Surgical treatment of congenital thoracolumbar spondyloptosis in a 2-year-old child with vertebral column resection and posterior-only circumferential reconstruction of the spine column: case report
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Spondyloptosis refers to complete dislocation of a vertebral body onto another. The L5–S1 level is frequently affected. As this condition is rare, few published reports describing its clinical features and surgical outcomes exist, especially in the pediatric patient population.

The authors report the presentation, pathological findings, and radiographic studies of a 2-year-old girl who presented to Texas Children's Hospital with a history since birth of progressive spastic paraparesis. Preoperative CT and MRI showed severe spinal cord compression associated with T11–12 spondyloptosis. The patient underwent a single-stage posterior approach for complete resection of the dysplastic vertebral bodies at the apex of the spinal deformity with reconstruction and stabilization of the vertebral column using a titanium expandable cage and pedicle screws. At the 12-month follow-up, the patient remained neurologically stable without any radiographic evidence of instrumentation failure or loss of alignment.

To the best of the authors’ knowledge, there have been only 2 other children with congenital thoracolumbar spondyloptosis treated with the above-described strategy. The authors describe their case and review the literature to discuss the aggregate clinical features, surgical strategies, and operative outcomes for congenital thoracolumbar spondyloptosis.
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CONGENITAL spondyloptosis was first reported by Dubbousset in 1973 as a severe form of congenital kyphosis. The poor natural history and potential for neurological devastation due to congenital kyphosis has been well described. Congenital kyphosis is characterized by an anterior defect in formation. The pathognomonic radiographic sign is the abrupt displacement of a vertebral body at a single level in the sagittal plane. This abrupt angulation of the spinal canal is associated with deformation of the spinal cord.

Patients with various types of vertebral column malformations of the thoracolumbar spine have been grouped together using terms such as “congenital spondyloptosis,” “congenital vertebral dislocation,” “congenital dislocated spine,” “segmental spinal dysgenesis,” “medial spinal aplasia,” “congenital spinal stenosis,” “congenital duplication of the spinal canal,” “congenital kyphosis and subluxation of the thoracolumbar spine due to vertebral aplasia,” and “congenital lumbar kypholisthesis.” It has been suggested that all of these terms describe a single fundamental malformation. This inconsistent nomenclature has led to the misclassification of patients.

Relatively few published reports regarding the surgical treatment of congenital thoracolumbar spondyloptosis exist in the literature. The general recommendation is to perform a circumferential reconstruction and fusion of the vertebral column by utilizing both anterior strut grafting and posterior fusion. Posterior decompression alone for spinal cord decompression remains controversial, as mixed neurological outcomes have been reported using this technique. It should always be performed in conjunction with fusion. We describe a case involving a single-stage
posterior-only approach for circumferential neurological decompression, vertebral column resection, deformity reduction, anterior expandable titanium cage placement, and posterior instrumented fusion in a 2-year-old child with symptomatic congenital thoracolumbar spondyloptosis. To the best of our knowledge, only 2 previously published cases have been treated using this strategy.

Case Report

History and Examination

This 2-year-old girl presented to the Neuro-Spine Clinic at Texas Children’s Hospital with progressive spastic paraparesis and a neurogenic bladder, both of which she had had since birth. The patient had evidence of atrophy, hyperreflexia, and spasticity in both legs, as well as clubfoot deformities. She was unable to voluntarily move her legs and was wheelchair bound. Examination showed an obvious bony gibbous in her lower back, but she was without other cutaneous stigmata of spinal dysraphism.

Computed tomography scanning of the spine showed T11–12 and T12–L1 spondyloptosis, T11–12 dysmorphic facet joint subluxation, bilateral dislocated facet joints at the T12–L2 articulations, agenesis of the posterior elements T-11 through L-1, a hypoplastic T-12 body, and dysplastic L-1 with severe kyphotic deformity (Fig. 1A). Magnetic resonance imaging of the spine demonstrated severe thoracolumbar dysgenesis with canal compromise as well as severe hypoplasia of the spinal cord rostral to the bony anomaly and low-lying conus medullaris with thickened filum terminale (Fig. 1B). Skeletal survey and renal ultrasound did not demonstrate any additional anomalies other than clubfeet.

