Suprasellar and recurrent pediatric craniopharyngiomas: expanding indications for the extended endoscopic transsphenoidal approach
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OBJECTIVE The expanded endonasal endoscopic transsphenoidal approach has become increasingly used for craniopharyngioma surgery in the pediatric population, but questions still persist regarding its utility in younger children, in recurrent and irradiated tumors, and in masses primarily located in the suprasellar region. The narrow corridor, incomplete pneumatization, and fear of hypothalamic injury have traditionally relegated this approach to application in older children with mostly cystic craniopharyngiomas centered in the sella. The authors present a series of consecutive pediatric patients in whom the endonasal endoscopic approach was used to remove craniopharyngiomas from patients of varied ages, regardless of the location of the tumor and previous treatments or surgeries, to ascertain if the traditional concerns about limitations of this approach are worth reevaluating.

METHODS Eleven consecutive pediatric patients (age ≤ 18 years) underwent surgery via an endoscopic transsphenoidal approach at NewYork-Presbyterian/Weill Cornell Medical Center from 2007 to 2016. The authors recorded the location, consistency, and size of the lesion, assessed for hypothalamic invasion radiographically, calculated skull base measurements, and assessed parameters such as extent of resection, visual function, endocrinological function, weight gain, and return-to-school status.

RESULTS The average age at the time of surgery was 7.9 years (range 4–17 years) and the tumor sizes ranged from 1.3 to 41.7 cm³. Five cases were purely suprasellar, 5 had solid components, 4 were reoperations, and 5 had a conchal sphenoid aeration. Nevertheless, gross-total resection was achieved in 45% of the patients and 50% of those in whom it was the goal of surgery, without any correlation with the location, tumor consistency, or the age of the patient. Near-total resection, subtotal resection, or biopsy was performed intentionally in the remaining patients to avoid hypothalamic injury. Anterior pituitary dysfunction occurred in 81.8% of the patients, and 63.3% developed diabetes insipidus. Two patients (18%) had a greater than 9% increase in body mass index. Visual function was stable or improved in 73%. All children returned to an academic environment, with 10 of them in the grade appropriate for their age. There was a single case of each of the following: CSF leak, loss of vision unilaterally, and abscess.

CONCLUSIONS The endoscopic transsphenoidal approach is suitable for removing pediatric craniopharyngiomas even in young children with suprasellar tumors, conchal sphenoid sinus, recurrent tumors, and tumors with solid components. The extent of resection is dictated by intrinsic hypothalamic tumor invasiveness rather than the approach. The endoscopic transsphenoidal approach affords the ability to directly inspect the hypothalamic structures to determine invasion, which may help spare the patient from hypothalamic injury. Irrespective of approach, the rates of postoperative endocrinopathy remain high and the learning curve for the approach to a relatively rare tumor is steep.
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CranioPharyngioma is a rare benign tumor originating from Rathke’s pouch, with a bimodal age distribution of 5–14 years and 50–75 years. Pediatric cranioPharyngioma has an annual incidence of 5.25 per million and accounts for 6%–13% of all pediatric intracranial tumors. The lesion is histologically benign but, due to its proximity to the critical neurovascular and hypothalamopituitary axis structures, it poses challenges to the treating physician. Surgical or adjuvant treatment strategies in this eloquent location may have devastating effects, especially in the pediatric population in whom hypothalamic injury can result in the development of morbid obesity and cognitive decline. Almost all pediatric craniopharyngiomas are of the adamantinomatous type with a CTNNB1 mutation, and they are generally calcified and thus not only more difficult to resect but also not responsive to medical therapy.

The optimal management of craniopharyngiomas has been debated for decades. In the past, transcranial surgery was generally recommended to achieve gross-total resection (GTR) with the aim of surgical cure. Surgery for childhood craniopharyngiomas, however, is associated with a perioperative mortality rate risk ranging from 0% to 16%, neurological morbidity rate risk of 0%–20%, and pituitary-related endocrinopathy risk of up to 100%. Hypothalamic obesity has been reported in as many as 70% of patients.

