded by motion or other artifacts such that T2-weighted images were nondiagnostic as assessed by a neuroradiologist (J.F.T.). Patients who underwent surgical decompression, fusion, or both before the MRI examination were also excluded. SCI-trained physiatrists and neurosurgical and neurocritical care attending physicians performed the AIS grading. All eligible patients’ AIS grades were obtained within 24 hours of admission and before the MRI examination.

MRI Studies

All MRI studies were performed on a 1.5 T GE Genesis Signa scanner (GE Healthcare). Axial T2-weighted fast spin echo imaging was performed with the following parameters (means ± SDs from 10 randomly selected examinations): TR 3590 ± 546 msec, TE 94.9 ± 10 msec, slice thickness 3 mm, and echo train length 16 ± 4. Sagittal T2-weighted fast spin echo imaging was performed
with the following parameters: TR 3300 ± 290 msec, TE 102 ± 3 msec, slice thickness 3 mm, and echo train length 15 ± 3. For both sagittal and axial T2 imaging, the acquisition matrix was 256 × 256. The phase encoding direction was left to right for the axial sequences and craniocaudal for the sagittal sequences. The field of view ranged from 16 to 20 cm. Additional sequences performed as part of our routine trauma MRI protocol were not evaluated for the purposes of this study. An axial 2D multiecho combined gradient echo sequence from a single normal patient was used as a control reference for identifying margins of gray matter at the upper, mid, and lower cervical levels.

Image Analysis and BASIC Scoring

Axial and sagittal T2-weighted MRI sequences were examined by a fellowship-trained neuroradiologist (J.F.T.) and a spine fellowship–trained neurosurgeon (S.S.D.), who were both blinded to the AIS grade. The epicenter of the SCI was located on the axial T2-weighted sequence and confirmed by cross-referencing with the sagittal T2-weighted sequence. A single axial image with the most severe SCI was identified for the scoring. The Brain and Spinal Injury Center (BASIC) scoring was performed according to the observations outlined in Fig. 1. Briefly, an SCI with a BASIC score of 0 represented normal spinal cord T2 relaxivity without appreciable pathological intramedullary signal. A BASIC score of 1 represented cases in which a pathological T2 hyperintensity was approximately confined to the spinal gray matter (Fig. 2). A BASIC score of 2 was assigned when a pathological intramedullary T2 hyperintensity extended beyond the margins of the central gray matter and obscured the gray-white margins, but did not involve the entire transverse extent of the spinal cord. For these cases, some peripheral normal-appearing white matter was identified. A BASIC score of 3 was assigned when the pathological T2 hyperintensity involved the entire transverse extent of the spinal cord, without any residual normal-appearing white matter. An SCI with a BASIC score of 4 was defined as a BASIC Score 3 injury with additional superimposed discrete foci of intramedullary T2 hypointensity attributed to the presence of macroscopic intramedullary hemorrhage.

The SCIs with BASIC scores of 0, 1, and 2 could be elevated by a single score if a macroscopic hemorrhage was present, although no such cases were identified in our patient cohort. For example, a BASIC score of 2 with the presence of macroscopic hemorrhage would be elevated to BASIC score of 3.

Image Processing

Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) images from our university picture archiving and communication system (PACS; Agfa Healthcare) were annotated and cropped for figure production with ImageJ software (available at http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij and developed by Wayne Rasband at NIH). We produced 3D-color surface plots of T2-weighted images with an interactive 3D-surface plot plugin for ImageJ. These surface plots were used only for figure production and were not used for primary image analysis or interrater reliability testing.

Interrater Reliability Testing Protocol

Interrater reliability was assessed by measuring the mean and SD of scores assigned by multiple raters review-
A familiarization with the normal spinal cord gray matter morphology at rostral (C1–C2), middle (C3–C6), and caudal (C7–T1) cervical vertebral levels is important for rating an SCI as BASIC Score 1. A: Axial multi-echo recombinant gradient echo (MERGE) image of the normal spinal cord clearly indicates the normal gray matter morphology at the upper, middle, and lower cervical vertebral levels. B: Manual segmentation of cervical spinal cord gray matter based on an axial MERGE images in A with the peripheral cord contour delineated in yellow. Note the large frontal horns related to the cervical enlargement at the C3–6 vertebral levels.

