Brain tumors are the most common solid tumor in childhood, and astrocytomas account for the largest proportion of these tumors. Increasing sophistication in genetic testing has allowed for the detection of specific mutations within tumor subtypes that may represent targets for individualized tumor treatment. The mitogen-activating protein kinase (MAPK) pathway and, more specifically, BRAF mutations have been shown to be prevalent in pediatric pilocytic astrocytomas and may represent one such area to target. Herein, the authors describe 2 cases of inoperable, chemotherapy-resistant pediatric pilocytic astrocytomas with a documented response to trametinib, an MAPK pathway inhibitor. While these cases were not treated in the setting of a clinical trial, their data support further ongoing clinical trial investigation to evaluate the safety and efficacy of this agent in pediatric low-grade gliomas.
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duplication of $BRAF$ at 7q34 in the MAPK pathway and began treatment with a specific inhibitor—trametinib (Mekinist, GlaxoSmithKline, LLC). The patients’ clinical course and response to the inhibitor are described in this report and summarized in Table 1.

**Methods**

**Patient Selection**

The medical records of all pediatric oncology patients treated by one of the senior authors were reviewed to identify patients with hypothalamic optic pathway low-grade astrocytomas that were progressive despite multiple chemotherapy regimens. Tumor pathology was verified, and then we identified those tumors that had undergone testing for $BRAF$ mutations. Two patients with tumors positive for $BRAF$ mutations at 7q34 were initiated on off-label trametinib therapy. Patients were followed up with serial examinations and imaging to determine clinical and radiological response, as well as any inhibitor-related adverse effects. This study was completed in accordance with a research protocol approved by the institutional review board at the University of Minnesota Medical Center. It followed the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act standards for privacy of personal health information.

**Quantification of Tumor Volumes**

Interval brain MRI was performed with a 3.0-T unit for each patient. Standard DICOM images of axial T2-weighted MR images for Case 1 and postcontrast T1-weighted MR images for Case 2 were transferred into a standard commercially available analysis software program (VelocityAI 3.1.0, Varian Medical Systems Inc.). Tumor volume was determined by manually selecting the tumor for each slice with 3D volume calculations made by the software and expressed in cm$^3$. Measurements were made on baseline MR images obtained before initiating treatment and on subsequent MR images to determine treatment response.

**Statistical Analysis**

Four independent observers (senior neurosurgery resident, pediatric neurosurgeon, pediatric oncologist, and radiation oncologist) performed the measurements for each MR image at 2 different time points. Intraobserver reliability, the reproducibility of tumor volume by each observer, and interobserver reliability (overall agreement among the 4 observers) was estimated according to the method by Bland and Altman for intraclass and interclass correlation coefficients. The reliability estimates are shown with 95% confidence intervals. Statistical analysis was performed with the SPSS 13.0 statistical package (SPSS Inc.). Graphics were plotted with MedCalc 16.2 software (MedCalc).

**Case Reports**

**Case 1**

**History and Examination**

A 3-month-old female was noted to have congenital nystagmus, hyperopia, and decreased visual acuity. Magnetic resonance imaging showed a large multilobulated mass in the hypothalamic optic pathway region extending posteriorly to involve the hypothalamus and medial left temporal lobe (Fig. 1).

**Treatment**

Therapy with carboplatin and vincristine was initiated (according to Children’s Oncology Group Protocol A9952 Regimen A). The child’s tumor progressed with resultant obstructive hydrocephalus necessitating shunt placement and subtotal resection. Pathology was consistent with pilocytic astrocytoma (WHO Grade I; Fig. 2A). Bevacizumab and irinotecan were started and subsequently withheld during a shunt revision. The patient was then treated

| TABLE 1. Summary of characteristics in patients with pilocytic astrocytoma |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Parameter | Case 1 | Case 2 |
| Sex | F | F |
| Age at diagnosis (yrs) | 0.25 | 5.0 |
| Tumor location | Optic pathway | Optic pathway |
| NF1 | No | No |
| Pathology | Pilocytic astrocytoma (WHO Grade I) | Pilocytic astrocytoma (WHO Grade I) |
| Surgery | Subtotal resection × 2 | Subtotal resection |
| Prior chemotherapy | Vc + C; B + I; Vc + C + T; I + B; Vb | Vc + C; T; C; B + I; Tg + P + Vb; C + M |
| Prior radiation | No | No |
| BRAF status | Duplication of BRAF (7q34) | Duplication of BRAF (7q34) |
| Time on medication (days) | 484 | 468 |
| Tumor vol prior to treatment (cm$^3$) | 344.26 | 37.10 |
| Tumor vol w/ treatment (cm$^3$) | 151.57 | 12.81 |
| % decrease in vol | 55.9% | 65.5% |
| Side effects | Yes | Yes |
| Adverse events | No | No |