The relatively high morbidity associated with GTR prompted neurosurgeons to seek alternatives, such as subtotal resection (STR) of the tumor or cyst fenestration with adjuvant radiotherapy. Intracystic bleomycin, interferon, and radioactive agents have also been administered in an attempt to reduce the recurrence rate. Recently, BRAF inhibitors have been demonstrated to show effectiveness against the BRAF-mutated papillary craniopharyngiomas. Unfortunately, almost all pediatric craniopharyngiomas are CTNNB1-mutated adamantinomatous type. For primarily sellar tumors, microscopic transphenoidal resection has also been reported.

In recent years, the extended endonasal endoscopic approach (EEA) has been advocated for suprasellar craniopharyngiomas. Evidence to date has shown that, in comparison with the transcranial approach, endonasal endoscopic resection can result in better vision outcomes, higher GTR rate, and stable quality of life and comparable complication rates. Characteristic anatomical features such as the small nostrils and absent pneumatization of the sphenoidal sinus in the pediatric patients pose unique challenges to endoscopic neurosurgeons. On the other hand, the shorter distance between the nostril and sellar area facilitates endonasal endoscopic surgery.

In spite of the popularity of the EEA for adults, few reports exist of its use for pediatric craniopharyngiomas. Characteristic anatomical features such as the small nostrils and absent pneumatization of the sphenoidal sinus in the pediatric patients pose unique challenges to endoscopic neurosurgeons. On the other hand, the shorter distance between the nostril and sellar area facilitates endonasal endoscopic surgery. To study this developing technique and its application to the pediatric population, we reviewed the records of all patients ≤ 18 years of age with craniopharyngiomas who underwent endonasal endoscopic resection at our institution.

**Methods**

Following approval from the IRB, we performed a retrospective review of a prospectively maintained database of all endonasal endoscopic surgeries performed in the Department of Neurological Surgery at Weill Cornell Medicine from 2009 to 2017. All pediatric patients (age ≤ 18 years) with craniopharyngiomas treated via the EEA were identified. All patients had undergone pre- and postoperative neurological, otorhinolaryngological, ophthalmological, and endocrinological evaluation, general blood tests, hormone laboratory tests, and brain MRI. Tumor location was evaluated based on the sellar cavity, pituitary stalk, or extension into adjacent regions. Intraoperative parameters, anatomical measurements, extent of resection, complications, and follow-up duration were also recorded. The degree of resection was determined by a neuroradiologist examining the postoperative MR images and categorized as GTR (100% removal), near-total resection (NTR) (> 95% removal), STR (< 95% removal), or biopsy (> 50% removal). The definition of NTR was created for cases in which the neuroradiologist noted thin linear enhancement on the floor of the hypothalamus but would definitely call this residual tumor. In these cases, the surgeon did not appreciate any residual tumor upon direct inspection of the floor of the hypothalamus. The regrowth potential of this residual tumor is unknown.

**Radiological Measurements**

Several measurement parameters were reviewed from the preoperative radiological images—that is, intercarotid distance at the level of the anterior clinoid processes, chiasm-pituitary corridor (CPC), nare-sellar distance, rostralcaudal diameter of the tumor, widest diameter of the tumor on coronal images, anteroposterior diameter of the tumor, and tumor volume. The CPC is measured as the distance between the pituitary gland and optic chiasm on coronal sections, while the nare-sellar distance is the maximal distance on midsagittal sections, from the entrance of the nare to the most anterior point of the sellar rostrum.

**Anatomical Features**

Sellar pneumatization was described on the sagittal MRI/CT slices and categorized as either presellar, sellar, postsellar, or conchal. T1-weighted (noncontrast and contrast-enhanced) and T2-weighted MR images were carefully reviewed to document the features of the tumor and the lesions were described as solid, cystic, and mixed. We classified a tumor as cystic if more than 50% of the tumor was cystic. This was corroborated by intraoperative examination and macroscopic analysis. The effects of the lesion on contiguous anatomical vital structures were also recorded, such as hypothalamic involvement and internal carotid artery encasement. Hypothalamic involvement was based on either increased signal in the hypothalamus demonstrated on FLAIR images or deformation of the hypothalamus by the top of the tumor. The hypothalamic region was defined as the region between the lamina terminalis and optic chiasm anteriorly to the mammillary bodies posteriorly, as shown on the sagittal...
MRI sequences. A line drawn from the anterior commissure to the posterior commissure formed the superior border, with the floor formed by the tuber cinereum, median eminence, and pituitary stalk. Our philosophy with regard to surgical goals is to try to achieve a GTR for all tumors without hypothalamic involvement and to debulk as much of the tumor as can be safely removed for those that involve the hypothalamus.

