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OBJECT The cervical interfacet spacer (CIS) is a relatively new technology that can increase foraminal height and area by facet distraction. These offer the potential to provide indirect neuroforaminal decompression while simultaneously enhancing fusion potential due to the relatively large osteoconductive surface area and compressive forces exerted on the grafts. These potential benefits, along with the relative ease of implantation during posterior cervical fusion procedures, make the CIS an attractive adjuvant in the management of cervical pathology. One concern with the use of interfacet spacers is the theoretical risk of inducing iatrogenic kyphosis. This work tests the hypothesis that interfacet spacers are associated with loss of cervical lordosis.

METHODS Records from patients undergoing posterior cervical fusion at Rush University Medical Center between March 2011 and December 2012 were reviewed. The FacetLift CISs were used in all patients. Preoperative and postoperative radiographic data were reviewed and the Ishihara indices and cervical lordotic angles were measured and recorded. Statistical analyses were performed using STATA software.

RESULTS A total of 64 patients were identified in whom 154 cervical levels were implanted with machined allograft interfacet spacers. Of these, 15 patients underwent anterior-posterior fusions, 4 underwent anterior-posterior-anterior fusions, and the remaining 45 patients underwent posterior-only fusions. In the 45 patients with posterior-only fusions, a total of 110 levels were treated with spacers. There were 14 patients (31%) with a single level treated, 16 patients (36%) with two levels treated, 5 patients (11%) with three levels treated, 5 patients (11%) with four levels treated, 1 patient (2%) with five levels treated, and 4 patients (9%) with six levels treated. Complete radiographic data were available in 38 of 45 patients (84%). On average, radiographic follow-up was obtained at 256.9 days (range 48–524 days). There was no significant difference in the Ishihara index (5.76 preoperatively and 6.17 postoperatively, p = 0.8037). The analysis had 80% power to detect a change of 4.25 in the Ishihara index at p = 0.05. There was no significant difference in the pre- and postoperative cervical lordotic angles (35.6° preoperatively and 33.6° postoperatively, p = 0.2678). The analysis had 80% power to detect a 7° change in the cervical lordotic angle at p = 0.05. The ANOVA of the Ishihara index and cervical lordotic angle did not show a statistically significant difference in degree of change in cervical lordosis among patients with a different number of levels of CIS insertion (p = 0.25 and p = 0.96, respectively).

CONCLUSIONS In the authors’ experience of placing CISs in more than 100 levels, they found no evidence of significant loss of cervical lordosis. The long-term impacts of these implants on fusion rates and clinical outcomes (particularly radiculopathy and postoperative C-5 palsies) remain active areas of interest and fertile ground for further studies.
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Methods

Medical Records

A retrospective review of prospectively collected data was performed. The records of patients who underwent posterior cervical fusion performed by the senior author at Rush University Medical Center between March 2011 and December 2012 were reviewed. Cervical interfacet spacers (FacetLift) were used in all patients. Ishihara indices and cervical lordotic angles were measured from pre- and postoperative standing cervical spine radiographs. Statistical analyses were performed using STATA software.

Surgical Technique

All procedures were performed after administration of general endotracheal anesthesia without intraoperative monitoring, with the head secured with a Mayfield clamp and the patient positioned prone. The dorsal cervical spine was exposed via a midline incision and subperiosteal dissection. Great care was taken to avoid detachment of the muscle insertions onto the C-2 spinous process. Interfacet grafting was always performed as the next step. The C4–5 level was always treated first to maximize the facet distraction and subsequent indirect foraminal enlargement. At each facet joint the cartilage was removed using customized rasps (FacetLift) as shown in Fig. 1. The rasps all have an 8 × 8–mm width and depth, and vary in height (2, 3, or 4 mm). A 2-mm rasp was initially used in all cases. The rasps were increased in size until a very tight fit was achieved. Each rasp was used twice prior to attempting the next size. After rasping each facet articulation, an interfacet spacer was tamped into place (Video 1).

VIDEO 1. Clip showing machined interfacet allograft spacer insertion in the cervical spine. Copyright Vincent Traynelis. Published with permission. Click here to view with Media Player. Click here to view with Quicktime.

Multilevel cases were done with similar CIS insertion techniques at each level, as demonstrated in the video. The remainder of the surgical plan was completed. Lateral mass fixation was used in all cases. Prior to final fixation, the Mayfield device was released and manual force was applied to improve lordosis.

Results

A total of 64 patients were identified in whom 154 cervical levels were implanted with CIS. Of these, 15 patients underwent anterior-posterior fusions, 4 underwent anterior-posterior-anterior fusions, and the remaining 45 patients underwent posterior-only cervical fusion procedures. The 45 patients with posterior-only procedures had an average age of 59.5 years (range 19–82 years), including 22 female and 23 male patients, with a total of 110 levels treated with CIS. Fourteen patients (31%) had a single level treated, 16 patients (36%) had two levels treated, 5 patients (11%) had three levels treated, 5 patients (11%) had four levels treated, 1 patient (2%) had five levels treated, and 4 patients (9%) had six levels treated (Fig. 2).

