Symptomatic herniated thoracic discs (HTDs) are rare, and patients infrequently require treatment of 2 or more disc levels. The authors assess the surgical management and outcomes of patients with multiple-level symptomatic HTDs.

Methods. A retrospective review of a prospectively maintained database was performed of 220 consecutive patients treated surgically for symptomatic HTDs. Clinical and surgical results were compared between patients with single-level disease and patients with multiple-level disease and also among the different approaches used for surgical decompression.

Results. Between 1992 and 2012, 56 patients (mean age 48 years; 26 male, 30 female) underwent 62 procedures for 130 HTDs. Forty-six patients (82%) had myelopathy, and 36 (64%) had thoracic radiculopathy; 24 patients had both conditions in varying degree. Symptom duration averaged 28 months. The surgical approach was dictated by disc size, consistency, and location. Twenty-three thoracotomy, 26 thoracoscopy, and 13 posterolateral procedures were performed. Five patients required a combination of approaches. Patients underwent 2-level (n = 44), 3-level (n = 7), 4-level (n = 4), or 5-level (n = 1) discectomies. Instrumented fusion was performed in 36 patients (64%). Thirteen patients harbored 19 additional discs, which were deemed asymptomatic/nonoperative.

The mean hospital stay was 6.5 days. Complete disc resection was verified with postoperative imaging in every patient. The procedural complication rate was 23%, and the nature of complications differed based on approach. No patients had surgery-related spinal cord injury or new myelopathy.

At a mean follow-up of 48 months, myelopathy and radiculopathy had resolved or improved at a rate of 85% and 92%, respectively. Using a general linear model, preoperative symptom duration (p = 0.037) and perioperative hospital length of stay (p = 0.004) emerged as negative predictors of myelopathy improvement. Most patients (96%) were satisfied with the surgical results.

Compared with 164 patients who underwent single-level HTD decompression, patients requiring surgery for multiple-level HTDs were more often myelopathic (p = 0.012). Surgery for multiple-level HTDs was more likely to require a thoracotomy approach (p = 0.00055) and instrumented fusion (p < 0.0001) and resulted in greater blood loss (p = 0.0036) and higher complication rates (p = 0.0069). The rates of resolution for myelopathy (p = 0.24) and radiculopathy (p = 1.0), however, were similar between the 2 patient groups.

Conclusions. The management of multiple-level symptomatic HTDs is complex, requiring individualized clinical decision making. The surgical approaches must be selected to minimize manipulation of the compressed thoracic spinal cord, and a patient may require a combination of approaches. Excellent surgical results can be achieved in this unique and challenging patient population.

Abbreviations used in this paper: HTD = herniated thoracic disc; VAS = visual analog scale.
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the aim of minimizing manipulation to the thoracic spinal cord; these may be categorized as posterolateral or anterolateral approaches. The posterolateral approaches, including transfacet, transpedicular, and costotransversec-

tomy techniques, are appropriate for paracentral or lateral HTDs. Anterolateral approaches, consisting of thoracotomy or thoracoscopy, best address large, midline, calcified disc herniations.

We report our experience on the management of patients with multiple-level symptomatic HTDs, treated via thoracotomy, thoracoscopy, and/or posterolateral approaches. Patient characteristics, surgical variables, and outcomes are compared among the different approaches and also to those of an unmatched cohort of patients undergoing single-level HTD decompression. In this manner, we attempt to identify the unique surgical challenges and clinical outcomes of this patient cohort.

Methods

Patient Population

Between 1992 and 2012, 56 consecutive patients (mean age 48 years, range 26–76 years; 26 male, 30 female) underwent 62 procedures for the treatment of 130 HTDs performed by the senior author (C.A.D.). Follow-up averaged 48 months (range 1–174 months). Forty-four (79%) of 56 patients had more than 6 months of follow-up. A prospectively collected database was maintained from office visits and during hospitalization to capture patient and surgical characteristics and outcome data, including responses to questionnaires, medical records, clinical examinations, and radiographic findings. The database was supplemented with telephone interviews when appropriate. The retrospective review was performed by 2 of the authors (M.E.O. and J.C.C.). The institutional review board of St. Joseph’s Hospital and Medical Center approved this retrospective case series.

During the same study period, surgical treatment of a single-level HTD was performed in 164 patients (mean age 50 years; mean follow-up 43 months; 125 [76%] of 164 patients with > 6 months of follow-up). Of all patients requiring surgery, 36 harbored an additional 59 HTDs, which were deemed asymptomatic and nonoperative. Over 200 additional patients were referred for evaluation of their HTDs and treated nonoperatively.

