It is estimated that between 1.6 and 3.8 million sports-related concussions occur in the United States each year.6,10 Sports-related concussions have been the subject of much public attention due to research suggesting potential long-term effects resulting from these brain injuries.28 Football has been linked to the highest incidence of brain injury among team sports, spurring a great deal of biomechanics research related to concussions and football.3–6,11,16,19,20,22,23 The prevailing thought for concussion mitigation in football today is to limit exposure to head impacts through proper teaching and rule modification.10

Head impact biomechanics research for football has largely relied on outfitting athletes with helmet instrumentation during play to collect head impact data. This research has resulted in data on millions of head impacts, which have been used to quantify tolerance to concussion and characterize head impact exposure in football.15 Most research on head impact exposure in football has focused on high school, collegiate, and professional populations, despite the fact that 70% of all football players in the United States are youth players (6–14 years old).13 Recently, researchers have begun to use instrumentation such as helmet-mounted accelerometer arrays to collect data on youth football players to quantify head impact exposure and assess concussion tolerance.7,13,14,27 Daniel et al. provided 7 players (7–8 years old) with helmets equipped with instrumentation to measure head impacts. With the use of these helmets, Daniel et al. demonstrated that more high-magnitude impacts occurred in practice than in games.13 The findings from that study were part of the process that ultimately led to rule changes in the Pop Warner youth sports organization that were aimed at mitigating head
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OBJECTIVE Although 70% of football players in the United States are youth players (6–14 years old), most research on head impacts in football has focused on high school, collegiate, or professional populations. The objective of this study was to identify the specific activities associated with high-magnitude (acceleration $>40g$) head impacts in youth football practices.

METHODS A total of 34 players (mean age 9.9 ± 0.6 years) on 2 youth teams were equipped with helmet-mounted accelerometer arrays that recorded head accelerations associated with impacts in practices and games. Videos of practices and games were used to verify all head impacts and identify specific drills associated with each head impact.

RESULTS A total of 6813 impacts were recorded, of which 408 had accelerations exceeding 40g (6.0%). For each type of practice drill, impact rates were computed that accounted for the length of time that teams spent on each drill. The tackling drill King of the Circle had the highest impact rate (95% CI 25.6–68.3 impacts/hr). Impact rates for tackling drills (those conducted without a blocker [95% CI 14.7–21.9 impacts/hr] and those with a blocker [95% CI 10.5–23.1 impacts/hr]) did not differ from game impact rates (95% CI 14.2–21.6 impacts/hr). Tackling drills were observed to have a greater proportion (between 40% and 50%) of impacts exceeding 60g than games (25%). The teams in this study participated in tackling or blocking drills for only 22% of their overall practice times, but these drills were responsible for 86% of all practice impacts exceeding 40g.

CONCLUSIONS In youth football, high-magnitude impacts occur more often in practices than games, and some practice drills are associated with higher impact rates and accelerations than others. To mitigate high-magnitude head impact exposure in youth football, practices should be modified to decrease the time spent in drills with high impact rates, potentially eliminating a drill such as King of the Circle altogether.
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impact exposure in youth football.\textsuperscript{7} The following year, Cobb et al. followed 3 youth football teams with players 9–12 years of age and found that teams adhering to the policy changes experienced a 40% reduction in overall impacts relative to those that did not.\textsuperscript{7} Due to differences in age, head impact magnitude and frequency were found to be greater in the study by Cobb et al. than in the study by Daniel et al., although both magnitude and frequency were still less than that found in older populations.\textsuperscript{12,26}

While these studies provided valuable insight regarding head impact exposure in youth football players, they investigated practices and games as a whole, with little analysis quantifying the causation of high-magnitude impacts, which are associated with higher risks of head injury. The objective of this study was to analyze youth football practices and determine which drills were associated with the highest magnitude impacts. Secondarily, this study aimed to determine how representative practice drills were of games by comparing impact rates between practices and games. This analysis represents a first step toward developing pointed efforts to improve player safety in youth football. Conducted on a larger scale, these methods and the resulting data could be used to inform further policy changes to mitigate head impact exposure in youth football.

