Computed tomography morphometric analysis for lateral mass screw placement in the pediatric subaxial cervical spine
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Object. Lateral mass screws are routinely placed throughout the subaxial cervical spine in adults, but there are few clinical or radiographic studies regarding lateral mass fixation in children. The morphology of pediatric cervical lateral masses may be associated with greater difficulty in obtaining adequate purchase. The authors examined the lateral masses of the subaxial cervical spine in pediatric patients to define morphometric differences compared with adults, establish guidelines for lateral mass instrumentation in children, and define potential limitations of this technique in the pediatric age group.

Methods. Morphometric analysis was performed on CT of the lateral masses of C3–7 in 56 boys and 14 girls. Measurements were obtained in the axial, coronal, and sagittal planes.

Results. For most levels and measurements, results in boys and girls did not differ significantly; the few values that were significantly different are not likely to be clinically significant. On the other hand, younger (<8 years of age) and older children (≥8 years of age) differed significantly at every level and measurement except for facet angularity. Sagittal diagonal, a measurement that closely estimates screw length, was found to increase at each successive caudal level from C-3 to C-7, similar to the adult population. A screw acceptance analysis found that all patients ≥4 years of age could accept at least a 3.5 × 10 mm lateral mass screw.

Conclusions. Lateral mass screw fixation is feasible in the pediatric cervical spine, particularly in children age 4 years old or older. Lateral mass screw fixation is feasible even at the C-7 level, where pedicle screw placement has been advised in lieu of lateral mass screws because of the small size and steep trajectory of the C-7 lateral mass. Nonetheless, all pediatric patients should undergo high-resolution, thin-slice CT preoperatively to assess suitability for lateral mass screw fixation.

(http://thejns.org/doi/abs/10.3171/2012.8.SPINE12767)
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ROY-CAMILLE12–14 first described the use of lateral mass screw fixation for cervical spine stabilization. Roy-Camille, Magerl, and Louis9,10,13 popularized this safe and efficacious way of achieving rigid cervical fixation. The lateral mass is a pillar of bone that offers safe purchase for screw fixation in the pediatric cervical spine that is otherwise not suitable for other types of fixation, such as pedicle screws.5 Complications related to screw malposition are usually due to the close proximity of exiting cervical nerve roots and the vertebral artery.19 Although this technique has been established as a safe approach in many large series of adult patients,3,13,15,17 little has been published in the pediatric spine literature regarding the safety and feasibility of lateral mass screw fixation of the cervical spine in children.5

It is widely assumed that pediatric cervical lateral masses differ in anatomical dimensions from their adult counterparts. Abdullah et al.1 recently published a morphometric and volumetric analysis of the lateral masses of the adult lower cervical spine (C5–7). To the best of our knowledge, there has been no such study attempting to reconcile differences between pediatric and adult lateral masses with modified versions of established surgical techniques.3,4,6,18 We performed a CT-based morphometric study of the pediatric subaxial cervical spine to determine the feasibility of cervical lateral mass screw
Morphometric analysis of lateral mass placement in the pediatric population and to establish placement guidelines.

Methods

Study Population

A morphometric analysis of the subaxial cervical spine lateral masses (C3–7) was performed in 70 pediatric patients. There were 56 boys and 14 girls included in our analysis. The mean age of the patients was 4.93 years (range 2 months to 16 years). Patients were selected from children who underwent a CT scan of the cervical spine at Texas Children’s Hospital between August 2006 and February 2011. Exclusion criteria included patients greater than 18 years of age, congenital deformities of formation or segmentation of the cervical spine, and radiographic evidence of trauma, neoplastic or inflammatory disease, infection, or previous surgery.

Lateral Mass Measurements

Linear and angular measurements were obtained with the standard measurement palette in our picture archiving and communications system (Philips iSite). Linear measurements were automatically rounded to the nearest 0.1 mm. Angular measurements were automatically rounded to the nearest degree. All measurements were obtained bilaterally. Comparisons based on age were recorded.

Multiple measurements (in millimeters) in axial, coronal, and sagittal planes were obtained from CT scans with a resolution of 5 mm or less as previously described. In the axial plane, measurements were obtained from the medial to lateral cortex and from dorsal to ventral cortices through the center of the lateral mass at the level of the pedicle (Fig. 1A). In the coronal plane, the width measurement was made at the center of the lateral mass originating at the lateral cortex of the lateral mass and terminating at the laminofacet line, and coronal height was measured from successive facet joint to facet joint (Fig. 1B). Sagittal width was measured through the center of the lateral mass just above the level of the pedicle from the dorsal to ventral cortices, and sagittal height was measured as the anatomical height at the center of the lateral mass. The diagonal measurement in the sagittal plane started at the superior articular process and terminated at the inferior articular process (Fig. 1C). The measure of facet angularity was the magnitude of the angle created by the vertex of 2 traced lines in the sagittal plane: the superior border of the superior articular process and the level of the pedicle (Fig. 1D).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (SPSS Inc.). Descriptive statistics including mean, standard deviation, standard error, and confidence intervals were computed for all measurements, and probability values were calculated using the Student t-test and were considered significant at < 0.05.