Surgical Procedure

The surgical approach was similar in all cases and consisted of a purely EEA. The details of this approach are well documented elsewhere but some of the specifics that pertain to pediatric patients or that are unique to our institution are highlighted here. MRI-based navigation is used in all cases with CTA navigation coregistered in selective cases. CTA was particularly helpful when the sphenoid sinus was not yet fully pneumatized and required extensive drilling. General anesthesia was induced, and the patient was given vancomycin (15 mg/kg) and cefuzidime (50 mg/kg), steroids, and antihistamines. A lumbar drain was placed, and 0.2 ml of 10% fluorescein (AK-Fluor, AKORN) was mixed in 10 ml of CSF before infusion to help visualize any possible CSF leaks. A nasoseptal flap was harvested. Any conchal bone in the sphenoid sinus was removed with a diamond drill down to hard cancellous bone. The bone opening varied based on the location of the tumor. For sellar tumors, the entire sella was opened, and if there was extension into the suprasellar cistern, the opening was extended up to the top of the tuberculum sella.

For suprasellar tumors without sellar involvement, the opening consisted only of the top of the sella and tuberculum sella and the lateral limits were the medial opticocarotid recesses. The superior intercavernous sinus was cauterized and transected, and the dura was opened to the bone edge. For sellar tumors, these were internally decompressed and dissected free from the surrounding normal pituitary gland and stalk. If the goal of surgery was cure, and the gland and/or stalk were infiltrated, they were sacrificed. For suprasellar tumors, the corridor for surgery was above the pituitary gland and below the chiasm. The superior hypophyseal arteries were preserved if possible as was the stalk. The tumor was internally decompressed and then dissected free laterally and inferriorly. If the goal of surgery was cure, the tumor was then dissected free superiorly from the back of the chiasm and roof of the third ventricle. If the child was younger than 16 years and the tumor infiltrated the floor of the third ventricle, then residual tumor was purposefully left behind to avoid damage to the hypothalamus.

The dura was repaired with a gasket seal. This involved an on-lay of fascia lata held in place with a wedged piece of MEDPOR. In some cases, ALLODERM was used as a graft, and vomer was used as a buttress. The gasket was covered with a nasoseptal flap, which itself was covered with DuraSeal and then Floseal.

Results

Demographics

Eleven patients were included in the study. Their mean age at the time of surgery was 7.9 years (range 4–17 years). Eight of the patients were male. The histological diagnosis was adamantinomatous (WHO Grade I) in all 11 cases.

Clinical Presentation

Table 1 summarizes the clinical presentation, tumor characteristics, and history of related surgical procedures. The most common presenting symptom was visual impairment (n = 6) followed by growth retardation (n = 5). Four patients presented with headaches, 2 with somnolence/lethargy, 1 with cognitive impairment, and 1 with polyuria. Weight gain was also noted in one patient, and weight loss in another. Four patients (37%) had prior surgery—intraventricular endoscopic biopsies in 2 patients and craniotomies for debulking or cyst fenestration in 2 patients.

Preoperative Imaging

Tumor volumes ranged from 1.3 to 41.7 cm³. Sphenoid sinuses were conchal (n = 5), presellar (n = 4) and sellar (n = 2). The intercarotid distance at the level of the anterior clinoid processes ranged from 11.06 mm to 25.6 mm (mean 14.63 mm). An important step in this approach was traversing the corridor between the pituitary gland and the optic chiasm. This CPC distance ranged from 3.6 mm to 41 mm (mean 10.8 mm). The nare-sellar distance ranged from 56.7 to 92.6 mm (mean 73.2 mm).

Elevation of the floor of the hypothalamus was noted in 8 patients. In 7 of these 8, there was imaging evidence of invasion defined as FLAIR signal in the hypothalamus. No internal carotid artery encasement was noted in any of the cases. Five cases were suprasellar/sellar, 5 were purely suprasellar (Fig. 1), and 1 was purely sellar. Five cases were mixed solid and cystic and 6 were predominantly cystic.

