Multimodal evaluation of CSF dynamics following extradural decompression for Chiari malformation Type I
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OBJECT Extrudal decompression is a minimally invasive technique for treating Chiari malformation Type I (CM-I) that avoids the complications of dural opening. While there is no agreement on which surgical method is optimal, mounting evidence demonstrates that extradural decompression effectively treats clinical symptoms, with a minimal reoperation rate. Neurological symptoms such as headache may be related to obstructed flow of CSF, and one aspect of successful extradural decompression is improved CSF dynamics. In this series, the authors report on their use of phase-contrast cine flow MRI to assess CSF flow as well as satisfactory decompression.

METHODS The authors describe their first surgical series of 18 patients with CM-I undergoing extradural decompression and correlate clinical improvement with radiological changes. Patients were categorized as having complete, partial, or no resolution of their symptoms. Posterior fossa area, cisterna magna area, and tonsillar herniation were assessed on T2-weighted MRI, whereas improvement of CSF flow was evaluated with phase-contrast cine flow MRI. All patients received standard pre- and postoperative MRI studies; 8 (44.4%) patients had pre- and postoperative phase-contrast cine, while the rest underwent cine studies only postoperatively.

RESULTS All 18 patients presented with symptomatic CM-I, with imaging studies demonstrating tonsillar herniation ≥ 5 mm, and 2 patients had associated syringomyelia. All patients underwent suboccipital decompression and C-1 laminectomy with splitting of the dura. Patients with complete resolution of their symptoms had a greater relative increase in cisterna magna area compared with those with only partial improvement (p = 0.022). In addition, in those with complete improvement the preoperative cisterna magna area was smaller than in those who had either partial (0.020) or no (0.025) improvement. Ten (91%) of the 11 patients with improved flow also had improvement in their symptoms. There was 1 postoperative complication of dysphagia and dysphonia. None of the patients have required a second operation.

CONCLUSIONS Extradural decompression has the potential to be the first-line treatment for CM-I but has been lacking an objective measure by which to assess surgical success as well as the need for reoperation. An increase in the CSF spaces and improved CSF dynamics may be associated with resolution of clinical symptoms. Including cine imaging as part of routine pre- and postoperative evaluation can help identify which patients are most likely to benefit from surgery.
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fourth ventricle and syrinx to improve CSF dynamics.\textsuperscript{20} However, these techniques are not without complications, which are often related to penetrating the dura or bleeding.\textsuperscript{9}

First described in 1993 by Isu et al., extradural CM-I decompression—that is, the removal of only the outer layer of the dura mater—is an alternative surgical technique that avoids the risks of CSF leak and vascular injury, while allowing decompression of the expandable inner dural layer.\textsuperscript{7,13} In this dura-splitting technique, there must be 2 identifiable dural leaflets, with an extensive outer leaflet resection performed to allow relaxation of the inner dural layer.\textsuperscript{15} In CM-I, symptoms can recur even with adequate surgical intervention of any kind,\textsuperscript{15,17} and therefore the surgeon must ensure sufficient execution of surgical technique to minimize potential treatment failure. Given that a significant portion of the underlying pathology may be secondary to CSF flow obstruction,\textsuperscript{21} cine flow MRI provides an important tool to assess CSF flow. With this, we show that phase-contrast cine flow MRI can contribute to the preoperative evaluation as well as corroborate whether a satisfactory decompression has occurred.

In this report, we describe our first experience of 18 patients with CM-I undergoing extradural decompression, for whom we assessed posterior fossa decompression as well as CSF dynamics using both T2-weighted and phase-contrast cine flow MRI. We believe that cine flow imaging to evaluate CSF flow will become increasingly useful for the multimodal evaluation of a successful extradural decompression.

Methods

Patient Population

Eighteen patients with CM-I were treated surgically by the senior author (M.L.D.). Their age at admission ranged from 10 to 50 years (mean 28.8 years). Three (16.7%) of the 18 patients were male, and 15 (83.3%) were female (Table 1).