Results

For our cohort, we determined which patients could accept a lateral mass screw at each cervical level, from C-3 to C-7 (Fig. 2). We assumed the smallest commercially available lateral mass screw was 10 mm in length and 3.5 mm in diameter; thus, any patient who had any linear dimension less than 4.0 mm, assuming a 0.5 mm margin of safety, or sagittal diagonal less than 10 mm could not accept a screw at that level. In our cohort, only children younger than the age of 4 years had CT measurements that were too small for lateral mass screw placement. Of all the patients who were younger than 1 year of age, only 1 patient had all linear dimensions greater than 4.0 mm. The mean optimal screw length, approximated by the sagittal diagonal, was 12.83 ± 3.12 mm (range 6.5–25.5 mm). Mean rostral angulation for lateral mass screw placement using the Magerl technique of paralleling the facet joints was 45° (range 26°–87°).

Axial Width and Height

The overall mean axial width from C-3 to C-7 was 8.57 ± 1.57 mm, 8.49 ± 1.47 mm, 8.63 ± 1.55 mm, 8.41 ± 1.53 mm, and 8.23 ± 1.51 mm, respectively. There were statistically significant differences between boys and girls at C-6 and C-7. The mean axial width in patients younger than 8 years of age for levels C3–7 was 8.33 ± 1.43 mm, 8.31 ± 1.33 mm, 8.32 ± 1.24 mm, 8.13 ± 1.24 mm, and 7.96 ± 1.18 mm, respectively. For patients 8 years or older, the mean axial widths were 9.52 ± 1.78 mm, 9.23 ± 1.77 mm, 9.93 ± 2.00 mm, 9.56 ± 2.04 mm, and 9.38 ± 2.14 mm, respectively. All of these differences were statistically significant (Table 1).

The overall mean axial height from C-3 to C-7 was 7.08 ± 1.46 mm, 6.92 ± 1.44 mm, 6.85 ± 1.46 mm, 6.54 ±
There were no statistically significant differences between boys and girls, except at C-7. The mean axial height in patients younger than 8 years of age for levels C3–7 was 6.76 ± 1.20 mm, 6.62 ± 1.16 mm, 6.50 ± 1.15 mm, 6.30 ± 1.13 mm, and 6.03 ± 1.32 mm, respectively. For patients 8 years or older, the mean axial height was 8.38 ± 1.68 mm, 8.17 ± 1.80 mm, 8.29 ± 1.73 mm, 7.53 ± 1.12 mm, and 7.19 ± 1.70 mm, respectively. All of these differences were statistically significant (Table 1).

Fig. 2. Graph showing the distribution of patients whose anatomy was able to accept lateral mass screws at each level based on age. Blue bars represent those lateral masses that could accept a pedicle screw, gray bars represent those that could not.
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**TABLE 1: Axial width and height in 70 patients stratified by age and sex**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vertebra</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Age &lt;8</th>
<th>Age ≥8</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>axial width</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-3</td>
<td>8.57 ± 1.57</td>
<td>8.73 ± 1.56</td>
<td>8.21 ± 1.57</td>
<td>0.0744</td>
<td>8.33 ± 1.43</td>
<td>9.52 ± 1.78</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-4</td>
<td>8.49 ± 1.47</td>
<td>8.60 ± 1.48</td>
<td>8.24 ± 1.43</td>
<td>0.1817</td>
<td>8.31 ± 1.33</td>
<td>9.23 ± 1.77</td>
<td>0.0154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>8.63 ± 1.55</td>
<td>8.71 ± 1.61</td>
<td>8.47 ± 1.40</td>
<td>0.3829</td>
<td>8.32 ± 1.24</td>
<td>9.93 ± 2.00</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-6</td>
<td>8.41 ± 1.53</td>
<td>8.60 ± 1.61</td>
<td>8.00 ± 1.27</td>
<td>0.0200</td>
<td>8.13 ± 1.24</td>
<td>9.56 ± 2.04</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7</td>
<td>8.23 ± 1.51</td>
<td>8.44 ± 1.53</td>
<td>7.78 ± 1.38</td>
<td>0.0128</td>
<td>7.96 ± 1.18</td>
<td>9.38 ± 2.14</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>axial height</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-3</td>
<td>7.08 ± 1.46</td>
<td>7.13 ± 1.58</td>
<td>6.96 ± 1.14</td>
<td>0.4582</td>
<td>6.76 ± 1.20</td>
<td>8.38 ± 1.68</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-4</td>
<td>6.92 ± 1.44</td>
<td>6.97 ± 1.48</td>
<td>6.82 ± 1.35</td>
<td>0.5563</td>
<td>6.62 ± 1.16</td>
<td>8.17 ± 1.80</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>6.85 ± 1.46</td>
<td>6.87 ± 1.51</td>
<td>6.79 ± 1.36</td>
<td>0.7513</td>
<td>6.50 ± 1.15</td>
<td>8.29 ± 1.73</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-6</td>
<td>6.54 ± 1.23</td>
<td>6.62 ± 1.31</td>
<td>6.36 ± 1.03</td>
<td>0.2129</td>
<td>6.30 ± 1.13</td>
<td>7.53 ± 1.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7</td>
<td>6.25 ± 1.47</td>
<td>6.57 ± 1.48</td>
<td>5.55 ± 1.18</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>6.03 ± 1.32</td>
<td>7.19 ± 1.70</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All data given as mean ± SD (mm). Boldface type indicates statistical significance.