FIG. 2. Familiarity with the normal spinal cord gray matter morphology at rostral (C1–C2), middle (C3–C6), and caudal (C7–T1) cervical vertebral levels is important for rating an SCI as BASIC Score 1. A: Axial multi-echo recombinant gradient echo (MERGE) image of the normal spinal cord clearly indicates the normal gray matter morphology at the upper, middle, and lower cervical vertebral levels. B: Manual segmentation of cervical spinal cord gray matter based on an axial MERGE images in A with the peripheral cord contour delineated in yellow. Note the large frontal horns related to the cervical enlargement at the C3–6 vertebral levels. C: Axial T2-weighted images from the epicenters of BASIC Score 1 SCIs at the upper, middle, and lower cervical vertebral levels. Note that the T2 hyperintensity represents the approximate boundaries of spinal cord gray matter for each cervical level. Figure is available in color online only.

ing 20 MRI studies chosen to represent all parts of the BASIC rating scale; the reliability testing was similar to that in the development of the scale established by Basso, Beattie, and Bresnahan. Seven participating raters were instructed in the rating during an initial training session in which they were shown MRI studies of a range of SCIs and the method of scoring was explained. The specialties of training of the participants included neuroradiology, neurosurgery, emergency medicine, neuroanatomy, and anesthesiology. The rating of individual images was then practiced in concurrent discussions, followed by each participant silently rating the observations on the MRI studies and then comparing and discussing their scores with those of the instructors.

After the training, each rater was presented with a series of DICOM images including both the injury epicenter and adjacent normal-appearing spinal cord from 20 separate cases from our cohort with SCIs representing all levels of the BASIC scale. The cases were presented in random order. Also provided to each rater were a set of data-recording sheets, an overview of the project background and goals, a set of frequently asked questions with answers, and a score determination guide for ease of assigning scores. All participants then individually examined the 20 images and scored each of them within 20 seconds according to the descriptions provided. The data sheets were then collected, analyzed, and compared with a consensus score for each image, arrived at by the original scale developers’ viewing, discussing, and arriving at the consensus score for each image. This consensus score was determined after all raters (including the experienced raters) had completed and submitted their independent ratings of the images.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with a commercial software package (SPSS Inc.). Statistical correlation between the BASIC score and AIS grades at both admission and discharge were evaluated with the Pearson correlation coefficient. The differences in BASIC scores among the AIS improvement groups were analyzed with 2-tailed Student t-tests. Statistical significance was determined as p < 0.05.

A statistical analysis of the reliability of the BASIC classification system among different observers against the consensus scores was performed with the Kappa coefficient (κ). As described by Landis and Koch, a κ of > 0.8 was interpreted as excellent reliability. The unidimensional nature of the BASIC score was assessed on all cases by all raters with exploratory factor analysis with the principal component extraction method.

Results

Patient Characteristics

Table 1 shows the demographic and clinical characteristics of our cohort of 60 patients. Table 2 lists the complete admission and discharge AIS data for our entire cohort. All of the SCIs resulted from blunt trauma, and 17 of the patients (28%) presented with complete injury (that is, AIS Grade A). The patients were predominantly male (70%) with a mean age of 56 years (range 18–94 years) (Table 1). The most frequent injury mechanism was fall (53%), followed by motor vehicle collision (15%), bicycle accident (10%), assault (8%), pedestrian versus automobile accident (7%), and other or nonspecified mechanism (7%) (Table 1). The mean length of time between the hospital admission and the spine MRI was 8.6 ± 6 hours (range 1–39 hours). The patients were examined at the sole Level I trauma center within a dense urban catchment area where the time from injury to admission at our institution is on average less than 60 minutes. In total, 51 patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. Characteristics of the patients in this study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age in yrs ± SD (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex M/F (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury mechanism, no. of patients (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall or jump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicle collision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle accident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assault</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian vs automobile accident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to MRI in hrs ± SD (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean time to discharge in days ± SD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(85%) underwent an MRI examination within 12 hours of the hospital admission, and only 1 patient (2%) underwent the examination more than 24 hours after admission. For those patients admitted to the hospital, the average length of hospitalization was 23 days (range 4–128 days).