Neurological Assessment

Symptoms and results of clinical examinations were obtained from a retrospective review of the surgeon's clinic notes. Functional grade was determined using a grading scale previously reported by Noudel et al.\textsuperscript{19} Patients received an initial postoperative follow-up visit in the clinic between 5 and 40 days (mean 16.27 days), with continual follow-up at several-month intervals thereafter. Clinical improvement was determined by an author who only assessed clinical symptoms and was blinded to the radiological results. Patients’ symptoms were considered to have improved if symptom improvement was clearly documented in any postoperative clinic notes. Patients were categorized as having no, partial, or complete resolution of their symptoms based on their last documented visit.

Radiological Studies

All radiological measurements and assessment were performed by an author who was blinded to the clinical symptoms and outcome. The diagnosis of CM-I was made using MRI and was defined as tonsillar herniation of at least 5 mm below the level of the foramen magnum. Posterior fossa and cisterna magna area were measured using T2-weighted imaging at the midsagittal plane. The method for determining posterior fossa area was based on previously reported methods of measuring posterior fossa\textsuperscript{19} and cisterna magna\textsuperscript{23} volumes. Narrowing of CSF spaces anterior and posterior to the spinal cord was assessed on T2-weighted MRI. Postoperative improvement in CSF flow was demonstrated by comparing T2 signal on pre- and postoperative images. Phase-contrast cine flow imaging was compared pre- and postoperatively, when available, and assessed on all patients postoperatively to confirm adequate CSF flow. Postoperative MRI with cine was obtained approximately 3 months after surgery.

Surgical Technique

All 18 patients underwent extradural surgical CM-I decompression in the prone position. A standard suboccipital craniectomy was performed to ensure a wide decompression of the cerebellar hemispheres, brainstem, and midline structures. In all cases, a C-1 laminectomy was also performed to decompress the cervical spinal cord. The atlanto-occipital ligament was divided, and the underlying outer dural leaflet was incised and reflected radially, while maintaining the integrity of the inner leaflet of the dura. Closure was then performed in a standard multilayer fashion, similar to that used in intradural procedures, to guard against any potential CSF leak not noted intraoperatively.

Statistical Analysis

A univariate analysis was used to compare groups, and p < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Demographic Characteristics, Presenting Ailments, and Preoperative Radiographic Assessment

As stated earlier, there were 3 males (16.7%) and 15 females (83.3%) in our patient group, with their average age being 28.8 years (range 10–50 years). All 18 patients had headache as a presenting symptom, with subtypes including Valsalva/exertional, cluster, migraine, and tension; the locations were frontal, temporal, and occipital. Notably, many patients initially had multiple headache types. Additional reported symptoms included upper- and lower-extremity pain and/or numbness, neck pain, dizziness and vertigo, imbalance, visual symptoms, photosensitivity/photophobia, nausea, fatigue, and difficulty breathing as well as compressive symptoms such as dysphagia and hiccups (Table 2). Twelve patients reported exacerbation of their symptoms with coughing, sneezing, exertion, or other Valsalva-like maneuvers. Only 3 patients had Hoffman's and/or Lhermitte's signs. Other neurological findings included downbeating nystagmus (n = 4), hypophonia (n = 2), and diminished sensation in the extremities (n = 1). Four patients had pain with and restriction of neck movement. Patients’ functional grades ranged from normal findings to slight impairments (I) to disabled but with the persistence of autonomy (IV).

The posterior fossa area ranged from 2489.57 to...
3576.52 mm² (mean 2997.78 mm²). Notably, 10 patients (55.6%) had no measurable cisterna magna, with areas ranging from 0 to 15.53 mm² (mean 4.95 mm²). Cerebellar tonsillar herniation ranged from 4.5 to 20.99 mm (mean 9.86 mm). Two patients (11.1%) had syrinxes associated with their condition (Table 1).

Neurological Outcomes
Two (11.1%) of the 18 patients reported a complete resolution of their symptoms, 14 (77.8%) reported partial resolution, and 2 reported no improvement in their symptoms. Two patients had a recurrence of some symptoms that had initially improved. The latency to begin seeing an improvement in symptoms ranged from 7 days to 9 months. The change in functional grade following treatment ranged from no difference to an improvement of 3 grades. Patients' postoperative functional grades ranged from 0 to II.