Clinical Evaluation

Most patients were selected for surgery from the outpatient clinic. Many represented out-of-state and international referrals. One patient (2%) presented acutely through the emergency department. Evaluation of the HTDs was performed by MRI, CT myelography, or both. Computed tomography myelography was performed in patients when the HTDs appeared large or calcified. Imaging studies included views up to and including the clivus to correctly localize the pathology. In addition, preoperative chest radiography was performed in all patients to confirm the rib count.

A neurological examination was performed in all patients by an attending neurosurgeon at preoperative and postoperative visits. Patients with myelopathy were classified according to the Frankel grading scale. Pain was rated from 0 to 10 by the patient based on a visual analog scale (VAS). Final myelopathy and pain scores were tabulated at the last follow-up. In addition, patients were asked postoperatively to comment on their satisfaction with the surgery and willingness to undergo the surgery again.

Surgical Indications and Approaches

Myelopathy related to HTDs was the strongest indication for surgery. In patients with clinical signs and symptoms of thoracic myelopathy who harbored multiple-level HTDs, a specific HTD was considered for surgical treatment if it indented and deformed the thoracic spinal cord, especially in the presence of T2 cord signal change or thinning of the spinal cord. Surgical treatment was recommended for all patients with myelopathy due to compressive HTDs.

In patients with thoracic radiculopathy, an HTD was considered symptomatic if eccentrically located to the ipsilateral side and at a spinal level matching the patient’s symptoms. If no concurrent myelopathy was present, surgery was recommended only if the symptoms were disabling and after failure of extensive nonoperative measures. Mimicking pathologies were excluded, including rib fracture, costochondritis, cardiac disease, or cholelithiasis.

The surgical approach was dictated by disc size, consistency, and location. The choice of approach evolved over the study period based on the senior author’s (C.A.D.’s) experience. An anterior approach was selected for central herniated discs that crossed the midline and compressed the ventral surface of the spinal cord. Central, ossified, giant, intradural HTDs were approached anteriorly, usually with thoracotomy and corpectomies. Thoracotomy was also preferred for 3 or more contiguous central HTDs. Central, soft or calcified, moderate-sized discs were usually approached via thoracoscopy. The goal of the anterior approaches is to create a corridor in the vertebral bodies, into which the HTDs are removed, thereby eliminating any manipulation of the already-compromised thoracic spinal cord.

Herniated thoracic discs occupying the lateral spinal canal were considered for posterolateral decompression, including transfacet, transpedicular, and costotransversec
tomy techniques. More than one approach was required in 5 patients (9%) with multiple-level HTDs to most directly and safely address each individual disc. In all approaches, instrumented fusion was considered at the index operation based on the amount of bony removal needed for the discectomy. The thoracotomy, thoracoscopy, costotransversec
tomy, and posterolateral approaches have been previously described in detail. In addition, the management strategy for transdural HTDs has been previously described.

Postoperative Imaging

Postoperative MRI and/or CT imaging was obtained in all patients to confirm complete disc resection. Two patients (4%) required return to the operating room for
residual disc. One additional patient had a residual calcified disc revealed by intraoperative imaging with an Iso-C unit, which subsequently guided further discectomy.

Statistical Analysis

Mean age, hospital length of stay, and operative blood loss were analyzed as continuous variables. Patient sex, presenting symptoms, HTD characteristics, procedure type, need for instrumented fusion, need for transfusion, and presence of complication or symptom resolution were analyzed as categorical variables. Unpaired t-test and 1-way ANOVA were used to compare continuous variables. Fisher exact test and chi-square test were used to analyze categorical variables.

To evaluate the prognostic value of the variables under consideration, a general linear model was used to predict a dichotomous positive postoperative myelopathy outcome, based on Frankel grades. Variables entered into the model included age, sex, preoperative symptom duration, number of symptomatic HTDs, disc characteristics, and perioperative hospital length of stay.

Results

Symptomatology

The most common presenting symptom was myelopathy (46 patients [82%]), followed by radiculopathy (36 patients [63%]). Twenty-four (43%) of these patients had varying degrees of both myelopathy and radiculopathy. Twenty-seven patients (48%) complained of local thoracic back pain in combination with myelopathy and/or radiculopathy, but no patient who underwent surgery presented exclusively with back pain. Seventeen patients (30%) presented with bowel/bladder incontinence.

