Noninvasive epicutaneous transfontanelle intracranial pressure monitoring in children under the age of 1 year: a novel technique
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Monitoring of intracranial pressure (ICP) may be indicated in children with traumatic brain injury, premature intraventricular hemorrhage, or hydrocephalus. The standard technique is either a direct measurement with invasive intracranial insertion of ICP probes or indirect noninvasive assessment using transfontanelle ultrasonography to measure blood flow. The authors have developed a new technique that allows noninvasive epicutaneous transfontanelle ICP measurement with standard ICP probes. They compared the ICP measurements obtained using the same type of standard probe used in 2 different ways in 5 infants (age < 1 year) undergoing surgery for craniosynostosis. The first ICP probe was implanted epidurally (providing control measurements) and the second probe was fixed epicutaneously on the skin over the re-opened frontal fontanelle. ICP values were measured hourly for the first 24 hours after surgery and the values obtained with the 2 methods were compared using Bland-Altman 2-methods analysis.

A total of 110 pairs of measurements were assessed. There was no significant difference between the ICPs measured using the epicutaneous transfontanelle method (mean 13.10 mm Hg, SEM 6.68 mm Hg) and the epidural measurements (mean 12.46 mm Hg, SEM 6.45 mm Hg; p = 0.4643). The results of this analysis indicate that epicutaneous transfontanelle measurement of ICP is a reliable method that allows noninvasive ICP monitoring in children under the age of 1 year. Such noninvasive ICP monitoring could be implemented in the therapy of children with traumatic brain injury or intraventricular hemorrhage or for screening children with elevated ICP without invasive intracranial implantation of ICP probes.
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ELEVATED intracranial pressure (ICP) is defined as ICP > 20 mm Hg and is an important cause of secondary brain injury and morbidity in adults and children. Thus the assessment of ICP is considered crucial in patients with brain injuries. The aim of ICP-based treatment in these cases is to maintain adequate cerebral perfusion and avoid further damage to brain tissue.

ICP monitoring should especially be considered in cases of traumatic brain injury (TBI), which often occurs in young children (age 0–4 years), as underscored by data from the United States. The aim of ICP monitoring is to identify all those patients in need of intervention to avoid secondary brain injuries.

The standard method of ICP monitoring in children is still the placement of an external intraventricular catheter with an ICP probe. However, this is an invasive technique which carries the risk of infection, hemorrhage, and system malfunction. Hemorrhage occurs in approximately 7%–11% of patients after insertion of an invasive device for ICP measurement, and infection rates have been reported to range between 1% and 20%. In many cases, invasive ICP probes cannot be placed because of abnormal hemostasis.

Noninvasive techniques are based on the use of indirect parameters observed with MRI or CT, or on the measurement of optic nerve sheath diameter or tympanic membrane displacement. Transcranial Doppler ultrasound measurement of blood flow also provides an indirect noncontinuous method of monitoring ICP.

However, children under the age of 1 year usually have an open frontal fontanelle, which allows the epicutaneous placement of ICP probes.
Methods

A 1-year prospective study of ICP measurement in infants undergoing craniosynostosis surgery was conducted to investigate the accuracy of transfontanelle ICP measurement (Fig. 1). To evaluate the new noninvasive method, it was necessary to compare our new method with the established invasive method of ICP measurement with ICP probes, which are placed intracranially. The setting of craniosynostosis surgery was proposed for the study, with comparison of epidural ICP with the epicutaneous transfontanelle pressure. We used standard intraparenchymal ICP probes (probe 3PN, Spiegelberg). The ICP was measured with a standard ICP monitor (ICP-Monitor HDM29.2, Spiegelberg).

Parents of infants undergoing craniosynostosis surgery were offered the opportunity to have their children considered for participation in this study after they had already made the decision for corrective surgery. Because the study involved comparison of readings obtained with an epidural ICP probe (Fig. 2) and readings obtained by means of transfontanelle measurement (Fig. 3), we obtained permission from parents to include their children in the study in the event that postoperative ICP monitoring was deemed clinically necessary during the procedure itself (i.e., if the operation was particularly long or the blood loss was greater than expected). In cases in which postoperative ICP monitoring was considered necessary, we placed an epidural ICP probe and then also taped an ICP probe to the child’s scalp after the operation. In cases in which postoperative ICP monitoring was not considered necessary, no probe was placed and the child was excluded from the study.

For the transfontanelle ICP measurement, we used a wooden tongue depressor to hold the ICP probe securely against the fontanelle. The tongue depressor was cut to an appropriate length so that it could bridge the fontanelle, with the ends resting on bone, and then secured with Steri-Strips or medical tape in such a way that the ICP probe was held tightly against the fontanelle without the need for additional force (Fig. 3).

