Corticospinal tract atrophy and motor fMRI predict motor preservation after functional cerebral hemispherectomy
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OBJECTIVE The potential loss of motor function after cerebral hemispherectomy is a common cause of anguish for patients, their families, and their physicians. The deficits these patients face are individually unique, but as a whole they provide a framework to understand the mechanisms underlying cortical reorganization of motor function. This study investigated whether preoperative functional MRI (fMRI) and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) could predict the postoperative preservation of hand motor function.

METHODS Thirteen independent reviewers analyzed sensorimotor fMRI and colored fractional anisotropy (CoFA)–DTI maps in 25 patients undergoing functional hemispherectomy for treatment of intractable seizures. Pre- and postoperative gross hand motor function were categorized and correlated with fMRI and DTI findings, specifically, abnormally located motor activation on fMRI and corticospinal tract atrophy on DTI.

RESULTS Normal sensorimotor cortical activation on preoperative fMRI was significantly associated with severe decline in postoperative motor function, demonstrating 92.9% sensitivity (95% CI 0.661–0.998) and 100% specificity (95% CI 0.715–1.00). Bilaterally robust, symmetric corticospinal tracts on CoFA-DTI maps were significantly associated with severe postoperative motor decline, demonstrating 85.7% sensitivity (95% CI 0.572–0.982) and 100% specificity (95% CI 0.715–1.00). Interpreting the fMR images, the reviewers achieved a Fleiss’ kappa coefficient ($k$) for interrater agreement of $k = 0.69$, indicating good agreement ($p < 0.01$). When interpreting the CoFA-DTI maps, the reviewers achieved $k = 0.64$, again indicating good agreement ($p < 0.01$).

CONCLUSIONS Functional hemispherectomy offers a high potential for seizure freedom without debilitating functional deficits in certain instances. Patients likely to retain preoperative motor function can be identified prior to hemispherectomy, where fMRI or DTI suggests that cortical reorganization of motor function has occurred prior to the operation.
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INDIVIDUALS who have suffered extensive brain injury in utero or during the perinatal period can demonstrate intellectual and physical abilities that seem exceptional, given the extent of encephalomalacia and other signs of brain damage noted on neuroimaging studies. A proportion of these patients also develop seizure activity that can be difficult to control with antiepileptic medications alone and may be candidates for cerebral hemispherectomy, which can offer a chance of seizure freedom as high as 80%.7,16,18,22
An estimated 18%–36.5% of patients undergoing functional hemispherectomy witness no decrement in their baseline motor function after surgical intervention. This observation suggests that motor pathway reorganization and/or disinhibition occurred either prior to surgery or alternative pathways were unmasked as a result of surgical intervention. Several investigators have attempted to identify the cortical and subcortical regions associated with the neuroplasticity of motor function in patients who have undergone functional hemispherectomy. In addition to the primary motor cortex, associative cortical areas—including the supplementary motor area (SMA), insula, inferior frontal cortex, occipital cortex, basal ganglia, and cerebellum—have been investigated as potential contributors to motor function preservation.

Utilization and disinhibition of the ipsilateral motor pathways are commonly thought to be a primary mechanism by which functional preservation occurs for several reasons. First, robust ipsilateral pathways are present at birth, representing an estimated 25% of descending uncrossed corticospinal fibers that contribute to the lateral and ventral corticospinal tracts. Demand may then lead to increased ipsilateral tract utilization. Likewise, crossed inhibitory signaling from the contralateral hemisphere may lead to inhibition of the ipsilateral tracts in the preoperative state. Release from contralateral inhibition via hemispherectomy might thus facilitate ipsilateral corticospinal control of limb function. Disinhibition has also been proposed as a mechanism for the mirror movements commonly seen in patients who have undergone hemispherectomy, while crowding of motor function on the remaining motor cortex is another leading theory.

The location of reorganization within the remaining unaffected hemisphere has been studied and debated. Reorganization has been observed in the peri-rolandic cortex, both medial and lateral to motor activation of the nonparetic limb. Restoration or preservation of function is also hypothesized to be supported by areas outside of the primary motor cortex, including the premotor, SMA, and rubrospinal pathways. Staudt et al. argued that reorganization is dependent on the extent of the lesion, with severe lesions leading to disruption of interhemispheric inhibitory connections via the corpus callosum, including crossed descending corticospinal tracts.