**Coronal Width and Height**

The overall mean coronal width from C-3 to C-7 was 8.67 ± 1.67 mm, 8.68 ± 1.62 mm, 8.55 ± 1.53 mm, 8.43 ± 1.70 mm, and 8.39 ± 2.26 mm, respectively. There were no statistically significant differences between boys and girls, except at C-5. The mean coronal width in patients younger than 8 years of age for levels C3–7 was 8.38 ± 1.38 mm, 8.43 ± 1.37 mm, 8.28 ± 1.32 mm, 8.05 ± 1.34 mm, and 8.94 ± 1.78 mm, respectively. For patients 8 years or older, the mean coronal widths were 9.86 ± 2.14 mm, 9.72 ± 2.14 mm, 9.69 ± 1.83 mm, 10.04 ± 2.09 mm, and 11.35 ± 2.98 mm, respectively. All of these differences were statistically significant (Table 2).

The overall mean coronal height from C-3 to C-7 was 6.80 ± 1.96 mm, 6.29 ± 1.64 mm, 6.35 ± 1.99 mm, 6.29 ± 1.78 mm, and 6.30 ± 1.88 mm, respectively. There were no statistically significant differences between boys and girls, except at C-7. The mean coronal height in patients younger than 8 years of age for levels C3–7 was 6.21 ± 1.34 mm, 5.78 ± 1.12 mm, 5.73 ± 1.25 mm, 5.73 ± 1.16 mm, and 5.71 ± 1.06 mm, respectively. For patients 8 years and older, the mean coronal height was 9.16 ± 2.29 mm, 8.41 ± 1.79 mm, 8.91 ± 2.42 mm, 8.64 ± 1.99 mm, and 8.81 ± 2.52 mm, respectively. All of these differences were statistically significant (Table 2).

**Sagittal Width and Height**

The overall mean sagittal width from C-3 to C-7 was 7.40 ± 2.37 mm, 7.38 ± 1.91 mm, 7.29 ± 1.81 mm, 7.04 ± 1.84 mm, and 6.73 ± 2.15 mm, respectively. There were no statistically significant differences between boys and girls, except at C-7. The mean sagittal width in patients younger than 8 years of age for levels C3–7 was 6.92 ± 1.95 mm, 7.06 ± 1.67 mm, 7.02 ± 1.60 mm, 6.73 ± 1.54 mm, and 6.38 ± 2.15 mm, respectively. For patients 8 years and older, the mean sagittal width was 9.54 ± 2.90 mm, 8.81 ± 2.28 mm, 8.47 ± 2.20 mm, 8.49 ± 2.41 mm, and 8.35 ± 3.36 mm, respectively. All of these differences were statistically significant (Table 3).

The overall mean sagittal height from C-3 to C-7 was
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Sagittal Diagonal and Facet Angularity

The overall mean sagittal diagonal from C-3 to C-7
was 11.76 ± 3.02 mm, 12.40 ± 2.95 mm, 12.86 ± 3.02 mm,
13.48 ± 3.32 mm, and 13.64 ± 3.28 mm, respectively. There
were statistically significant differences between boys and
girls at C-3, C-4, and C-5. The mean sagittal height in patients
younger than 8 years of age for levels C3–7 was 5.86 ±
1.26 mm, 5.55 ± 0.95 mm, 5.57 ± 0.94 mm, 5.51 ± 0.96
mm, and 5.51 ± 0.83 mm, respectively. For patients 8
years or older, the mean sagittal height was 8.22 ± 2.87
mm, 7.50 ± 2.61 mm, 7.79 ± 2.74 mm, 7.70 ± 2.75 mm,
and 7.98 ± 2.85 mm, respectively. All of these differences
were statistically significant (Table 3).