$B =$ bevacizumab; $C =$ carboplatin; $I =$ irinotecan; $M =$ melphalan; $NF1 =$ neurofibromatosis Type 1; $P =$ procarbazine; $T =$ temozolomide; $Tg =$ thioguanine; $Vc =$ vincristine; $Vb =$ vinblastine.
with vincristine, carboplatin, and temozolomide chemotherapy (according to Children’s Oncology Group Protocol ACNS0223). Once that course was completed and the patient was off chemotherapy, the tumor significantly increased in size, necessitating recommencement of chemotherapy, this time with bevacizumab and irinotecan. Her tumor continued to grow despite this additional course, and thus vinblastine was tried. Despite alterations in therapy, her tumor continued to enlarge with progressive worsening of vision and an overall clinical decline. She underwent further tumor debulking, and BRAF testing was performed on the obtained specimen. Her tumor was found to have a duplication of \(BRAF\) (7q34). Given these findings and the child’s worsening clinical status, we initiated trametinib 0.5 mg/day (0.035 mg/kg) per gastrostomy tube.

Subsequent Course

The patient has had continued clinical improvement with serial MRI revealing tumor regression (Fig. 1E and F). Ophthalmological examinations have shown an improvement from no vision in either eye prior to treatment to some ability to see color and shapes.

Case 2

History and Examination

At the age of 3 years, this girl was noted to have right-sided esotropia. Despite patching, the esotropia worsened and the patient developed headaches, proptosis, and papilledema of the right optic nerve. Imaging showed an enhancing lesion along the optic nerve anterior to the optic chiasm, consistent with an optic glioma (Fig. 3).

Treatment

A course of carboplatin and vincristine was completed. Approximately 1 year off of the chemotherapy, she had worsening visual acuity with progressive enlargement of the tumor. Separate courses of temozolomide then carboplatin were administered, but the tumor size continued to increase on both of these regimens. Therapy with bevacizumab and irinotecan stabilized the tumor. After 4 months off of chemotherapy, the patient was found to have significant tumor progression with new involvement of the bilateral optic nerve pathways adjacent to the optic chiasm. A second round of bevacizumab and irinotecan was completed. Again off of the chemotherapy, she had worsening vision and imaging showed tumor enlargement. She underwent debulking of the intracranial and intraorbital tumor, with pathology showing a pilocytic astrocytoma (WHO Grade I; Fig. 2B). BRAF testing was positive for duplication of \(BRAF\) (7q34). She completed 9 cycles of intraarterial chemotherapy (carboplatin and melphalan) with a stable tumor size. After the completion of intraarterial chemotherapy, she was started on trametinib (1 mg orally per day) with tumor decrease demonstrated on serial imaging (Fig. 3E and F).

Subsequent Course

Serial ophthalmological examinations showed stabilization of her visual fields and acuity in the affected eye after beginning treatment.

Results

Tumor Volumetrics

Volumes prior to inhibitor treatment were calculated to be 344.26 cm\(^3\) in Case 1 and 37.10 cm\(^3\) in Case 2. Both patients had a significant gradual decrease in tumor volume...
over time. On trametinib therapy the tumor volume in Case 1 decreased by 192.69 cm³ (55.9%), whereas that in Case 2 decreased by 24.29 cm³ (65.5%; Fig. 4). The duration of treatment to date has been 484 and 468 days, respectively.

Both intraobserver and interobserver reliability were high for the measurements of tumor volume. The overall intraclass correlation coefficient was 0.9996 (95% CI 0.9986–0.9999) and was slightly higher than the interclass correlation coefficient of 0.9985 (95% CI 0.9957–0.9996). For the individual patients, the intraclass correlation coefficient ranged from 0.9976 to 0.9964 (95% CI 0.9870–0.9995, 95% CI 0.9745–0.996, respectively), while the interclass correlation coefficient varied from 0.9494 to 0.9895 (95% CI 0.7378–0.9965, 95% CI 0.9580–0.9984, respectively).

Discussion

Each of our patients had a gradual decline in tumor volume with concurrent improvement in clinical symptoms.

Figure 4 shows the decrease in volume over the study period with no evidence of a plateau. Both tumors decreased more than 50% with the inhibitor. The Velocity system allowed easy measurement and comparison between serial images to ensure completeness in the volumetrics. Statistical analysis revealed that tumor volumes measured with this system were reliable even among the different observers. This was true despite the fact that our observers had different specialties and levels of training.