Few researchers have used advanced imaging techniques such as functional MRI (fMRI) or diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) to investigate the preservation of motor function relative to the severe hemiparesis expected in patients about to undergo hemispherectomy. In this observational study, we evaluate the laterality of hand motor control using fMRI, as well as corticospinal tract integrity via colored fractional anisotropy (CoFA) maps, in patients undergoing functional hemispherectomy for medically intractable seizures. The goal of our study was to determine whether these imaging techniques can be used to identify the patients most likely to retain motor function postoperatively.

Methods

Institutional review board approval was obtained to waive informed consent prior to performing a retrospective review of clinical and imaging data collected from 25 patients who underwent functional hemispherectomy to treat medically intractable seizures from 2007 to 2015 at our institution. The technique used most closely resembles “peri-insular hemispherotomy” as described by Schramm et al., while typically resecting the insula and basal forebrain and sparing the caudate nucleus and thalamus. Each patient underwent fMRI in the months preceding surgery as part of the preoperative workup. DTI was performed as part of preoperative structural MRI. The full imaging studies were interpreted by fellowship-trained pediatric neuroradiologists and reviewed by fellowship-trained pediatric neurosurgeons. Culled from patient records, immediate preoperative motor strength was compared against the last known postoperative motor strength testing and classified as mildly or severely weaker or stable.

Motor fMRI Acquisition

Imaging was performed on a Siemens 3-T Trio scanner. fMRI was performed using EPIBOLD images (Siemens clinical sequence ep2d_pace45 slices; 3-mm-thick slices with a 0-mm gap; TR/TE = 2420/30 msec; flip angle 90°; field of view 192 x 192 mm; matrix 64 x 64). A total of 80 image volumes were obtained per run, resulting in a total time of less than 7 minutes per run. Standard DTI parameters were used: TR 5800 msec, TE 96 msec; b = 1000 sec/mm²; 10–30 diffusion directions repeated 2–4 times; in-plane resolution 1.8 x 1.8 mm; and 3-mm slice thickness.

Motor fMRI tasks were performed according to our previously published parameters. Motor tasks alternated between left- and right-hand movements (visually cued, self-paced, tapping of the thumb and fingers together). Studies used a conventional block design (ABABAB paradigm) and were repeated at least twice. When the patient could not voluntarily perform the tapping on the affected side, the task was aided by a parent who passively moved the relevant digits as the patient participated at the appropriate times and kept the unattended hand still.

Postprocessing and Image Analysis

Analysis of the fMRI and DTI data was performed using commercial Siemens software, and images were also analyzed using the FSL/FDT software package (FMRI Image Analysis Group; www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl). fMRI data processing was carried out using FEAT (FMRI Expert Analysis Tool; version 5.92). The following prestatistical processing was applied: motion correction using MCFLIRT; nonbrain removal using BET; spatial smoothing using a Gaussian kernel with a 5-mm full width at half maximum; grand mean intensity normalization of the entire 4-dimensional data set by a single multiplicative factor; high-pass temporal filtering (Gaussian-weighted least-squares straight line fitting with σ = 50.0 seconds). Statistical analysis of the time series was carried out using FILM with local autocorrelation correction. Multiple trials were aggregated for cluster enhancement with Z (Gaussianized T/F) statistic image threshold > 3.0 and a corrected cluster significance threshold of p = 0.01. Regis-
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Analysis of the DTI data included eddy current correction, fitting of diffusion tensors, and estimation of diffusion parameters including fractional anisotropy, principal diffusion direction, and mean diffusivity. Evaluation of the DTI data was done by examining quantitative parametric maps and the direction-encoded CoFA maps. Fiber tracking algorithms were performed using both the FSL and MediINRIA software packages (Asclepios Research Project; http://www-sop.inria.fr/asclepios/software/MediINRIA/). The corticospinal tract within the posterior limbs of the internal capsule, cerebral peduncle, and upper medulla was compared with that of the contralateral uninjured side.

Interrater Reliability

The resulting statistical parametric maps generated using fMRI and CoFA-DTI data were visually rated by 13 independent reviewers (7 neurosurgeons, 2 neurologists, and 4 radiologists) using categorical scales. For fMRI data, raters were asked to determine whether sensorimotor activation was absent, ipsilateral sensorimotor adjacent, or contralateral to the paretic limb. For the DTI data, raters scored the CoFA maps as symmetric and robust on both sides or significantly diminished on 1 side. The images used for evaluation are available in Appendix A. For each individual case, the majority interpretation of that case was used for analysis. To index interrater reliability, Fleiss’ kappa coefficient ($\kappa$) was calculated. Analysis was performed using R statistical software and the “irr” package (version 0.84).

