Cortical herniation through compressive subdural membrane in an infant with a history of a large bihemispheric subdural hematoma and subdural-peritoneal shunt: case report
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Cortical herniation through subdural membrane formation is a rare complication of chronic subdural fluid collections and may occur following subdural shunting. The authors present a unique case of progressive cortical herniation through a compressive subdural membrane that occurred concomitant with a functioning subdural-peritoneal shunt.
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Chronic subdural fluid collections in the pediatric population largely occur in infants younger than 2 years, often secondary to trauma, and can communicate when bilateral.1–3,21,26 Common complications of such collections include brain atrophy, cephalic enlargement, seizure disorder, intracranial hypertension, visual loss, and developmental delay.1 When clinically significant, drainage of the subdural collections via bur hole or craniotomy is indicated. When drainage alone is insufficient, subdural-peritoneal shunting is a safe and effective treatment and has been shown to result in complete clinical and radiographic resolution of subdural fluid collections in a majority of cases.7,8,11,12,18,20

Subdural neomembrane formation is a rare complication that may result from chronic subdural fluid collections. If this subdural neomembrane forms, cortical herniation may also be present. A total of 4 pediatric cases have been reported in the literature, with patients ranging in age from 11 to 14 months (Table 1).1,5,15,16 We present a unique case of cortical herniation through a compressive subdural neomembrane that occurred concomitant with a functioning subdural-peritoneal shunt.

Case Report
History and Examination

This patient initially presented to his primary care physician with increasing head circumference and a bulging anterior fontanelle when he was 3 months old. He was admitted to the hospital and was found to have a large, subacute to chronic, bilateral subdural hemorrhage (SDH) measuring 3.6 cm in greatest transverse diameter and a linear, nondepressed right parietal skull fracture on head CT scanning with an unclear history of possible minor trauma. The etiology was unclear, but there was suspicion of nonaccidental trauma (NAT), given the patient’s imaging findings and bilateral retinal hemorrhages found on ophthalmological examination.

The patient subsequently underwent uncomplicated placement of bilateral parietal bur holes for SDH drainage with bilateral subdural drain placement. Postoperatively, he remained at baseline without neurological deficit, and the anterior fontanelle was soft and sunken. The patient was weaned from the subdural drains, and on postoperative Day 3, the drains were removed after imaging did not reveal any significant reaccumulation. The patient was discharged and monitored closely as an outpatient. Over the next 2 weeks, he again developed increasing head circumference and progressive fullness of his anterior fontanelle. Serial head ultrasound studies confirmed significant fluid reaccumulation. He underwent left-sided subdural-peritoneal shunt placement (Codman Hakim Programmable Valve System) set at 30 cm H2O, the lowest resistance
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>History of Prior Cranial Trauma</th>
<th>Age at Subdural Diagnosis</th>
<th>Age at Cortical Herniation Diagnosis</th>
<th>History of Subdural-Peritoneal Shunt &amp;/or Subdural Treatment</th>
<th>Treatment of Cortical Herniation &amp; Operative Description</th>
<th>Radiographic Outcome Following Surgical Intervention</th>
<th>Neurological Outcome Following Surgical Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naidich et al., 1992</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 yr</td>
<td>“Shunt decompression of massive hydrocephalus” caused bilat subdural fluid collections &amp; later cortical herniation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceccherini &amp; Jaspan, 1999</td>
<td>Skull fracture due to NAT at 1 mo</td>
<td>1 mo</td>
<td>14 mos</td>
<td>Fontanelle tap (1 mo); subdural-peritoneal shunt (2 mos)</td>
<td>At time of cortical herniation diagnosis, patient had extensive gliosis &amp; cystic infarction as well on MRI &amp; was not felt to be a surgical candidate</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motoyama et al., 2002</td>
<td>NAT at 4 mos</td>
<td>4 mos</td>
<td>11 mos</td>
<td>Bur hole for subdural evacuation (4 mos); subdural-peritoneal shunt (11 mos)</td>
<td>Treated w/ shunting only</td>
<td>Follow-up imaging showed complete resolution of cortical herniation</td>
<td>Improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acakpo-Satchivi &amp; Luerssen, 2007</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4 mos</td>
<td>12 mos</td>
<td>Subdural-peritoneal shunt (4 mos)</td>
<td>Bifrontal craniotomy; falx cerebri absent; SSS patent; defect in internal subdural membrane where frontal cortical herniation occurred; herniated tissue abnormal or ischemic &amp; was resected</td>
<td>Follow-up imaging showed complete resolution of cortical herniation w/ only encephalomalacia in area of resection</td>
<td>Improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present case</td>
<td>Parietal skull fracture due to questionable NAT at 3 mos</td>
<td>3 mos</td>
<td>24 mos</td>
<td>Bilat parietal bur holes for subdural drainage (3 mos); subdural-peritoneal shunt (4 mos)</td>
<td>Bifrontal craniotomy; falx cerebri absent; SSS not patent; 2 discrete areas of frontal lobe herniation; internal subdural membrane covering brain &amp; areas of herniation w/ defects; membrane stripped w/o resection of herniated tissue</td>
<td>Follow-up imaging showed minimal residual frontal lobe herniation w/ improved encephalomalacic change</td>
<td>Stable (neurologically unchanged)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA = not available; SSS = superior sagittal sinus.
setting. The patient had an uncomplicated postoperative course with stabilization of his head circumference and return of his anterior fontanelle to flat or sunken on serial neurological examinations.