Operation

Surgery was planned to stabilize the spine and decompress the spinal cord. After inducing general anesthesia, needle electrodes were placed in the upper and lower extremities to monitor somatosensory evoked potentials and motor evoked potentials. Baseline reliable evoked potentials were unattainable in the lower extremities. The patient was then positioned prone, and an S-shaped incision centered over the bony gibbous was made. The incision was planned to allow greater lateral access than the standard midline approach as a modification of the lateral extracavitary approach, and thus allowing manipulation of the thecal sac without undue traction on the spinal cord. Subperiosteal dissection was then performed to expose the posterior spine, taking special care at dysplastic levels with known laminar defects. Laminectomies were performed from T-8 to L-4, and pedicle screws were placed under direct palpation at T-8, T-9, T-10, L-2, L-3, and L-4 using cervical spine instrumentation (Vertex, Medtronic Sofamor Danek). Fluoroscopy was used to confirm screw placement, and a temporary rod was inserted.

The T-12 nerve roots were ligated and sectioned to allow greater manipulation of the thecal sac without excessive spinal cord traction. From the posterior approach, a vertebral column resection was performed to remove the entirety of the dysplastic vertebrae at T-11, T-12, and L-1. The deformity was then reduced, and a 13 × 16–mm expandable cage was placed from T-10 to L-2 (Fig. 2). Final rods were placed, the exposed bony surfaces were decorticated, and morselized autograft with bone morphogenetic protein (BMP; Infuse, Medtronic Sofamor Danek) was used as graft material (Fig. 3). The filum was not untethered during this operation, as the patient had a clinical presentation consistent with spinal cord compression at the level of deformity, not with signs or symptoms of tethered cord. Prophylactic untethering of the cord was not justified given the added risk of morbidity, such as CSF leakage in the large dead space of the dissection.

FIG. 1. Preoperative spine CT (A) showed T11–12 and T12–L1 spondyloptosis as well as spina bifida occulta of T11–L1, a hypoplastic T-12 body, and dysplastic L-1 with gibbous deformity. Thoracic MRI. Preoperative sagittal T2-weighted MR image (B) demonstrates severe spinal cord compression and buckling of the spinal column at the T11–12 level. Sagittal (C) and parasagittal (D) CTs without contrast obtained at 3 months after surgery, showing complete resection of the dysplastic T-11, T-12, and L-1 vertebral bodies and stabilization of the vertebral column with an expandable titanium cage and pedicle screws from T-8 to L-4. Bony fusion is visible behind the cage and in the posterolateral zones. Lateral (E) and anteroposterior (F) upright radiographs obtained at 12 months after surgery, showing maintenance of alignment without evidence of hardware failure.
Postoperative Course

There were no changes in the neurological function of the patient after surgery. She remained densely paraparetic in the lower extremities. Postoperative upright radiographs and CT scans showed satisfactory hardware placement and spinal alignment. The patient was discharged home on postoperative Day 5. Computed tomography scanning performed at 3 months after surgery demonstrated evidence of bony fusion behind the cage and on either side of the midline (Fig. 1C and D). At 12 months after surgery, the patient remained clinically and radiographically stable with no loss of alignment or evidence of instrumentation failure on whole-spine radiographs (Fig. 1E and F). Her motor function in the lower extremities was unchanged, but there was improved bladder emptying as documented on formal urodynamics.

Discussion

Classification and Nomenclature

The classification of this deformity remains controversial. The deformity is characterized by abrupt displacement of the entire spine at a single level, leading to instability and the potential for neurological deterioration. The term “congenital spondyloptosis” carries this meaning of complete dislocation of the vertebral column. We advocate using this terminology as the designation for this disorder.

