Tentorial dural arteriovenous fistula with perimedullary venous drainage–associated cervical myelopathy: illustrative case
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BACKGROUND Tentorial dural arteriovenous fistulas (DAVFs) with perimedullary venous drainage causing cervical myelopathy are very uncommon conditions with an extremely aggressive behavior. When the characteristic radiological clues are missing, the unspecific clinical picture may cause delay and make the diagnosis challenging.

OBSERVATIONS Here the authors report a case of a 58-year-old man who developed progressive spastic tetraparesis and dyspnea with an extensive mild enhancing cervical cord lesion initially oriented as a neurosyphilis-associated transverse myelitis. Acute worsening after steroid administration redirected the diagnosis, and a tentorial Cognard type V DAVF was elicited. The microsurgical disconnection process is described, and previously documented cases in the literature are reviewed.

LESSONS If a DAVF is highly suspected, it is important to consider the possibility of its intracranial origin, and spinal as well as cerebral arteriography must be performed.
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Dural arteriovenous fistulas (DAVFs) consist of anomalous connections between dural arteries and venous sinuses or leptomeningeal veins, accounting for 10%–15% of all intracranial vascular malformations.1 Tentorial DAVFs are a rare and dangerous subtype of fistula that should be treated when diagnosed in order to avoid progressive focal neurological deficits or hemorrhage.2 Despite its bizarreness, when an arteriovenous shunt occurs to spinal medullary veins, tentorial DAVFs can cause cervical myelopathy with radiological findings resembling tumors or inflammatory or infectious disorders.3,4 We present a case exemplifying the vital importance of early recognition and subsequent treatment.

Illustrative Case

A 58-year-old man was hospitalized in the neurology department for complaints of a 3-month history of painless, fluctuating, and progressive lower limb weakness with sensory deficits. One year earlier, he had experienced a 4-m-high fall onto his back.

Physical examination revealed left leg reduced strength (4+5), spastic gait, bilateral ankle clonus, and extensor plantar reflexes. Cervical magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed no compression but edema and spinal cord thickening from the craniocervical level down to C6 with mild gadolinium peripheral enhancement (Fig. 1A and B).

Eight months later, spastic tetraparesis, bladder dysfunction, and a T5 sensory level were established. Findings for tumor and infectious and autoimmunity markers were negative, and new cervical MRI revealed increased cord edema (Fig. 1C).

ABBREVIATIONS CSF = cerebrospinal fluid; CT = computed tomography; CTA = computed tomography angiography; DAVF = dural arteriovenous fistula; ICA = internal carotid artery; MHT = meningohypophyseal trunk; MRA = magnetic resonance angiography; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging.
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The fluctuating progressive clinical course and strength worsening after an empirical corticosteroid dose for the first episode raised suspicion about a vascular etiology. Whole spinal cord angiography and craniocervical magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) showed no vascular abnormalities.

The patient’s condition kept worsening. Proximal (1/5) lower limb paresis, major spasticity in the arms, and shortness of breath with speech developed. Despite the negative spinal cord angiography result, cerebral arteriography was programmed. After left internal carotid artery (ICA) catheterization, a tentorial Cognard type V DAVF was elicited, being identified as a tentorial branch of the meningohypophyseal trunk (MHT) as the feeding artery (Fig. 2).

The tortuosity of the vessels made an endovascular approach difficult, so the patient was referred to us for surgical treatment. A left retromastoid craniectomy was performed with exposure of the transverse–sigmoid junction. After durotomy, throughout the supracerebellar–infratentorial corridor, an arterialized vein was identified hanging from the lateral inferior side of the tentorium. Pre- and post-clipping indocyanine green video angiography showed the correct DAVF interruption (Fig. 3).

Postoperative computed tomography (CT) and cranial arteriography revealed no complications and total DAVF closure (Fig. 3A–E). Shortly after surgery, the patient started to recover from the disturbed respiratory mechanics and slightly from the tetraparesis. He was discharged early to an integrated neurological rehabilitation center. Eight months later, his condition had improved to the point that he could ambulate with a walker, notwithstanding residual hyperreflexia. Control MRI showed important T2 sequence hyperintensity reduction (Fig. 3F).