The patient was monitored closely over the following year with head ultrasound studies, which showed improving but persistently large subdural hygromas. Despite this, he continued to have a stable head circumference and small sunken fontanelle; he was developing well and remained asymptomatic. At 18 months of age, the patient underwent an MRI study of his brain for routine follow-up, which showed persistent subdural hygromas. Additionally, there appeared to be continued mass effect on the underlying brain parenchyma as well. At the time of the MRI study, the family reported episodic nausea and vomiting that had just started 1 week earlier. Physical examination revealed a depressed and nonrefilling valve reservoir, and ophthalmological examination revealed papilledema. He underwent shunt exploration and revision for an obstructed proximal catheter and valve, and also required distal catheter lengthening. The patient returned to his baseline condition immediately after the procedure.

Six months later, the patient underwent another routine follow-up MRI study, which showed persistent bilateral subdural hygromas and continued apparent mass effect on the cortex. Imaging revealed more clearly a compressive neomembrane constricting the underlying parenchyma and limiting brain expansion. Multiple areas of frontal lobe tissue herniated through defects in this compressive neomembrane. The herniated tissue was cystic with encephalomalacia (Fig. 1). Retrospective review of the patient’s prior MRI study showed evidence of frontal lobe herniation as well, but the neomembrane was more clearly identified and the degree of brain herniation significantly worsened in the 6-month interval (Fig. 2). Despite these imaging findings, the patient continued to develop well without any signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure or focal neurological defects. His shunt reservoir depressed and refilled easily, and there was no papilledema. The patient’s head circumference had remained stable after the shunt was implanted. There were no findings to suggest shunt malfunction. The MRI study also revealed apparent attenuation of the sagittal and transverse sinuses. Therefore, MR angiography and MR venography were performed 1 month later and showed a diminutive appearance of the superior sagittal sinus throughout its course with multiple collateral venous channels draining into the deep venous systems. Note was made of minimal draining veins on the cortical surface. Additionally, MR angiography revealed that the distal anterior cerebral arteries were also herniated into the frontal lobe defects.

The imaging findings showing progressive cortical herniation and persistent parenchymal compression raised concern that further herniation might result in detrimental neurological and developmental sequelae. Options for
management were discussed at length with the family, including continued monitoring with serial images versus surgical intervention. Despite the patient’s clinical stability, the progressive imaging findings prompted us to offer a bilateral craniotomy to strip the compressive membranes and release the herniated brain tissue. We also considered the possibility that the shunt might not have been functioning properly, which may have contributed to the ongoing pathology. However, the patient did not demonstrate any signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction like he had in the past. Plans were made to explore the shunt first at the beginning of the procedure to ensure that the shunt was functioning properly prior to proceeding with the more invasive craniotomy and membrane stripping. The patient’s family agreed to proceed.