Results

Patient Population

Of the 25 patients with functional hemispherectomy patients who were reviewed, 11 patients were male and 14 patients were female. The mean age at seizure onset was 3.16 years (SD 4.11 years), and the mean age at the...
time of hemispherectomy was 8.36 years (SD 5.47 years). Ten operations were performed on the right side and 15 on the left side. Eleven patients developed seizures related to perinatal stroke; 2 of these patients also had experienced intracerebral hemorrhage. One patient had a stroke at the age of 10 years, and seizures began shortly thereafter. Three patients had hemimegalencephaly, 3 patients had cortical dysplasia, 2 patients had Rasmussen encephalitis, 2 patients had polymicrogyria, 1 patient had Sturge-Weber syndrome, 1 patient had a high-grade glioma, and 1 patient had a low-grade glioma.

**Summary of Findings**

Preoperative and postoperative motor function, preoperative findings of motor activation on brain fMRI relative to finger movements on the injured side, and qualitative evaluation of the corticospinal tracts on the preoperative CoFA-DTI maps for each patient are listed in Table 1. These results are represented in Fig. 2, which compares the imaging results with motor outcomes.

On preoperative fMRI, 6 of 25 patients (24.0%) showed activation in the sensorimotor-adjacent regions ipsilateral to the paretic hand, 6 patients (24.0%) showed no interpretable activation, and 13 patients (52.0%) showed normal contralateral sensorimotor region activation. Of the 6 patients showing ipsilateral activity, 5 patients (83.3%) remained stable and 1 patient (16.7%) was subtly weaker postoperatively. No patients experienced severe postoper-
ative motor decline. Of the 6 patients with no activation, 4 patients (66.7%) remained stable, 1 patient (16.7%) was mildly weaker, and 1 patient (16.7%) was severely weaker postoperatively. Of the 13 patients with predominantly contralateral sensorimotor area activation on preoperative fMRI, 100% were severely weaker after surgery.

Among the 11 patients with perinatal stroke with fMRI activation, 5 patients (45.5%) showed predominantly ipsilateral hemisphere activation, 3 patients (27.3%) showed no activation at all, and 3 patients (27.3%) showed contralateral activation. Postoperative motor function in 6 of 11 patients (54.5%) with total perinatal stroke remained stable, 2 patients (18.2%) were mildly weaker, and 3 patients (27.3%) were severely weaker. Only the patients with contralateral fMRI activation preoperatively experienced severe postoperative motor decline.

The Freeman-Halton extension of the Fisher exact probability test was performed on the contingency tables for all patients in our study. The 2-tailed p values, $p_A$ and $p_B$, for fMRI were both statistically significant associations. We then condensed these data into 2 × 2 contingency tables to assess severe postoperative motor decline, including mildly weaker, stable, and improved postoperative motor examinations together as positive functional outcomes. Normal motor pathway findings on fMRI and DTI were found to significantly correlate with severe postoperative motor deficit after hemispherectomy.

On preoperative DTI, 13 of 25 patients (52.0%) showed atrophic corticospinal tracts contralateral to the paretic limbs, and 12 patients (48.0%) showed robust, essentially symmetric corticospinal tracts. Of the 13 patients with atrophic corticospinal tracts, 9 patients (69.2%) remained stable, 2 patients (15.4%) were mildly weaker postopera-tively, and 2 patients (15.4%) were severely weaker after surgery. Of the 12 patients with symmetric, robust corticospinal tracts, 100% were severely weaker after surgery.

Among the 11 perinatal stroke patients with DTI, 9 patients (81.8%) had atrophic corticospinal tracts contralateral to the paretic limbs, and 2 patients (18.2%) showed symmetric, robust corticospinal tracts. On postoperative motor examination, 6 of 11 patients (54.5%) with total perinatal stroke remained stable, 2 patients (18.2%) were mildly weaker, and 3 patients (27.3%) were severely weaker.

The Freeman-Halton extension of the Fisher exact probability test was performed on the 2 × 3 contingency tables (Fig. 2) of all patients who underwent DTI in our study. The 2-tailed p values, $p_D$ and $p_E$ for DTI were each $2.019 \times 10^{-5}$, and both are statistically significant associations. Condensing these data into 2 × 2 contingency tables as above to assess severe postoperative motor decline, normal-appearing symmetric corticospinal tracts on DTI predicted severe postoperative motor decline with 85.7% sensitivity (95% CI 0.572–0.982) and 100% specificity (95% CI 0.715–1.00).