**MRI Findings**

Axial and sagittal T2-weighted MRI sequences indicated intramedullary signal abnormalities in 48 (80%) of the 60 patients. In all patients, 5 distinct patterns of intramedullary signal were identified on the axial T2-weighted sequence at the injury epicenter (Fig. 1). In 12 patients (20%), no apparent signal abnormality was observed, and their SCI finding received a BASIC score of 0. In 16 (27%) of the patients, a T2 signal hyperintensity was observed that largely conformed to the expected morphology of the central spinal gray matter; therefore, these patients’ SCI was rated as BASIC Score 1. In 18 patients (30%), we observed a pattern of intramedullary T2 hyperintensity at the injury epicenter that extended beyond and obscured the expected margins of the central gray matter, but did not involve the entire transverse extent of the spinal cord on axial imaging; their injuries were therefore rated BASIC Score 2. In 9 patients (15%), an SCI resulting in diffuse intramedullary T2 hyperintensity that involved the transverse extent of the cord was rated as BASIC Score 3. The remaining 5 patients (8%) had SCIs that resulted in diffuse T2 hyperintensity with superimposed discrete foci of T2 hypointensity, consistent with intramedullary hemorrhage, and their SCI severities were rated as BASIC Score 4. None of the patients showed evidence for macroscopic hemorrhage in the absence of diffuse transverse T2 hyperintensity.

**BASIC Score Strongly Correlates With Admission AIS Grade**

We observed a highly significant correlation between the AIS grade at the time of admission and the morphological pattern of intramedullary signal abnormality as rated by the BASIC score on the admission MRI study. Figure 3 graphically displays the linear correlation between the AIS grade and the BASIC score at admission. Along the severe spectrum of an acute SCI, a BASIC score of 3 or 4 was nearly always associated with an admission AIS grade of A, that is, in 13 (76%) of the 17 patients with an admission AIS Grade A. Among the 43 patients with an AIS grade less severe than A, only 1 patient (2%) had a BASIC score of 3, and none had a BASIC score of 4. A BASIC score of 4 was always observed with an SCI rated as AIS Grade A at admission. Thus, a high BASIC score, that is, of 3 or 4, was specific for severe injury at admission.

On the mild end of the SCI severity spectrum, an SCI with a BASIC score of 1 or 0 was never observed in patients with an AIS grade of A or B on admission. A BASIC score of 0 (that is, a normal cord signal) was entirely limited to patients with an admission AIS grade of D or E.

**BASIC Score Strongly Correlates With AIS Grade at Discharge**

The correlation between the AIS grade at the time of discharge and the BASIC score based on the morphological pattern of intramedullary signal abnormality on the admission MRI study was also highly significant. Figure 4 displays the linear correlation between the admission AIS grade at discharge and the BASIC score. Figure 5 shows a plot of the admission and discharge AIS grades for all patients stratified by the 5 BASIC score groups. Of 12 patients with an SCI rated as BASIC Score 0, 11 (92%) were discharged with an AIS Grade D, with the remaining single patient discharged with AIS Grade C. All 16 patients with a BASIC score of 1 were discharged with an AIS grade of D or E. Of 18 patients with a BASIC score of 2, 16 (88%) were discharged with an AIS grade of C or D. Among 9 patients with a BASIC score of 3, 6 (67%) were discharged with an AIS grade of A or C. All 4 patients with a BASIC score of 4 were discharged with an AIS grade of A.

**TABLE 2. The AIS grades of the 60 patients in this study at admission and at discharge**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AIS Grade</th>
<th>No. of Patients (%)</th>
<th>Admission</th>
<th>Discharge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>17 (28%)</td>
<td>9 (15%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>7 (12%)</td>
<td>4 (7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>10 (17%)</td>
<td>10 (17%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>18 (30%)</td>
<td>20 (33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>8 (13%)</td>
<td>17 (28%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
At the time of discharge, 8 (47%) of the 17 patients with an admission AIS grade of A improved by at least one AIS grade. The BASIC scores among AIS Grade A patients whose condition did not improve were significantly higher than among those who did improve by at least one AIS grade (3.6 ± 0.5 vs 2.6 ± 0.5, respectively, p < 0.01; Fig. 6).