**Discussion**

**Observations**

Tentorial DAVFs account for only 4%–8% of all intracranial DAVFs, but their clinical behavior is the most life threatening, with 97% resulting in hemorrhage or progressive focal neurological deficits. This could be partially explained by the retrograde leptomeningeal venous pattern of drainage, because in large series, all the tentorial DAVFs have been classified as Borden type III.2,6,7

In 1982, Woimant et al.8 made the first report of an intracranial DAVF with spinal perimedullary venous drainage presenting with myelopathy, constituting since then a rare entity. However, until Wrobel et al.9 1988, no cervical myelopathy secondary to a tentorial DAVF had been documented.

On the basis of our thorough PubMed database review, to our knowledge and including our case, only 20 cases with this bizarre clinical manifestation have been registered (Table 1).1–29 All the patients were male (55.1 years mean age), and the onset of symptoms was 2–48 months before the diagnosis, with an average delay of approximately 12 months. The vast majority of arterial feeders were tentorial branches of the left MHT. It is known that, when identifiable, the meningohypophyseal artery arises from the left ICA in greater proportion.10 Most often, the treatment option is microsurgery.1,9,19–21,24–26 When embolization was attempted (8 of 20 cases), the procedure had to be repeated in 1 case, and in 4 others, microsurgery was the final treatment.9,18,22,23,27–29

The initial trigger of DAVFs is unknown. Nevertheless, in some cases such as ours, previous head trauma is reported. Prior cranial

![FIG. 1. A: T2-weighted MRI sequence showing edema and spinal cord thickening from the craniocervical level down to C6, with no signal voids appreciated. B: Mild peripheral enhancement was demonstrated after gadolinium administration. C: Increased spinal cord edema up to the T2 level after 8 months.](image1)

![FIG. 2. Left ICA angiography revealing a tentorial branch of the MHT as the feeding artery of the DAVF. Red arrowheads highlight the fistula in lateral (A) and posteroanterior (B) projections, as well as in the lateral (C) and axial (D) reconstructions, where bony landmarks can be appreciated. Note the DAVF trajectory following the petrous ridge (D).](image2)
surgeries, venous system anomalies, and cortical veins or venous sinus multifactorial thrombosis have also been involved.5

The suspected physiopathology of the spinal cord damage would be explained by the venous hypertension arising from the shunted arterial flow directly into the petrosal vein and transmitted to the anterior spinal vein through the well-described anastomotic venous channels. The anterior spinal vein communicates superiorly with the anterior medullary vein, which continues with the anterior pontomesencephalic vein and subsequently with the petrosal vein.9,11 However, some intracranial DAVFs with perimedullary drainage do not course with myelopathy. It seems that this group has in common a cervical medulloradicular vein that drains into epidural spaces, thereby preventing spinal venous hypertension and myelopathy.12,13

Myelopathy is known to be the heterogeneous clinical manifestation of an extensive sort of etiology. In a prospective survey of 585 patients, the main causes of nontraumatic spastic paraparesis and tetraparesis were cervical spondylotic myelopathy (24%), followed by multiple sclerosis (18%), tumor (16%), and motor neuron disease (4%). Only 1.7% accounted for the vascular disease group. Diagnosis was uncertain, even after MRI, in 18.6% of the cases.14

Lessons

As these data support, it is difficult per se to establish a vascular origin of the myelopathy. Recognition of diffuse cervical spinal cord edema and/or engorged vessels with serpentine signal void appearance on T2-weighted MRI could orientate the diagnosis toward both spinal and intracranial DAVF. Enhancement of the tortuous veins after gadolinium may also be observed on T1-weighted MRI.15

Nonetheless, as well as the case we present, these radiological clues can be missing. Additional evaluation with dynamic CT angiography (CTA), MRA, or digital subtraction angiography may also be performed. CTA and MRA have a sensitivity for the detection of DAVF of 15.4% and 50%, respectively, with an inadequate negative predictive value of the former to exclude DAVFs.16 Furthermore, if only a spinal DAVF is pursued, even with the gold standard whole-spine arteriogram, an intracranial DAVF with perimedullary venous drainage cannot be diagnosed.