Surgical Outcome

Table 2 summarizes the extent of resection, as well as some of the outcomes. Five (45%) patients had a GTR, 2 (18%) had an NTR, and 3 (27%) had an STR. The goal of surgery in 10 of 11 patients was to achieve a GTR. An STR was planned preoperatively for one of the patients. One patient (Case 8) with a predominantly cystic tumor received an intentional biopsy with partial debulking for optic chiasmal decompression. This was discussed with the patient and family prior to the procedure. For patients in whom GTR was the goal of surgery, this was achieved in 50% (Fig. 2).

In the 4 patients in whom the EEA procedure was a re-operation for tumor recurrence, there were 2 GTRs (50%), 1 NTR, and 1 STR. For the patients with sellar involvement, GTR was achieved in 50% of the cases and for the cases that were purely suprasellar GTR was also achieved in 50% of the cases. GTR was achieved in all 3 (100%) of the patients without preoperative imaging—documented hypothalamic involvement in whom GTR was the goal. GTR was achieved in 2 (28.6%) of the 7 patients with preoperative imaging—documented hypothalamic involvement following intraoperative assessment of an acceptable plane of dissection. Examining the role of solid versus
cystic tumors, GTR was achieved in 40% of tumors with a mixed solid-cystic consistency and in 50% of tumors that were mostly cystic.

The majority of the patients were followed for more than 36 months. Follow-up duration for 4 patients (Cases 1, 6, 9, and 10) was less than 36 months. These cases were omitted from the calculation of recurrence rates.

**Endocrine and Visual Outcomes**

Most of the patients presented with endocrine abnormalities preoperatively (72%). Postoperatively, anterior pituitary function was worse in 81.8% (9 of 11) and posterior pituitary function was worse in 63.6% (7/11) compared with the preoperative status. There was no change in 1 patient who already had panhypopituitarism. Of the patients who presented with normal hypothalamic-pituitary function or partial hypopituitarism, new-onset hypopituitarism developed in 6 of 10 patients (Table 3). New thyroid dysfunction was noted in 5 of these patients and new growth hormone dysfunction in 1 patient.

At latest follow-up, 8 patients continued to take at least 3 hormonal supplementation medications. New-onset permanent diabetes insipidus (DI) developed in 6 (54%) of 11 patients and transient DI occurred in 1 patient. The rate of new-onset permanent DI in patients who underwent first-time surgery for resection was 43% (3 of 7 cases).

### TABLE 1. Clinical presentation, tumor characteristics, and history of surgical intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Age (yrs), Sex</th>
<th>Clinical Presentation</th>
<th>Previous Intervention</th>
<th>Location/Consistency</th>
<th>Measurements (mm)</th>
<th>Pneumatization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NSD</td>
<td>ICD</td>
<td>CPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4, M</td>
<td>Visual impairment, HA</td>
<td>Endoscopic biopsy &amp; cyst decompression</td>
<td>Sellar/suprasellar/intraventricular/transinfundibular + mixed</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5, M</td>
<td>Visual impairment</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Sellar/suprasellar/intraventricular + cystic</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4, M</td>
<td>Cognitive impairment, HA, lethargy</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Suprasellar/intraventricular/transinfundibular + cystic</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7, M</td>
<td>Weight gain, growth retardation, polyuria</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Suprasellar/intraventricular/transinfundibular + mixed</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8, M</td>
<td>Visual impairment, HA, somnolence</td>
<td>Stereotactic endoscopic cyst fenestration</td>
<td>Suprasellar/intraventricular/transinfundibular + mixed</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9, F</td>
<td>Growth retardation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Sellar/suprasellar/intraventricular/transinfundibular + cystic</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>11, M</td>
<td>Growth retardation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Sellar/retoinfundibular + cystic</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>14, F</td>
<td>Growth retardation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Suprasellar/intraventricular/transinfundibular + cystic</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9, M</td>
<td>Growth retardation, visual impairment</td>
<td>Transcranial debulking</td>
<td>Sellar/suprasellar/intraventricular + mixed</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>14, F</td>
<td>Visual impairment, HA</td>
<td>Transsphenoidal biopsy</td>
<td>Sellar/suprasellar/transinfundibular + cystic</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>17, M</td>
<td>Visual impairment, weight loss, HA</td>
<td>Procedure attempted &amp; cancelled due to refractory hypertension</td>
<td>Suprasellar/transinfundibular + mixed</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>16.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HA = headache; ICD = intercarotid distance; NA = not applicable; NSD = nare-sellar distance.
Preoperative BMI was recorded in all 11 patients, and it ranged from 14.34 to 34.28 kg/m² (mean 22.43 kg/m²). One child was obese prior to surgery. At latest follow-up, when compared with their preoperative BMI status, 2 patients (18%) had a > 9% increase and 4 patients (37%) had a > 4% increase. Of the 2 patients who had a > 9% increase in their BMI, one underwent NTR and the other underwent STR, while the 4 patients with > 4% increase underwent GTR. Vision was stable or improved in 8 (73%) of 11 patients.