**BASIC Score and Interobserver Reliability**

The mean and median \( \kappa \) scores for all raters were 0.83 and 0.81, respectively (both \( p < 0.00001 \)), relative to the consensus score, consistent with excellent reliability and reproducibility. A factor analysis with principal component analysis indicated that the BASIC score represented a unidimensional outcome, with high correspondence among the 7 raters (Table 3 and Fig. 7).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we sought to classify the severity of an acute SCI according to the transverse extent of signal abnormalities as qualitatively assessed on a single axial T2-weighted MR image centered at the lesion epicenter. Specifically, we introduce a 5-point (ranging from 0 to 4) ordinal classification system, which encompasses the spectrum of SCI severity, from a normal-appearing spinal cord to a diffusely abnormal cord signal hyperintensity with superimposed macroscopic intramedullary hemorrhage (Fig. 1). We excluded cord transection injuries from consideration because of the distinct and easily distinguished imaging pattern associated with this SCI type. The proposed BASIC score builds on previously described MRI-based systems for classifying acute traumatic SCIs, and in our analyses it strongly correlated with AIS grades at the hospital admission for the SCI and at discharge (Figs. 3 and 4). Moreover, the BASIC score stratifies the SCIs on the basis of the anatomically and functionally relevant extent of transverse injury. It may help identify those patients who present with the most severe clinical injury (that is, with AIS Grade A) and who will improve by at least one AIS grade by the time of discharge (Fig. 6).

Both human and animal studies have demonstrated that the transverse extent of an SCI and relative white matter sparing are major determinants of functional outcomes.\(^5_6,16,18,22\) To our knowledge, the present study is the first to correlate clinical symptoms and outcomes with the...
transverse extent of MRI T2 signal abnormality in the axial plane in humans. Rather than arbitrary measurements of the longitudinal extent of signal abnormality in the sagittal plane, axial imaging enables the definition of anatomically relevant spinal involvement in a graded manner. With an SCI severity rated as BASIC Score 1, T2 hyperintensity is approximately confined to the spinal gray matter. The relatively good clinical outcomes at discharge for patients with a BASIC score of 1 in our study (all of these patients were discharged with an AIS grade of D or E) suggest such signal abnormality does not reflect significant coagulative necrosis or irreversible frontal horn disruption, but more likely represents vasogenic edema, as has been suggested by other authors.9,31

When a T2 hyperintense signal extended beyond the approximate confines of gray matter (that is, in patients with BASIC scores of 2–4), patients had a worse prognosis (Fig. 5). Importantly, distinguishing patients who have some spared white matter signal (a BASIC score of 2) from those with diffuse transverse T2 hyperintensity (a BASIC score of 3) allows for identifying those patients whose SCIs would all be classified as having multilevel hyperintensity according to previous sagittal T2 signal grading systems.4 Our observations of a functionally relevant distinction between SCIs rated as BASIC Score 2 or 3 are consistent with preclinical data, and this corroboration highlights the important role of spared white matter in predicting outcomes.6,17,18,22 In our cohort, patients with a BASIC score of 2 fared better than those with a BASIC score of 3, with 88% of BASIC Score 2 patients achieving an AIS grade of C or D and no AIS Grade A at discharge, as opposed to 67% of BASIC Score 3 patients discharged with AIS Grade A or B (Fig. 5).

Consistent with results based on previous classification systems,3,12,24,27 the presence of macroscopic intramedullary hemorrhages in our cohort predicted a poor prognosis. All of the patients with a BASIC score of 4 were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rater No.</th>
<th>Principal Component 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consensus score*</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The consensus score of 20 images used for the interrater testing was collaboratively arrived at by the 2 developers of the BASIC score scale.
discharged with an unchanged AIS Grade A. Of note, we did not use gradient- or susceptibility-weighted sequences, which have demonstrated increased sensitivity to intramedullary blood products. Further studies are required to evaluate the prognostic value of these more sensitive susceptibility-weighted sequences. Importantly, not all of the patients presenting with AIS Grade A had evidence of macroscopic hemorrhage or diffuse axial T2 hyperintensity. We observed that some patients who presented clinically with complete injury had BASIC scores that suggested a less severe injury (Fig. 6).