Cognard type V tentorial DAVFs are extremely aggressive. They should be disconnected when diagnosed; partial obliteration does not protect against hemorrhage. As in the present case, venous drainage into perimedullary veins prevents a transvenous approach. Also, the embolization of meningeal ICA feeders is difficult; risky; and, as detailed in Table 1, when attempted, the number of cases requiring additional treatment, predominantly microsurgery, was not negligible.9,23,24,27,29 For this reason, given the feasibility and in order to avoid further risk or delay in a very fragile patient, we directly opted for the open procedure. Therefore, although endovascular treatment has become the leading therapy for intracranial DAVFs, tentorial DAVFs may require microsurgical discontinuation.3,12 Following the classification proposed by Lawton et al. for tentorial DAVFs;2 the one we have documented would be classified as a superior petrosal sinus DAVF (type V) with its corresponding infra-tentorial drainage. Nevertheless, none of their type V cases debuted with myelopathy.

Tentorial DAVFs with perimedullary venous drainage causing cervical myelopathy are rare and life threatening. In our case, the unspecific clinical and radiological picture and the plausible
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Sxs &amp; Signs</th>
<th>Diagnosis Delay</th>
<th>Feeders</th>
<th>Shunt</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wrobel et al., 1988</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>6 mos</td>
<td>MHT (l)/OA</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Microsurgery</td>
<td>Paraplegia imp, paraparesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>8 mos</td>
<td>MHT (l)/OA/ECA</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Microsurgery</td>
<td>UE recovery, paraparesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>36 mos</td>
<td>MHT (r)/OA (r)</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Embolization microsurgery (×2)</td>
<td>UE recovery, paraparesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gobin et al., 1992</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>5 mos</td>
<td>MHT (l)</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Embolization (×2)</td>
<td>UE recovery, paraplegia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Versari et al., 1993</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>&gt;6 mos</td>
<td>MHT (l)/OA</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Microsurgery</td>
<td>Tetraparesis imp, paraplegia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bret et al., 1994</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>4 mos</td>
<td>MHT (r)</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Microsurgery</td>
<td>Tetraparesis recovery, mild spasticity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunereau et al., 1996</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>MHT (l)</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>MHT (l)</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ernst et al., 1997</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>MHT (r)</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Microsurgery</td>
<td>Paraparesis imp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bousson et al., 1999</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>12 mos</td>
<td>OA (l)</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Embolization</td>
<td>Tetraparesis imp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roff et al., 1999</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>36 mos</td>
<td>MHT (l)/ECA</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Embolization</td>
<td>Strength worsening, exitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>&gt;8 mos</td>
<td>MHT (l)/ECA (l)</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Embolization microsurgery</td>
<td>Paraplegia imp, sensory deficit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalamangalam et al., 2002</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>4 mos</td>
<td>MHT (l)</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Microsurgery</td>
<td>Paraparesis imp, assisted amb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renner et al., 2006</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>Several mos</td>
<td>MHT (r)</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Microsurgery</td>
<td>Paraparesis recovery, mild sensory deficit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al., 2011</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>6 mos</td>
<td>MHT (l)</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Microsurgery</td>
<td>Tetraparesis imp, assisted amb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vivekananda et al., 2013</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>3 mos</td>
<td>MHT (r)/ECA (r)</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Embolization microsurgery</td>
<td>UE imp, unchanged paraplegia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al., 2018</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>2 mos</td>
<td>MHT (l)</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Embolization</td>
<td>Paraparesis imp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peebmbar et al., 2019</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>3.5 mos</td>
<td>MHT (l)</td>
<td>Tentorium</td>
<td>Embolization microsurgery</td>
<td>Paraparesis imp, sensory deficit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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hypothesis of neurosyphilis-associated transverse myelitis contributed to a significant diagnostic delay that is slightly shorter than the average (12 months) of the reviewed cases. The well-reported association between intravenous corticosteroid administration and acute worsening of spinal DAVFs prompted us to persevere in searching for the DAVF in spite of the inconclusive spinal angiography.

Thus, when the search for a highly suspected vascular source of myelopathy is unsuccessful, it is mandatory to keep in mind the possibility of its intracranial origin. DAVFs must not be ruled out if a complete intracranial angiography is not performed. If not, as illustrated with some degree of post-treatment residual motor or sensory deficit in all the reported cases (Table 1), the potential reversibility of the symptoms related to medullary venous congestion could be dangerously put at risk.
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