Complete radiographic data were available for 38 (84%) of these 45 patients. On average, radiographic follow-up was obtained at 256.9 days (range 48–524 days). The indications for performing multilevel posterior cervical decompression and fusion included symptomatic multilevel stenosis with preserved cervical lordosis and central cord syndrome secondary to trauma.

There was no statistically significant difference in the pre- and postoperative Ishihara index (5.76 preoperatively and 6.17 postoperatively, p = 0.8037) or the cervical lordotic angles (35.6° preoperatively and 33.6° postoperatively, p = 0.2678) in this cohort of 45 patients. Our analysis had 80% power to detect a change of 4.25 in the Ishihara index at p = 0.05, and 80% power to detect a 7° change in

![FIG. 1. Rasp used to remove cartilage in the facet joints.](image1.png)

![FIG. 2. Bar graph showing levels treated in posterior cervical fusions performed in 45 patients. Values on the y axis represent the number of patients.](image2.png)
the cervical lordotic angle at $p = 0.05$. Results are shown in Fig. 3. The ANOVA of the Ishihara index and cervical lordotic angle did not show statistically significant difference in degree of change in cervical lordosis among patients with a different number of levels of CIS insertion ($p = 0.25$ and $p = 0.96$, respectively). Results of the ANOVA are summarized in Table 1.

**Discussion**

The CISs used in this series were machined allografts that were easily inserted into prepared facet joints. There are several potential benefits associated with the use of these implants. The relatively large osteoconductive surface area ($8 \times 8$ mm) coupled with the naturally applied compressive forces theoretically enhance the rate with which fusion progresses as well as the final outcome. Facet distraction stiffens the spinal segment, which may also be favorable to bony fusion. An additional benefit is the indirect neuroforaminal decompression that accompanies facet distraction. A recent study had demonstrated a significant increase in cervical foraminal height and area after interfacet spacer insertion.

The presence of foraminal stenosis may be a significant risk factor for iatrogenic foraminal stenosis, which can cause complications such as postoperative C-5 palsy. The indirect neuroforaminal decompression afforded by the CIS can potentially reduce the risk of such complications. These potential benefits along with the relative ease of implantation make the CIS an attractive adjunct during posterior cervical fusion procedures. However, one potential drawback with using the CIS is the risk of inducing iatrogenic kyphosis and cervical malalignment.

The natural alignment of the cervical spine is lordotic. Cervical lordosis develops as an infant learns to support the weight of the head, and it increases with maturity. Hardacker et al. evaluated 100 asymptomatic volunteers and found the average cervical lordosis to be 39.4° with a standard deviation of 9.5°; however, there is not yet a universally accepted definition for a "normal" cervical lordosis. The C1–2 segment accounts for the majority of cervical lordosis, and the subaxial cervical segments provide an important but lesser amount.

In a normally aligned spine, the increased lordosis, whereas a lower number correlates with decreased lordosis (Ishihara index = 0 if the spine is perfectly straight). Cervical lordotic angle is a separate measurement reported in the literature that measures the Cobb angle between C-1 and C-7 (i.e., the angle from the line between anterior to posterior tubercles of C-1 and the line across the inferior endplate of C-7). The global cervical curvature was evaluated with these 2 methods. The lack of a statistically significant difference in the pre- and postoperative values of these parameters does not support the hypothesis that CISs are associated with significant loss of cervical lordosis. The results of this study demonstrate that interfacet spacer insertion in the cervical spine, even up to six levels, does not significantly impact global cervical alignment. All of our patients had final postoperative cervical lordosis that fell into the "normal" range as reported by Hardacker et al., and this was consistent with the results reported by Goel and Shah. None of these patients had any evidence of CIS allograft collapse and/or resorption.

None of the 45 patients in our cohort had any evidence of CIS allograft collapse and/or resorption.

**TABLE 1. Results of the ANOVA of change in lordosis among patient groups with a different number of levels of CIS insertion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in Cervical Lordosis*</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA Ishihara index vs no. of levels</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.1809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA cervical lordotic angle vs no. of levels</td>
<td>0.9627</td>
<td>0.0294</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Calculated using postoperative measurement minus preoperative measurement.
C-5 palsy. Although this result may be due to the small number of patients, the indirect formational decompression afforded by the interfacet spacers may have a protective effect against C-5 palsy. However, this is only a speculation at this point, and a larger series is needed to validate this hypothesis.

Conclusions
Cervical interfacet spacers do not significantly change preoperative cervical alignment. They can increase foraminal area and are positioned in an environment conducive to arthrodesis.
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