Embryology

The embryonic mechanism responsible for this resultant spinal column dislocation remains poorly understood. Vertebral column development begins in the 4th
We performed PubMed and OVID searches using the key phrases “congenital spondyloptosis” and “congenital vertebral dislocation.” Inclusion criteria sought papers from peer-reviewed journals that described the surgical treatment of congenital thoracolumbar (T10–L2) spondyloptosis in pediatric patients (age < 18 years). References in these articles were further analyzed for other papers not captured by the initial literature search. Papers were excluded if the surgical approach, arthrodesis type, or surgical outcome was not detailed. Thus, in addition to our case, we obtained and reviewed from the literature the data for 23 patients with surgically treated congenital thoracolumbar spondyloptosis.

The mean age in our aggregate series of 24 patients (Table 1) was 10.9 months (range 0–72 months) at presentation and 43.3 months (range 1–204 months) at the time of surgery. There were 14 boys and 10 girls. The most common clinical findings on presentation were congenital paraparesis/paraplegia (8 patients), deformity without neurological deficit (10 patients), and progressive myelopathy, paraplegia after minor trauma, and hyperreflexia (1 patient each). The most frequent spinal levels involved at the thoracolumbar junction were T-10 (1 patient), T-11 (6 patients), T-12 (9 patients), L-1 (13 patients), and L-2 (4 patients).

Surgical treatment included anterior-only decompression and arthrodesis in 1 patient (Case 7); posterior-only decompression and arthrodesis in 2 patients (Cases 13 and 19); and combined anterior and posterior decompression and fusion in 21 patients. Viehweger et al. described single-stage vertebrectomy plus anterior and posterior fusion in 2 patients. However, there were subtle differences between these cases and our case. Those authors used a fibular strut graft and laminar hooks that were later removed; we used an anterior expandable cage and more rigid pedicle screw fixation.

Two patients experienced neurological deterioration after surgery, although it was transient in one. Three patients improved after surgery, and 17 were neurologically stable in follow-up. The patients were followed up for a mean of 75.3 months (range 9–215 months).

Treatment

The rare occurrence of congenital spondyloptosis combined with the inconsistencies in terminology for classifying the disorder makes elucidating its ideal treatment difficult. A wide range of therapeutic options from observation to circumferential fusion has been described. Several authors have reported the development of progressive neurological deficits in children with congenital spondyloptosis, suggesting a poor natural history with the risk of progressive deformity and the development of myelopathy. In our aggregate series, 3 (12.5%) of 24 patients with congenital thoracolumbar spondyloptosis deteriorated neurologically prior to surgery.