The data were captured with the pneumatic sensors, as the Spiegelberg 3PN probe uses a small balloon (“air pouch”) in the distal end of the catheter to register changes in pressure and additionally allows quantitative measurement of intracranial compliance. The air-pouch system consists of a hollow body connected to a pressure transducer by tubing. The pressure transducer, the electronic hardware, and the device for filling the air pouch are integrated into the brain-pressure monitor. Depending on the technique, monitoring can be done in the intraventricular, intraparenchymal, epidural, subdural, or subarachnoid compartment. The main advantage of this system is the automatic zeroing procedures performed by the monitor after insertion and hourly thereafter.11

Monitoring was performed with both methods (epidural
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FIG. 1. Illustration of transfontanelle placement of ICP probes and epidural ICP monitoring. Epicutan = epicutaneous. Copyright Bedjan Behmanesh. Published with permission.
and transfontanelle epicutaneously) for at least 24 hours after surgery, and the ICP was recorded for purposes of our study at 1-hour intervals (Fig. 4). Both ICP probes were removed the day after surgery.

Study Approval

This study was approved by the ethics committee of the medical faculty of the University of Frankfurt am Main. Informed consent was obtained from the parents of all patients prior to surgery.

Results

Five children with nonsyndromic sagittal craniosynostosis under the age of 1 year were included in the study. All 5 patients underwent modified strip craniotomy. The surgery took between 180 and 300 minutes.

A total of 110 pairs of measurements were available for analysis. (Some sets of measurements were not available because the values were recorded hourly by staff members who sometimes forgot to include the value recorded with the transfontanelle technique.) There was no significant difference between the mean values for transfontanelle and epidural ICP measurement (transfontanelle, 13.10 mm Hg [SEM 6.68 mm Hg]; epidural, 12.46 mm Hg [SEM 6.45], p = 0.4643). For analyzing the accuracy of our new method we used the Bland-Altman plot, which is the appropriate tool for analyzing the accuracy of a new measurement method in comparison with an already established one. The difference between the 2 paired measurements is plotted against the mean of the 2 measurements (Fig. 5). The statistical limits are calculated by using the mean and the standard deviation of the differences between 2 measurements.

The data show an acceptable distribution of ICP values. The values obtained with the noninvasive ICP monitoring method correspond with those obtained with the established method, and all measured values were within the statistic field that is defined by the limits of agreement (Fig. 5).

The correlation coefficient between epidural and transfontanelle ICP measurement was good (y = 0.9298x + 0.3739; r = 0.95, p < 0.001) (Fig. 6).
Discussion

Our study demonstrates that transfontanelle ICP monitoring is a highly accurate noninvasive technique for the detection of increased ICP in infants under the age of 1 year. An advantage of this new method is the usage of established devices for ICP measurement. It does not require the purchase of any new device. Noninvasive ICP monitoring could be implemented in the treatment of and screening for increased ICP in patients under the age of 1 year.

A limitation of the present study is the basis of the comparison, namely, epidural measurements of ICP. This method was chosen to show the feasibility and accuracy of our new method, although we acknowledge that there is controversy about the accuracy of epidural ICP measurement compared with standard intraventricular or intraparenchymal measurement. Previous investigations focusing on the accuracy of extradural ICP monitoring have shown the disadvantage of overestimating ICP and the possibility of significant drifting of the probe over time. The advantage of using an epidural device is that it is less invasive and entails less risk of infection or intracranial hemorrhage than an intraparenchymal or intraventricular method.

The aim should be to compare our new method with the already proven criterion standard of ICP measurement, but in this setting there was no need for dura opening during the craniosynostosis surgery. Implanting an intraparenchymal or intraventricular device would not be ethically acceptable in this setting. Our primary aim was to show the feasibility of a noninvasive, epicutaneous method of ICP measurement. The next step of our work is to compare results achieved using this method with simultaneous intraventricular or intraparenchymal ICP measurement. Animal testing with simultaneously recorded intraventricular ICP monitoring may also be undertaken to establish the value of this new method.

Another limitation of the new method is the skin irritation caused by the fixative material (e.g., tape). The kind of fixative used and the subsequent interpretation was dependent on the investigator, but we were able to determine that the difference over the investigation period was negligible.

Despite recommendations of the guidelines for the management of pediatric TBI, ICP monitoring is used infrequently in these cases. The decision not to monitor ICP often depends on analysis of clinical risks and potential benefits; it may be considered too invasive and risky in patients with improving Glasgow Coma Scale scores, and futile in moribund patients with catastrophic brain injuries. The method that we present here may provide an alternative that allows for more frequent use of ICP monitoring to screen for and help in the management of elevated ICP in very young patients.
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