Sixteen patients (88.9%) noted some improvement in their headaches. Six patients had complete resolution of their headaches, 5 had decreased frequency, 2 were able to control their headaches with over the counter analgesics, and 4 (including one of the patients with decreased headache frequency) had resolution of some, but not all, headache subtypes. Refractory headache types included migraine (n = 2), exertional headaches (n = 1), and temporally located headaches (n = 1). Other symptoms that were alleviated postoperatively included upper- and lower-extremity sensory changes (n = 7), neck pain (n = 4), dizziness/vertigo (n = 5), visual symptoms (n = 1), and dysphagia (n = 2) (Table 3).

Two patients did not report any improvements in symptoms within 1 year of follow-up. Patients who did not report immediate clinical improvement continued to experience headaches (n = 2), neck pain/stiffness (n = 2), dizziness (n = 1), and photophobia (n = 1). No patient has had a worsening of symptomatology following surgery.

Radiological Outcomes
Seventeen patients (94.4%) had an increase in posterior fossa area following decompression. The postoperative posterior fossa area ranged from 2746.23 to 3572.89 mm² (mean 3193.68 mm²) with an average increase of 209.31
mm² compared with preoperative areas (Table 4). All 18 patients had an increase in cisterna magna area following decompression, with an average change of 41.84 mm². The postoperative cisterna magna area ranged from 10.60 to 119.56 mm² (mean 33.90 mm²). Following decompression, tonsillar herniation ranged from 4 to 18.67 mm (mean 8.015 mm). Sixteen patients had an improvement in tonsillar herniation; in 1 patient there was no difference and 1 patient had a 0.3-mm worsening of herniation. Of the 18 patients, 6 (33.3%) demonstrated a postoperative improvement in tonsillar herniation ranging from 4 to 18.67 mm (mean 8.015 mm). Sixteen patients had an improvement in tonsillar herniation; in 1 patient there was no difference and 1 patient had a 0.3-mm worsening of herniation. Of the 2 patients with syringes, neither demonstrated resolution on 3-month postoperative imaging.

Preoperative T2-weighted imaging demonstrated restricted signal either anterior, posterior, or circumferentially around the cerebellar tonsils and spinal cord in 14 (77.8%) of 18 patients. Cine flow imaging was obtained pre- and postoperatively for 8 patients (44.4%) and only postoperatively for 10 patients (55.6%). Eleven patients (61.1%) demonstrated a postoperative improvement in CSF flow around the foramen magnum and/or cerebellar tonsils (Figs. 1 and 2). Four patients (22.2%) did not show a change in CSF dynamics following treatment, but had adequate flow on both pre- and postoperative imaging. Three patients (16.7%) continued to have restricted flow after decompression.

Neurological Outcome and Radiographic Correlation

We assessed patients’ radiological changes relative to their clinical improvement and change in functional grade. There was no statistically significant difference in the relative change in posterior fossa area between patients with no, partial, or complete improvement in their symptoms (p > 0.05). Patients with complete resolution of their symptoms had a significantly greater relative increase in their cisterna magna area following surgery than those with partial symptomatic improvement (p = 0.022) (Fig. 3). Patients with a complete resolution of their symptoms had significantly smaller cisterna magna areas preoperatively compared with those who had no (p = 0.025) or partial (p = 0.020) improvement (Fig. 4). The preoperative posterior fossa area was not significantly different between any of the groups.

Ten (91%) of the 11 patients with improved CSF dynamics also had either a partial or complete resolution of their symptoms. All 4 of the patients with adequate but unchanged CSF flow experienced at least a partial improvement in their symptoms. Two (66.7%) of the 3 patients who continued to have restricted flow postoperatively experienced a partial improvement, and the other had no change in clinical symptoms.

TABLE 3. Postoperative improvement in symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Headache (all types)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UE &amp;/or LE pain, numbness, or paresthesias</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck pain</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness/vertigo</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual symptoms</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were no significant effects of the degree of improvement in functional grade on radiological changes. One patient experienced a change of 3 grades (from Grade IV to Grade I); because she was the only patient to experience this substantial an improvement, she was excluded from the between-group comparisons.

Surgical Complications

There were no cases of CSF leak or postoperative infection (including meningitis). One patient experienced postoperative dysphagia and hypophonia, which were thought to be due to traumatic injury to her vocal cords during intubation. None of the patients, including those without clear symptom improvement, have since sought reoperation.