Patients were symptomatic for an average of 28 months (range 0.1–180 months) prior to surgery and had attempted an average of 3 conservative measures (range 0–9) before undergoing operative treatment. Inciting events, such as an episode of trauma, which precipitated symptoms were identified in 24 patients (43%).

The single patient who presented acutely through the emergency department was a 35-year-old woman with progressive myelopathy over 3 days, consisting of lower-extremity weakness, numbness, and bladder incontinence. She was found to have 2 central, giant, calcified HTDs at T11–12 and T12–L1. She underwent thoracotomy for discectomy of the affected levels with T-12 corpectomy and T11–L1 anterolateral fixation and fusion. The patient recited no history of trauma or other inciting event.

Discs

Patients underwent 2-level (n = 44), 3-level (n = 7), 4-level (n = 4), or 5-level (n = 1) discectomies, for a total of 130 symptomatic disc levels requiring decompression. The most common affected level was T7–8 (31 [24%] of 130), followed by T8–9 (23 [18%] of 130) and T6–7 (22 [17%] of 130) (Fig. 1). Fourteen giant discs (occupying >40% of the spinal canal), 37 calcified or ossified discs, and 5 transdural discs were identified, constituting 11%, 28%, and 4% of the operative disc levels, respectively. Seventeen patients (30%) harbored 31 discs (24%), which were noncontiguous and isolated from the other levels requiring decompression.

Surgical Procedures

Twenty-three thoracotomy, 26 thoracoscopy, and 13 posterolateral procedures were performed (Table 1). In general, a thoracotomy was used for more extensive pathology. Longer-segment discectomies were more likely to require a thoracotomy: 9 (39%) of the thoracotomy procedures addressed 3 or more disc levels, whereas 1 thoracoscopy (4%) and 1 posterolateral procedure (8%) addressed 3 or more disc levels (p = 0.0031). Twenty-eight (47%) of 59 discs approached via thoracotomy were densely calcified or ossified, compared with 5 (10%) of 48 discs approached via thoracoscopy or 4 (17%) of 23 discs approached posterolaterally (p < 0.0001). In addition, thoracotomy addressed more giant discs (n = 12) and transdural discs (n = 5) compared with thoracoscopy (1 giant disc, 0 transdural discs) or posterolateral (1 giant disc, 0 transdural discs) approaches.

Accordingly, thoracotomy procedures carried increased risk of surgical morbidity. Patients undergoing thoracotomy had increased blood loss (mean 1661 ml, range 150–5500 ml) compared with the cohorts undergoing thoracoscopy (mean 362 ml, range 150–1500 ml) or posterolateral (mean 496 ml, range 100–2500 ml) procedures (p = 0.0002). Transfusion of red blood cells was required in 5 thoracotomy (22%), 1 thoracoscopic (4%), and 2 posterolateral (15%) procedures. Patients undergoing thoracotomy required a longer hospital length of stay (mean 9 days, range 5–20 days) compared with the thoracoscopy (mean 5 days, range 3–9 days) and posterolateral (mean 4 days, range 2–8 days) approaches (p < 0.0001). Instrumented fusion was performed in 36 patients (64%). Two of these patients underwent combined-approach discectomies requiring fixation at the time of each approach. Instrumented fusion was more likely to be required during thoracotomy (22 [96%] of 23 procedures) compared with thoracoscopy (9 [35%] of 26 procedures) or posterolateral (7 [54%] of 13 procedures) approaches (p = 0.0001). None of the patients who were treated with fixation and fusion developed nonunion or instrumentation-related complications.

Combined Approaches

A combination of approaches was required in 5 patients. Three underwent planned, staged procedures (mean time between procedures 3 days [range 0–6 days]). Two of these patients presented with bilateral thoracic radiculopathy and underwent posterolateral decompression of a paracentral HTD, followed by a thoracoscopic approach for decompression of a central HTD eccentrically located to the contralateral side of the spinal canal. The third patient had undergone a right-sided thoracoscopic discectomy of T7–8 for thoracic myelopathy. Her symptoms were improving until 4 months postoperatively, when she developed new myelopathy and new thoracic radiculopathy. Imaging revealed a new central T8–9 HTD contributing to her myelopathy, as well as a new right-lateral T6–7...
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HTD responsible for the new radiculopathy. She therefore underwent planned, staged decompressive procedures: a left-sided thoracoscopic T8–9 discectomy followed 2 days later by a posterolateral transpedicular T6–7 discectomy.