Less severe BASIC scores were more commonly observed in those patients with AIS Grade A SCIs that improved by at least one AIS grade by the time of discharge. Thus, the BASIC score discriminated between AIS Grade A patients at presentation whose condition improved by at least one AIS grade by the time of discharge, and those who showed no improvement as assessed by the AIS grading (Fig. 6). While longer-term follow-up and prospective data are needed to corroborate these preliminary results, the present data suggest that the BASIC score may be very helpful in identifying those patients who are the best candidates for clinical trials of experimental higher-risk invasive procedures such as intramedullary injection of stem cells or devices.

The slightly older demographic of the patients in our cohort differs from the typical demographics reported for patients with acute traumatic SCIs. This shift represents a trend we have observed for all SCIs at our institution, with an older second peak in SCI patients after a fall. This appears to reflect the specific population demographic of the San Francisco Bay area. Similar trends have been recently reported in the Canadian population. Although a demographic subgroup analysis was not performed, no notable differences in patterns of transverse intramedullary T2 signal hyperintensity among age group or injury mechanisms were observed. However, to validate the BASIC score, future studies including larger patient populations across geographic regions are warranted.

Limitations

There are limitations to the current study, including its retrospective design, variable timing of the acute-phase MRI, and a relatively short clinical follow-up. In addition, interrater reliability testing was not performed directly at a PACS station but rather in a group setting with presentation of index images selected by a neuroradiologist from the injury epicenter and from normal spinal cord. In our opinion, it is in fact easier to assign a BASIC score by scrolling through the axial and sagittal MRI studies on a dedicated PACS station, as is the typical practice followed by most spine surgeons and radiologists. Prospective validation studies with long-term follow-up are planned to validate these preliminary data. An additional limitation is the subjectivity of our classification system. Although qualitative and subjective in nature, the BASIC score scale demonstrated excellent interrater reliability (mean k score = 0.83) across observers with varied expertise. Moreover, it can be performed rapidly without performing manual measurements or time-consuming image postprocessing. Axial T2-weighted imaging is routinely performed as part of MRI protocols for cervical spine trauma and as a recommended sequence for acute spinal cord MRI protocols according to the SCI Common Data Elements of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS). Therefore, a modification of existing protocols is not required. One limitation of T2 signal–based MRI classification systems such as BASIC for SCI evaluation is the nonspecific nature of the T2 signal hyperintensity. This probably contributes, at least in part, to some of the variable clinical outcomes we observed in patients within each BASIC score group (Fig. 5).

Changes in T2 signals also depend on the timing of the MRI after an injury. Although we excluded all patients who underwent an MRI examination more than 48 hours after admission and even though 85% of our patients had MRI within 12 hours of admission, the variable timing of the MRI examination within our selected time interval
also probably influenced the patterns observed on T2 signal abnormalities in the setting of a rapidly evolving acute SCI. Further studies evaluating the optimal timing of MRI examinations for prognostic purposes during the acute phase of SCI are needed. Despite these limitations and when compared with previous classification systems, the BASIC score has excellent prognostic capability, particularly for patients with intermediate injury severity. Advanced MRI techniques, including diffusion tensor imaging, magnetization transfer imaging, MR spectroscopy, and functional MRI have shown varying potentials as noninvasive functional biomarkers for SCI. The prognostic superiority of these techniques to standard T2-weighted imaging will need to be established before its routine clinical implementation. The BASIC score for SCIs may represent one standard for such future comparisons.

Conclusions

We present a novel, simple, and reliable classification system for grading acute blunt traumatic SCIs on the basis of the pattern of T2 signal abnormalities as assessed in the axial plane at the injury epicenter. The BASIC scale has excellent prognostic potential across all SCI severities. These preliminary data suggest that the BASIC score will help distinguish patients who present with an AIS Grade A that improves before discharge from those who will not recover significant function. The proposed classification system builds on the previous literature and may provide prognostic stratification of patients with SCIs by reflecting functionally and anatomically significant patterns of T2 hyperintensity in the axial plane, which is not dependent on arbitrary measures of longitudinal signal abnormality. Future prospective and well-controlled studies are needed to further validate the prognostic value of the BASIC score.
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