**Methods**

Two youth football teams composed of 9- to 11-year-old players were included in this study, which was approved by the Virginia Tech Institutional Review Board. Guardians provided written consent, and the youth players verbally assented to participation. A total of 34 players were recruited and chose to participate. Each received a helmet instrumented with accelerometer arrays (Head Impact Telemetry [HIT] System, Simbex). Study participants had a mean age of 9.9 ± 0.6 years and a mean body mass of 37.4 ± 9.6 kg. Between the 2 teams, data collection comprised a total of 65 sessions, of which 55 were practices and 10 were games.

The HIT System consists of a 6-accelerometer array that is mounted inside of Riddell Revolution or Speed helmets. A 10g resultant acceleration threshold was used to distinguish between actual impacts and accelerations levels that could be attained by simply jumping or running quickly. The accelerometers are spring mounted so that contact with the head is maintained for the duration of impact. This ensures measurement of head acceleration, rather than helmet acceleration.\textsuperscript{17} Players wore the instrumented helmets at each practice and game throughout the season. Helmet instrumentation collected data continuously, but when an individual data channel exceeded the 14.4g threshold, data acquisition was automatically triggered, capturing 40 msec of data, including 8 msec of preimpact data. Impact data were then wirelessly transmitted from the helmets to a sideline computer, in which linear and rotational accelerations were computed.\textsuperscript{8,23}

Games and practices were filmed to facilitate video verification of head impacts. For every impact greater than or equal to 40g in practice, video was also used to identify the specific drill/activity associated with impact. Each of these impacts was assigned to one of 9 practice drill classifications (Table 1). Game impacts exceeding 40g were also verified to allow for comparison of high-magnitude head impact rates between practices and games.

Investigating practices at the drill level required determination of numbers of impacts and time spent for each drill type. Practice videos and activity logs kept for each day of practice were used to determine the total time spent participating in each drill type. Both the number of impacts and time spent in a drill varied greatly over the course of the season, necessitating the use of a normalized impact rate for comparisons to be made. Impact rates for each drill were computed on a per-hour basis to characterize each drill type. Byar’s method, which represents an exact approximation to the Poisson distribution and retains high levels of accuracy for both small and large counts, was used to compute 95% confidence intervals for the impact rates in this study.\textsuperscript{2} Boxplots were developed for each drill type for both linear and rotational resultant acceleration. The first and third quartiles enclose the box, with any data points beyond 1.5 times the interquartile range from the first or third quartile defined as outliers.\textsuperscript{18} The corresponding impact rates and boxplots were also calculated for games to provide a means of comparison.

**Results**

For the season, a total of 6813 impacts were recorded and verified from instrumented players, of which 408 had accelerations exceeding 40g. These impacts were video verified, with 314 (77%) occurring in practice and the remaining 94 (23%) in games. Of 6813 overall season impacts, 408 (6.0%) total impacts exceeded 40g, 118 (1.7%) exceeded 60g, and 59 (0.9%) exceeded 70g. Although assessment of player injury was deferred to usual league protocol in instances of suspected concussion, no players in the study sustained a clinically diagnosed concussion.

The greatest number of impacts exceeding 40g occurred in tackling drills, even though they were practiced only half as often as organized offensive or defensive drills (Table 2). A 40g acceleration value was selected as the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. Practice drills associated with &gt; 40g impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drill Type</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blocking drill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tackling drill–Blocker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tackling drill–No Blocker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King of the Circle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrimmage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense vs Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offense or Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passing or running drills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Most drills, even those not designated as tackling drills, resulted in tackling.
threshold for high-magnitude impacts which included the top 6% of impacts that players in this study experienced, while the 60 g threshold included the top 2% of impacts and is within the range of previously measured concussive impacts in this population (concussions at the youth level have been reported at 58 g and 64 g).7 Drills involving tackling resulted in a higher rate of impacts than those that did not (Fig. 1). Increasing severity thresholds resulted in lower rates of impact across all drills. Overall, impact rates for games (95% CI 14.2–21.6 impacts/hr) did not vary greatly from those for tackling practice drills (those conducted without a blocker [95% CI 14.7–21.9 impacts/hr] and those with a blocker [95% CI 10.5–23.1 impacts/hr]), with the exception of King of the Circle, which had the highest impact rate (95% CI 25.6–68.3 impacts/hr). This trend was consistent for all acceleration severity thresholds.