Preoperative hand motor function is associated with postoperative motor outcome ($p = 0.012$). However, when both fMRI and DTI findings agreed, outcome can be correctly predicted with 100% sensitivity and 100% specificity. In 11 patients, both fMRI and DTI findings were normal. Patients demonstrated severe postoperative hemiparesis in 100% of these cases. Conversely, in 11 patients, both fMRI and DTI findings were abnormal, and 100% of these patients experienced, at worst, mild hemiparesis postoperatively. In 3 instances, the fMRI and DTI findings were in disagreement: fMRI correctly predicted outcome in 2 of these cases, and DTI correctly predicted outcome in 1 of these cases.
Ipsilateral Corticospinal Projections

Ipsilateral signals are seen on electrocorticography during simple hand movements and expanded in the setting of hemiplegia. In addition to contralateral projections, pyramidal pathways also contain a sizeable proportion of ipsilateral cortical projections, which appear to play a role in the control of distal extremity movement. Although most corticospinal fibers decussate in the medulla to the contralateral corticospinal tract, an estimated 8.2% of motor function is relayed through ipsilateral corticospinal fibers. Ipsilateral projections are suspected to play a role in the coordination of skilled movements in healthy subjects, possibly more so in the nondominant hand. The potential influence of the ipsilateral pathways is most robust in patients who have had a motor cortex stroke, and recent functional imaging studies support this concept of a subordinate collaborative motor pathway.

The recruitment of ipsilateral corticospinal neurons may promote coordination of fractionated movements. Hand movements seen after contralateral stroke are thought to occur due to projections to the red nucleus originating exclusively from the ipsilateral precentral gyrus; these projections remain ipsilateral as they continue in the ipsilateral spinal corticospinal tract. Distal extremity movements, as well as axial body control, in stroke patients are frequently impaired compared with those of normal controls on the lesional side, though the contralateral corticospinal projections remain intact. Lesion data further support the role of ipsilateral projections in that, in patients undergoing cordotomy for cancer pain, the first unilateral lesion caused paresis of the ipsilateral lower limb with gradual recovery of limb function, whereas a second cordotomy on the contralateral side resulted in the immediate loss of all recovered motor function.

The dominant contralateral motor cortex likely regulates output from ipsilateral corticospinal neurons and, in a similar fashion, regulates brainstem pathways through upper motor neuron signaling at the level of the spinal cord. Müller et al. theorized that an increase in transcallosal inhibitory signaling could explain the finding that ipsilateral motor evoked potentials were absent after age 10 years, postulating that a contralateral motor cortex lesion might serve to unmask ipsilaterally mediated motor function. Ipsilateral sensorimotor cortex activation seen during paretic hand movements in stroke patients suggests that preexisting uncrossed neural pathways may be recruited to compensate for damage to the crossed motor pathways after ischemic stroke.

Predicting Motor Preservation After Hemispherectomy

Several reports have demonstrated MRI evidence to suggest functional reorganization after cerebral hemispherectomy. Rutten et al. demonstrated activation in the undamaged hemisphere for both the paretic and normal hand preoperatively. Postoperatively, fMRI was unchanged 22 months after hemispherectomy. De Bode et al. found that all children able to carry out the ankle dorsiflexion fMRI paradigm after functional hemispherectomy showed activations in the sensorimotor network ipsilateral to the affected side. Patients with perinatal infarct demonstrated greater activity in the cingulate cortex, whereas patients with Rasmussen encephalitis had significant activations in the insula, suggesting etiology-specific differences in reorganization.

The anatomical pathways by which this reorganization occurs are likely in place but are functionally or developmentally inhibited by contralateral hemisphere activity, while damaged pathways atrophy as shown on tractography (Fig. 3). Extensive damage could presumably lead to the loss of contralateral descending pyramidal connections and the loss of cross-hemispheric inhibition, mimicking the same state witnessed after surgical disconnection. This inhibition could partially explain the lack of preoperative ipsilateral fMRI activation in patients who retained baseline motor function after hemispherectomy.