Surgical stabilization in infants is complicated given their small size, concerns regarding long-term growth potential, less ossified bone, and difficulty in achieving appropriate immobilization. The interval of time between age at presentation and age at surgery was 28.6 months (range 0–132 months). This delay may have been an attempt by the treating surgeon to operate at a time when the
### TABLE 1. Literature summary of cases of surgically treated congenital thoracolumbar spondyloptosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Age at Presentation, Sex</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Preop Neurological Deterioration (yes or no)</th>
<th>Age at Surgery</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Neurological Outcome</th>
<th>Follow-Up (mos)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scott et al., 1988</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Birth, F</td>
<td>Congenital paraparesis</td>
<td>T-12</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Ant &amp; pst decompression &amp; noninstrumented fusion</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shapiro &amp; Herring, 1993</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19 mos, M</td>
<td>Paraplegia after minor trauma</td>
<td>T-11</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20 mos</td>
<td>Ant &amp; pst decompression &amp; arthrodesis w/ Harrington rods</td>
<td>Paraplegia</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13 mos, F</td>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>T-11</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>16 mos</td>
<td>Noninstrumented AF &amp; PF</td>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6 mos, M</td>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>L-1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>20 mos</td>
<td>Noninstrumented AF &amp; PF</td>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeller et al., 1996</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 mo, M</td>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>T-12</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>5 mos</td>
<td>PF, nonunion; AF at 17 mos</td>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27 mos, M</td>
<td>Paraparesis &amp; clonus</td>
<td>T9–L1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>27 mos</td>
<td>Noninstrumented AF &amp; PF</td>
<td>Paraplegia</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6 yrs, M</td>
<td>Hyperreflexia</td>
<td>T-12</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>17 yrs</td>
<td>Noninstrumented AF</td>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NR, M</td>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>L-2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>7 mos</td>
<td>Noninstrumented AF &amp; PF</td>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NR, F</td>
<td>Congenital paraplegia</td>
<td>L-1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6 mos</td>
<td>Noninstrumented AF &amp; PF</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NR, M</td>
<td>Congenital paraplegia</td>
<td>L1–4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6 yrs</td>
<td>Noninstrumented AF &amp; PF</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24 mos, F</td>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>T-11</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13 yrs</td>
<td>Laminectomy after fall w/ paraplegia, ultimately PF &amp; AF w/ Harrington instrumentation</td>
<td>Paraplegia after minor fall</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 mo, M</td>
<td>Congenital paraplegia</td>
<td>T-12</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 mo</td>
<td>Noninstrumented AF &amp; PF w/ cord transection</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NR, M</td>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>L-1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>5 mos</td>
<td>Noninstrumented PF</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Prenatal, M</td>
<td>Congenital paraplegia</td>
<td>L-1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10 mos</td>
<td>Noninstrumented AF &amp; PF</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>NR, M</td>
<td>Congenital paraplegia</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9 yrs</td>
<td>Noninstrumented AF &amp; PF</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>NR, M</td>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>L-1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>32 mos</td>
<td>Noninstrumented AF &amp; PF</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>NR, F</td>
<td>Congenital paraplegia</td>
<td>L1–2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10 mos</td>
<td>Noninstrumented AF &amp; PF</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>NR, M</td>
<td>Progressive myelopathy</td>
<td>L-2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10 yrs</td>
<td>Noninstrumented AF &amp; PF</td>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>NR, F</td>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>L-1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6 yrs</td>
<td>Noninstrumented PF</td>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philips et al., 1997</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Prenatal, F</td>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>T-11</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9 mos</td>
<td>Ant corpectomy w/ rib graft; noninstrumented PF at 11 mos</td>
<td>Transient rt leg paresis</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viehweger et al., 2009</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Birth, M</td>
<td>Spastic paraparesis</td>
<td>L1–2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>31 mos</td>
<td>AF &amp; PF w/ Harrington rods</td>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Birth, F</td>
<td>Spastic paraparesis</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>30 mos</td>
<td>Vertebrectomy, AF &amp; PF CD instrumentation</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Birth, F</td>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>T11–12</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10 mos</td>
<td>Vertebrectomy, AF &amp; PF CD instrumentation</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present case</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Prenatal, F</td>
<td>Congenital paraplegia</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>24 mos</td>
<td>VCR, ant cage &amp; instrumented PF</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF = anterior fusion; ant = anterior; CD = Cotrel-Dubousset; NR = not reported; PF = posterior fusion; pst = posterior; VCR = vertebral column resection.
skeleton of an older child is more mature and when there is greater potential to immobilize the spine with rigid instrumentation. Many authors recommend a combined anterior and posterior fusion in two stages if necessary.\textsuperscript{5,26,27,29} This was accomplished in 21 of the children (87.5\%) in our aggregate series. Posterior fusion alone, with or without decompression, may be inadequate given the highly unstable nature of this disorder, and supplementation of the fusion from an anterior approach is usually required.

Whether decompression in addition to fusion is beneficial is a matter of controversy. The compressive pathology is ventral to the spinal cord; dorsal decompression would seem to have little effect on neurological compression. Moreover, neurological deterioration in most cases is attributable to spinal instability, and posterior decompression has the potential to worsen spinal stability. Therefore, upfront spinal fusion is regarded as the most important surgical treatment.\textsuperscript{5,26,27,29} Shapiro and Herring have commented that there may be a role for decompression in patients with acute-onset myelopathy provided that sufficient stability can be achieved by arthrodesis.\textsuperscript{26}