**Sagittal Diagonal and Facet Angularity**

The overall mean sagittal diagonal from C-3 to C-7
was 11.76 ± 3.02 mm, 12.40 ± 2.95 mm, 12.86 ± 3.02 mm,
13.48 ± 3.32 mm, and 13.64 ± 3.28 mm, respectively. There
were statistically significant differences between boys and
girls at C-3, C-4, and C-5. The mean sagittal diagonal in patients
younger than 8 years of age for levels C3–7 was 10.80 ±
1.83 mm, 11.49 ± 1.94 mm, 11.85 ± 1.92 mm, 12.36 ± 2.04
mm, and 12.56 ± 2.10 mm, respectively. For patients 8
years or older, the mean sagittal diagonal was 16.06 ± 3.53
mm, 16.48 ± 3.26 mm, 17.37 ± 2.97 mm, 18.64 ± 3.24 mm,
and 18.68 ± 3.08 mm, respectively. All of these differences
were statistically significant (Table 4).

The overall mean facet angle from C-3 to C-7 was 46
± 11°, 45 ± 9°, 47 ± 9°, 45 ± 8°, and 41 ± 7°, respectively.
There were statistically significant differences between
boys and girls at C-3, C-4, and C-5. The mean facet angle
in patients younger than 8 years of age for levels C5–7
was 44 ± 8°, 44 ± 7°, 46 ± 8°, 45 ± 8°, and 41 ± 8°,
respectively. For patients 8 years or older, the mean facet angle
was 53 ± 18°, 49 ± 13°, 51 ± 12°, 46 ± 9°, and 41 ± 7°,
respectively; these differences were statistically significant
only at C-3. These data are summarized in Table 4.

**Discussion**

Roy-Camille first introduced lateral mass screw
fixation as a method for cervical spine stabilization in
France. Roy-Camille, Magerl, and Louis popularized
the method, and since then, this technique has become
a mainstay of internal cervical fixation and stabilization.
Several groups have studied the morphology and anatomy
of the adult lateral mass. The lateral mass is a quadran-
gular area of bone that lies lateral to the lamina and in
between the facet joints above and below. The anteropos-
terior diameter of the lateral masses at C-6 and C-7 have
been shown to be smaller than the more rostral cervical
levels. In addition to smaller size, the C-7 lateral mass is
believed to have a steeper angle compared with more
rostral levels. For this reason, many people advocate
placing a pedicle screw at C-7.

Abdullah et al. recently performed a CT morpho-
metric and volumetric analysis of the lateral masses of
the adult lower cervical spine. These investigators found
that facet angulation decreased from C-5 to C-7, but with
the exception of height, the C-7 lateral mass had dimen-
sions that were comparable to the lateral masses of C-5
and C-6. Thus, although a more medial and rostral start-
ning point may be necessary, C-7 lateral mass screws were
very feasible in the adult spine. We sought to perform a
CT-based morphometric study to evaluate the feasibility
of lateral mass screw fixation in the pediatric age group.
To the best of our knowledge, no other studies have used
CT to evaluate the dorsal cervical vertebral anatomy as
it pertains to the use of lateral mass screws in children.

**Pediatric Lateral Mass Dimensions**

Our results establish useful guidelines for the place-
ment of subaxial cervical spine (C-3 to C-7) lateral mass
screws in the general pediatric population. In our series
(Fig. 3), 63 of 700 lateral masses in 70 patients could not
accept placement of a lateral mass screw. All of these later-
al masses were in children who were younger than 4 years
of age and the majority in children who were younger than
1 year of age. The minimum requirements for safe lateral
mass screw fixation were at least 10 mm of sagittal diag-
onal, assuming that 10 mm is the shortest commercially
available screw length, and any other dimension greater
than 4.0 mm, assuming a 0.5 mm margin of safety.