Four patients had normal vision preoperatively. Of these, one patient’s vision worsened postoperatively, while the remaining 3 had no change in ophthalmological status. Of the 7 patients who had visual deficits preoperatively (confirmed by formal ophthalmological testing), 3 improved postoperatively, 2 remain unchanged, and 2 worsened.

Academic Status
We checked with the patients’ primary care physicians and the patients’ parents to ascertain their current academic status. We confirmed if they had resumed back-to-academic activities—i.e., kindergarten or school—and if they were in the appropriate class for their age. All 11 patients were back to academic activities—one was in a disability school with rehabilitation exercises but was in the class grade appropriate for age, while another was in a class grade low for age due to cognitive impairment.

Nasal Symptoms
Eight of the 11 patients did not complain of any nasal symptoms. One patient had anosmia that resolved about a year after surgery. One patient had some episodes of epistaxis postoperatively, and another patient complained of nasal discharge with associated hyposmia (which resolved a month after surgery).

Recurrence and Adjuvant Treatment
Recurrence was noted in 1 patient after a mean follow-up of 43 months. This patient had radiotherapy and repeat surgery; the patient had a prior resection before referral to our institution where GTR was presumably achieved. Five (45%) of the patients received postoperative radiotherapy, consisting of fractionated radiotherapy in 4 and proton beam radiotherapy in 1. Of these cases, 4 were for residual tumor (NTR, STR, biopsy, and NTR) and 1 was for recurrent tumor (GTR). One patient with residual tumor had repeat surgery without adjuvant radiotherapy.

Complications
Complications occurred in 3 (27%) of 11 patients. A CSF leak was reported in 1 patient (9%). One patient required reexploration for evacuation of a hematoma 2 days

---

**TABLE 2. Extent of resection, vision, and academic outcomes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Pathology Subtype</th>
<th>FU (mos)</th>
<th>Extent of Resection</th>
<th>Hypothalamic Involvement</th>
<th>Postop Vision</th>
<th>Current Academic Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Adamantinomatous</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>STR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Worse</td>
<td>Back to school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Adamantinomatous</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>STR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Worse</td>
<td>Not back to school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Adamantinomatous</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>NTR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Poor academic performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Adamantinomatous</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>STR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>Back to school (w/ ongoing rehabilitation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Adamantinomatous</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>GTR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Back to school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Adamantinomatous</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>GTR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Worse</td>
<td>Back to school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Adamantinomatous</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>GTR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Back to school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Adamantinomatous</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Biopsy</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Back to school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Adamantinomatous</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NTR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Worse</td>
<td>Back to school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Adamantinomatous</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>GTR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>Back to school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Adamantinomatous</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>GTR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Back to school</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FU = follow-up.

**FIG. 2.** Case 7. Intraoperative photograph demonstrating the optic chiasm (OC) and the pituitary gland (PG). The craniopharyngioma tumor (T) and the pituitary stalk (PS) can be seen through the CPC. Figure is available in color online only.
postoperatively after suffering acute left-sided visual loss. The visual impairment improved after surgery, although not back to its preoperative level. Two patients had major postoperative complications: One patient developed an infarct of the optic nerve with unilateral loss of vision, and the other developed an intracranial abscess around a fat graft, leading to an infarct in the basal ganglia and subsequent reoperation for removal of the graft.