Discussion

Chiari malformation Type I is a common neurological ailment that often presents with headache and signs of brainstem compression, which is thought to be secondary to impairment in dynamic CSF flow. Patients with CM-I demonstrate a thickened dural band at the craniovertebral junction, with histological evidence of hyalinosis, calcification, and/or ossification. To restore CSF dynamics, surgical decompression is sought, with the mainstay of therapy being a posterior intradural decompression. In this case series, we demonstrate that an extradural decompression may be appropriate for increasing the important CSF spaces such that there is an improvement in CSF dynamics and consequently neurological symptoms.

Extradural Chiari Decompression is a Viable and Reproducibly Effective Procedure

Extradural decompression, while the most minimally invasive of the types of surgical decompression, nonetheless has been shown to be an effective technique for achieving symptom relief. Theoretically, the effectiveness of the dura-splitting technique depends on relieving the outer dural layer compression of the cord, and the expandability of the newly decompressed inner dural layer. Assessing the biomechanical properties of entire versus split dura mater, the former appears to be more fragile under stress, while the latter allows a greater volume of deformation at a lower stress level. In the case series by Isu et al., all 7 patients demonstrated CM-I and then underwent a suboccipital craniectomy and either a Cl–2 or C-1 laminectomy, followed by removal of the outer dural layer. The authors used intraoperative ultrasonography to confirm satisfactory decompression, as visualized by the pulsation of the cerebellar tonsils after removal of the outer dural layer. Six (86%) of the 7 patients experienced improvement in their neurological symptoms, and within several months all 7 patients had a reduction in their syrinx size. This is congruent with our series, in which 88.9% of the patients experienced either partial or complete improvement in their symptoms. Chauvet et al. reported on a series of 11 patients treated surgically with extradural decompression, who initially presented with various symptoms, including headache and/or neck pain, secondary to brainstem compression and/or syringomyelia. All 11 pa-

J Neurosurg Spine Volume 22 • June 2015 625

Unauthenticated | Downloaded 05/15/22 10:47 AM UTC
### TABLE 4. Summary of radiological and clinical treatment responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Preop PFA (mm²)</th>
<th>Postop PFA (mm²)</th>
<th>Relative PFA Increase*</th>
<th>Preop PFA Increase (mm²)</th>
<th>Postop PFA Increase (mm²)</th>
<th>Relative CMA Increase*</th>
<th>Preop CMA (mm²)</th>
<th>Postop CMA (mm²)</th>
<th>Postop CMA Increase (mm²)</th>
<th>Relative CMA Increase</th>
<th>Preop Change in Tonsillar Herniation (mm)</th>
<th>Postop Change in Tonsillar Herniation (mm)</th>
<th>Change in CSF Dynamics</th>
<th>Functional Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28, F</td>
<td>3256.11</td>
<td>3313.33</td>
<td>57.22</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>13.89</td>
<td>10.12</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>−0.9</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>P+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>32, F</td>
<td>2807.75</td>
<td>3064.55</td>
<td>256.8</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>63.33</td>
<td>53.94</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−0.5</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21, M</td>
<td>3576.52</td>
<td>3564.79</td>
<td>−11.73</td>
<td>−0.003</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>51.29</td>
<td>41.34</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−3.8</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>17, F</td>
<td>3347.82</td>
<td>3572.89</td>
<td>225.07</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>10.78</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>−2.61</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>P++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>34, F</td>
<td>3498.78</td>
<td>3559.37</td>
<td>60.59</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>40.91</td>
<td>34.65</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>−0.29</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>39, F</td>
<td>2918.87</td>
<td>3194.07</td>
<td>275.2</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>P++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>42, F</td>
<td>2523.1</td>
<td>2866.31</td>
<td>343.21</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>20.99</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>−2.32</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>50, F</td>
<td>2847.72</td>
<td>3074.21</td>
<td>226.49</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>14.97</td>
<td>14.97</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>15.84</td>
<td>−1.91</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>14, F</td>
<td>2489.57</td>
<td>3015.68</td>
<td>526.11</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td>11.88</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>P+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>44, F</td>
<td>2581.62</td>
<td>2727.73</td>
<td>191.11</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>15.53</td>
<td>29.69</td>
<td>14.16</td>
<td>0.477</td>
<td>13.34</td>
<td>−0.34</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>P+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>29, M</td>
<td>3127.76</td>
<td>3132.52</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>39.99</td>
<td>30.28</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>−0.63</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>P++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10, F</td>
<td>2919.87</td>
<td>3169.25</td>
<td>249.38</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>67.33</td>
<td>67.33</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>N†</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>P++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>33, F</td>
<td>2843.07</td>
<td>2726.14</td>
<td>381.09</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>63.45</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>−0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>P++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>30, F</td>
<td>2538.6</td>
<td>2746.23</td>
<td>207.63</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>14.44</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>P+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15, F</td>
<td>3391.44</td>
<td>3546.79</td>
<td>155.35</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>119.56</td>
<td>119.56</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−3</td>
<td>N†</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>19, F</td>
<td>2844.41</td>
<td>3040.92</td>
<td>196.51</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>67.80</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>N†</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>42, F</td>
<td>2868.91</td>
<td>2997.54</td>
<td>128.63</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>33.39</td>
<td>33.39</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>20, M</td>
<td>3237.19</td>
<td>3531.29</td>
<td>294.10</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>74.40</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>N†</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>P++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C = complete improvement; CMA = cisterna magna area; P = partial improvement; PFA = posterior fossa area; P+ = slight improvement; P++ = good improvement.