The 2 additional patients requiring combined approaches had undergone previous thoracic discectomies and developed delayed postoperative symptomatology attributable to a new HTD. The mean time between discectomy procedures in patients with delayed postoperative herniation of an additional level was 41 months (range 4–69 months).

**Multiple-Level Versus Single-Level HTD Surgery**

The cohort of 56 patients requiring multiple-level HTD surgery was compared with an unmatched cohort of 164 patients who underwent single-level HTD decompression (Table 2). The multiple-level cohort had a larger proportion of males than the single-level cohort (26 of 56

### TABLE 1: Comparison of approaches utilized for multiple-level HTD decompression*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Thoracotomy</th>
<th>Thoracoscopy</th>
<th>Posterolateral</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no. of procedures</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no. of discs</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥3 levels discs</td>
<td>9 (39)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>1 (8)</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calcified discs</td>
<td>28 (47)</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
<td>4 (17)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>giant</td>
<td>12 (20)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transdural</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean EBL (ml)</td>
<td>1661</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transfusion</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>instrumented fusion</td>
<td>22 (96)</td>
<td>9 (35)</td>
<td>7 (54)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean LOS (days)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>9 (39)</td>
<td>4 (15)</td>
<td>1 (8)</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pleural effusion (requiring drainage)</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chylothorax</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>residual disc (requiring subsequent op)</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>incidental durotomy</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>1 (8)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delayed fixation/fusion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miscellaneous/minor</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* EBL = estimated blood loss; LOS = length of stay.
vs 68 of 164 patients, respectively, p = 0.0087) and pre-
sented more often with myelopathy (82% vs 64%, respec-
tively, p = 0.012). Patients with multiple-level HTDs were
more likely to require thoracotomy (23 of 62 vs 25 of 164
procedures, respectively, p = 0.00055) and instrumented
fusion (36 of 56 vs 42 of 164 patients, respectively, p <
0.0001), whereas single-level HTDs were more often de-
compressed via thoracoscopy (110 of 164 procedures, p =
0.00077) without instrumented fusion. The mean surgical
blood loss (872 vs 487 ml, respectively, p = 0.0036), length
of hospital stay (6.5 vs 5.3 days, respectively, p = 0.023),
and rate of complications (23% vs 10%, respectively, p =
0.0069) were all greater in the multiple-level HTD cohort,
but the rates of resolution for myelopathy (p = 0.24) and
radiculopathy (p = 1.0) were comparable between the 2
groups.

Patient Outcomes

A total of 46 patients presented with myelopathy. At
last follow-up, 39 patients (85%) improved in their Frankel
grade. Seven patients (15%) maintained the same grade
function. Of those in whom the Frankel grade remained
the same, 2 patients (4%) showed improvement in their
motor function below threshold to change Frankel grades.
No patient with multiple-level HTDs had worsening of
myelopathy or new myelopathy postoperatively (Table 3).

A general linear model was used to predict a dichoto-
mous positive myelopathy outcome in the 46 patients who
presented with myelopathy. The adjusted R-squared for
this model was 0.35, meaning 35% of the variation in Fran-
kel grade improvement was explained by this model. Odds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Multilevel</th>
<th>Single-Level</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>patients</td>
<td>56 (25)</td>
<td>164 (75)</td>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/F ratio</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean age (yrs)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myelopathy</td>
<td>46 (82)</td>
<td>105 (64)</td>
<td>151 (68)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radiculopathy</td>
<td>36 (64)</td>
<td>99 (60)</td>
<td>135 (61)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean duration (mos)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no. of discs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>294</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>giant</td>
<td>14 (11)</td>
<td>29 (18)</td>
<td>43 (15)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calcified</td>
<td>37 (28)</td>
<td>50 (30)</td>
<td>87 (30)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transdural</td>
<td>5 (4)</td>
<td>14 (9)</td>
<td>19 (7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonoperative discs</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total no. of ops</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thoracotomy</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.00055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thoracoscopy</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>0.00077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>posterolateral</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>instrumented fusion</td>
<td>36 (64)</td>
<td>42 (24)</td>
<td>78 (35)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean EBL (ml)</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>need for transfusion</td>
<td>8/62† (13)</td>
<td>15/164 (9)</td>
<td>23/226</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean LOS (days)</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complications</td>
<td>14/62† (23)</td>
<td>17/164 (10)</td>
<td>31/226 (14)</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resolution or improvement of Sx</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myelopathy</td>
<td>39 (85)</td>
<td>84 (80)</td>
<td>123 (81)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radiculopathy</td>
<td>33 (92)</td>
<td>91 (91)</td>
<td>124 (92)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Sx = symptom.
† Number of procedures 62.