Operation

The patient was given a perioperative dose of levetiracetam for seizure prophylaxis. A bicoronal incision was planned, incorporating the left subdural shunt incision. Starting at the shunt site, the shunt was exposed and disconnected. All components were found to be functioning properly. A large bifrontal craniotomy was then performed. The dura was boggy, and the superior sagittal sinus was noted to be completely atretic anteriorly. After opening the dura and draining the large subdural hygromas, a whitish, iridescent compressive membrane was visualized covering the entire brain surface, with the exception of small defects over the areas of cortical herniation (Fig. 3). There were 2 focal regions of frontal lobe herniation (Fig. 3) with a band of membrane around the “neck” of the herniated tissue. This cortical tissue was grossly abnormal but was not resected due to concern for functional tissue and vasculature traveling through the tissue. The lack of cortical surface veins was also noted. There were several small adhesions extending from the cortex to the dura that appeared to be remnants of prior small veins and were now completely atretic. Despite the neomembrane’s adherence to the underlying arachnoid, the membrane quite easily separated from the underlying brain; the lack of cortical surface veins allowed for a virtually bloodless dissection of the membrane. In a slow but deliberate fashion, the membrane was stripped successfully from the exposed cortical surface and from around the herniation sites (Fig. 4).

The proximal subdural shunt catheter was removed after opening the dura and was replaced at the end of the procedure. Because of the large epidural space created after the drainage of the subdural hygroma, the valve setting was increased to 60 cm H₂O to prevent epidural hematoma formation in the postoperative period.

Histopathological analysis of the subdural membrane showed a benign, fibrous walled cyst with focal macrophages.

Postoperative Course

After surgery, the patient remained at neurological baseline, without any new neurological deficit. He was discharged home on postoperative Day 4. He continued receiving levetiracetam through the postoperative period and was weaned off of the medication over 1 month.

Fig. 3. Intraoperative photograph. After dural opening there is a clear separation of the subdural neomembrane from the dura. Figure is available in color online only.

The patient experienced a single seizure 2 months postoperatively, which was successfully treated by reinstating levetiracetam with no further seizure activity to date. At 3 months postoperatively, the patient returned to the clinic for routine follow-up MRI, which showed decreasing hygromas and marked resolution of the frontal lobe herniation with improved encephalomalacic change. The valve could not be reprogrammed following MRI (it appeared to be set at 30 cm H₂O), and the skin overlying the valve was noted to have chronic wound irritation. Because the patient clinically appeared well, he was monitored, but over the next few days he became increasingly irritable with vomiting. Follow-up examination revealed that the shunt reservoir was depressed and not refilling. Therefore, he underwent an uncomplicated shunt and wound revision with replacement of an occluded proximal catheter and valve and has since been doing well. His latest MRI study at 7 months after his surgery shows further resolution of...
bilateral subdural fluid collections. Improved encephalomalacic change and a decrease in the size of the postoperatively showing minimal residual frontal lobe herniation with Fig. 5. Axial T2-weighted MR images of the brain obtained 7 months lateral hygromas (Fig. 5).

Discussion

Inner subdural neomembrane formation has been described in the literature as a potential complication of chronic SDH; however, most of the literature focuses on the evolution of an “armored brain” or calcified subdural membrane. Pediatric patients also can exhibit subdural neomembrane formation that is not as highly restrictive in the setting of chronic SDH. The membrane is generally divided into 2 layers, with the thicker, outer neomembrane forming between the dura and the expanding clot and a thinner, inner membrane just superficial to the arachnoid layer; both develop from granulation tissue formation, with increasing vascularity over time. Visualization of 2 distinct neomembranes is often impossible, as in the present case.