* Relative increase was calculated as the difference between the post- and preoperative values divided by the postoperative value (postop – preop)/postop.

† Four patients did not show a change in CSF dynamics following treatment, but had adequate flow on both pre- and postoperative imaging.
Patients experienced some improvement in symptoms, with 6 (54.5%) having complete resolution. There were no fluid leaks or collections in the postoperative site and no cases of aseptic meningitis. Postoperative MRI showed that 10 (91%) of the 11 patients had extensive decompression, with reestablishment of the cisterna magna in 4 patients, which is consistent with our findings. In our series, all 18 patients had an increase in cisterna magna area following extradural decompression. Furthermore, we found that patients with a complete resolution of their symptoms had a significantly greater increase in relative cisterna magna area compared with those with partial resolution of their symptoms. This difference did not hold true for the absolute increase in cisterna magna area. In fact, patients with complete symptom relief had a significantly smaller preoperative cisterna magna area compared with those patients with either partial or no symptom improvement. Our results suggest that if symptom relief is desired, adequate restoration of CSF spaces should be the goal of satisfactory decompression.

Extradural Decompression is Safer and More Cost Effective

When treating patients with CM-I without syringomyelia, some authors recommend posterior fossa decompression with external (partial-thickness) durotomy, and proceeding with intradural exploration only when adequate CSF flow cannot be achieved. In extradural decompression, some suggest using intraoperative ultrasonography to determine adequate CSF flow, whereas with intradural techniques it is difficult to assess CSF flow intraoperatively. While there is no consensus on which surgical technique is more effective, an external decompression via splitting of the dura avoids the complications of a dura-penetrating craniectomy and laminectomy, where there is the potential for CSF leak and pseudomeningocele formation as well as meningitis. The need for potential reoperation given inadequate symptom resolution is balanced by the lower complication rate. However, others argue that the complication rate using an intradural opening with duraplasty is near negligible. In a comparison of duraplasty with intradural manipulation and dural splitting, the latter led to faster operating times, shorter hospital stays, and therefore lower total hospital costs while yielding comparable clinical results. More specifically, dural splitting in patients with CM-I without syringomyelia was deemed to be safer and more cost effective, not including the cost savings from the reduction in overall complications.

Preoperative Assessment and Prediction of Surgical Success—a Multimodal Approach

Various techniques to assess the severity of compression, tonsillar herniation, and flow obstruction have been described to both predict and determine operative success. In their series of 11 patients undergoing intradural decompression without duraplasty, Noudel et al. measured the increase in posterior fossa volume (PFV) and correlated it with clinical outcomes. They found that the degree of PFV increase positively correlated with symptomatic improvement (p = 0.014). There was a greater in-

![Preoperative (A–D) and postoperative (E–H) sagittal MR images obtained in a representative patient with clinical and radiological improvement; T2-weighted (A and E), composite cine (B and F), phase-in cine (systole) (C and G), and phase-out cine (diastole) (D and H). Postoperative T2-weighted imaging (E) demonstrates some improvement in tonsillar herniation. Postoperative composite (F), phase-in (G), and phase-out (H) cine demonstrate robust flow at the cervicomedullary junction and around the tonsils as well as improved biphasic flow. Flow in the cerebral aqueduct is minimally improved, and a blush of flow is now noted in the fourth ventricle.](image-url)
crease in PFV in patients with a smaller starting volume, and an increase of at least 15% was needed for a complete recovery. The authors further suggest that an optimal PFV increase could be predicted to improve patient symptoms. We did not find a correlation between posterior fossa area and symptom responsiveness, although this may be related to our dura-splitting technique and the fact that both layers of the dura were not opened in our series.