TABLE 3: Outcomes of 46 patients with preoperative myelopathy treated for multiple-level HTDs*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preop Frankel Grade</th>
<th>Postop Frankel Grade (no. of patients)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Patients presented with Frankel Grade C or D myelopathy. At last follow-up, patients' function was Frankel Grade D or E.
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TABLE 4: General linear model predicting myelopathy outcomes in 48 patients with multiple-level HTDs and preoperative myelopathy*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Effect Size†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>1.004</td>
<td>0.994–1.013</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sex</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>0.908–1.335</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sx duration (mos)</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.995–1.000</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTD levels</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>0.850–1.010</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>giant</td>
<td>1.232</td>
<td>0.936–1.618</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calcified</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>0.739–1.237</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS (days)</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>0.920–0.983</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.216</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Myelopathy outcomes are based on Frankel grades. Preoperative symptom duration and perioperative hospital LOS emerged as negative predictors of myelopathy improvement. The number of symptomatic HTDs emerged as a negative predictor but at the trend level.
† Partial η².

ratios are shown in Table 4. Preoperative symptom duration and perioperative hospital length of stay emerged as negative predictors of postoperative myelopathy improvement (p = 0.037 and p = 0.004, respectively). The number of symptomatic HTD levels also emerged as a negative predictor but at the trend level (p = 0.081). Interpretation of odds ratios of less than 1 can be more intuitive in the inverse. Each additional month of symptom duration was associated with a 0.99 odds of myelopathy improvement or a 1.003 (0.3%) increase in the odds of no improvement in postoperative Frankel grade. Each additional day in the hospital was associated with a 0.95 odds or 5% increase in odds of no myelopathy improvement.

Of the 36 patients presenting with radiculopathy, the average VAS severity of pain was 8. Postoperatively, 33 patients (92%) had resolution or improvement of their symptoms, including 23 (64%) with complete resolution and 10 (28%) with improvement of radiculopathic pain. Three patients (8%) had no change in pain, and no patient had worsened pain.

Seventeen patients presented with bowel/bladder incontinence. Ten (59%) had complete resolution of symptoms, and 3 (18%) reported improvement of incontinence. Two patients (12%) had no change in these symptoms. No patient reported postoperative worsening of incontinence.

Patients were asked postoperatively to comment on their satisfaction with and willingness to undergo the operation again if needed. Of these, 50 patients (96%) would be willing to undergo the operation again if needed.

Complications

The nature of complications differed based on approach (Table 1). Complications associated with thoracotomy and thoracoscopic were primarily related to operating through the thoracic cavity, whereas posterolateral approaches demonstrated no such complications. Thoracotomy was associated with more complications (n = 9 [39%]) compared with thoracoscopic (n = 4 [15%]) or posterolateral (n = 1 [8%]) approaches (p = 0.049). Pleural effusions requiring drainage occurred in 3 thoracotomy-treated patients and 2 thoracoscopic-treated patients. Two patients who underwent thoracotomy sustained a chylothorax requiring open repair. Two patients were returned to the operating room for treatment of residual disc; both had undergone thoracotomy. Three incidental durotomies occurred during resection of discs that were not transdural: 1 incidental durotomy was accounted for in each approach cohort, and all were treated with lumbar spinal fluid drainage. One patient developed a compression fracture at the index level after thoracoscopy and underwent thoracotomy 4 months later for corpectomy and instrumented fusion. One patient who underwent thoracotomy and instrumented fusion was returned to the operating room the following day for repositioning of a screw. No patients had surgery-related spinal cord injury or new myelopathy.

Discussion

In 1954, Svien and Karavitis were the first to report on a patient requiring decompression for multiple-level HTDs. Few reports in the literature have described the treatment of patients with multiple-level symptomatic HTDs, and none comprehensively addresses the different surgical management strategies and outcomes in a large group of patients.

The current series of 56 consecutive patients requiring operative decompression of 130 symptomatic HTDs represents the largest to date. Although 17 of the current study’s patients had been previously reported, unique aspects of their management had not been highlighted. Additionally, specific comparisons of approaches within a cohort of patients with multiple-level HTDs, as well as direct comparisons to single-level HTD patients, have not previously been reported in the literature.