**Discussion**

Neurosurgeons face unique challenges in treating pediatric craniopharyngiomas due to the anatomical constraints of the developing skull base as well as the importance of the surrounding structures for normal development. Given that these are benign tumors, curative therapy is optimal since multiple recurrences over a lifetime requiring repeated multimodality interventions can lead to devastating consequences with dramatic impact on quality of life. However, aggressive surgical therapy often leads to hypothalamic damage, which also can result in significant behavioral and developmental consequences. In the adult population, the view afforded by the extended endoscopic approaches, particularly of the walls of the hypothalamus, the pituitary stalk and its blood supply, and the inferior aspect of the chiasm, has led to improved outcomes in carefully selected craniopharyngioma cases. Whether these same advantages apply to the pediatric population is not clear. Retrospective reviews of the literature in this population are fraught with selection bias since patients who are chosen for craniotomy tend to have larger tumors with more visual loss and higher rates of increased intracranial pressure.

The transsphenoidal approach has been applied to pediatric craniopharyngiomas but mostly to those with a sellar origin. It is estimated that roughly 50%–85% of pituitary craniopharyngiomas have sellar involvement, but the transsphenoidal approach seems to be underutilized in this patient population, a situation thought to represent a lack of experience with this approach among pediatric neurosurgeons. Our paper adds significantly to the literature because the majority of reported pediatric craniopharyngiomas resected via a purely endonasal endoscopic transsphenoidal approach arise from within the sella. In the present article, we show the versatility of the EEA to also manage pediatric patients with purely suprasellar tumors. Moreover, prior papers have recommended using the endonasal transsphenoidal approach mostly for cystic tumors. However, we achieved a 40% GTR rate in cases of solid/mixed tumors and wish to emphasize the utility of this approach not only for cystic tumors but also for solid ones. The key to removing solid tumors is adequate instrumentation and the experience of the surgeon in performing bimanual endonasal sharp dissection. The extent of resection we achieved, 50%, is in the midrange of resection percentages documented in prior reports, which span from 10% to 90%. However, this is not a reflection of the limitation of the approach. Indeed, our ability to assess intraoperative hypothalamic involvement using the endoscope is arguably superior to what can be achieved using the microscope. Since our center adheres to the philosophy of not removing tumor that invades the hypothalamus in the pediatric population, several of our cases had an NTR or STR based on an intentional intraoperative decision to leave tumor behind.

With respect to endocrine function, high rates of postoperative panhypopituitarism and DI are expected, particularly if GTR is the goal. Postoperative anterior pituitary deficiencies are found in roughly 67% of patients, and anywhere from 36% to 69% of patients experience DI, regardless of the operative approach. Our results are on par with those in the current literature, and the development of postoperative pituitary dysfunction likely has more to do with the intrinsic invasiveness of the tumor and the goals of surgery and less to do with the selected approach. Rates of visual improvement, on the other hand, tend to be higher following transsphenoidal resection of craniopharyngiomas than after open transcranial surgery in both the adult and pediatric age groups. Our data also point to higher rates of visual improvement than are seen
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age 11, access to the parasellar area is more easily gained
due to the lateral aeration. It should be emphasized that
safe drilling can be achieved through the soft immature
bone regardless of pneumatization level, especially when
accessing lesions in the midline.

Another challenge in pediatric craniopharyngioma sur-
gery is the distance between the top of the pituitary gland
and the bottom of the optic chiasm. This CPC is critical to
successful suprasellar surgery. We have already reported
in a series of adults that the mean CPC is 10.1 mm (range
5.2–19.1 mm). We showed that the size of the CPC was
irrelevant to our ability to remove tumors. Curiously, the
mean CPC in our pediatric population was similar, which
may explain why the EEA is successful at removing large
suprasellar tumors, even in children.

Limitations

Several limitations exist in this study. It was a single-
center series that involved a small group of patients stud-
i ed in a retrospective fashion. This design had inherent
bias and inaccuracies, and the small population precluded
meaningful statistical analysis. The series also lacked pre-
and postoperative neuropsychological or quality of life as-
sessments. Several factors precluded these investigations,
such as the emergency presentation(s) or insurance status
and, hence, the reliance on academic performance as a
metric of cognitive outcome.

Conclusions

Our data show the utility of the EEA in the pediatric
population despite factors previously thought to be con-
traindications, including young age, prior surgery, conchal
sinus configuration, suprasellar location, lesion size, and
predominantly solid consistency. Our data also show that
pediatric craniopharyngiomas remain challenging to treat
and require a multidisciplinary approach.
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