Given the above rationale, we found surgery to be indicated in our patient to halt the progression of kyphotic deformity, preserve normal biomechanics, and ameliorate neurological symptoms. Modern techniques, such as vertebral column resection (VCR) via a posterior approach, allow for circumferential decompression of the spinal cord, correction of acute segmental deformity, and resection of the bony gibbous. In our patient, VCR was followed by vertebral column reconstruction with an anterior expandable titanium cage and posterior instrumentation 3 levels above and 3 levels below the VCR. This resulted in complete decompression of the spinal cord and reduction and stabilization of the kyphotic deformity. To the best of our knowledge, while spinal instrumentation has been used in the treatment of congenital thoracolumbar spondylolisthesis in very young children,\textsuperscript{26,27,29} our patient represents one of the few children treated with a single-stage posterior-only VCR and circumferential vertebral column reconstruction with anterior expandable titanium cage and posterior rigid pedicle screw fixation. We hope that the combination of anterior and posterior decompression with spinal instrumentation and the restoration of more physiological biomechanics of the spine will increase stability and be associated with a long-term favorable outcome, as documented in the literature.\textsuperscript{5,26,27,29} At the last follow-up in our patient, the motor examination and sagittal spinal alignment were stable with some improvement in bladder function. However, she will need to be followed up for the next several years until the age of skeletal maturity to monitor for the development of a progressive kyphotic or crankshaft deformity.

### Long-Term Consequences of Fusion in a Growing Spine

A major consideration in pediatric spine fusion is the fact that the pediatric spine will continue to grow throughout childhood and adolescence. Possible complications include restricted range of motion, development of secondary deformity, and loss of growth potential. Fusions have often been avoided in children and adolescents for this reason. Any surgical approach must take into account the skeletal immaturity of young children and should minimize the number of fused segments, especially in the thoracic spine, to avoid complications, such as iatrogenic short trunk, crankshaft deformity, and pulmonary hypoplasia from restricted growth of the rib cage.

Growth of the anterior column after a posterior column fusion may cause a “crankshaft phenomenon” in which the anterior vertebral bodies continue to grow anteriorly disproportionate to the posterior elements in which all longitudinal growth has ceased.\textsuperscript{3,14,19} This results in pivotting of the vertebral bodies on the posterior fusion causing progressive rotation and angulation of the spine. This problem occurs more commonly in skeletally immature patients younger than 10 years of age and in patients with idiopathic as opposed to congenital scoliosis.\textsuperscript{19} The relatively low incidence of crankshaft phenomenon in congenital scoliosis may be caused by the “sick” anterior growth plate encountered in this population as opposed to the “healthy” anterior growth plate seen in patients with idiopathic scoliosis.

The addition of anterior fusion has been proposed as a possible solution to crankshaft phenomena.\textsuperscript{6,18} Various authors have proposed age, riser sign of 0, peak height velocity, and open triradiate cartilage as factors to assess patients at risk.\textsuperscript{24} Other authors question the necessity and efficacy of using anterior fusion to prevent a crankshaft phenomenon.\textsuperscript{2} Some authors believe that the stiffer segmental fixation of newer instrumentation systems (for example, Cotrel-Dubousset, Texas Scottish Rite Hospital, and Isola instrumentation) may prevent this secondary deformity better than the older Harrington, Luque, or Harri-Luque instrumentation. This is currently an important and active area of research interest.

We also supplemented the fusion construct with recombinant human BMP-2. There are concerns regarding the routine and “off-label” substitution or supplementation of autologous or allograft bone graft with recombinant human BMP-2. The most significant concerns involve the possibility of bony overgrowth, interaction with exposed dura mater, cancer risk, systemic toxicity, local toxicity, immunogenicity, osteoclastic activation, and effects on distal organs.\textsuperscript{11,13}

### Conclusions

The avoidance of neurological morbidity requires early diagnosis and stabilization. Posterior spinal decompression and fusion alone do not seem to be sufficient to achieve neurological improvement nor a solid fusion in this type of congenital anomaly. We recommend a single-stage posterior-only approach for circumferential decompression and fusion. This surgical strategy seems to be well tolerated by patients in the pediatric age group. However, long-term follow-up is necessary to determine the deleterious iatrogenic effects of this surgical approach, including short trunk and crankshaft deformity.
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