Clinical Features

The decision to surgically decompress any HTD is dictated by a patient’s symptoms and correlative pathology. A priority is given to patients presenting with myelopathy, as the majority of patients (82%) in this study had function that was Frankel Grade D or worse on presentation. If the patient presents with thoracic radiculopathy, the HTD must correspond to the clinical level of symptoms. No patient in this series was offered surgery for local back pain alone, and multiple conservative measures must have failed before the patient was offered surgical decompression for pain syndromes.

When patients were found to have multiple-level HTDs, care was taken to identify only those discs which matched the patients’ clinical symptoms. Accordingly, 17 patients in the present series who required surgery for multiple-level HTDs underwent decompression of noncontiguous levels. Compared with the literature, only 15 patients undergoing noncontiguous, multiple-level HTD decompression have previously been reported.

In addition, when considering our global cohort of patients with single-level and multiple-level HTDs, a substantial number of HTDs (n = 59) were deemed asymptomatic...
and nonoperative. Therefore, all surgical HTDs in myelopathic patients abutted, distorted, and deformed the spinal cord, while the surgical HTDs in radiculopathic patients correlated to the level of symptomatology.

The protean clinical manifestations of HTDs may present a diagnostic dilemma. Diagnosis is often delayed, with potential to exacerbate a clinical myelopathy. Indeed, the average duration of symptomatology before surgical intervention in this series reached 28 months. In contrast, we report only 1 patient (2%) with multiple-level HTDs presenting with acute myelopathy. Such acute presentations occur infrequently.14,17 Cornips et al.17 identified an acute presentation with myelopathy in approximately 4% of their HTD series: 5 of the 8 patients with acute presentation had multiple-level HTDs, all HTDs were located below T9–10, and the majority of HTDs were giant and calcified. This clinical profile matches that of our patient, adding to the notion that HTDs may be present and clinically silent, indeed even calcified, long before an acute presentation.

Surgical Considerations

Although previous studies have included patients with multiple-level HTDs within a larger cohort of patients undergoing single-level HTD decompressions,3,4,7,11,12,18–20,27,29,30,41,44 no direct comparisons between these patient populations exist. Compared with an unmatched cohort of single-level HTD patients, decompression for multiple-level HTDs in the current study more often required thoracotomy (p = 0.00055) and instrumented fusion (p < 0.0001), entailed greater surgical blood loss (p = 0.0036), and resulted in a greater number of nonneurological complications (p = 0.0069). Conversely, surgery for a single-level HTD was more often able to be accomplished via thoracoscopy (p = 0.00077) without instrumented fusion.

It is important to emphasize that this large group of complex patients was treated surgically with no neurological morbidity and with excellent long-term neurological outcomes. No patients developed surgery-related spinal cord injuries. Eighty-five percent of patients with myelopathy had an improved Frankel grade. We attribute these excellent neurological results to careful selection of the operative approaches and meticulous surgical techniques.

Three primary surgical approaches (thoracotomy, thoracoscopic, and posterolateral techniques) were used to optimize safe access to and most effectively decompress the HTDs. The most important goal when choosing a surgical approach is to minimize manipulation of an already-compromised thoracic spinal cord.

An anterior approach was selected for central herniated discs that crossed the midline and compressed the ventral surface of the spinal cord. It is dangerous to use posterolateral approaches for central herniated discs, which are deforming the spinal cord in the midline and displacing it posteriorly, because of the risk of causing spinal cord injury. When a central herniated disc is present, it is safest to use an anterolateral approach, either thoracoscopic or thoracotomy. Posterolateral approaches are suited for paracentral or lateral herniated discs that do not cross the midline.

Thoracotomy allows direct visualization of and access to central herniated discs, enabling the disc to be pulled away from the spinal cord and into a trough created by the bony corpectomy. This approach is therefore best suited for very large, densely calcified or ossified central HTDs and for patients with 3 or more contiguous central HTDs. Likewise, in their series of 12 patients with multiple-level HTDs, Ohnishi et al. performed a thoracotomy for all patients undergoing decompression.34 Half of these patients harbored 3 or more HTDs. Similarly, Ayhan et al. used a transthoracic approach for all 27 patients with centrally located HTDs, all of whom presented with myelopathy and 5 of whom had multiple-level HTDs.7

In the present series, significantly more giant (p = 0.0056) and calcified or ossified (p < 0.0001) discs were treated via thoracotomy with wide corpectomies, necessitating more extensive bony removal and therefore requiring significantly greater use of instrumented fusion (p = 0.0001). In addition, discectomies of 3 or more levels were more often approached via thoracotomy if the herniated discs were centrally located, anterior to the spinal cord (p = 0.0031). Harboring more complex pathology, patients undergoing thoracotomy in our series had a greater length of hospital stay (p < 0.0001) and higher number of nonneurological complications (p = 0.049) than those undergoing other approaches.