Distributions of both linear and rotational acceleration magnitudes varied between drill types and games (Fig. 2). Tackling drills were associated with head impacts of greater severity than nontackling drills. Furthermore, the proportion of impacts greater than 60 g was higher in tackling drills (between 40% and 50%) than in games (25%). Similar trends were observed for rotational acceleration, with tackling drills being associated with a greater proportion of high-magnitude accelerations than nontackling drills or games.

**Discussion**

Previous work on youth football head impact exposure has investigated magnitude and frequency of impacts but has yet to explore the specific causation of high-magnitude impacts.
head impacts. The proportion of high-magnitude head impacts (those exceeding 40g) in youth practices (77%) was similar to the 79% found by Daniel et al. Furthermore, the proportion of high-magnitude impacts for severity thresholds of 40g and 60g was consistent with that observed by Cobb et al. This relationship between high-magnitude impacts in practices and games contrasts with that observed in high school and collegiate football, where high-magnitude impacts were more often observed in games than in practices. Efforts aimed at reducing high-magnitude impacts in practices necessitate an evaluation of practice drill structure.

Impact rate differed markedly between practice drills with and without tackling for all acceleration severity levels. Impacts without tackling resulted in fewer than 10 impacts above 40g per practice-hour, while drills involving tackling exceeded this by at least a factor of 2. King of the Circle produced high-magnitude impacts more frequently than any other activity because of the speed at which it is performed (Fig. 1). A ball carrier in the middle of the circle rushes at 3 different defenders on the perimeter of the circle. When the ball carrier rushes at each defender on the perimeter, he must be tackled. The drill continues until each player has had the opportunity to be the ball carrier. Notably, this drill was carried out for the shortest amount of time of all drills assessed. Furthermore, no impact from King of the Circle exceeded 70g (Table 2).

Tackling drills, with or without blockers, were associated with the highest proportion of impacts over 70g. The presence of a blocker did not have an effect on the impact rate. This suggests that the end goal of tackling has more bearing on the high-magnitude impact rate than does the drill environment itself. Furthermore, the practice drill aimed at teaching blocking (Blocking) was associated with a lower impact rate for all severity thresholds compared with tackling drills that involve players engaging with a blocker (Tackling–Blocker). In comparing the tackling drills, which only differed in whether a blocker was present to engage with the tackling defender (Blocker or No Blocker), we observed that a greater proportion of higher-magnitude impacts was associated with the drill when a blocker was not present (Fig. 2). Reducing the time spent

---

**FIG. 2.** Tackling drills were found to have the largest proportion of impacts over 70g. Tackling drills were associated with a greater acceleration severity than games. Tackling drills comprised Tackling–Blocker, Tackling–No Blocker, King of the Circle, and Offense vs Defense. All other drills were considered skill drills. Only impacts exceeding 40g were included. The red line in each box denotes the median; boxes, the interquartile range; and plus signs, the outliers. The whiskers represent the threshold for defining a point as being within the data set or being an outlier. They are defined to be 1.5 times the interquartile range from the first or third quartile. If an outlier did not exist for a particular drill, then they represent the minimum and maximum in that scenario. Figure is available in color online only.
in drills of this nature can limit the frequency at which youth players are exposed to high-severity head impacts.

