MINIMALLY invasive (MI) synostectomy with postoperative helmet orthosis is increasingly used by neurosurgical providers in the management of sagittal craniosynostosis diagnosed in infancy. Since its introduction in the 1990s the technique has evolved, with many practitioners using a limited osteotomy overlying the sagittal suture. Excellent cosmetic outcomes have been demonstrated with the use of a limited midline craniectomy without the use of lateral barrel-stave osteotomies. Despite this reduction in need to access the lateral skull surface, modified prone/sphinx positioning remains popular with many craniofacial teams performing this intervention.

Prone positioning is associated with potential risks. Intraoperative extubation in the modified prone position presents the potential for catastrophic anoxic injury. Cervical hyperextension can result in neurological injury in the setting of congenital craniocervical spinal abnormalities, to include segmentation anomalies and Chiari malformation. Alternative patient positioning with comparable access to the midline may enhance patient safety in this surgical population.

Methods

Patient Population

We conducted an institutional review board–exempted retrospective review of 5 patients undergoing MI strip suturectomy performed by a single surgeon (J.E.M.) for sagittal synostosis. Preoperative and postoperative anthropometric data were extracted from optical scanning performed by the collaborating orthotist (Fig. 1). No radiographs were obtained pre- or postoperatively. Clinical data including surgery duration, need for transfusion, and length of hospitalization were collected from the electronic medical record.
Surgical Technique
Anesthetic induction was performed to include endotracheal intubation and intravenous access. No arterial line was used. No precordial Doppler monitoring was performed. All patients received a preprocedure bolus of 10 mg/kg tranexamic acid followed by an infusion of 5 mg/kg/hr. Patients were positioned supine with lateral head rotation on a cerebellar head holder (Fig. 2). The midline vertex was maintained at the level of the heart to minimize the risk of air embolism. A narrow vertex suturectomy was then performed as described by Dlouhy et al.\(^3\) We used two transverse incisions, one just posterior to the anterior fontanel and the other anterior to the lambda. A lighted handheld retractor was used to develop the subgaleal plane between the two incisions. We created 2-cm trough osteotomies with a high-speed drill across the midline. Following removal of a short segment of bone with Leksell rongeurs, the epidural plane was easily developed under direct vision, with the remaining bridge of bone removed with Mayo scissors. Hemostasis was maintained with injectable Gelfoam. Following irrigation, layered closure was performed with absorbable suture.

Helmeting
Patients were evaluated by the orthotist prior to surgery. A cranial orthosis was provided to each patient within 1 week of surgery. In partnership with the orthotics technician, patients were monitored for fit and compliance during therapy. Orthotic treatment was continued at the discretion of the parents and treating surgeon for a minimum of 6 months, with goals of 1) cephalic index > 0.8, and/or 2) parental satisfaction with the aesthetic result.

Statistical Analysis
Descriptive statistical analysis was performed on variables including preoperative cephalic index, surgery duration, transfusion volume, length of hospitalization, and postoperative cephalic index.

Results
Data for 5 consecutive patients undergoing narrow vertex suturectomy with supine positioning were reviewed. The series included 3 boys and 2 girls. The mean age was 2.8 months (range 1.5–4.5 months). Weight at the time of surgery averaged 5.4 kg (range 3.8–6.1 kg). The mean preoperative cephalic index was 70.4%.

FIG. 1. Presurgical (left) and 6-month postsurgical (right) optical scans demonstrating outcome following MI synostectomy in the patient in case 1.

FIG. 2. Supine positioning for MI sagittal synostectomy. Figure is available in color online only.
from 65 to 81 minutes (mean 69.8 minutes). No intraoperative or postoperative transfusions were required. Postoperative day 1 hematocrit averaged 26.1% (range 21.4%–33%). The mean length of stay was 1.8 days. The mean 6-month postsurgical cephalic index was 83% (Table 1).

Discussion

Use of MI suturectomy with postoperative orthotic management has emerged as a safe and effective technique for the management of craniosynostosis in the infant. The technique has evolved over the past 15 years from a wide vertex craniectomy with lateral barrel-stave osteotomies to the more limited technique of narrow vertex suturectomy, with equivalent cosmetic outcome. This more limited surgical technique obviates the need for lateral calvarial access.

The modified sphinx position is commonly used for surgery in the patient with sagittal synostosis. Positioning devices have evolved from the beanbag initially described by Park et al. to the DORO head holder (Pro Med Instruments) used in most contemporary series. Regardless, the prone position is independently associated with increased patient risk.

Airway complications arising from prone positioning are rare but challenging intraoperative events. Although the incidence of intraoperative extubation in MI surgery for craniosynostosis is unknown, a recent review of complications during craniofacial surgery estimated inadvertent extubation occurs in more than 1% of cases. Endotracheal tube displacement can occur due to gravitational effects or as a result of facial movement during dissection and/or bone removal. Airway management tasks that are straightforward in the supine patient become more complex in the prone position, with the potential for catastrophic results. Alternative patient positioning in the supine position may enhance patient safety for MI sagittal synostectomy.

Venous air embolism is a commonly reported complication of surgical procedures in patients in the prone position. A study by Faberowski et al. found the frequency of venous air embolism to be 82.6% during craniectomy for craniosynostosis repair, as detected by preordial Doppler. Although most venous air emboli are asymptomatic, the potential for serious consequences exists. Elevation of the head above the heart can cause a pressure gradient promoting air entrapment into open noncompressible veins and subsequent hemodynamic instability. Furthermore, in the presence of a patent foramen ovale, venous air emboli can result in neurological sequelae.

The sphinx position also produces neck extension that poses a theoretical risk of cervical spine injury in selected patients. Underlying pathology such as Chiari malformation or cervical segmentation anomaly may result in narrowing of the cervical canal, placing the patient at increased risk of spinal cord injury from operative positioning. Many centers, including our own, have reduced or eliminated preoperative imaging studies from the evaluation of many patients with single-suture synostosis. Although diagnostic imaging of the patient with craniosynostosis may allow for detection of these at-risk patients, supine positioning avoids risks associated with radical neck extension during positioning.

MI resection of the sagittal suture requires access to the midline, which can easily be obtained in either the modified prone or supine position. Supine positioning requires no specialty head-holding system. It places the endotracheal tube in a nondependent position that is more accessible to the anesthesia team. Comparison of surgical times in this series to patients undergoing MI sagittal synostectomy in published series were similar, with no patients requiring transfusion.

Conclusions

In this series, MI synostectomy for sagittal craniosynostosis was performed with supine patient positioning without impact on operative course or patient outcome. Surgical duration was comparable to literature-based norms for performance in the prone position. No transfusions were required. Supine positioning offers potential advantages including reduced anesthetic risk in this patient population, and may be considered as an option by craniofacial surgeons performing MI synostectomy for sagittal craniosynostosis.
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