Thoracoscopy allows anterior decompression of multiple-level HTDs with significantly less surgical morbidity than thoracotomy. Thoracoscopic enables direct anterolateral access to the HTDs but with a more minimally invasive technique. We prefer thoracoscopic to treat 1-level or 2-level central HTDs, which are at contiguous levels, as long as the discs are not giant, transdural, or ossified. Disadvantages of thoracoscopic include the practice required to acquire endoscopic surgical skills, mastering the learning curve,45 and greater complexity when treating multiple disc levels. We attribute the excellent results for thoracoscopic in our series to careful patient selection and extensive experience with this surgical approach. Thoracoscopically treated patients in this series were less likely to have 3 or more affected levels (p = 0.0031) and the HTDs were less likely to be giant (p = 0.0056) or densely calcified or ossified (p < 0.0001). While few studies of thoracoscopic microdiscectomy include patients with multiple-level HTDs within a larger cohort of single-level HTDs,2,27,45 no prior reports exist that highlight the thoracoscopic treatment of patients with multiple-level disease.

Only 3 prior studies have highlighted the posterolateral approach for treatment of multiple-level HTDs.4,8,15 Most recently, Arnold et al. used a transfacet approach for treatment of 15 patients with 32 symptomatic laterally oriented HTDs presenting with myelopathy and/or radiculopathy. They reported excellent clinical results with minimal complications. In the current series, a combination of posterolateral techniques were used for HTDs oriented laterally in the spinal canal with only 1 complication (incidental durotomy with no permanent sequelae).

We additionally provide detailed reports of planned, staged procedures with a combination of surgical approaches in patients with multiple-level HTDs. These 3 patients presented with symptoms distinctly attributable to different HTDs. Again, the location of the HTDs within the spinal canal, along with disc size and consistency, dic-
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tated the safest and most effective approaches in these pa-
tients. Two patients with bilateral radiculopathy underwent posterior lateral decompression for lateral HTDs, followed by thoracoscopic microdisectomy for central HTDs. The third patient underwent thoracoscopy first, giving priority to the HTD that was causing her myelopathy.

Outcomes and Complications

Excellent long-term postoperative clinical and neuro-
ological results were achieved in patients with multi-
ple level HTDs. The results of treatment in patients with my-
elopathy and thoracic radiculopathy were equivalent to the outcomes of patients who had single-level HTDs, with equivalent rates of symptom resolution between the 2 pa-
tient cohorts. On closer inspection, a greater proportion of patients in the multiple-level HTD cohort presented with myelopathy, required a more invasive thoracotomy approach, and sustained greater operative morbidity, but these patients recovered with clinical results similar to those of the single-level HTD cohort. The end results can therefore justify a more extensive procedure required to treat these complicated patients. In addition, patients were overall very satisfied with their decision to undergo oper-
ative treatment of their multiple-level HTDs, as 96% of pa-
tients were willing to undergo the operation again if needed.

In an attempt to identify variables predictive of clinical outcome, a general linear model identified preoper-
ative symptom duration and hospital length of stay as sig-
nificant predictors of postoperative myelopathy in a neg-
ative association. This relationship makes intuitive sense: a patient with longer-standing myelopathy or spinal cord injury may have a higher likelihood that this injury will remain permanent, and a longer stay in the hospital after surgery may be a result of poorer neurological status.

The nonneurological complication rate within the mul-
tiple-level HTD cohort was higher compared with the single-level cohort. Nine of the 14 complications occurred in the thoracotomy group. This is likely a function of more severe pathology treated through this approach, and the greater invasiveness of an open thoracotomy. As such, 5 of the 9 complications in the thoracotomy group were direct-
ly related to operating through the pleural space (3 pleural effusions, 2 chyle leaks). Thoracoscopy and posterolateral approaches were associated with significantly lower comp-
llication rates (p = 0.049).