Pilocytic astrocytomas are sometimes characterized as a chronic disease as the 10-year survival is greater than 95%. Gross-total resection is reported in 94% of cerebellar tumors but only 3.2% of hypothalamic and/or chiasmatic tumors. The rate of progression ranges from 50% to 80% in tumors for which gross-total resection is not achievable. Given the location of each of our patient’s tumors, complete resection was impossible, thus necessitating the use of chemotherapy and/or radiation therapy. Both tumors progressed despite “standard-of-care” adjuvant therapy, which prompted us to investigate possible alternative therapies. Increasing sophistication in genetic testing has allowed for the detection of specific mutations within tumor subtypes that could represent targets for individualized tumor treatment. The MAPK pathway and, more specifically, BRAF mutations have been shown to be prevalent in pediatric astrocytomas and could represent important areas to target. Pathways identified in tumorigenesis are the targets for many inhibiting or altering agents. However, as the MAPK pathway is involved in development, inhibition of this pathway could lead to widespread unintended results. Therefore, identifying and targeting specific anomalies in the pathway have become goals in treating tumors with these mutations.

Multiple clinical trials investigating targeted molecular agents for tumors have been completed or launched in an effort to offer individualized patient treatment. Many of the MAPK inhibitors have been studied in neoplasms outside the CNS. Trametinib, an MEK1 and MEK2 kinase inhibitor, is thought to inhibit proliferation but not induce apoptosis. It was approved by the Food and Drug Administration in 2014 for use in BRAF V600E–mutated metastatic melanoma after showing improved survival both alone and in combination with dabrafenib (Tafinlar, GlaxoSmithKline, LLC), a BRAF inhibitor similar to vemurafenib (Zelboraf, Hoffmann-LaRoche Inc.). One phase I/IIa clinical trial (NCT02124772, clinicaltrials.gov)
is studying its effects in refractory or recurrent pediatric tumors of all origins. One arm of the trial is specifically investigating effects on low-grade gliomas. Both of our patients were started on trametinib prior to commencement of this study. The patient in Case 1 would have been excluded from the trial given her poor Karnofsky Performance Status prior to beginning treatment. Trametinib was prescribed off-label in each of our patients.

There are no published reports of trametinib use in pediatric low-grade gliomas, and to our knowledge our patients are the first 2 reported cases of documented tumor regression in BRAF-mutated pediatric gliomas. We acknowledge that our study was not performed as part of a prospective clinical trial; therefore, our results are insufficient to support the safety and efficacy of these agents. Effective evaluation of MEK inhibitors will ultimately require appropriate prospective clinical trial investigation, and work is being done toward this goal. Our data suggest that an ongoing trial may have positive results in patients with low-grade gliomas, despite their anecdotal nature.

One concern with the use of specific inhibitors is the development of resistance. Many patients who initially respond to treatment with RAF or MEK inhibitors have disease progression consistent with inhibitor resistance. This has been shown in several clinical trials, with progression reported from 2 to 18 months after the start of the inhibitor. Several mechanisms account for this resistance, including additional mutations in the target molecule and compensatory activation of the pathway at upstream or downstream locations. Studies are currently underway, investigating the effect of combinations of inhibitors, which affect multiple targets or pathways. To date, neither of our patients has developed resistance to the inhibitor, although each has been treated for less than 18 months and is within the described period of risk for developing resistance. However, given the anticipated duration of treatment, it is possible that this resistance may occur at some point in their treatment course. We plan to continue the trametinib until there is disease progression or the development of adverse events from toxicity, thus necessitating long-term monitoring of our patients.

As with any treatment, there are side effects with the potential for severe adverse reactions. Trametinib has been associated with cutaneous malignancy, hemorrhage or thromboembolism, cardiomyopathy, ocular toxicity, and serious skin toxicity. The most common (> 20%) adverse events noted with trametinib are rash, diarrhea, and alopecia. Both of our patients developed mild rashes that were treated with topical agents and oral antibiotics. No severe adverse effects were noted in either of them. Overall, these patients have experienced an excellent quality of life.

Effective evaluation of tumor response to a treatment is necessary, and tumor volume is an important metric to be considered to determine if a therapy is effective. Assessment of the radiological response can be arduous, especially when tumors have been resected, are cystic, or have significant intratumoral hemorrhage or peritumoral edema. Additional difficulties may arise when there is no clear plane between the tumor and normal brain parenchyma or if there is variation in slice acquisition or contrast dose timing. To address these issues, we chose images in which the tumor was clearly delineated from normal tissue and used the same image series for each measurement and comparison. We also confirmed the same slice thickness for each image to ensure consistency.

New volumetric techniques have been developed to facilitate these measurements; however, concerns remain regarding the variability between single and multiple observers. In our study, the intra- and interclass correlation coefficients were very high, showing excellent reliability in measuring the tumor volumes.

Conclusions

We have shown in 2 patients that trametinib therapy for tumors with BRAF mutations is effective in decreasing tumor volume in otherwise progressive pediatric hypothalamic optic pathway astrocytoma. Our results suggest that these mutations may be ideal targets for the treatment of tumors and that tumor volume measurement is a reasonable method for documenting response in this patient group. While these cases were not treated in the setting of a clinical trial, our data support further ongoing clinical trial investigation to evaluate the safety and efficacy of this agent in pediatric low-grade gliomas.
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