Both teams in the study spent about 3-fold as much time conducting the Offense or Defense drill compared with the next most popular drill, Offense vs Defense (Table 2). The Offense or Defense drill resulted in a very low rate of impact and closely mimicked game play because it effectively served as an opportunity for the players to practice game plans and positioning. Offense vs Defense also exhibited fidelity to game situations, and the higher impact rate observed stems from the smaller number of players involved. With more open space in the field of play, players were able to achieve greater running speeds and thus produce higher-magnitude head impacts. The Offense or Defense drill allowed the teams to have 11 players on one side of the ball and work more on execution of plays. The Offense vs Defense drill opposed an offense and a defense with neither side having 11 players, with the goal being to simulate the physical side of the game. It should be noted that the small size of the teams (neither team exceeded 20 total players) prevented the teams from being able to conduct intrasquad scrimmages (11 players on each side of the ball), which would best represent a game situation.

Drills involving tackling were associated with impact rates similar to those for games, excluding King of the Circle (Fig. 1). However, tackling drills were associated with a greater proportion (between 40% and 50%) of impacts exceeding 60 g than games (25%). Even though most practice drills did not result in impact rates higher than those in games, practices led to a greater number of high-magnitude head impacts because there were more practices than games. The greater proportion of high-magnitude game impacts between 40 g and 60 g suggests that specific practice drills, primarily those that involve tackling, expose youth football players to more severe impacts than would be experienced in game play (Fig. 2).

Each practice session lasted 90 minutes, approximately 40 minutes of which were spent in non–football drill activities, such as running, stretching, instruction, and water breaks. On the season, 88% of the remaining 50 minutes was spent in one of the drills specified in Table 1, with the remaining 12% in drills that did not result in high-magnitude impacts. On average, this corresponded to 17 minutes spent in Offense or Defense, 9 minutes in tackling drills, 6 minutes in Offense vs Defense, 5 minutes in blocking drills, and the remaining 13 minutes in all other drills. The teams in this study participated in tackling or blocking drills for only 22% of their overall practice times, but these drills were responsible for 86% of all practice impacts exceeding 40 g. If these 2 teams reduced the time spent in tackling or blocking drills by 5 minutes each practice, this would result in a 19% decrease in practice impacts over 40 g. Similarly, a 10-minute reduction would result in a 38% decrease in such impacts (Fig. 3). A 50% reduction in high-magnitude impacts would be observed in tackling and blocking drills with this reduction. To further reduce high-magnitude head impacts, the King of the Circle drill could be eliminated from youth practices because the very high impact rate was not representative of games.

Certain limitations of this study should be noted. The HIT System is associated with error up to 15.7% for individual acceleration measurements, although the mean overall error for many measurements is only 1%. Individual acceleration measurements were used to determine impacts that exceeded the 40 g study threshold. Beyond that, the resulting analysis characterized distributions of data for which the effect of this error would be minimized. Other factors limit the applicability of these results to other situations. Just as the 2 teams studied here exhibited differences in head impact exposure and practice style, profiles of head impact exposure and practice structure likely will vary between teams and individuals. Head impact exposure and practice structure will vary by age group, and the game-to-practice ratio will vary by team and league.

Conclusions

Head impact kinematic data for 2 youth football teams of players 9–11 years old were collected to assess the effect of specific practice drills on impact exposure and their relation to game situations. For all impacts exceeding 40 g, rates of impact for the most severe drills (those with the highest-magnitude impacts) do not differ from...
those attained in games. At greater thresholds, up to 80g, tackling drills resulted in greater impact rates than games. These data suggest that a substantial reduction in high-magnitude head impacts in youth football could be attained through limiting the amount of contact in practice. Even though much practice time was spent in noncontact scenarios and several high-magnitude impacts recorded in this study occurred in noncontact situations, contact drills were associated with the majority of impacts over 40g. Coaches and league organizers can use these data to make informed decisions on practice structure that will help to reduce exposure to high-magnitude impacts. Further research into practice drill impact exposure at all levels of football is necessary to increase player safety and to characterize head impact exposure on a larger scale.
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