There were statistically significant age-related differ-
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The measure of facet angularity and sagittal diagonal height are of particular importance to the pediatric spine surgeon. The facet angle decreased by approximately 5° for each level moving caudally from C-5 to C-7, and was consistently larger in girls than boys. Except for C-3, facet angle was unchanged between younger children and older children. On the other hand, sagittal diagonal height increased by approximately 0.5 mm at each successive caudal level, was consistently smaller in girls than boys, and was significantly smaller in younger children than older children. These data imply that as lateral mass screws are placed at more caudal levels, it is possible that the most effective and safe starting points would be more superior

TABLE 4: Sagittal diagonal and facet angularity in 70 patients stratified by age and sex*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vertebra</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Age &lt;8</th>
<th>Age ≥8</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sagittal diagonal (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-3</td>
<td>11.76 ± 3.02</td>
<td>12.10 ± 3.15</td>
<td>11.00 ± 2.57</td>
<td>0.0332</td>
<td>10.80 ± 1.83</td>
<td>16.06 ± 3.53</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-4</td>
<td>12.40 ± 2.95</td>
<td>12.75 ± 3.05</td>
<td>11.61 ± 2.55</td>
<td>0.0258</td>
<td>11.49 ± 1.94</td>
<td>16.48 ± 3.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>12.86 ± 3.02</td>
<td>13.09 ± 3.12</td>
<td>12.33 ± 2.75</td>
<td>0.1562</td>
<td>11.85 ± 1.92</td>
<td>17.37 ± 2.97</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-6</td>
<td>13.48 ± 3.32</td>
<td>13.68 ± 3.35</td>
<td>13.02 ± 3.25</td>
<td>0.2692</td>
<td>12.36 ± 2.04</td>
<td>18.64 ± 3.24</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7</td>
<td>13.64 ± 3.28</td>
<td>13.99 ± 3.31</td>
<td>12.84 ± 3.11</td>
<td>0.0537</td>
<td>12.56 ± 2.10</td>
<td>18.68 ± 3.08</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>facet angularity (°)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-3</td>
<td>46 ± 11</td>
<td>44 ± 10</td>
<td>49 ± 13</td>
<td>0.0348</td>
<td>44 ± 8</td>
<td>53 ± 18</td>
<td>0.0264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-4</td>
<td>45 ± 9</td>
<td>44 ± 8</td>
<td>48 ± 11</td>
<td>0.0267</td>
<td>44 ± 7</td>
<td>49 ± 13</td>
<td>0.0626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>47 ± 9</td>
<td>46 ± 8</td>
<td>50 ± 11</td>
<td>0.0157</td>
<td>46 ± 8</td>
<td>51 ± 12</td>
<td>0.0614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-6</td>
<td>45 ± 8</td>
<td>44 ± 7</td>
<td>46 ± 10</td>
<td>0.3343</td>
<td>45 ± 8</td>
<td>46 ± 9</td>
<td>0.4708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7</td>
<td>41 ± 7</td>
<td>41 ± 7</td>
<td>42 ± 8</td>
<td>0.3513</td>
<td>41 ± 8</td>
<td>41 ± 7</td>
<td>0.9719</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All data given as mean ± SD. Boldface type indicates statistical significance.

Fig. 3. Boxplots of each measured dimension at each cervical level. Boxes represent the interquartile range (25%–75%), with a horizontal line representing the median. The dots and vertical lines represent the full range.
and medial compared with those used for the modified Magerl technique at higher levels and in adult patients.

Comparison of Pediatric and Adult Lateral Mass Measurements

Differences exist between our study and a recently published adult radiographic study. Abdullah et al. reported progressive increase in axial height, coronal height, and sagittal diagonal height at successively caudal levels C-5, C-6, and C-7. The same authors reported progressive decrease in axial width, coronal width, sagittal width and height, and facet angulation at successively caudal levels.

In our study, we found a trend toward a progressive increase in coronal width and sagittal diagonal, moving from the middle to the lower cervical spine. We found a trend toward progressive decreasing dimensions in axial width and height, coronal height, sagittal width and height, and facet angulation. Our lateral mass measurements compare with adult dimensions, especially measurements of sagittal diagonal height and facet angulation, values that provide the surgeon with a better understanding of an appropriate trajectory for lateral mass screw placement.¹

Conclusions

Lateral mass fixation is established, feasible, and efficacious in the adult spine. Little clinical or radiographic data has been published to establish its safety and efficacy in the pediatric spine. Our study shows that subaxial cervical spine lateral mass screws may be used in the majority of pediatric patients. All patients ≥4 years of age can accept lateral mass screws based on our measurements. More importantly, the facet angulation of the cervical spine decreases by approximately 5° moving caudally toward C-7, while facet height increases. These measurements may imply a more medial and rostral starting point for more caudal cervical levels and a steeper screw trajectory as compared with adult techniques. Preoperative thin-slice CT is essential for identifying children in whom this technique is applicable and for planning screw trajectories.
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