Cortical herniation with an associated restrictive thickened neomembrane is an extremely rare complication of chronic SDH. The first reported case was termed “internal encephalocele” in a 1-year-old girl in 1992, and described an initial event, such as trauma or surgery, creating new intraaxial membrane partitions with potential opportunities for brain herniation. Ceccherini and Jaspan described a case similar to ours of a 14-month-old child and posited that cerebral pulsations, already known to play a role in growing skull fractures and leptomeningeal cyst formation, could have caused extrusion of tissue through the defect. Yet, similar to our case, the site of cortical herniation was far from the site of the original skull fracture and completely healed when herniation was discovered. The authors suggested that focal tethering of the brain to the subdural neomembrane could contribute to herniation. Another report, which described resolution of symptoms after placement of a subdural-peritoneal shunt, proposed that the rapidity of brain growth and flexibility afforded by lack of myelination as possible etiological mechanisms for pediatric cases of intracranial encephalocele.

Another interesting aspect of this case was the atretic superior sinus and formation of deep collateral venous channels, although, to our knowledge, in no prior case of subdural membrane with cortical herniation was a redistribution of cerebral venous drainage observed. Although the etiology of our patient’s SDHs was suspected to be due to NAT, this was never proven. This could raise speculation regarding what role this venous anomaly had in this patient’s pathology. There have been case reports of children with benign enlargement of the subarachnoid space developing spontaneous subdural hematomas in the absence of severe trauma. Perhaps a preexisting venous anomaly could contribute to the development of benign enlargement of the subarachnoid space and predispose the patient to the SDH. Furthermore, the absence of arachnoid granulations and superficial drainage may also contribute to the lack of physiological CSF absorption and continued dependence on the subdural-peritoneal shunt for ongoing CSF drainage.

Similar to the patient reported on by Acakpo-Satchivi and Luerssen, our patient developed cortical herniation after several months of a functioning subdural-peritoneal shunt. This suggests that shunting alone may not be a successful treatment, contrary to the discussion by Motoyama et al.. Shunting was performed in all of these cases of cortical herniation in patients ranging from 11 to 14 months; other authors have suggested that shunting is necessary to prevent neurological decline, which was further supported by the present case in a 2-year-old child.

It is still unclear why areas of cortical herniation develop in the setting of chronic pediatric SDH. Subdural membrane excision has been proven to be unnecessary for resolution of subdural effusions and can lead to cortical irritation, bleeding, and seizures postoperatively. However, in select cases like the present one, the presence of persistent intracranial hypertension despite appropriate treatment necessitates membrane removal for resolution of symptoms and to prevent future decline. This operation was highly unusual, and we would not recommend it as a standard initial approach for children with chronic SDHs. The membrane in our patient was directly linked to underlying parenchymal compression as evidenced by postoperative cerebral expansion following membrane stripping.

On follow-up imaging, the subdural fluid collections decreased in size and the areas of cortical herniation had improved. This further suggests that the subdural membrane was responsible for underlying compression instead of the subdural fluid collections. Only one other report has described cortical herniation in the setting of a functional subdural-peritoneal shunt. In that report, the authors suggested that the presence of the functioning shunt may have accelerated the herniation, and they also found improvement in the areas of cortical herniation following membrane stripping. In our case, we too hypothesized that a low shunt setting might have also contributed to the progressive herniation of cortical tissue through the membrane by creating a pressure differential between the space above and below the membrane. We considered adjusting the shunt to a higher setting as an initial management. However, the large, persistent subdural space and concern for poor physiological CSF absorption led us to favor membrane stripping as the best long-term solution to the problem.
The treatment of chronic SDHs in infancy remains challenging. Our case is a unique example of a compressive membrane resulting in cortical herniation following subdural hemorrhage. Our approach therefore is not typical and is not recommended as a standard of care. Furthermore, this case illustrates that in infants who suffer head trauma and require subdural shunt placement, serial follow-up is recommended. Additional cases of chronic SDHs in infants and their subsequent treatment and follow-up will be useful in providing more insight into the development of such complications.

Conclusions

This case illustrates a rare complication of chronic SDH in the setting of a functional subdural-peritoneal shunt. Radiographic evidence of a compressive subdural neomembrane, when concomitant with signs of intracranial hypertension and cortical herniation, should serve as an indication for surgical intervention to prevent brain strangulation and potential neurological complications.
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