It is noteworthy that postoperative neurological de-
cline occurred in 3 patients within the single-level HTD cohort but in no patients with multiple-level HTDs. These patients have been previously described.25,45 The complica-
tions occurred after thoracoscopy (2 patients) and pos-
terolateral (1 patient) approaches. As Hott et al. described in 2005, the thoracotomy approach is preferred for giant HTDs and no neurological complications were associated with thoracotomy in their series of 20 giant HTDs.23 Because 12 of 14 giant HTDs were approached via thoraco-
tomy in our series, patients with multiple-level HTDs thus avoided postoperative neurological deterioration.

A meaningful comparison of the current study’s com-
plications with previous reports is difficult given the heter-
egeneity across reports of patient populations, patholo-
gies, and approaches. Ayhan et al. reported 6 major comp-
llications and 5 minor complications within a cohort of 28 patients undergoing thoracotomy for HTD decompression (5 patients with multiple-level HTDs), for a complication rate of 39%.7 Ohnishi et al. reported 1 pneumonia and 1 chylothorax after thoracotomy in 12 patients with multi-
ple-level HTDs.44 Johnson et al. reported on 36 patients (6 with 2-level HTDs) undergoing thoracoscopic discectomy and 8 patients (7 with multilevel HTDs [≥ 3]) undergoing open thoracotomy for discectomy.27 Their complica-
tion rates were 31% in the thoracoscopy group and greater than 100% (> 1 complication per patient) in the thorac-
tomy group. Stillerman et al. reported a complication rate of 14.6% in treating 71 patients (10 with multiple-level HTDs) undergoing HTD decompression via thoracotomy or posterolateral approaches.41 This rate, however, was not subdivided by approach or the presence of multilevel dis-
ease. Bransford et al. reported complications in 6 of 18 pa-
tients (9 patients with multiple-level HTDs) undergoing a modified transfacet pedicle-sparing HTD decompress-
ion,11 whereas Arnold et al. reported 2 complications in the treatment of 15 patients with multiple-level HTDs un-
dering transfacet thoracic disectomies.4 Taken togeth-
er, the current series’ overall complication rate of 23% is within the wide range of historical data, but we also pro-
vide further information by reporting complications based on approach and the presence of multilevel disease.

Study Limitations

This study was undertaken in an effort to describe treat-
tment options and outcomes in a large group of pa-
tients with multiple-level symptomatic HTDs. However, several limitations exist. The retrospective review exposes this study to inherent observational biases. Despite this, every effort was made to provide an accurate account from this prospectively collected database of each report-
ed variable and adverse event.

The Frankel grading scale was developed as a mea-
sure of acute spinal cord injury, but it has successfully been adopted as a measure of myelopathy.36,47 We there-
fore preferred to use the prospectively maintained Frankel grades rather than retrospectively assigning an alternate scale. In addition, bladder function was not formerly as-
essed via postvoid residuals, and an encompassing scale of myelopathy with motor and sphincter scores was not prospectively maintained. However, the improvement in myelopathy based on the Frankel scale provides evidence that these patients do benefit from surgical intervention, and it is possible that an even higher rate of symptom im-
provement would be noted using a more sensitive measure of myelopathy.

Additionally, a significant selection bias was pres-
ent in the comparison groups, and without randomization equal distribution of known and unknown confounders cannot be assured. However, randomization would only be beneficial for patients with clinical equipoise, thus se-
verely limiting numbers of this already rare pathology. If such an effort were to be undertaken, a randomized trial evaluating treatment options for HTD pathology would likely require multinstitutional cooperation.
Conclusions

Patients with multiple-level symptomatic HTDs can be treated safely and have excellent neurological results if targeted surgical approaches, which create an unobstructed corridor of access to the HTDs, are used. Manipulation of the compressed spinal cord is thereby minimized. The selection of thoracotomy, thoracoscopy, and/or posterolateral approaches depends on the position, size, and consistency of the HTDs and on the surgeon's knowledge and experience. A combination of approaches may be necessary in a subset of patients.

Patients requiring surgery for multiple-level HTDs are often more myelopathic compared with patients requiring single-level HTD decompression, and they more often require thoracotomy for decompression. The management of multiple-level symptomatic HTDs is complex, requiring individualized clinical decision making. Excellent long-term clinical results can be achieved in this unique and challenging patient population.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Kristina Chapple, Ph.D., for her statistical and editorial support.

Disclosure

Dr. Dickman reports being a consultant to Medtronic, being a patent holder at Medtronic, and receiving book royalties from Thieme Publishing. The other authors report no conflict of interest. The other authors report no conflict of interest.

References

31. Logue V: Thoracic intervertebral disc prolapse with spinal
Management of multilevel symptomatic herniated thoracic discs