Our patient demographics do suggest that a relatively young onset of cortical injury might increase the likelihood of motor reorganization. In addition, a longer period of time between injury and hemispherectomy might increase the likelihood of functional reorganization. To illustrate, of the 3 patients with hemimegalencephaly, 2 patients underwent hemispherectomy approximately 1 year after seizure onset, showed normal contralateral sensorimotor activation...
on fMRI with symmetric corticospinal tract integrity, and had severe motor dysfunction postoperatively. In contrast, in the third patient, approximately 6 years elapsed between seizure onset and hemispherectomy and the patient showed ipsilateral sensorimotor activation on fMRI and atrophy of the corresponding corticospinal tract and retained stable motor function postoperatively.

Patients with perinatal stroke, in particular, are likely to retain baseline motor function after hemispherectomy. In these patients, the functional results are in keeping with the “early lesion effect.” A DTI study by van der Aa et al. showed that fractional anisotropy values, while not initially lower after injury, do reflect functional pathway disruption at 3 months after perinatal stroke, and this alteration predicts cortical dysfunction in motor and visual pathways. Our data seem to support this supposition, in that only 3 of 11 stroke patients showed normal contralateral sensorimotor activation on fMRI—the same 3 perinatal stroke patients with severe motor decline postoperatively.

It remains unclear if neuronal pruning negates the ability to reorganize at a certain age or stage of development. However, the impressive ability to improve motor function postoperatively with aggressive rehabilitation, even years after surgery, is linked with sensorimotor fMRI activation, thereby suggesting recruitment of the ipsilateral corticospinal tracts. This finding has important implications regarding the potential value of preoperative motor conditioning leading up to functional hemispherectomy.

Our study has a number of limitations, thereby leaving several interesting questions unanswered. Its retrospective nature does not allow for a granular or uniform comparison of pre- and postoperative motor function. In particular, a more detailed quantitative comparison of distal versus proximal extremity function would serve to elucidate the rubrospinal contribution relative to the ipsilateral corticospinal contribution, in that ipsilateral corticospinal control would be expected to manifest as relatively dysfunctional distal mobility. However, in terms of the SMA contribution, we observed 3 instances of contralateral SMA fMRI activation—one each in association with contralateral sensorimotor, ipsilateral sensorimotor-adjacent, and absent sensorimotor activation. Postoperative motor function was stable in the patients with ipsilateral and absent sensorimotor activation and severely weaker in patients with normal contralateral activation. This observation suggests, but certainly does not prove, that SMA is unlikely to be the major contributor to motor preservation.

The interpretation and generalizability of fMRI in this patient population remains ambiguous and potentially prone to motion and other artifacts, though our motor testing paradigm has proven reproducible. The fact that many patients were cognitively impaired adds to the risk that our fMRI results may be partially obscured by motion artifacts. The DTI protocol we routinely use in the clinical setting is basic, utilizing at first 10 and then later 30 directions that are repeated 2–4 times. Using a longer protocol with better resolution and more diffusion directions would yield better image and data resolution, though whether such improvement would yield any demonstrable benefit is uncertain. The small number of patients analyzed does not prove the adequacy of either imaging modality for predicting motor preservation, and a greater number of patients with perinatal stroke is needed to validate these findings.
with multiinstitutional validation would serve to confirm the reliability of our findings, as well as the utility of these imaging modalities for this purpose.

Conclusions
Corticospinal tract atrophy on CoFA-DTI maps and abnormal sensorimotor activation on fMRI both demonstrate an excellent capacity for predicting severe motor decline after functional hemispherectomy in our series. Interpretation of these imaging techniques can be performed by subspecialists in multiple related disciplines with good agreement. When these imaging techniques were both in agreement, motor outcome was predicted correctly 100% of the time. These imaging techniques are useful for counseling about one of the most impactful patient-specific risks of undergoing functional hemispherectomy—hemiparesis.

It is clear from our patients and others that areas outside of the primary motor cortex can facilitate movement, excluding fractionated finger control. Release of cross-hemispheric inhibition likely promotes increased activation of the ipsilateral cortex. Erroneous, mistimed, or uncoordinated signaling from the damaged hemisphere could serve to inhibit intact ipsilateral motor control centers. Surgical disconnection seems to release this negative inhibitory influence, allowing ipsilateral motor centers to function unimpeded.

The mechanisms of reorganization seen in animal lesion models and patients who have had a stroke appear to provide a plausible explanation for the motor preservation seen in patients who undergo hemispherectomy, particularly those who have had a perinatal stroke. By understanding the mechanisms by which ipsilateral motor areas control ipsilateral limb function, strategies might be developed to use these native pathways with the hope of promoting neuroplasticity. One simple, potentially impactful strategy might be to promote aggressive motor training prior to functional hemispherectomy.
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