While traditional imaging techniques may be employed to assess the degree of tonsillar herniation and thus identify CM-I, they may not be sufficient when evaluating whether to operate. After all, a “ball-valve” mechanism exacerbated by Valsalva-like maneuvers may be responsible for a dynamic obstruction of CSF flow and subsequent headache symptoms. Dynamic imaging studies therefore provide additional utility in assessing the degree of impairment. Some authors describe using ultrasonography with color Doppler imaging in addition to MRI in order to demonstrate anatomical compression as well as impaction of CSF flow.

Phase-contrast cine MRI is an alternative study with even more clinical utility, given that a flow-sensitive pulse demonstrates the amplitude and direction of CSF and

**FIG. 2.** Preoperative (A) and postoperative (E) T2-weighted sagittal MR images indicating the level of preoperative (B–D) and postoperative (F–H) axial cine flow MR images in a representative patient with clinical and radiological improvement. Composite cine (B and F), phase-in cine (systole) (C and G), and phase-out cine (diastole) (D and H).

**FIG. 3.** Relative increase in cisterna magna area (CMA) across clinical improvement levels (no improvement, partial improvement, and complete improvement).

**FIG. 4.** Preoperative cisterna magna area across clinical improvement levels.
blood flow relative to anatomical structures. Cine flow imaging may have the greatest utility in an asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic patient with an incidental finding of tonsillar herniation, when surgical treatment based only on abnormal anatomy has uncertain benefit. While traditional MRI is unable to capture Valsalva-related changes in CSF flow, cine flow can measure the more dynamic fluctuations relevant to patients with CSF obstruction. Although we were only able to obtain preoperative cine flow images for 8 (44.4%) of 18 patients in this study, multiple studies have demonstrated that cine flow MRI has additional value for evaluating which patients are most likely to benefit from surgical intervention. Patients with Chiari malformation demonstrate abnormal CSF flow dynamics on cine compared with healthy controls, and cine parameters can be used to identify those with the disease. Ventura et al. showed that symptomatology correlated well with abnormal cine flow imaging. Asymptomatic patients almost invariably had normal findings on cine flow studies and did best without surgical treatment. Conversely, symptomatic patients treated with suboccipital decompression with duraplasty had improvement of symptoms, as well as improvement on postoperative imaging. Patients with abnormal CSF flow on preoperative cine are the most likely to have maximal benefit from surgical treatment, as these patients are less likely to have symptom recurrence. Of the 14 patients who had restricted flow preoperatively in our series, 12 (85.7%) had either a partial or complete improvement in their symptoms. Nevertheless, of the 11 patients with improved flow, 10 reported at least a partial improvement in their symptoms. This suggests that restoration of CSF dynamics may be nonetheless beneficial. One limitation of cine flow MRI is that it only measures dependent flow, as the patient is lying down during the study. It is therefore possible that some patients may falsely show adequate flow that would otherwise be compromised in the standing position.

Conclusions
Extradural decompression provides the benefits of surgical decompression while avoiding the complications of intradural techniques. The dura-splitting surgical technique has the potential to be the first-line treatment for CM-I. Our data suggest that surgical enlargement of CSF spaces along with either adequate or improved CSF dynamics allows for the greatest chance of success following extradural decompression. Patients with either absent or severely reduced cisterna magna areas in addition to restricted flow might be the most likely to benefit from a basic decompression. In cases where patients remain symptomatic despite the lack of radiological findings, a more invasive technique might better address intradural pathology. Nevertheless, further studies with larger cohorts, ideally randomized to the 2 techniques (intradural vs extradural) would corroborate this study and hopefully bring the extradural Chiari decompression technique into the mainstream spotlight.
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